

STAT 473 – Game Theory  
Spring 2020  
Problem Set 1

Lev Reyzin

**Due:** 1/31/20, 9:30 am

1. [10 pts] Consider the game Chomp on a  $3 \times 3$  board (see Figure 1). Draw a graph representation (as in Figure 1.3 in the book) of all states reachable from the initial  $3 \times 3$  position, and mark all edges as red, green, or black, as in the book. Mark each state as belonging to **N** or **P**.



Figure 1: The starting position of a  $3 \times 3$  game of Chomp

2. [10 pts] Consider the subtraction game with the subtraction set (i.e. the number of chips each player can remove on a turn) of  $\{1, 2\}$ . For which integers does the first (“next”) player have a winning strategy? Argue for the correctness of your answer.
3. [10 pts] Prove that the first player in a game of (the usual  $3 \times 3$ ) tic-tac-toe can always (at least) force a draw. *Note that one way to do this is to draw the full game tree, but there is an easier proof.*
4. [10 pts] Consider the following (silly) game. Players 1 and 2 play rock-paper-scissors *in turn* as a combinatorial game: first player 1 chooses among  $\{R, P, S\}$ , then (after seeing what player 1 has chosen) player 2 replies from among  $\{R, P, S\}$ . The payoffs (of  $-1, 0$ , or  $1$ ) to the two players are calculated using the usual rules (in Table 1 below. Draw the full (minimax) game tree of this game (see notes from Lecture 3 for some examples). What is player 1’s payoff if both players play optimally?

|   | R  | P  | S  |
|---|----|----|----|
| R | 0  | -1 | 1  |
| P | 1  | 0  | -1 |
| S | -1 | 1  | 0  |

Table 1: The payoff matrix for player 1. (The payoffs to player 2 are the negations of the payoffs to player 1)