# The stabilization of the cohomology of moduli spaces of sheaves

Izzet Coskun

UIC

ZAG, June 30, 2020

Izzet Coskun (UIC)

The stabilization of the cohomology of modu

ZAG, June 30, 2020 1 / 32

э

Let X be a smooth, irreducible, complex projective surface. Let H be an ample divisor on X.

э

ヨト イヨト

Let X be a smooth, irreducible, complex projective surface. Let H be an ample divisor on X.

All sheaves will be coherent and torsion free unless explicitly specified.

4 E b

Let X be a smooth, irreducible, complex projective surface. Let H be an ample divisor on X.

All sheaves will be coherent and torsion free unless explicitly specified.

The Hilbert polynomial and the reduced Hilbert polynomial of a sheaf  ${\mathcal F}$  are defined by

$$P_{H,\mathcal{F}}(m) = \chi(\mathcal{F}(mH)) = a_2 \frac{m^2}{2} + \text{l.o.t.} \quad p_{H,F}(m) = \frac{P_{H,\mathcal{F}}(m)}{a_2}$$

Let X be a smooth, irreducible, complex projective surface. Let H be an ample divisor on X.

All sheaves will be coherent and torsion free unless explicitly specified.

The Hilbert polynomial and the reduced Hilbert polynomial of a sheaf  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{F}}$  are defined by

$$P_{H,\mathcal{F}}(m) = \chi(\mathcal{F}(mH)) = a_2 \frac{m^2}{2} + \text{l.o.t.} \quad p_{H,F}(m) = \frac{P_{H,\mathcal{F}}(m)}{a_2}$$

The slope and the discriminant are defined by the formulae

$$\mu = \frac{\mathsf{ch}_1}{r}, \quad \mu_H = \frac{\mathsf{ch}_1 \cdot H}{r \ H^2}, \quad \Delta = \frac{\mu^2}{2} - \frac{\mathsf{ch}_2}{r}$$

3

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

A sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\mu_H$ -semistable if for every proper subsheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  of smaller rank, we have  $\mu_H(\mathcal{E}) \leq \mu_H(\mathcal{F})$ .

A sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\mu_H$ -semistable if for every proper subsheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  of smaller rank, we have  $\mu_H(\mathcal{E}) \leq \mu_H(\mathcal{F})$ .

A torsion free sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  admits a unique *Harder-Narasimhan filtration* with respect to either Gieseker *H*-semistability or  $\mu_{H}$ -semistability, i.e. a filtration

$$0\subset \mathcal{F}_1\subset \mathcal{F}_2\subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_\ell=\mathcal{F}$$

such that the quotients  $\mathcal{E}_i = \mathcal{F}_i / \mathcal{F}_{i-1}$  are semistable with decreasing invariants.

A sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\mu_H$ -semistable if for every proper subsheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  of smaller rank, we have  $\mu_H(\mathcal{E}) \leq \mu_H(\mathcal{F})$ .

A torsion free sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  admits a unique *Harder-Narasimhan filtration* with respect to either Gieseker *H*-semistability or  $\mu_{H}$ -semistability, i.e. a filtration

$$0\subset \mathcal{F}_1\subset \mathcal{F}_2\subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_\ell=\mathcal{F}$$

such that the quotients  $\mathcal{E}_i = \mathcal{F}_i / \mathcal{F}_{i-1}$  are semistable with decreasing invariants.

A semistable sheaf further admits a *Jordan-Hölder* filtration into stable objects. Two semistable sheaves are called *S-equivalent* if they have the same Jordan-Hölder factors.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - ヨ - ろの⊙

Projective moduli spaces  $M_{X,H}(\gamma)$  parameterize S-equivalence classes of Gieseker *H*-semistable sheaves with Chern character  $\gamma$ .

Projective moduli spaces  $M_{X,H}(\gamma)$  parameterize S-equivalence classes of Gieseker *H*-semistable sheaves with Chern character  $\gamma$ .

Many questions: Nonempty? Irreducible? Dimension?

Projective moduli spaces  $M_{X,H}(\gamma)$  parameterize S-equivalence classes of Gieseker *H*-semistable sheaves with Chern character  $\gamma$ .

Many questions: Nonempty? Irreducible? Dimension?

The main question today: What is the topology of  $M_{X,H}(\gamma)$ ?

