

Finite representability

Let X and Y be infinite-dimensional Banach spaces. We say that Y is finutely representable in X if for all finite-dimensional subspaces $E \subseteq Y$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a finite-dimensional subspace $F \subseteq X$ and an isomorphism $T: E \rightarrow F$ such that $\|T\| \cdot \|T^{-1}\| < 1 + \varepsilon$.

Remark Finite representability induces a quasiorder on the class of infinite-dimensional Banach spaces. In., if Y is finitely representable in X and Z finitely representable in Y , then Z is finitely representable in X .

Lemma Suppose X and Y are Banach spaces and $T: X \rightarrow Y$ a bounded linear operator. TFRE:

(i) $T(X)$ is closed in Y .

(ii) The induced map $\tilde{T}: X/\ker(T) \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphic embedding.

(iii) For some C and all $x \in X$, $d(x, \ker(T)) \leq C\|Tx\|$.

Proof (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) : If $T(X)$ is closed in Y , then $\tilde{T} : X/\ker(T) \rightarrow Y$ is a bounded injective operator with closed range. So by the open mapping theorem, $\tilde{T}$ must be an isomorphism with its image.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) : If $\tilde{T} : X/\ker(T) \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphic embedding, there is a constant C such that for all $x + \ker(T) \in X/\ker(T)$,

$$d(x, \ker(T)) = \|x + \ker(T)\| \leq C \|\tilde{T}(x + \ker(T))\|$$

$$= C \|Tx\|.$$

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) : If $d(x, \ker(T)) \leq C \|Tx\|$ and $(Tx_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y , then $(x_n + \ker(T))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X/\ker(T)$ and hence converges to some $x + \ker(T)$. Then (Tx_n) also converges to Tx and thus $T(X)$ is closed. □

Proposition Let $T: X \rightarrow Y$ be a bounded operator with closed range, and suppose that $x^{**} \in X^{**}$, $\|x^{**}\| < 1$, satisfies $y = T^{**}x^{**} \in Y$. Then there is some $x \in X$, $\|x\| < 1$, with $Tx = y$.

Proof Suppose first towards a contradiction that $y \notin T(X)$. Then by Hahn-Banach there is some $y^* \in Y^*$ such that $y^*(y) = 1$ but $y^*|_{T(X)} \equiv 0$, i.e., $T^*y^* = 0$. But this is impossible since $x^{**}(T^*y^*) = T^{**}x^{**}(y^*) = y(y^*) = y^*(y) = 1$.

Next, suppose $y \in T(X) \setminus T(\text{int } B_X)$.

By the open mapping theorem, $T(\text{int } B_X)$ is open in Y , and hence by Hahn-Banach there is some $y^* \in Y^*$ such that $y^*(y) \geq 1$, while $y^*(T(x)) < 1$ for all $x \in X$, $\|x\| < 1$. In particular, $\|T^*y^*\| \leq 1$, and so $|x^{**}(T^*y^*)| < 1$,

which contradicts

$$x^{**}(T^*y^*) = T^{**}x^{**}(y^*) = y(y^*) = y^*(y) = 1. \quad \square$$

Proposition Suppose $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is a bounded operator with closed range and $K: X \rightarrow Y$ has finite rank. Then $T+K$ has closed range.

Proof If $T+K$ does not have closed range, then by the lemma we can find a bounded sequence (x_n) in X such that $T+K(x_n) \rightarrow 0$, but $d(x_n, \ker(T+K)) \geq 1$.

By passing to a subsequence we can also suppose that $Kx_n \rightarrow y \in Y$ and thus that $Tx_n \rightarrow -y$. Since T has closed range, we must have some $x \in X$ such that $-y = Tx$ and hence $T(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$.

By the lemma this again implies that $d(x_n - x, \ker(T)) \rightarrow 0$. So, as $K(x_n - x) \rightarrow y - Kx$, we have $y - Kx \in \overline{K(\ker T)} = K(\ker T)$ and so for some $u \in \ker T$, $y - Kx = Ku$.

Now

$$d(x_n - x - u, \ker(T)) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\|Kx_n - Kx - u\| \rightarrow 0$$

and since $K|_{\ker(T)}$ has closed range this implies that

$$d(x_n - x - u, \ker(T) \cap \ker(K)) \rightarrow 0.$$

But $T(x+u) = Tx = -y = -K(u+x)$ and

thus $x+u \in \ker(T+K)$, whence

$$d(x_n, \ker(T+K)) = d(x_n - x - u, \ker(T+K))$$

$$\leq d(x_n - x - u, \ker(T) \cap \ker(K)) \rightarrow 0,$$

which is a contradiction. □

Theorem Let X be a Banach space,

$A = (a_{jk})$ and $B = (b_{jk})$ $m \times n$ and $p \times n$ real matrices. Suppose $y_1, \dots, y_m \in X$, $y_1^*, \dots, y_p^* \in X^*$, and $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_p \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that there exists vectors $x_1^{**}, \dots, x_n^{**} \in X^{**}$ with $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|x_k^{**}\| < 1$ such that

$$A \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{**} \\ \vdots \\ x_n^{**} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$y_j^* \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_{jk} x_k^{**} \right) = \zeta_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p.$$

Then there are $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ with $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|x_k\| < 1$

satisfying the same equations as the $x_1^{**}, \dots, x_n^{**}$.

Proof Consider the operator $T_0 : l_\infty^n(X) \rightarrow l_\infty^m(X)$

defined $T_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$. We claim that

T_0 has closed range. For $A = PDQ$, where

P and Q are invertible $m \times m$ and $n \times n$ matrices and D is of the form

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $r = \text{rank } A$. This is done by first putting A in reduced row echelon form B , which corresponds to producing an invertible P such that $A = PB$. Now by doing elementary column operations on B we finally write $B = DQ$ as above.

Now, define $T: l_\infty^n(X) \rightarrow l_\infty^m(X) \oplus_\infty l_\infty^p$

$$\text{by } T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (T_0(x_1, \dots, x_n), \left(y_j^x \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_{jk} x_k \right) \right)_{j=1}^p).$$

Then T is a finite rank perturbation of T_0 and hence also has closed range.

By assumption, there is $\vec{x}^{**} = (x_1^{**}, \dots, x_n^{**}) \in l_\infty^n(X)^{**} = l_\infty^n(X^{**})$ such that $\|\vec{x}^{**}\|_\infty < 1$ and

$$T^{**} \vec{x}^{**} = (y_1, \dots, y_m, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_p).$$

By the proposition, this now also has a solution in $l_\infty^n(X)$. □

The principle of local reflexivity (Lindenstrauss-Rosenthal)

Let X be a Banach space, $F \subseteq X^{**}$ and

$G \subseteq X^*$ finite-dimensional subspaces. Then

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a subspace $E \subseteq X$

with $X \cap F \subseteq E$, $\dim E = \dim F$, and a

linear isomorphism $T: F \rightarrow E$ with

$\|T\| \cdot \|T^{-1}\| < 1 + \varepsilon$ and even that

$$Tx = x \quad \text{for } x \in X \cap F,$$

$$x^*(Tx^{**}) = x^{**}(x^*) \quad \text{for } x^* \in G, x^{**} \in F.$$

Proof Given $\varepsilon > 0$ find $\gamma > 0$ so that $\frac{(1+\gamma)}{(1-3\gamma)} < 1 + \varepsilon$

and find a γ -net $(x_j^{**})_{j=1}^N$ in S_F .

Let $S: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow F$ be defined by $S(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) = \sum_{j=1}^N \xi_j x_j^{**}$.

Set $H = S^{-1}(X \cap F)$ and suppose $(\vec{a}(j))_{j=1}^N$ is a basis

for H . Let $y_j = S(\vec{a}(j)) \in X \cap F$.

Let A be the $m \times N$ matrix whose rows are the $\vec{a}(j)$ and pick $x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* \in X^*$, $\|x_j^*\| = 1$,

$x_j^{**}(x_j^*) > 1 - \gamma$. Finally choose a basis

$\{g_1^*, \dots, g_r^*\}$ for G .

Consider now the system of equations in $(x_1, \dots, x_N)$:

$$A \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{i.e., } \vec{a}^{(j)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{pmatrix} = y_j.$$

$$x_j^*(x_j) = x_j^{**}(x_j^*) = x_j, \quad j=1, \dots, N$$

$$y_j^*(x_j) = x_j^{**}(y_j^*) = y_j, \quad j=1, \dots, N.$$

This system has a solution $(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_N^{**})$ in X^{**}

with $\max_j \|x_j^{**}\| = 1$. By the preceding theorem

we can then find some solution $(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ in X

with $\max_j \|x_j\| < 1 + \nu$.

Now define $S_1: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow X$ by $S_1(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) = \sum_{j=1}^N \xi_j x_j$.

Then if $S(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) = 0$ also $S_1(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) = 0$.

For if $S(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) = 0$, then $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) \in H$

and hence $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)$ can be written as

a linear combination of the $\vec{a}^{(j)}$:

$$(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \vec{a}^{(j)}, \quad \text{whereby}$$

$$S_1(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) = \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i x_i = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \left(\vec{a}^{(j)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j y_j = S(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) = 0.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{S}_1$ factors through $\mathcal{S}$, i.e., for some operator $T: F \rightarrow X$ we have $\mathcal{S}_1 = T\mathcal{S}$.

Now, let $E = T(F)$ and note that for

$$1 \leq j \leq N \quad \text{we have} \quad 1 - \nu \leq \|x_j\| \leq 1 + \nu.$$

So by the next lemma we have

$$\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon. \quad \text{The other properties are}$$

clear. □

Lemma Let E be a finite-dimensional normed space and $(x_j)_{j=1}^N$ an ε -net in B_E for some $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Suppose $T: E \rightarrow X$ is a linear operator such that for all j

$$1 - \varepsilon \leq \|Tx_j\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Then for all $x \in E$,

$$\left(\frac{1 - 3\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} \right) \|x\| \leq \|Tx\| \leq \left(\frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} \right) \|x\|.$$

Proof We can assume $\|x\| = 1$. Pick j such that $\|x - x_j\| < \varepsilon$. Then

$$\|Tx\| \leq \|Tx_j\| + \|Tx - Tx_j\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) + \|Tx - Tx_j\|.$$

So $\|Tx\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) + \|T\| \varepsilon$ and hence

$$(1 - \varepsilon)\|T\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \quad \text{or} \quad \|T\| \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon}.$$

Conversely, $\|T\| \geq (1 - \varepsilon) - \varepsilon\|T\| \geq \frac{1 - 3\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon}$. □