

Topics in Tensors I

Ranks of 3-tensors

A Summer School by Shmuel Friedland¹
July 6-8, 2011
given in Department of Mathematics
University of Coimbra, Portugal

¹Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607-7045, USA, e-mail:friedlan@uic.edu

Basic notions

scalar $a \in \mathbb{F}$, vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top \in \mathbb{F}^n$, matrix $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$,
3-tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$, p-tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i_1, \dots, i_p}] \in \mathbb{F}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_p}$

Basic notions

scalar $a \in \mathbb{F}$, vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top \in \mathbb{F}^n$, matrix $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$,
3-tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$, p-tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i_1, \dots, i_p}] \in \mathbb{F}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_p}$

Abstractly $\mathbb{U} := \mathbb{U}_1 \otimes \mathbb{U}_2 \otimes \mathbb{U}_3$ $\dim \mathbb{U}_i = m_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, $\dim \mathbb{U} = m_1 m_2 m_3$

Basic notions

scalar $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}$, vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top \in \mathbb{F}^n$, matrix $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$,
3-tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$, p-tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i_1, \dots, i_p}] \in \mathbb{F}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_p}$

Abstractly $\mathbb{U} := \mathbb{U}_1 \otimes \mathbb{U}_2 \otimes \mathbb{U}_3$ $\dim \mathbb{U}_i = m_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, $\dim \mathbb{U} = m_1 m_2 m_3$

Tensor $\tau \in \mathbb{U}_1 \otimes \mathbb{U}_2 \otimes \mathbb{U}_3$

Basic notions

scalar $a \in \mathbb{F}$, vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top \in \mathbb{F}^n$, matrix $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$,
3-tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$, p-tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i_1, \dots, i_p}] \in \mathbb{F}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_p}$

Abstractly $\mathbb{U} := \mathbb{U}_1 \otimes \mathbb{U}_2 \otimes \mathbb{U}_3$ $\dim \mathbb{U}_i = m_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, $\dim \mathbb{U} = m_1 m_2 m_3$
Tensor $\tau \in \mathbb{U}_1 \otimes \mathbb{U}_2 \otimes \mathbb{U}_3$

HISTORY: Tensors-as now W. Voigt 1898

Tensor calculus 1890 G. Ricci-Curbastro: absolute differential calculus,
T. Levi-Civita: 1900, A. Einstein: General relativity 1915

Basic notions

scalar $a \in \mathbb{F}$, vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{F}^n$, matrix $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$,
3-tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$, p-tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i_1, \dots, i_p}] \in \mathbb{F}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_p}$

Abstractly $\mathbb{U} := \mathbb{U}_1 \otimes \mathbb{U}_2 \otimes \mathbb{U}_3$ $\dim \mathbb{U}_i = m_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, $\dim \mathbb{U} = m_1 m_2 m_3$
Tensor $\tau \in \mathbb{U}_1 \otimes \mathbb{U}_2 \otimes \mathbb{U}_3$

HISTORY: Tensors-as now W. Voigt 1898

Tensor calculus 1890 G. Ricci-Curbastro: absolute differential calculus,
T. Levi-Civita: 1900, A. Einstein: General relativity 1915

Rank one tensor $t_{i,j,k} = x_i y_j z_k$, $(i, j, k) = (1, 1, 1), \dots, (m_1, m_2, m_3)$
or decomposable tensor $\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}$

Basic notions

scalar $a \in \mathbb{F}$, vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top \in \mathbb{F}^n$, matrix $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$,
3-tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$, p-tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i_1, \dots, i_p}] \in \mathbb{F}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_p}$

Abstractly $\mathbb{U} := \mathbb{U}_1 \otimes \mathbb{U}_2 \otimes \mathbb{U}_3$ $\dim \mathbb{U}_i = m_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, $\dim \mathbb{U} = m_1 m_2 m_3$
Tensor $\tau \in \mathbb{U}_1 \otimes \mathbb{U}_2 \otimes \mathbb{U}_3$

HISTORY: Tensors-as now W. Voigt 1898

Tensor calculus 1890 G. Ricci-Curbastro: absolute differential calculus,
T. Levi-Civita: 1900, A. Einstein: General relativity 1915