Let  $X^{[n]}$  denote the Hilbert scheme of *n* points on *X*.

э

▶ < ∃ >

Let  $X^{[n]}$  denote the Hilbert scheme of n points on X. When r = 1, a stable sheaf is of the form  $L \otimes I_Z$ 

э

4 E b

< 1 k

Let  $X^{[n]}$  denote the Hilbert scheme of *n* points on *X*.

When r = 1, a stable sheaf is of the form  $L \otimes I_Z$ 

The moduli space is isomorphic to  $Pic(X) \times X^{[n]}$  for some *n*.

Let  $X^{[n]}$  denote the Hilbert scheme of n points on X. When r = 1, a stable sheaf is of the form  $L \otimes I_Z$ The moduli space is isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \times X^{[n]}$  for some n. Ellingsrud and Stromme computed Betti numbers of  $\mathbb{P}^{2[n]}$ .

Let  $X^{[n]}$  denote the Hilbert scheme of n points on X. When r = 1, a stable sheaf is of the form  $L \otimes I_Z$ The moduli space is isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \times X^{[n]}$  for some n. Ellingsrud and Stromme computed Betti numbers of  $\mathbb{P}^{2[n]}$ . In general, Göttsche computed the Betti numbers of  $X^{[n]}$ .

Let  $X^{[n]}$  denote the Hilbert scheme of *n* points on *X*.

When r = 1, a stable sheaf is of the form  $L \otimes I_Z$ 

The moduli space is isomorphic to  $Pic(X) \times X^{[n]}$  for some *n*.

Ellingsrud and Stromme computed Betti numbers of  $\mathbb{P}^{2[n]}$ .

In general, Göttsche computed the Betti numbers of  $X^{[n]}$ .

Recall an explicit basis for the cohomology of  $\mathbb{P}^{2[n]}$  due to Mallavibarrena and Sols.

Let  $X^{[n]}$  denote the Hilbert scheme of *n* points on *X*.

When r = 1, a stable sheaf is of the form  $L \otimes I_Z$ 

The moduli space is isomorphic to  $Pic(X) \times X^{[n]}$  for some *n*.

Ellingsrud and Stromme computed Betti numbers of  $\mathbb{P}^{2[n]}$ .

In general, Göttsche computed the Betti numbers of  $X^{[n]}$ .

Recall an explicit basis for the cohomology of  $\mathbb{P}^{2[n]}$  due to Mallavibarrena and Sols.

Each basis element depends on 3 partitions, whose total sum is n.









## Göttsche's formula

Göttsche computed the Betti numbers of Hilbert schemes of points  $X^{[n]}$ 

< 47 ▶

э

## Göttsche's formula

Göttsche computed the Betti numbers of Hilbert schemes of points  $X^{[n]}$ 

Recall Macdonald's formula

$$\zeta_X(q,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{X^{(n)}}(t) q^n = \frac{(1+qt)^{b_1(X)}(1+qt^3)^{b_3(X)}}{(1-q)(1-qt^2)^{b_2(X)}(1-qt^4)}$$

э

4 E b

A A N

#### Göttsche's formula

Göttsche computed the Betti numbers of Hilbert schemes of points  $X^{[n]}$ 

Recall Macdonald's formula

$$\zeta_X(q,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{X^{(n)}}(t) q^n = \frac{(1+qt)^{b_1(X)}(1+qt^3)^{b_3(X)}}{(1-q)(1-qt^2)^{b_2(X)}(1-qt^4)}$$

Göttsche's Formula

$$F(q,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{X^{[n]}}(t)q^n = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \zeta_X(t^{2m-2}q^m,t).$$

э

The Betti numbers of  $X^{[n]}$  and more generally  $M_{X,H}(1, c, \Delta)$  stabilize to  $b_{i,\text{Stab}}(X)$  as n or  $\Delta$  tend to infinity.

The Betti numbers of  $X^{[n]}$  and more generally  $M_{X,H}(1, c, \Delta)$  stabilize to  $b_{i,\text{Stab}}(X)$  as n or  $\Delta$  tend to infinity.

Consider

$$(1-q)F(q,t)$$

The coefficient of  $t^i$  is a polynomial in q.

The Betti numbers of  $X^{[n]}$  and more generally  $M_{X,H}(1, c, \Delta)$  stabilize to  $b_{i,\text{Stab}}(X)$  as n or  $\Delta$  tend to infinity.

