

ARTIN'S APPROXIMATION THEOREM

~~1~~

1

① Background:

Thm (Artin, 1968): k valued field of char 0. System of equations

$$\begin{cases} f_1(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ f_t(\dots) = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{where } f_i \in k\{x, y\}.$$

↖ conv. power series.

Assume that $\bar{y} = (y_1(x), \dots, y_n(x)) \in K[[x]]$.

is a solution to $\underline{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_t)$. Then $\exists \tilde{y}(x) \in k\{x\}$.

such that $\underline{f}(x, \tilde{y}(x)) = 0$

And $y \equiv \tilde{y} \pmod{m^c}$ same c .

~~Artin~~

Artin later asked whether this is true for systems of polynomial equations
 for an excellent, henselian local ring.

Without the excellence hypothesis, the conclusion is false:

EXAMPLE: (cf Nagata p 206).

char $k = p$. $[k:k'] = \infty$ $R = k^p[[x]][k] \hat{R} = k^p[[x]][k]$

Check that $\hat{R} = K[[x]]$. Then $R \rightarrow \hat{R}$ not geom. regular (separability problems).

→ Turns out that approximation fails for such a ring.

Theorem: (Popescu 1986). Artin approximation holds for polynomial systems
 w/ coeff in an excellent henselian local ring R .

pf: $A = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_t)$. ~~As set theory is just a map $A \rightarrow R$.~~

To say that we have a root in \hat{R} says exactly that \exists map $A \rightarrow \hat{R}$
 in R -alg.

But we have $R \rightarrow A \rightarrow \hat{R}$ w/ $R \rightarrow \hat{R}$ geom reg and
 $R \rightarrow A$ f.t.

Popescu's thm says that there is a smooth R -alg. B w/ 2

$$R \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \hat{R}$$

Let g be the inverse image of $m_{\hat{R}}$ in B . $C := B_g$.

$R \rightarrow C$ is essentially smooth; by the structure theorem for essentially smooth morphisms

$$C = \left(\frac{R[T_1, \dots, T_m, Y]}{f(T_1, \dots, T_m, Y)} \right)_P \quad \text{where } \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y} \notin P. \quad f \text{ monic in } Y.$$

We can find (cf 3.8 in Swan) that $R \rightarrow C$ factors

through $D = \left(\frac{R[T_1, \dots, T_m, Y]}{f(T_1, \dots, T_m, Y)} \right)_g \quad [w/ \ g \text{ multiple of } \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y}].$

~~For $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \hat{R}^n$ solutions of $f = 0$ at a .~~

$$D \rightarrow \hat{R} \quad \text{given by } \begin{matrix} T_i \mapsto a_i \\ Y \mapsto b \end{matrix} \text{ st } f(a, b) = 0. \quad \bar{g}(a, b) \neq 0.$$

Pick $a' = (a'_1, \dots, a'_n) \in R^n$ $b' \in R$ st $\bar{a}' = \bar{a}$, $\bar{b}' = \bar{b}$.

$\bar{g}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \neq 0$ by hypothesis.

$\bar{g}(Y) := f(a', Y) \in R[Y]$. $\bar{g}(\bar{b}') = 0$ $\bar{g}'(\bar{b}') \neq 0$. $\bar{g}' = \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y}(a', Y)$.

divides \bar{g} .

$\Rightarrow \bar{b}'$ not a repeated root of \bar{g} , so ~~by Hensel's lemma~~

since R is Henselian, we can find $b'' \in R$ st.

$$\bar{g}(b'') = 0 = f(a', b'')$$

Defining $T_i \mapsto a'_i$ $Y \mapsto b''$ gives the map.

$D \rightarrow R$, so we have

$$R \rightarrow A \rightarrow D \rightarrow R \text{ as desired.} \quad \square$$