Rank one tensor $t_{i,j,k} = x_i y_j z_k$, $(i, j, k) = (1, 1, 1), \dots, (m_1, m_2, m_3)$
or decomposable tensor $\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}$

basis of \mathbb{U}_j : $[\mathbf{u}_{1,j}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{m_j,j}]$ $j = 1, 2, 3$

basis of \mathbb{U} : $\mathbf{u}_{i_1,1} \otimes \mathbf{u}_{i_2,2} \otimes \mathbf{u}_{i_3,3}$, $i_j = 1, \dots, m_j, j = 1, 2, 3$,

Basic notions

scalar $a \in \mathbb{F}$, vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top \in \mathbb{F}^n$, matrix $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$,
3-tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$, p-tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i_1, \dots, i_p}] \in \mathbb{F}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_p}$

Abstractly $\mathbb{U} := \mathbb{U}_1 \otimes \mathbb{U}_2 \otimes \mathbb{U}_3$ $\dim \mathbb{U}_i = m_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, $\dim \mathbb{U} = m_1 m_2 m_3$
Tensor $\tau \in \mathbb{U}_1 \otimes \mathbb{U}_2 \otimes \mathbb{U}_3$

HISTORY: Tensors-as now W. Voigt 1898

Tensor calculus 1890 G. Ricci-Curbastro: absolute differential calculus,
T. Levi-Civita: 1900, A. Einstein: General relativity 1915

Rank one tensor $t_{i,j,k} = x_i y_j z_k$, $(i, j, k) = (1, 1, 1), \dots, (m_1, m_2, m_3)$
or decomposable tensor $\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}$

basis of \mathbb{U}_j : $[\mathbf{u}_{1,j}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{m_j,j}]$ $j = 1, 2, 3$

basis of \mathbb{U} : $\mathbf{u}_{i_1,1} \otimes \mathbf{u}_{i_2,2} \otimes \mathbf{u}_{i_3,3}$, $i_j = 1, \dots, m_j, j = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\tau = \sum_{i_1=i_2=i_3=1}^{m_1, m_2, m_3} t_{i_1, i_2, i_3} \mathbf{u}_{i_1,1} \otimes \mathbf{u}_{i_2,2} \otimes \mathbf{u}_{i_3,3}$$

Ranks of tensors

Ranks of tensors

Unfolding tensor: in direction 1:

$$\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \text{ view as a matrix } \mathbf{A}_1 = [t_{i,(j,k)}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times (m_2 \cdot m_3)}$$

Ranks of tensors

Unfolding tensor: in direction 1:

$$\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \text{ view as a matrix } \mathbf{A}_1 = [t_{i,(j,k)}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times (m_2 \cdot m_3)}$$

$$R_1 := \text{rank } \mathbf{A}_1:$$

dimension of row or column subspace spanned in direction 1

Ranks of tensors

Unfolding tensor: in direction 1:

$$\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \text{ view as a matrix } A_1 = [t_{i,(j,k)}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times (m_2 \cdot m_3)}$$

$$R_1 := \text{rank } A_1:$$

dimension of row or column subspace spanned in direction 1

$$T_{i,1} := [t_{i,j,k}]_{j,k=1}^{m_2,m_3} \in \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times m_3}, i = 1, \dots, m_1$$

Ranks of tensors

Unfolding tensor: in direction 1:

$$\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \text{ view as a matrix } \mathbf{A}_1 = [t_{i,(j,k)}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times (m_2 \cdot m_3)}$$

$$R_1 := \text{rank } \mathbf{A}_1:$$

dimension of row or column subspace spanned in direction 1

$$T_{i,1} := [t_{i,j,k}]_{j,k=1}^{m_2,m_3} \in \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times m_3}, i = 1, \dots, m_1$$

$$\mathcal{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} T_{i,1} \mathbf{e}_{i,1} \text{ (convenient notation)}$$