Consider

$$(1-q)F(q,t)$$

The coefficient of  $t^i$  is a polynomial in q.

In contrast, the Betti numbers of higher rank moduli spaces are generally unknown.

# The Main Conjecture

#### Conjecture (C-Woolf)

Fix a rank r > 0 and a first Chern character c. Then the *i*th Betti number of  $M_{X,H}(r, c, \Delta)$  stabilizes as  $\Delta$  tends to  $\infty$  and the limit is independent of r, c, H.

3

< 同 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

# The Main Conjecture

#### Conjecture (C-Woolf)

Fix a rank r > 0 and a first Chern character c. Then the *i*th Betti number of  $M_{X,H}(r, c, \Delta)$  stabilizes as  $\Delta$  tends to  $\infty$  and the limit is independent of r, c, H.

More precisely, given an integer k, there exists  $\Delta_0(k)$  such that for  $\Delta \ge \Delta_0(k)$  and  $i \le k$ 

$$b_i(M_{X,H}(r,c,\Delta)) = b_{i,\mathrm{Stab}}(X).$$

Furthermore, if H is in a compact subset C of the ample cone of X, then  $\Delta_0(k)$  can be chosen independently of  $H \in C$ .

## Numerical evidence

Ellingsrud-Stromme's table for the Betti Numbers of  $\mathbb{P}^{2[n]}$ 

3

E 6 4 E 6

< (17) × <

## Numerical evidence

Ellingsrud-Stromme's table for the Betti Numbers of  $\mathbb{P}^{2[n]}$ 

n											
1	1	1									
2	1	2	3								
3	1	2	5	6							
4	1	2	6	10	13						
5	1	2	6	12	21	24					
6	1	2	6	13	26	39	47				
7	1	2	6	13	28	49	74	83			
8	1	2	6	13	29	54	94	131	150		
9	1	2	6	13	29	56	105	167	232	257	
10	1	2	6	13	29	57	110	189	298	395	440

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

3

Yoshioka's table for rank 2,  $c_1 = H$  bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ 

1 1	
2 1 2 3	
3 1 2 6 9 12	
4 1 2 6 13 24 35 41	
5 1 2 6 13 29 51 85 113 129	
6 1 2 6 13 29 57 106 175 262 337 370	
7 1 2 6 13 29 57 113 200 342 527 746 9	22 1002
8 1 2 6 13 29 57 113 208 372 625 995 14	464 1978

ZAG, June 30, 2020

イロト イヨト イヨト

10/32

3

Manschot's table for rank 3,  $c_1 = H$  bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ 



ZAG, June 30, 2020

э

11/32

# K3 and abelian surfaces

The conjecture is known for smooth moduli spaces of sheaves on K3 and abelian surfaces.

The conjecture is known for smooth moduli spaces of sheaves on K3 and abelian surfaces.

- By Mukai, Huybrechts and Yoshioka, smooth moduli spaces of sheaves on a K3 surface X are deformations of X<sup>[n]</sup> of the same dimension. Hence, they are diffeomorphic to X<sup>[n]</sup>.
- By Yoshioka, a smooth moduli space of sheaves  $M_{X,H}(\gamma)$  is deformation equivalent to  $X^* \times X^{[n]}$  of the same dimension, where  $X^*$  is the dual abelian surface.



#### Follows philosophy of Donaldson, Jun Li and Gieseker

Image: A matched block

э

# History

Follows philosophy of Donaldson, Jun Li and Gieseker

O'Grady's theorem says that the moduli spaces become irreducible as  $\Delta$ tends to infinity.

э
# History

Follows philosophy of Donaldson, Jun Li and Gieseker

O'Grady's theorem says that the moduli spaces become irreducible as  $\Delta$  tends to infinity.

Jun Li showed the stabilization of the first and second Betti numbers in rank 2.

Follows philosophy of Donaldson, Jun Li and Gieseker

O'Grady's theorem says that the moduli spaces become irreducible as  $\Delta$  tends to infinity.

Jun Li showed the stabilization of the first and second Betti numbers in rank 2.

Connection to the Atiyah-Jones Conjecture and work of Taubes

• Yoshioka computed the Betti numbers of moduli spaces of rank 2 sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and proved the stabilization of the Betti numbers.

