## FORCING EXERCISES DAY 9

DEFINITION 1. A formula in the language of set theory is a  $\Sigma_1$ -formula if it is of the form  $\exists u\psi(u, v)$ , where  $\psi$  is a  $\Delta_0$ -formula. A  $\Pi_1$ -formula is one of the form  $\forall u\psi(u,v)$ . We say a formula  $\phi(v)$  is a  $\Delta_1$ -formula if ZFC  $\vdash \forall v(\phi(v) \leftrightarrow \sigma(v))$ and  $\operatorname{ZFC} \vdash \forall v(\phi(v) \leftrightarrow \pi(v))$ , where  $\sigma$  is  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\pi$  is  $\Pi_1$ .

PROBLEM 1. Show  $\Delta_1$ -formulas are absolute for transitive models of ZFC.

PROBLEM 2. Show the relations " $rk(x) = \alpha$ ", " $\sigma$  is a P-name", " $\rho(\sigma) = \alpha$ " are all expressible by  $\Delta_1$ -formulas.

**PROBLEM 3.** Let  $\tau$  be a signature, and fix a set X with U an ultrafilter on X. Suppose for each  $i \in X$  that  $\mathcal{M}_i$  is a  $\tau$ -structure with non-empty domain  $M_i$ . We will define a new  $\tau$ -structure, the **ultraproduct of**  $\{\mathcal{M}_i\}_{i \in X}$ , as follows.

Let  $\Pi_{i \in X} M_i$  be the collection of functions  $f: X \to M_i$  such that  $f(i) \in M_i$  for all *i*. For  $f, g \in \prod_{i \in X} M_i$ , define  $f =_U g$  if and only if  $\{i \in X \mid f(i) = g(i)\} \in U$ .

1. Show  $=_U$  is an equivalence relation.

- 2. Let  $\Pi_U M_i$  be the set of equivalence classes [f] of  $=_U$ . We make  $\Pi_U M_i$  the domain of a  $\tau$ -structure  $\mathcal{M}$  as follows:
  - for constants c of  $\tau$ ,  $c^{\mathcal{M}} = [i \mapsto c^{\mathcal{M}_i}];$

  - for function symbols  $g, g^{\mathcal{M}}([f_1], \dots, [f_n]) = [i \mapsto g(f_1(i), \dots, f_n(i))];$  for relation symbols  $R, R^{\mathcal{M}}([f_1], \dots, [f_n])$  holds if and only if the set  $\{i \mid R^{\mathcal{M}_i}(f_1(i), \dots, f_n(i))\} \in U.$

Show the above interpretations are well-defined, and that  $\mathcal{M}$  so defined is a  $\tau$ -structure.

3. Prove, for all formulas  $\phi$  and functions  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ , that

 $\mathcal{M} \models \phi([f_1], \dots, [f_n])$  if and only if  $\{i \in X \mid \mathcal{M}_i \models \phi(f_1(i), \dots, f_n(i))\} \in U$ .

PROBLEM 4. Use the construction of the previous exercise to prove the Compactness theorem.

For the following problems, let M be a countable transitive model of ZFC, and let  $\mathbb{P} \in M$  be a poset.

PROBLEM 5. Suppose  $\mathbb{P}$  is non-atomic. Show there are continuum many  $\mathbb{P}$ generic filters over M.

PROBLEM 6. Suppose  $\mathbb{P}$  is not non-atomic. Show there is an  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic filter G in M.

PROBLEM 7. Show G is an M-generic filter if and only if G meets every dense subset of  $\mathbb{P}$  in M, is closed upwards, and any two conditions in G are compatible.

PROBLEM 8. Suppose  $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  is a maximal antichain in M, and that  $\tau_p$  is a P-name for each  $p \in A$ . Let  $\pi = \{ \langle \tau_p, p \rangle \mid p \in A \}$ . What is the cardinality of  $\pi[G]?$