Ranks of tensors

Unfolding tensor: in direction 1:

$$\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \text{ view as a matrix } A_1 = [t_{i,(j,k)}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times (m_2 \cdot m_3)}$$

$$R_1 := \text{rank } A_1:$$

dimension of row or column subspace spanned in direction 1

$$T_{i,1} := [t_{i,j,k}]_{j,k=1}^{m_2,m_3} \in \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times m_3}, i = 1, \dots, m_1$$

$$\mathcal{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} T_{i,1} \mathbf{e}_{i,1} \text{ (convenient notation)}$$

$$R_1 := \dim \text{span}(T_{1,1}, \dots, T_{m_1,1}).$$

Ranks of tensors

Unfolding tensor: in direction 1:

$$\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \text{ view as a matrix } \mathbf{A}_1 = [t_{i,(j,k)}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times (m_2 \cdot m_3)}$$

$$R_1 := \text{rank } \mathbf{A}_1:$$

dimension of row or column subspace spanned in direction 1

$$T_{i,1} := [t_{i,j,k}]_{j,k=1}^{m_2, m_3} \in \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times m_3}, i = 1, \dots, m_1$$

$$\mathcal{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} T_{i,1} \mathbf{e}_{i,1} \text{ (convenient notation)}$$

$$R_1 := \dim \text{span}(T_{1,1}, \dots, T_{m_1,1}).$$

Similarly, unfolding in directions 2, 3

Ranks of tensors

Unfolding tensor: in direction 1:

$$\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \text{ view as a matrix } \mathbf{A}_1 = [t_{i,(j,k)}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times (m_2 \cdot m_3)}$$

$$R_1 := \text{rank } \mathbf{A}_1:$$

dimension of row or column subspace spanned in direction 1

$$T_{i,1} := [t_{i,j,k}]_{j,k=1}^{m_2, m_3} \in \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times m_3}, i = 1, \dots, m_1$$

$$\mathcal{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} T_{i,1} \mathbf{e}_{i,1} \text{ (convenient notation)}$$

$$R_1 := \dim \text{span}(T_{1,1}, \dots, T_{m_1,1}).$$

Similarly, unfolding in directions 2, 3

rank \mathcal{T} minimal r :

$$\mathcal{T} = f_r(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{y}_r, \mathbf{z}_r) := \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{x}_i \otimes \mathbf{y}_i \otimes \mathbf{z}_i,$$

Ranks of tensors

Unfolding tensor: in direction 1:

$$\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \text{ view as a matrix } \mathbf{A}_1 = [t_{i,(j,k)}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times (m_2 \cdot m_3)}$$

$$R_1 := \text{rank } \mathbf{A}_1:$$

dimension of row or column subspace spanned in direction 1

$$T_{i,1} := [t_{i,j,k}]_{j,k=1}^{m_2, m_3} \in \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times m_3}, i = 1, \dots, m_1$$

$$\mathcal{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} T_{i,1} \mathbf{e}_{i,1} \text{ (convenient notation)}$$

$$R_1 := \dim \text{span}(T_{1,1}, \dots, T_{m_1,1}).$$

Similarly, unfolding in directions 2, 3

rank \mathcal{T} minimal r :

$$\mathcal{T} = f_r(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{y}_r, \mathbf{z}_r) := \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{x}_i \otimes \mathbf{y}_i \otimes \mathbf{z}_i, \\ \text{(CANDEC, PARFAC)}$$

Basic facts

Basic facts

FACT I: $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} \geq \max(R_1, R_2, R_3)$

Basic facts

FACT I: $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} \geq \max(R_1, R_2, R_3)$

Reason $\mathbb{U}_2 \otimes \mathbb{U}_3 \sim \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times m_3} \equiv \mathbb{F}^{m_2 m_3}$

Basic facts

FACT I: $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} \geq \max(R_1, R_2, R_3)$

Reason $\mathbb{U}_2 \otimes \mathbb{U}_3 \sim \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times m_3} \equiv \mathbb{F}^{m_2 m_3}$

Note:

- R_1, R_2, R_3 are easily computable
- It is possible that $R_1 \neq R_2 \neq R_3$

Basic facts

FACT I: $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} \geq \max(R_1, R_2, R_3)$

Reason $\mathbb{U}_2 \otimes \mathbb{U}_3 \sim \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times m_3} \equiv \mathbb{F}^{m_2 m_3}$

Note:

- R_1, R_2, R_3 are easily computable
- It is possible that $R_1 \neq R_2 \neq R_3$

FACT II : For $\tau = \mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}]$ let

$T_{k,3} := [t_{i,j,k}]_{i,j=1}^{m_1, m_2} \in \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times m_2}, k = 1, \dots, m_3$. Then $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} =$
minimal dimension of subspace $L \subset \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times m_2}$ **spanned by rank one**
matrices containing $T_{1,3}, \dots, T_{m_3,3}$.