- Yoshioka computed the Betti numbers of moduli spaces of rank 2 sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and proved the stabilization of the Betti numbers.
- Yoshioka and Göttsche computed the Betti and Hodge numbers of M<sub>X,H</sub>(γ) when r = 2 and X is a ruled surface.

- Yoshioka computed the Betti numbers of moduli spaces of rank 2 sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and proved the stabilization of the Betti numbers.
- Yoshioka and Göttsche computed the Betti and Hodge numbers of M<sub>X,H</sub>(γ) when r = 2 and X is a ruled surface.
- Yoshioka observed the stabilization of the Betti numbers for rank 2 bundles on ruled surfaces.

- Yoshioka computed the Betti numbers of moduli spaces of rank 2 sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and proved the stabilization of the Betti numbers.
- Yoshioka and Göttsche computed the Betti and Hodge numbers of M<sub>X,H</sub>(γ) when r = 2 and X is a ruled surface.
- Yoshioka observed the stabilization of the Betti numbers for rank 2 bundles on ruled surfaces.
- Göttsche observed that the low degree Hodge numbers are independent of the ample H and gives a nice formula for them. Göttsche further extended his results to rank 2 bundles on rational surfaces for polarizations that are K<sub>X</sub>-negative.

- Yoshioka computed the Betti numbers of moduli spaces of rank 2 sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and proved the stabilization of the Betti numbers.
- Yoshioka and Göttsche computed the Betti and Hodge numbers of M<sub>X,H</sub>(γ) when r = 2 and X is a ruled surface.
- Yoshioka observed the stabilization of the Betti numbers for rank 2 bundles on ruled surfaces.
- Göttsche observed that the low degree Hodge numbers are independent of the ample H and gives a nice formula for them. Göttsche further extended his results to rank 2 bundles on rational surfaces for polarizations that are K<sub>X</sub>-negative.
- Manschot building on the work of Mozgovoy gave a formula for the Betti numbers of the moduli spaces when X = P<sup>2</sup>.

 Göttsche and Soergel computed the Hodge numbers of M<sub>X,H</sub>(1, c, Δ). They stabilize as Δ tends to ∞. We expect the Hodge numbers of M<sub>X,H</sub>(r, c, Δ) to also stabilize to the stable Hodge numbers of M<sub>X,H</sub>(1, c, Δ), at least when M<sub>X,H</sub>(r, c, Δ) is smooth.

- Göttsche and Soergel computed the Hodge numbers of M<sub>X,H</sub>(1, c, Δ). They stabilize as Δ tends to ∞. We expect the Hodge numbers of M<sub>X,H</sub>(r, c, Δ) to also stabilize to the stable Hodge numbers of M<sub>X,H</sub>(1, c, Δ), at least when M<sub>X,H</sub>(r, c, Δ) is smooth.
- We expect the conjecture to hold for related moduli spaces: Matsuki-Wentworth moduli space of twisted Gieseker semistable sheaves, Bridgeland moduli spaces or moduli spaces of pure one dimensional sheaves.

- Göttsche and Soergel computed the Hodge numbers of M<sub>X,H</sub>(1, c, Δ). They stabilize as Δ tends to ∞. We expect the Hodge numbers of M<sub>X,H</sub>(r, c, Δ) to also stabilize to the stable Hodge numbers of M<sub>X,H</sub>(1, c, Δ), at least when M<sub>X,H</sub>(r, c, Δ) is smooth.
- We expect the conjecture to hold for related moduli spaces: Matsuki-Wentworth moduli space of twisted Gieseker semistable sheaves, Bridgeland moduli spaces or moduli spaces of pure one dimensional sheaves.
- One can ask for effective expressions for Δ<sub>0</sub>(k). Sayanta Mandal obtained such effective bounds for P<sup>2</sup>.

- Göttsche and Soergel computed the Hodge numbers of M<sub>X,H</sub>(1, c, Δ). They stabilize as Δ tends to ∞. We expect the Hodge numbers of M<sub>X,H</sub>(r, c, Δ) to also stabilize to the stable Hodge numbers of M<sub>X,H</sub>(1, c, Δ), at least when M<sub>X,H</sub>(r, c, Δ) is smooth.
- We expect the conjecture to hold for related moduli spaces: Matsuki-Wentworth moduli space of twisted Gieseker semistable sheaves, Bridgeland moduli spaces or moduli spaces of pure one dimensional sheaves.
- One can ask for effective expressions for Δ<sub>0</sub>(k). Sayanta Mandal obtained such effective bounds for P<sup>2</sup>.
- Are there geometric reasons for the equality of numbers?

