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### 4.5 Minimum Spanning Tree

## Minimum Spanning Tree

Minimum spanning tree. Given a connected graph $G=(V, E)$ with realvalued edge weights $c_{e}$, an MST is a subset of the edges $T \subseteq E$ such that $T$ is a spanning tree whose sum of edge weights is minimized.


$$
G=(V, E)
$$


$T, \Sigma_{e \in T} C_{e}=50$

Cayley's Theorem. There are $\mathrm{n}^{n-2}$ spanning trees of $\mathrm{K}_{n}$.

## Applications

MST is fundamental problem with diverse applications.

- Network design.
- telephone, electrical, hydraulic, TV cable, computer, road
- Approximation algorithms for NP-hard problems.
- traveling salesperson problem, Steiner tree
- Indirect applications.
- max bottleneck paths
- LDPC codes for error correction
- image registration with Renyi entropy
- learning salient features for real-time face verification
- reconstructing most parsimonious phylogenetic trees
- reducing data storage in sequencing amino acids in a protein
- model locality of particle interactions in turbulent fluid flows
- autoconfig protocol for Ethernet bridging to avoid cycles in a network
- Cluster analysis.


## Greedy Algorithms

Kruskal's algorithm. Start with $T=\phi$. Consider edges in ascending order of cost. Insert edge $e$ in $T$ unless doing so would create a cycle.

Reverse-Delete algorithm. Start with $T=E$. Consider edges in descending order of cost. Delete edge e from $T$ unless doing so would disconnect $T$.

Prim's algorithm. Start with some root node s and greedily grow a tree $T$ from s outward. At each step, add the cheapest edge e to $T$ that has exactly one endpoint in $T$.

Remark. All three algorithms produce an MST.
Remark for the bored. Think of the generic implementation with a bag data structure

## Greedy Algorithms

Simplifying assumption. All edge costs $c_{e}$ are distinct.

Cut property. Let $S$ be any subset of nodes, and let e be the min cost edge with exactly one endpoint in $S$. Then the MST contains $e$.

Cycle property. Let $C$ be any cycle, and let $f$ be the max cost edge belonging to $C$. Then the MST does not contain $f$.

$e$ is in the MST

$f$ is not in the MST

## Cycles and Cuts

Cycle. Set of edges the form $a-b, b-c, c-d, \ldots, y-z, z-a$.


```
Cycle C = 1-2, 2-3, 3-4, 4-5, 5-6, 6-1
```

Cutset. A cut is a subset of nodes $S$. The corresponding cutset $D$ is the subset of edges with exactly one endpoint in $S$.


```
CutS = {4,5,8}
Cutset D = 5-6,5-7, 3-4, 3-5,7-8
```


## Cycle-Cut Intersection

Claim. A cycle and a cutset intersect in an even number of edges.


Cycle $C=1-2,2-3,3-4,4-5,5-6,6-1$ Cutset D $=3-4,3-5,5-6,5-7,7-8$
Intersection $=3-4,5-6$

Pf. (by picture)


## Greedy Algorithms

Simplifying assumption. All edge costs $c_{e}$ are distinct.

Cut property. Let $S$ be any subset of nodes, and let e be the min cost edge with exactly one endpoint in $S$. Then the MST $T^{\star}$ contains $e$.

Pf. (exchange argument)

- Suppose e does not belong to $T^{\star}$, and let's see what happens.
- Adding e to $T^{\star}$ creates a cycle $C$ in $T^{\star}$.
- Edge $e$ is both in the cycle $C$ and in the cutset $D$ corresponding to $S$ $\Rightarrow$ there exists another edge, say $f$, that is in both $C$ and $D$.
- $T^{\prime}=T^{\star} \cup\{e\}-\{f\}$ is also a spanning tree.
- Since $c_{e}<c_{f}, \operatorname{cost}\left(T^{\prime}\right)<\operatorname{cost}\left(T^{\star}\right)$.
- This is a contradiction. -



## Greedy Algorithms

Simplifying assumption. All edge costs $c_{e}$ are distinct.

Cycle property. Let $C$ be any cycle in $G$, and let $f$ be the max cost edge belonging to $C$. Then the MST $T^{\star}$ does not contain $f$.

Pf. (exchange argument)

- Suppose $f$ belongs to $T^{\star}$, and let's see what happens.
- Deleting from $T^{\star}$ creates a cut $S$ in $T^{*}$.
- Edge $f$ is both in the cycle $C$ and in the cutset $D$ corresponding to $S$ $\Rightarrow$ there exists another edge, say $e$, that is in both $C$ and $D$.
- $T^{\prime}=T^{\star} \cup\{e\}-\{f\}$ is also a spanning tree.
- Since $c_{e}<c_{f}, \operatorname{cost}\left(T^{\prime}\right)<\operatorname{cost}\left(T^{\star}\right)$.
- This is a contradiction. -



## Prim's Algorithm: Proof of Correctness

Prim's algorithm. [Jarník 1930, Dijkstra 1957, Prim 1959]

- Initialize S = any node.
- Apply cut property to $S$.
- Add min cost edge in cutset corresponding to $S$ to $T$, and add one new explored node u to $S$.



## Implementation: Prim's Algorithm

Implementation. Use a priority queue ala Dijkstra.

- Maintain set of explored nodes S.
- For each unexplored node $v$, maintain attachment cost $a[v]=$ cost of cheapest edge $v$ to a node in $S$.
- $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ with an array; $O(m \log n)$ with a binary heap.

```
Prim(G, c) {
    foreach (v \in V) a[v] \leftarrow \infty
    Initialize an empty priority queue Q
    foreach (v G V) insert v onto Q
    Initialize set of explored nodes S }\leftarrow
    while (Q is not empty) {
        u < delete min element from Q
        S}\leftarrowS\cup{u
        foreach (edge e = (u, v) incident to u)
            if ((v & S) and (ce< a[v]))
                decrease priority a[v] to ce
}
```


## Kruskal's Algorithm: Proof of Correctness

Kruskal's algorithm. [Kruskal, 1956]

- Consider edges in ascending order of weight.
- Case 1: If adding e to T creates a cycle, discard e according to cycle property.
- Case 2: Otherwise, insert $e=(u, v)$ into Taccording to cut property where $S$ = set of nodes in u's connected component.


Case 1


Case 2

## Implementation: Kruskal's Algorithm

Implementation. Use the union-find data structure.

- Build set T of edges in the MST.
- Maintain set for each connected component.
- $O(m \log n)$ for sorting and $O(m \underbrace{\alpha(m, n)})$ for union-find. $m \leq n^{2} \Rightarrow \log m$ is $O(\log n)$
essentially a constant

```
Kruskal(G, c) {
    Sort edges weights so that c}\mp@subsup{c}{1}{}\leq\mp@subsup{c}{2}{}\leq\ldots\leq\mp@subsup{c}{m}{}
    T}\leftarrow
    foreach (u G V) make a set containing singleton u
    for i = 1 to m are u and v in different connected components?
        (u,v) = e ei
        if (u and v are in different sets) {
            T}\leftarrowT\cup{{\mp@subsup{e}{i}{}
            merge the sets containing u and v
        }
    return T
}
```


## Lexicographic Tiebreaking

To remove the assumption that all edge costs are distinct: perturb all edge costs by tiny amounts to break any ties.

Impact. Kruskal and Prim only interact with costs via pairwise comparisons. If perturbations are sufficiently small, MST with perturbed costs is MST with original costs.
e.g., if all edge costs are integers, perturbing cost of edge $e_{i}$ by $i / n^{2}$

Implementation. Can handle arbitrarily small perturbations implicitly by breaking ties lexicographically, according to index.

```
boolean less(i, j) {
    if (cost(e}\mp@subsup{e}{i}{})<\operatorname{cost}(\mp@subsup{e}{j}{})) return tru
    else if (cost(ei) > cost(e ( ) ) return false
    else if (i < j) return true
    else return false
}
```