Basic facts

FACT I: $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} \geq \max(R_1, R_2, R_3)$

Reason $\mathbb{U}_2 \otimes \mathbb{U}_3 \sim \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times m_3} \equiv \mathbb{F}^{m_2 m_3}$

Note:

- R_1, R_2, R_3 are easily computable
- It is possible that $R_1 \neq R_2 \neq R_3$

FACT II : For $\tau = \mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}]$ let

$T_{k,3} := [t_{i,j,k}]_{i,j=1}^{m_1, m_2} \in \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times m_2}, k = 1, \dots, m_3$. Then $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} =$
minimal dimension of subspace $L \subset \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times m_2}$ **spanned by rank one**
matrices containing $T_{1,3}, \dots, T_{m_3,3}$.

COR $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} \leq \min(mn, ml, nl)$

Basic facts

FACT I: $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} \geq \max(R_1, R_2, R_3)$

Reason $\mathbb{U}_2 \otimes \mathbb{U}_3 \sim \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times m_3} \equiv \mathbb{F}^{m_2 m_3}$

Note:

- R_1, R_2, R_3 are easily computable
- It is possible that $R_1 \neq R_2 \neq R_3$

FACT II : For $\tau = \mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}]$ let

$T_{k,3} := [t_{i,j,k}]_{i,j=1}^{m_1, m_2} \in \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times m_2}, k = 1, \dots, m_3$. Then $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} =$
minimal dimension of subspace $L \subset \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times m_2}$ **spanned by rank one**
matrices containing $T_{1,3}, \dots, T_{m_3,3}$.

COR $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} \leq \min(mn, ml, nl)$

PROOF: Suppose $\tau = \sum_{i=1}^p \mathbf{x}_i \otimes \mathbf{y}_i \otimes \mathbf{z}_i$ (1)

Basic facts

FACT I: $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} \geq \max(R_1, R_2, R_3)$

Reason $\mathbb{U}_2 \otimes \mathbb{U}_3 \sim \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times m_3} \equiv \mathbb{F}^{m_2 m_3}$

Note:

- R_1, R_2, R_3 are easily computable
- It is possible that $R_1 \neq R_2 \neq R_3$

FACT II : For $\tau = \mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}]$ let

$T_{k,3} := [t_{i,j,k}]_{i,j=1}^{m_1, m_2} \in \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times m_2}, k = 1, \dots, m_3$. Then $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} =$
minimal dimension of subspace $L \subset \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times m_2}$ spanned by rank one
matrices containing $T_{1,3}, \dots, T_{m_3,3}$.

COR $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} \leq \min(mn, ml, nl)$

PROOF: Suppose $\tau = \sum_{i=1}^p \mathbf{x}_i \otimes \mathbf{y}_i \otimes \mathbf{z}_i$ (1)

Write $\mathbf{z}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m_3} z_{i,j} \mathbf{e}_{j,3}$ then each $T_{k,3} \in \text{span}(\mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p \otimes \mathbf{y}_p)$.

Basic facts

FACT I: $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} \geq \max(R_1, R_2, R_3)$

Reason $\mathbb{U}_2 \otimes \mathbb{U}_3 \sim \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times m_3} \equiv \mathbb{F}^{m_2 m_3}$

Note:

- R_1, R_2, R_3 are easily computable
- It is possible that $R_1 \neq R_2 \neq R_3$

FACT II : For $\tau = \mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}]$ let

$T_{k,3} := [t_{i,j,k}]_{i,j=1}^{m_1, m_2} \in \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times m_2}, k = 1, \dots, m_3$. Then $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} =$
minimal dimension of subspace $L \subset \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times m_2}$ **spanned by rank one**
matrices containing $T_{1,3}, \dots, T_{m_3,3}$.