15 / 32

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Invert  $\mathbb{L} = [\mathbb{A}^1]$ 

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Invert  $\mathbb{L} = [\mathbb{A}^1]$ 

Complete the resulting with respect to the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded decreasing filtration

$$[X] \mathbb{L}^a \in F^i \quad \text{if } \dim(X) + a \leq -i$$

Denote the resulting ring  $A^-$ .

Invert  $\mathbb{L} = [\mathbb{A}^1]$ 

Complete the resulting with respect to the  $\mathbb{Z}\text{-}\mathsf{graded}$  decreasing filtration

$$[X] \mathbb{L}^a \in F^i \quad \text{if} \quad \dim(X) + a \leq -i$$

Denote the resulting ring  $A^-$ .

We say a sequence of elements  $a_i \in A^-$  stabilizes to a if the sequence  $\mathbb{L}^{-\dim(a_i)}a_i$  converges to a.

- 3

Invert  $\mathbb{L} = [\mathbb{A}^1]$ 

Complete the resulting with respect to the  $\mathbb{Z}\mbox{-}graded$  decreasing filtration

$$[X] \mathbb{L}^a \in F^i \quad \text{if} \quad \dim(X) + a \leq -i$$

Denote the resulting ring  $A^-$ .

We say a sequence of elements  $a_i \in A^-$  stabilizes to a if the sequence  $\mathbb{L}^{-\dim(a_i)}a_i$  converges to a.

Given smooth projective varieties  $X_i$  of dimension  $d_i$ , we want a notion of stabilization in  $A^-$  that guarantees that the low-degree Betti numbers of  $X_i$  stabilize. Consider  $\mathbb{L}^{-d_i}[X_i]$ . By Poincaré duality,

$$P_{[X_i]}(t) = P_{\mathbb{L}^{-d_i}[X_i]}(t^{-1}), \quad H_{[X_i]}(x,y) = H_{\mathbb{L}^{-d_i}[X_i]}(x^{-1},y^{-1}).$$

If  $\mathbb{L}^{-d_i}[X_i]$  converges in  $A^-$ , then the low-degree Betti/Hodge numbers of  $X_i$  stabilize.

Vakil and Wood conjecture that the class of  $M_{X,H}(1, c, \Delta)$  stabilizes in  $A^{-}$ .

Vakil and Wood conjecture that the class of  $M_{X,H}(1, c, \Delta)$  stabilizes in  $A^-$ .

One can ask whether the classes of  $M_{X,H}(r, c, \Delta)$  also stabilize in  $A^-$  to the stable class of  $M_{X,H}(1, c, \Delta)$  as  $\Delta$  tends to  $\infty$ ?

17/32

Speculation: as  $\Delta$  increases, the cohomology of  $M_{X,H}(r, c, \Delta)$  becomes pure and Poincaré duality holds in larger and larger ranges.

Speculation: as  $\Delta$  increases, the cohomology of  $M_{X,H}(r, c, \Delta)$  becomes pure and Poincaré duality holds in larger and larger ranges.

• Little evidence for the conjecture for general type surfaces.

Speculation: as  $\Delta$  increases, the cohomology of  $M_{X,H}(r, c, \Delta)$  becomes pure and Poincaré duality holds in larger and larger ranges.

Little evidence for the conjecture for general type surfaces.
 The geometry of the moduli space for small Δ can be awful!

### Theorem (C-Huizenga)

For any integer k, there is a number  $d_k \gg 0$  such that if  $d \ge d_k$ , then a very general surface  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  of degree d has some moduli space  $M_X(2, H, s)$  with at least k components.

# Main Theorem

### Theorem (C-Woolf)

Let X be a smooth, complex projective rational surface and let H be a polarization such that  $H \cdot K_X < 0$ . The classes  $[\mathcal{M}_{X,H}(r, c, \Delta)]$  of the moduli stacks of Gieseker semistable sheaves stabilize in  $A^-$  to

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-\mathbb{L}^{-i})^{\chi_{\mathrm{top}}(X)}}$$

as  $\Delta$  tends to  $\infty$ .

### Corollary (C-Woolf)

The virtual Betti and Hodge numbers of  $\mathcal{M}_{X,H}(r, c, \Delta)$  stabilize, and the generating functions for the stable numbers  $\tilde{b}_{i,\text{Stab}}$  and  $\tilde{h}_{\text{Stab}}^{p,q}$  are given by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} ilde{b}_{i, ext{Stab}} t^i = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} rac{1}{(1-t^{2i})^{\chi_{ ext{top}}(X)}}$$
 $\sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} ilde{h}_{ ext{Stab}}^{p,q} x^p y^q = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} rac{1}{(1-(xy)^i)^{\chi_{ ext{top}}(X)}}.$ 

20 / 32

### Corollary (C-Woolf–Yoshioka)

Let X be a rational surface and H a polarization such that  $K_X \cdot H < 0$ . Assume that there are no strictly semistable sheaves of rank r and first Chern class c. Then the Poincaré and Hodge polynomials of  $M_{X,H}(r, c, \Delta)$ stabilize as  $\Delta$  tends to  $\infty$  and the generating functions for the stable Betti and Hodge numbers are given by

$$(1-t^2)\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}rac{1}{(1-t^{2i})^{\chi_{ ext{top}}(X)}} 
onumber \ (1-xy)\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}rac{1}{(1-(xy)^i)^{\chi_{ ext{top}}(X)}},$$

respectively.

Joyce's Wall-Crossing formula:

$$[\mathcal{M}_{H_2}(\gamma)] = \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \gamma_i = \gamma} S(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{\ell}) \mathbb{L}^{-\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq \ell} \chi(\gamma_j, \gamma_i)} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} [\mathcal{M}_{H_1}(\gamma_i)]$$

< ∃⇒

< 47 ▶

22 / 32

#### Proposition

Let  $H_1$  be ample and let  $H_2$  be big and nef. Assume that  $H_i \cdot K_X < 0$ . Then for all integers v, there is a  $\Delta_0(v) > 0$  such that for all  $\gamma \in K^0(X)$  with  $\operatorname{rk}(\gamma) = r$ ,  $c_1(\gamma) = c$ , and  $\Delta(\gamma) \ge \Delta_0(v)$ , all the terms in the sum with  $\ell > 1$  have dimension less than v.

#### Proposition

Let  $H_1$  be ample and let  $H_2$  be big and nef. Assume that  $H_i \cdot K_X < 0$ . Then for all integers v, there is a  $\Delta_0(v) > 0$  such that for all  $\gamma \in K^0(X)$  with  $\operatorname{rk}(\gamma) = r$ ,  $c_1(\gamma) = c$ , and  $\Delta(\gamma) \ge \Delta_0(v)$ , all the terms in the sum with  $\ell > 1$  have dimension less than v. Moreover, if C is a compact convex subset of the  $K_X$ -negative part of the big and nef cone and  $H_1, H_2 \in C$ , then  $\Delta_0(v)$  can be chosen to depend only on C.

#### Proposition

Let  $H_1$  be ample and let  $H_2$  be big and nef. Assume that  $H_i \cdot K_X < 0$ . Then for all integers v, there is a  $\Delta_0(v) > 0$  such that for all  $\gamma \in K^0(X)$ with  $\operatorname{rk}(\gamma) = r$ ,  $c_1(\gamma) = c$ , and  $\Delta(\gamma) \ge \Delta_0(v)$ , all the terms in the sum with  $\ell > 1$  have dimension less than v. Moreover, if C is a compact convex subset of the  $K_X$ -negative part of the big and nef cone and  $H_1, H_2 \in C$ , then  $\Delta_0(v)$  can be chosen to depend only on C. In particular,  $[\mathcal{M}_{H_1}(\gamma)]$  stabilizes in  $A^-$  as  $\Delta$  tends to  $\infty$  if and only if

 $[\mathcal{M}_{H_2}(\gamma)]$  does; and they have the same stabilization.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

# Blowing up

$$Y_{X,H}(q) = \sum [\mathcal{M}_{X,H}^{\mu,s}(r,C,d)]q^{-d}$$
$$\hat{Y}_{X,H,m}(q) = \sum [\mathcal{M}_{\hat{X},p^*H}^{\mu,s}(r,C-mE,d)]q^{-d}.$$