COR $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} \leq \min(mn, ml, nl)$

PROOF: Suppose $\tau = \sum_{i=1}^p \mathbf{x}_i \otimes \mathbf{y}_i \otimes \mathbf{z}_i$ (1)

Write $\mathbf{z}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m_3} z_{i,j} \mathbf{e}_{j,3}$ then each $T_{k,3} \in \text{span}(\mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p \otimes \mathbf{y}_p)$.

Vise versa suppose $T_{k,3} = \sum_{i=1}^p a_{k,i} \mathbf{x}_i \otimes \mathbf{y}_i, k = 1, \dots, m_3$.

Basic facts

FACT I: $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} \geq \max(R_1, R_2, R_3)$

Reason $\mathbb{U}_2 \otimes \mathbb{U}_3 \sim \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times m_3} \equiv \mathbb{F}^{m_2 m_3}$

Note:

- R_1, R_2, R_3 are easily computable
- It is possible that $R_1 \neq R_2 \neq R_3$

FACT II : For $\tau = \mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}]$ let

$T_{k,3} := [t_{i,j,k}]_{i,j=1}^{m_1, m_2} \in \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times m_2}, k = 1, \dots, m_3$. Then $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} =$
minimal dimension of subspace $L \subset \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times m_2}$ **spanned by rank one**
matrices containing $T_{1,3}, \dots, T_{m_3,3}$.

COR $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} \leq \min(mn, ml, nl)$

PROOF: Suppose $\tau = \sum_{i=1}^p \mathbf{x}_i \otimes \mathbf{y}_i \otimes \mathbf{z}_i$ (1)

Write $\mathbf{z}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m_3} z_{i,j} \mathbf{e}_{j,3}$ then each $T_{k,3} \in \text{span}(\mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p \otimes \mathbf{y}_p)$.

Vise versa suppose $T_{k,3} = \sum_{i=1}^p a_{k,i} \mathbf{x}_i \otimes \mathbf{y}_i, k = 1, \dots, m_3$.

Then (1) holds with $\mathbf{z}_i := \sum_{k=1}^{m_3} a_{k,i} \mathbf{e}_{k,3}$.

Complexity of rank of 3-tensor

Complexity of rank of 3-tensor

Hastad 1990: Tensor rank is NP-complete for any finite field

Is rank of a tensor is at most k :

provide the decomposition of \mathcal{T} as a sum of at most k rank one tensors

Complexity of rank of 3-tensor

Hastad 1990: Tensor rank is NP-complete for any finite field

Is rank of a tensor is at most k :

provide the decomposition of \mathcal{T} as a sum of at most k rank one tensors

PRF: 3-sat with n variables m clauses

satisfiable iff $\text{rank } \mathcal{T} = 4n + 2m, \mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{F}^{(2n+3m) \times (3n) \times (3n+m)}$

otherwise rank is larger

Generic and typical ranks

Generic and typical ranks

$\mathcal{R}_r(m, n, l) \subset \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$: all tensors of rank $\leq r$

Generic and typical ranks

$\mathcal{R}_r(m, n, l) \subset \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$: all tensors of rank $\leq r$

$\mathcal{R}_r(m, n, l)$ not closed variety for $r \geq 2$

Generic and typical ranks

$\mathcal{R}_r(m, n, l) \subset \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$: all tensors of rank $\leq r$

$\mathcal{R}_r(m, n, l)$ not closed variety for $r \geq 2$

Border rank of \mathcal{T} the minimum k s.t. \mathcal{T} is a limit of $\mathcal{T}_j, j \in \mathbb{N}, \text{rank } \mathcal{T}_j = k$.

Generic and typical ranks

$\mathcal{R}_r(m, n, l) \subset \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$: all tensors of rank $\leq r$

$\mathcal{R}_r(m, n, l)$ not closed variety for $r \geq 2$

Border rank of \mathcal{T} the minimum k s.t. \mathcal{T} is a limit of $\mathcal{T}_j, j \in \mathbb{N}, \text{rank } \mathcal{T}_j = k$.

generic rank is the rank of a random tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n \times l}$: $\text{grank}(m, n, l)$

Generic and typical ranks

$\mathcal{R}_r(m, n, l) \subset \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$: all tensors of rank $\leq r$

$\mathcal{R}_r(m, n, l)$ not closed variety for $r \geq 2$

Border rank of \mathcal{T} the minimum k s.t. \mathcal{T} is a limit of $\mathcal{T}_j, j \in \mathbb{N}, \text{rank } \mathcal{T}_j = k$.

generic rank is the rank of a random tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n \times l}$: $\text{grank}(m, n, l)$

typical rank is a rank of a random tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$.

Generic and typical ranks

$\mathcal{R}_r(m, n, l) \subset \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$: all tensors of rank $\leq r$

$\mathcal{R}_r(m, n, l)$ not closed variety for $r \geq 2$

Border rank of \mathcal{T} the minimum k s.t. \mathcal{T} is a limit of $\mathcal{T}_j, j \in \mathbb{N}, \text{rank } \mathcal{T}_j = k$.

generic rank is the rank of a random tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n \times l}$: $\text{grank}(m, n, l)$

typical rank is a rank of a random tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$.

typical rank takes all the values $k = \text{grank}(m, n, l), \dots, \text{mtrank}(m, n, l)$

Generic and typical ranks

$\mathcal{R}_r(m, n, l) \subset \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$: all tensors of rank $\leq r$

$\mathcal{R}_r(m, n, l)$ not closed variety for $r \geq 2$

Border rank of \mathcal{T} the minimum k s.t. \mathcal{T} is a limit of $\mathcal{T}_j, j \in \mathbb{N}, \text{rank } \mathcal{T}_j = k$.

generic rank is the rank of a random tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n \times l}$: $\text{grank}(m, n, l)$

typical rank is a rank of a random tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$.

typical rank takes all the values $k = \text{grank}(m, n, l), \dots, \text{mtrank}(m, n, l)$

In all the examples we know $\text{mtrank}(m, n, l) \leq \text{grank}(m, n, l) + 1$

Examples

$\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$: $\text{mrank} \mathbf{U} := \max\{\text{rank } A, A \in \mathbf{U}\}$

$\text{rank } \mathcal{T} \geq \text{mrank} \mathbf{T}_\rho(\mathcal{T})$.

$\text{grank}(2, m, m) = m$

$\text{mrank}(2, 2, 2) = 3$

$\text{grank}(2, m, n) = \min(n, 2m)$ for $2 \leq m \leq n$

Order of presentation from the paper

On the generic and typical ranks of 3-tensors

1. Appendix: Complex and real algebraic geometry (first the complex case).
2. Generic rank.
3. Matrices and the rank of 3 tensors
4. Maximal rank
5. Known results on rank of tensors
6. Typical rank of real 3 tensors
(First rudiments of real algebraic geometry.)

Supersymmetric tensors

$\mathcal{F} = [f_{i_1, \dots, i_d}] \in (\mathbb{C}^m)^{\otimes d}$ supersymmetric if

\mathcal{F} invariant under permutations of indices

the entries of \mathcal{F} are d -mixed derivative of

homogeneous polynomial $f(\mathbf{x})$ of degree d in $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)^\top$

$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^r l_i(\mathbf{x})^d$ where each $l_i(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^m l_{ij} x_j$

the minimal r is the supersymmetric rank of \mathcal{F}

Sylvester's theorem: for $d = 2$ the symmetric rank of symmetric matrix is the rank of symmetric matrix

$d \geq 3$

Counting parameters: $f(\mathbf{x})$ has $\binom{m+d-1}{d}$ coefficients

to each sequence $1 \leq i_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq i_d \leq m$ corresponds a unique

sequence $1 \leq m_1 < m_2 + 1 < \dots < m_d + d - 1 \leq m + d - 1$

$\text{symgrank}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \lceil \frac{\binom{m+d-1}{d}}{m} \rceil$

Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem:

Equality holds except for a finite number of exceptions