3

<ロト <問ト < 目と < 目と

# Blowing up

$$Y_{X,H}(q) = \sum [\mathcal{M}_{X,H}^{\mu,s}(r,C,d)]q^{-d}$$
  
 $\hat{Y}_{X,H,m}(q) = \sum [\mathcal{M}_{\hat{X},p^*H}^{\mu,s}(r,C-mE,d)]q^{-d}.$ 

Blowup formula of Yoshioka and Mozgovoy

$$\hat{Y}_{X,H,m}(q) = F_m(q)Y_{X,H}(q),$$

$$F_m(q) = \prod_{k \ge 1} \frac{1}{(1 - \mathbb{L}^{rk} q^k)^r} \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^r a_i = 0, a_i \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{m}{r}} \mathbb{L}^{\sum_{i < j} \binom{a_j - a_i}{2}} q^{-\sum_{i < j} a_i a_j}.$$

< 47 ▶

э

$$\begin{aligned} Y'_{X,H}(q) &= \sum [M^{\mu,s}_{X,H}(r,C,d)] \mathbb{L}^{2rd-C^2+r^2\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)} q^{-d} \\ \hat{Y}'_{X,H,m}(q) &= \sum [M^{\mu,s}_{\hat{X},p^*H}(r,C-mE,d)] \mathbb{L}^{2rd-C^2+r^2\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)} q^{-d}. \\ \hat{Y}'_{X,H,m}(q) &= F_m(\mathbb{L}^{-2r}q) Y'_{X,H}(q) \end{aligned}$$

Izzet Coskun (UIC)

The stabilization of the cohomology of modul

 < □ > < ⊡ > < ⊡ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > ⊇

 dul
 ZAG, June 30, 2020

25 / 32

#### Lemma

The sequence  $[M_{\hat{X},p^*H}^{\mu,s}(r, C - mE, d)]$  stabilizes in  $A^-$  if and only if the sequence  $[M_{X,H}^{\mu,s}(r, C, d)]$  does.

#### Lemma

The sequence  $[M_{\hat{X},p^*H}^{\mu,s}(r, C - mE, d)]$  stabilizes in  $A^-$  if and only if the sequence  $[M_{X,H}^{\mu,s}(r, C, d)]$  does.

#### Proposition

Suppose that the  $[\mathcal{M}_{X,H}^{\mu,s}(r,C,d)]$  stabilize in  $A^-$ . We have the relation

$$\lim_{q \to 1} \left( (1-q) \hat{Y}_{X,H,m}'(q) \right) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{-k}} \lim_{q \to 1} \left( (1-q) Y_{X,H}'(q) \right)$$

between the generating series for  $\mathcal{M}_{X,H}^{\mu,s}(r, C, d)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\hat{X},p^*H}^{\mu,s}(r, C - mE, d)$ .

(4個) (4回) (4回) (日)

The sum

$$\sum_{\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i = 0, a_i \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{m}{r}} \mathbb{L}^{\sum_{i < j} \binom{a_j - a_i}{2} + 2r \sum_{i < j} a_i a_j}$$

is independent of m.

2

A D N A B N A B N A B N

The sum

$$\sum_{\substack{\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i = 0, a_i \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{m}{r}}} \mathbb{L}^{\sum_{i < j} \binom{a_j - a_i}{2} + 2r \sum_{i < j} a_i a_j}}$$

is independent of *m*.

Then use Macdonald identities to show that

$$\sum_{\sum_{i=1}^r a_i=0, a_i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{L}^{-\sum_{i< j} \binom{a_i-a_j}{2}} = \prod_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(1-\mathbb{L}^{-rk})^r}{1-\mathbb{L}^{-k}}.$$
The sum

$$\sum_{\substack{\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i = 0, a_i \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{m}{r}}} \mathbb{L}^{\sum_{i < j} \binom{a_j - a_i}{2} + 2r \sum_{i < j} a_i a_j}}$$

is independent of *m*.

Then use Macdonald identities to show that

$$\sum_{\substack{\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i=0, a_i \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mathbb{L}^{-\sum_{i < j} \binom{a_i - a_j}{2}} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \mathbb{L}^{-rk})^r}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{-k}}.$$
$$F_m(\mathbb{L}^{-2r}) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{-k}}$$

3

The minimal rational surfaces are  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and the Hirzebruch surfaces  $\mathbb{F}_e = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(e)), \ e \neq 1.$ 

- 4 回 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト

- 3

The minimal rational surfaces are  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and the Hirzebruch surfaces  $\mathbb{F}_e = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(e)), e \neq 1.$ 

Every rational surface can be obtained by successively blowing up one of these surfaces.

The minimal rational surfaces are  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and the Hirzebruch surfaces  $\mathbb{F}_e = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(e)), e \neq 1.$ 

Every rational surface can be obtained by successively blowing up one of these surfaces.

We first understand the moduli spaces on  $\mathbb{F}_1$ .

## Assume $r \mid c \cdot F$ . Then the classes $[\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_1, E+F}(r, c, \Delta)]$ stabilize in $A^-$ to

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-\mathbb{L}^{-i})^4}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Assume  $r \mid c \cdot F$ . Then the classes  $[\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_1, E+F}(r, c, \Delta)]$  stabilize in  $A^-$  to

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-\mathbb{L}^{-i})^4}.$$

Joyce's wall-crossing starting with Mozgovoy's calculation of  $[\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_1,\mathcal{F}}(r,c,\Delta)]$ . In fact, Mozgovoy calculates  $[\mathcal{M}_{X,\mathcal{F}}(r,c,\Delta)]$ , for any ruled surface X.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Assume  $r \mid c \cdot F$ . Then the classes  $[\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_1, E+F}(r, c, \Delta)]$  stabilize in  $A^-$  to

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-\mathbb{L}^{-i})^4}.$$

Joyce's wall-crossing starting with Mozgovoy's calculation of  $[\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_1,\mathcal{F}}(r,c,\Delta)]$ . In fact, Mozgovoy calculates  $[\mathcal{M}_{X,\mathcal{F}}(r,c,\Delta)]$ , for any ruled surface X.

**1** If  $r \nmid c \cdot F$ , then the stack is empty.

Assume  $r \mid c \cdot F$ . Then the classes  $[\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_1, E+F}(r, c, \Delta)]$  stabilize in  $A^-$  to

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-\mathbb{L}^{-i})^4}.$$

Joyce's wall-crossing starting with Mozgovoy's calculation of  $[\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_1,\mathcal{F}}(r,c,\Delta)]$ . In fact, Mozgovoy calculates  $[\mathcal{M}_{X,\mathcal{F}}(r,c,\Delta)]$ , for any ruled surface X.

- If  $r \nmid c \cdot F$ , then the stack is empty.
- If r | c · F and X is a rational ruled surface, then the classes
  [M<sub>X,F</sub>(r, c, Δ)] stabilize in A<sup>-</sup> to

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-\mathbb{L}^{-i})^4}.$$

Using the blowup formula, we conclude that the classes  $[\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r, c, \Delta)]$  stabilize in  $A^-$  to

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-\mathbb{L}^{-i})^3}.$$

э

< (1) × <

Using the blowup formula, we conclude that the classes
 [M<sub>P<sup>2</sup></sub>(r, c, Δ)] stabilize in A<sup>-</sup> to

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-\mathbb{L}^{-i})^3}.$$

Output State S

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-\mathbb{L}^{-i})^4}.$$

Izzet Coskun (UIC)

The stabilization of the cohomology of modul

Using the blowup formula, we conclude that the classes
 [M<sub>P<sup>2</sup></sub>(r, c, Δ)] stabilize in A<sup>-</sup> to

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-\mathbb{L}^{-i})^3}$$

**②** Using the blowup formula and wall-crossing, we get that the classes  $[\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_1,H}(r,c,\Delta)]$  stabilize in  $A^-$  to

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-\mathbb{L}^{-i})^4}$$

Is obtained from F<sub>e-1</sub> by an elementary modification. Using the blowup formula twice and induction, the same holds for F<sub>e</sub>.

Finally, by induction on the number of blowups, the blowup formula and wall-crossing, we obtain:

Theorem (C-Woolf)

Let X be a rational surface and let H be a polarization such that  $H \cdot K_X < 0$ . Then the classes  $[\mathcal{M}_{X,H}(r, c, \Delta)]$  stabilize in  $A^-$  to

$$\prod_{i=1}^\infty rac{1}{(1-\mathbb{L}^{-i})^{\chi_{ ext{top}}(X)}}.$$

Thank you!

3

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >