

Results and problems for 3-tensors

Shmuel Friedland
Univ. Illinois at Chicago

Haifa Matrix Conference, 21 May, 2009

Overview

- 1 The rank of 3-tensors.

Overview

- 1 The rank of 3-tensors.
- 2 Best rank one approximation.

Overview

- 1 The rank of 3-tensors.
- 2 Best rank one approximation.
- 3 Perron-Frobenius theorem for *irreducible* nonnegative tensors.

- 1 The rank of 3-tensors.
- 2 Best rank one approximation.
- 3 Perron-Frobenius theorem for *irreducible* nonnegative tensors.
- 4 Analogs of SVD decomposition of 3-tensors.

Overview

- 1 The rank of 3-tensors.
- 2 Best rank one approximation.
- 3 Perron-Frobenius theorem for *irreducible* nonnegative tensors.
- 4 Analogs of SVD decomposition of 3-tensors.
- 5 CUR decompositions for tensors

Overview

- 1 The rank of 3-tensors.
- 2 Best rank one approximation.
- 3 Perron-Frobenius theorem for *irreducible* nonnegative tensors.
- 4 Analogs of SVD decomposition of 3-tensors.
- 5 CUR decompositions for tensors
- 6 Scaling of nonnegative tensors to balanced tensors.
(The analog of scaling to doubly stochastic matrices.)

Rank of tensor

Rank of tensor

$$\mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l} := \{ \mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}]_{i=j=k}^{m,n,l}, t_{i,j,k} \in \mathbb{F} \}.$$

Rank of tensor

$$\mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l} := \{\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}]_{i=j=k}^{m,n,l}, t_{i,j,k} \in \mathbb{F}\}.$$

rank one tensor: $\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z} = [x_i y_j z_k] = (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{x}) \otimes (\mathbf{b}\mathbf{y})((\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b})^{-1}\mathbf{z})$

Rank of tensor

$$\mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l} := \{\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}]_{i=j=k}^{m,n,l}, t_{i,j,k} \in \mathbb{F}\}.$$

rank one tensor: $\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z} = [x_i y_j z_k] = (a\mathbf{x}) \otimes (b\mathbf{y})((ab)^{-1}\mathbf{z})$

rank \mathcal{T} minimal r :

$$\mathcal{T} = f_r(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{y}_r, \mathbf{z}_r) := \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{x}_i \otimes \mathbf{y}_i \otimes \mathbf{z}_i,$$
$$\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{F}^m, \mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbb{F}^n, \mathbf{z}_i \in \mathbb{F}^l$$

Rank of tensor

$$\mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l} := \{ \mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}]_{i,j,k}^{m,n,l}, t_{i,j,k} \in \mathbb{F} \}.$$

$$\text{rank one tensor: } \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z} = [x_i y_j z_k] = (a\mathbf{x}) \otimes (b\mathbf{y})((ab)^{-1}\mathbf{z})$$

rank \mathcal{T} minimal r :

$$\mathcal{T} = f_r(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{y}_r, \mathbf{z}_r) := \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{x}_i \otimes \mathbf{y}_i \otimes \mathbf{z}_i, \\ \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{F}^m, \mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbb{F}^n, \mathbf{z}_i \in \mathbb{F}^l$$

THM Let $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$. $T_k := [t_{i,j,k}]_{i,j=1}^{m,n}$, $k = 1, \dots, l$. Then rank \mathcal{T} is the minimal dimension of subspace spanned by rank one matrices containing $\text{span}(T_1, \dots, T_l)$.

Rank of tensor

$$\mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l} := \{ \mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}]_{i,j,k}^{m,n,l}, t_{i,j,k} \in \mathbb{F} \}.$$

rank one tensor: $\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z} = [x_i y_j z_k] = (a\mathbf{x}) \otimes (b\mathbf{y})((ab)^{-1}\mathbf{z})$

rank \mathcal{T} minimal r :

$$\mathcal{T} = f_r(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{y}_r, \mathbf{z}_r) := \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{x}_i \otimes \mathbf{y}_i \otimes \mathbf{z}_i,$$
$$\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{F}^m, \mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbb{F}^n, \mathbf{z}_i \in \mathbb{F}^l$$

THM Let $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$. $T_k := [t_{i,j,k}]_{i,j=1}^{m,n}$, $k = 1, \dots, l$. Then rank \mathcal{T} is the minimal dimension of subspace spanned by rank one matrices containing $\text{span}(T_1, \dots, T_l)$.

Cor For $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$ and $l \geq mn$ rank $\mathbb{F}\mathcal{T} \leq mn$ (sharp).

Rank of tensor

$$\mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l} := \{ \mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}]_{i,j,k}^{m,n,l}, t_{i,j,k} \in \mathbb{F} \}.$$

rank one tensor: $\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z} = [x_i y_j z_k] = (a\mathbf{x}) \otimes (b\mathbf{y})((ab)^{-1}\mathbf{z})$

rank \mathcal{T} minimal r :

$$\mathcal{T} = f_r(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{y}_r, \mathbf{z}_r) := \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{x}_i \otimes \mathbf{y}_i \otimes \mathbf{z}_i,$$
$$\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{F}^m, \mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbb{F}^n, \mathbf{z}_i \in \mathbb{F}^l$$

THM Let $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$. $T_k := [t_{i,j,k}]_{i,j=1}^{m,n}$, $k = 1, \dots, l$. Then rank \mathcal{T} is the minimal dimension of subspace spanned by rank one matrices containing $\text{span}(T_1, \dots, T_l)$.

Cor For $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$ and $l \geq mn$ rank $\mathbb{F}\mathcal{T} \leq mn$ (sharp).

Normalization: $2 \leq m \leq n \leq l \leq mn$

Generic rank 1

Generic rank I

generic rank: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{F}}(m, n, l)$ - the rank of a random tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$

Generic rank I

generic rank: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{F}}(m, n, l)$ - the rank of a random tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$

Thm: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) = \min(l, mn)$ for $(m-1)(n-1) + 1 \leq l$.

Generic rank l

generic rank: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{F}}(m, n, l)$ - the rank of a random tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$

Thm: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) = \min(l, mn)$ for $(m-1)(n-1) + 1 \leq l$.

PRF: Generic subspace $L \subset \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ of dimension $l = (m-1)(n-1) + 1$ intersects $\mathcal{R}(n, m, 1, \mathbb{C})$ at $\binom{m+n-2}{m-1}$, ($\geq l$), s.t. any l are linearly independent

Generic rank l

generic rank: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{F}}(m, n, l)$ - the rank of a random tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$

Thm: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) = \min(l, mn)$ for $(m-1)(n-1) + 1 \leq l$.

PRF: Generic subspace $L \subset \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ of dimension $l = (m-1)(n-1) + 1$ intersects $\mathcal{R}(n, m, 1, \mathbb{C})$ at $\binom{m+n-2}{m-1}$, ($\geq l$), s.t. any l are linearly independent

Any generic subspace $L \subset \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ of dimension $l \geq (m-1)(n-1) + 1$ spanned by rank one matrices

Generic rank l

generic rank: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{F}}(m, n, l)$ - the rank of a random tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$

Thm: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) = \min(l, mn)$ for $(m-1)(n-1) + 1 \leq l$.

PRF: Generic subspace $L \subset \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ of dimension $l = (m-1)(n-1) + 1$ intersects $\mathcal{R}(n, m, 1, \mathbb{C})$ at $\binom{m+n-2}{m-1}$, ($\geq l$), s.t. any l are linearly independent

Any generic subspace $L \subset \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ of dimension $l \geq (m-1)(n-1) + 1$ spanned by rank one matrices

Dimension count for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $2 \leq m \leq n \leq l \leq (m-1)(n-1)$:

Generic rank l

generic rank: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{F}}(m, n, l)$ - the rank of a random tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$

Thm: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) = \min(l, mn)$ for $(m-1)(n-1) + 1 \leq l$.

PRF: Generic subspace $L \subset \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ of dimension $l = (m-1)(n-1) + 1$ intersects $\mathcal{R}(n, m, 1, \mathbb{C})$ at $\binom{m+n-2}{m-1}$, ($\geq l$), s.t. any l are linearly independent

Any generic subspace $L \subset \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ of dimension $l \geq (m-1)(n-1) + 1$ spanned by rank one matrices

Dimension count for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $2 \leq m \leq n \leq l \leq (m-1)(n-1)$:
 $f_r : (\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^l)^r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m \times n \times l}$

Generic rank I

generic rank: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{F}}(m, n, l)$ - the rank of a random tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$

Thm: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) = \min(l, mn)$ for $(m-1)(n-1) + 1 \leq l$.

PRF: Generic subspace $L \subset \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ of dimension $l = (m-1)(n-1) + 1$ intersects $\mathcal{R}(n, m, 1, \mathbb{C})$ at $\binom{m+n-2}{m-1}$, ($\geq l$), s.t. any l are linearly independent

Any generic subspace $L \subset \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ of dimension $l \geq (m-1)(n-1) + 1$ spanned by rank one matrices

Dimension count for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $2 \leq m \leq n \leq l \leq (m-1)(n-1)$:

$$f_r : (\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^l)^r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m \times n \times l}$$

$$\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l)(m+n+l-2) \geq mnl \Rightarrow \text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) \geq \left\lceil \frac{mnl}{(m+n+l-2)} \right\rceil$$

Generic rank I

generic rank: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{F}}(m, n, l)$ - the rank of a random tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$

Thm: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) = \min(l, mn)$ for $(m-1)(n-1) + 1 \leq l$.

PRF: Generic subspace $L \subset \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ of dimension $l = (m-1)(n-1) + 1$ intersects $\mathcal{R}(n, m, 1, \mathbb{C})$ at $\binom{m+n-2}{m-1}$, ($\geq l$), s.t. any l are linearly independent

Any generic subspace $L \subset \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ of dimension $l \geq (m-1)(n-1) + 1$ spanned by rank one matrices

Dimension count for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $2 \leq m \leq n \leq l \leq (m-1)(n-1)$:

$$f_r : (\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^l)^r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m \times n \times l}$$

$$\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l)(m+n+l-2) \geq mnl \Rightarrow \text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) \geq \left\lceil \frac{mnl}{(m+n+l-2)} \right\rceil$$

$$\frac{mnl}{(m+n+l-2)} = l \text{ for } l = (m-1)(n-1) + 1$$

Generic rank I

generic rank: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{F}}(m, n, l)$ - the rank of a random tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$

Thm: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) = \min(l, mn)$ for $(m-1)(n-1) + 1 \leq l$.

PRF: Generic subspace $L \subset \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ of dimension $l = (m-1)(n-1) + 1$ intersects $\mathcal{R}(n, m, 1, \mathbb{C})$ at $\binom{m+n-2}{m-1}$, ($\geq l$), s.t. any l are linearly independent

Any generic subspace $L \subset \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ of dimension $l \geq (m-1)(n-1) + 1$ spanned by rank one matrices

Dimension count for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $2 \leq m \leq n \leq l \leq (m-1)(n-1)$:

$$f_r : (\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^l)^r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m \times n \times l}$$

$$\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l)(m+n+l-2) \geq mnl \Rightarrow \text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) \geq \left\lceil \frac{mnl}{(m+n+l-2)} \right\rceil$$

$$\frac{mnl}{(m+n+l-2)} = l \text{ for } l = (m-1)(n-1) + 1$$

COR $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, (m-1)(n-1)) = (m-1)(n-1) + 1$.

Generic rank II

Conjecture $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) = \lceil \frac{mnl}{(m+n+l-2)} \rceil$

for $2 \leq m \leq n \leq l < (m-1)(n-1)$ and $(3, n, l) \neq (3, 2p+1, 2p+1)$

Generic rank II

Conjecture $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) = \lceil \frac{mnl}{(m+n+l-2)} \rceil$
for $2 \leq m \leq n \leq l < (m-1)(n-1)$ and $(3, n, l) \neq (3, 2p+1, 2p+1)$

Fact: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(3, 2p+1, 2p+1) = \lceil \frac{3(2p+1)^2}{4p+3} \rceil + 1$

Generic rank II

Conjecture $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) = \lceil \frac{mnl}{(m+n+l-2)} \rceil$
for $2 \leq m \leq n \leq l < (m-1)(n-1)$ and $(3, n, l) \neq (3, 2p+1, 2p+1)$

Fact: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(3, 2p+1, 2p+1) = \lceil \frac{3(2p+1)^2}{4p+3} \rceil + 1$

Conjecture is known in some cases

Generic rank II

Conjecture $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) = \lceil \frac{mnl}{(m+n+l-2)} \rceil$
for $2 \leq m \leq n \leq l < (m-1)(n-1)$ and $(3, n, l) \neq (3, 2p+1, 2p+1)$

Fact: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(3, 2p+1, 2p+1) = \lceil \frac{3(2p+1)^2}{4p+3} \rceil + 1$

Conjecture is known in some cases

For symmetric 3-tensors: Alexander-Hirschowitz Theorem

Generic rank II

Conjecture $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) = \lceil \frac{mnl}{(m+n+l-2)} \rceil$
for $2 \leq m \leq n \leq l < (m-1)(n-1)$ and $(3, n, l) \neq (3, 2p+1, 2p+1)$

Fact: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(3, 2p+1, 2p+1) = \lceil \frac{3(2p+1)^2}{4p+3} \rceil + 1$

Conjecture is known in some cases

For symmetric 3-tensors: Alexander-Hirschowitz Theorem

Easy to compute $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l)$:

Pick at random $\mathbf{w}_r := (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{y}_r, \mathbf{z}_r) \in (\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l)^r$

The minimal $r \geq \lceil \frac{mnl}{(m+n+l-2)} \rceil$ s.t. $\text{rank } \mathbf{J}(f_r)(\mathbf{w}_r) = mnl$

is $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l)$

Generic rank II

Conjecture $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) = \lceil \frac{mnl}{(m+n+l-2)} \rceil$
for $2 \leq m \leq n \leq l < (m-1)(n-1)$ and $(3, n, l) \neq (3, 2p+1, 2p+1)$

Fact: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(3, 2p+1, 2p+1) = \lceil \frac{3(2p+1)^2}{4p+3} \rceil + 1$

Conjecture is known in some cases

For symmetric 3-tensors: Alexander-Hirschowitz Theorem

Easy to compute $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l)$:

Pick at random $\mathbf{w}_r := (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{y}_r, \mathbf{z}_r) \in (\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l)^r$

The minimal $r \geq \lceil \frac{mnl}{(m+n+l-2)} \rceil$ s.t. $\text{rank } \mathcal{J}(f_r)(\mathbf{w}_r) = mnl$

is $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l)$

Avoid round-off error:

$\mathbf{w}_r \in (\mathbb{Z}^m \times \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^l)^r$ find $\text{rank } \mathcal{J}(f_r)(\mathbf{w}_r)$ exact arithmetic

Generic rank II

Conjecture $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) = \lceil \frac{mnl}{(m+n+l-2)} \rceil$

for $2 \leq m \leq n \leq l < (m-1)(n-1)$ and $(3, n, l) \neq (3, 2p+1, 2p+1)$

Fact: $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(3, 2p+1, 2p+1) = \lceil \frac{3(2p+1)^2}{4p+3} \rceil + 1$

Conjecture is known in some cases

For symmetric 3-tensors: Alexander-Hirschowitz Theorem

Easy to compute $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l)$:

Pick at random $\mathbf{w}_r := (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{y}_r, \mathbf{z}_r) \in (\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l)^r$

The minimal $r \geq \lceil \frac{mnl}{(m+n+l-2)} \rceil$ s.t. $\text{rank } J(f_r)(\mathbf{w}_r) = mnl$

is $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l)$

Avoid round-off error:

$\mathbf{w}_r \in (\mathbb{Z}^m \times \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^l)^r$ find $\text{rank } J(f_r)(\mathbf{w}_r)$ exact arithmetic

I checked the conjecture up to $m, n, l \leq 14$

Generic rank III - the real case

For $mn \leq l$ $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{R}}(m, n, l) = mn$.

Generic rank III - the real case

For $mn \leq l$ $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{R}}(m, n, l) = mn$.

For $2 \leq m \leq n \leq l < mn - 1$, there exist $V_1, \dots, V_{c(m,n,l)} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$
pairwise disjoint open connected semi-algebraic sets s.t.

$\text{Closure}(\cup_{i=1}^{c(m,n,l)} V_i) = \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$

$\text{rank } \mathcal{T} = \text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l)$ for each $\mathcal{T} \in V_1$

$\text{rank } \mathcal{T} = \rho_i$ for each $\mathcal{T} \in V_i$

$\rho_i \geq \text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l)$ for $i = 2, \dots, c(m, n, l)$

Generic rank III - the real case

For $mn \leq l$ $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{R}}(m, n, l) = mn$.

For $2 \leq m \leq n \leq l < mn - 1$, there exist $V_1, \dots, V_{c(m,n,l)} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$
pairwise disjoint open connected semi-algebraic sets s.t.

$\text{Closure}(\cup_{i=1}^{c(m,n,l)} V_i) = \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$

$\text{rank } \mathcal{T} = \text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l)$ for each $\mathcal{T} \in V_1$

$\text{rank } \mathcal{T} = \rho_i$ for each $\mathcal{T} \in V_i$

$\rho_i \geq \text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l)$ for $i = 2, \dots, c(m, n, l)$

For $l = (m - 1)(n - 1) + 1 \exists m, n$:

$c(m, n, l) > 1, \rho_{c(m,n,l)} \geq \text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) + 1$

Generic rank III - the real case

For $mn \leq l$ $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{R}}(m, n, l) = mn$.

For $2 \leq m \leq n \leq l < mn - 1$, there exist $V_1, \dots, V_{c(m,n,l)} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$
pairwise disjoint open connected semi-algebraic sets s.t.

$$\text{Closure}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{c(m,n,l)} V_i\right) = \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$$

$\text{rank } \mathcal{T} = \text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l)$ for each $\mathcal{T} \in V_1$

$\text{rank } \mathcal{T} = \rho_i$ for each $\mathcal{T} \in V_i$

$\rho_i \geq \text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l)$ for $i = 2, \dots, c(m, n, l)$

For $l = (m - 1)(n - 1) + 1 \exists m, n$:

$c(m, n, l) > 1, \rho_{c(m,n,l)} \geq \text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) + 1$

Examples [1]

$m = n \geq 2, l = (m - 1)(n - 1) + 1$.

$m = n = 4, l = 11, 12$

Generic rank III - the real case

For $mn \leq l$ $\text{grank}_{\mathbb{R}}(m, n, l) = mn$.

For $2 \leq m \leq n \leq l < mn - 1$, there exist $V_1, \dots, V_{c(m,n,l)} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$ pairwise disjoint open connected semi-algebraic sets s.t.

$$\text{Closure}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{c(m,n,l)} V_i\right) = \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$$

$\text{rank } \mathcal{T} = \text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l)$ for each $\mathcal{T} \in V_1$

$\text{rank } \mathcal{T} = \rho_i$ for each $\mathcal{T} \in V_i$

$\rho_i \geq \text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l)$ for $i = 2, \dots, c(m, n, l)$

For $l = (m - 1)(n - 1) + 1 \exists m, n$:

$c(m, n, l) > 1, \rho_{c(m,n,l)} \geq \text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) + 1$

Examples [1]

$m = n \geq 2, l = (m - 1)(n - 1) + 1$.

$m = n = 4, l = 11, 12$

Problem: $\rho_i \leq \text{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(m, n, l) + 1$?

Upper bounds for generic and maximal rank

Upper bounds for generic and maximal rank

$L \cap \mathcal{R}(m, n, k, \mathbb{C}) \supsetneq \{0\}$ if $\text{codim } L < \dim \mathcal{R}(m, n, k, \mathbb{C}) = k(n + m - k)$.

Upper bounds for generic and maximal rank

$L \cap \mathcal{R}(m, n, k, \mathbb{C}) \supsetneq \{0\}$ if $\text{codim } L < \dim \mathcal{R}(m, n, k, \mathbb{C}) = k(n + m - k)$.

$\text{grank}(n, m, m) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor m + (n - 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)(m - \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor)$ if $m \geq 2\lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor$

Upper bounds for generic and maximal rank

$L \cap \mathcal{R}(m, n, k, \mathbb{C}) \not\supseteq \{0\}$ if $\text{codim } L < \dim \mathcal{R}(m, n, k, \mathbb{C}) = k(n + m - k)$.

$\text{grank}(n, m, m) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor m + (n - 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)(m - \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor)$ if $m \geq 2\lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor$

$\text{grank}(n, m, m) \leq n(m - \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor)$ if $m < 2\lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor < 2(m - 1)$,

Upper bounds for generic and maximal rank

$L \cap \mathcal{R}(m, n, k, \mathbb{C}) \supsetneq \{0\}$ if $\text{codim } L < \dim \mathcal{R}(m, n, k, \mathbb{C}) = k(n + m - k)$.

$\text{grank}(n, m, m) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor m + (n - 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)(m - \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor)$ if $m \geq 2\lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor$

$\text{grank}(n, m, m) \leq n(m - \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor)$ if $m < 2\lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor < 2(m - 1)$,

$\text{grank}(n, m, m) = \min(n, m^2)$ if $n \geq (m - 1)^2 + 1$,

Upper bounds for generic and maximal rank

$L \cap \mathcal{R}(m, n, k, \mathbb{C}) \not\supseteq \{0\}$ if $\text{codim } L < \dim \mathcal{R}(m, n, k, \mathbb{C}) = k(n + m - k)$.

$\text{grank}(n, m, m) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor m + (n - 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)(m - \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor)$ if $m \geq 2\lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor$

$\text{grank}(n, m, m) \leq n(m - \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor)$ if $m < 2\lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor < 2(m-1)$,

$\text{grank}(n, m, m) = \min(n, m^2)$ if $n \geq (m-1)^2 + 1$,

$\text{mrank}(n, m, m) \leq$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor} (2i-1)(m-i+1) + (m - \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor)^2 (m - \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor)$$

Rank one approximations

$$\mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l} \text{ IPS: } \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle = \sum_{i,j,k} a_{i,j,k} b_{i,j,k}, \quad \|\mathcal{T}\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T} \rangle}$$
$$\langle \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w} \rangle = (\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{y})(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{z})$$

Rank one approximations

$$\mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l} \text{ IPS: } \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle = \sum_{i,j,k} a_{i,j,k} b_{i,j,k}, \quad \|\mathcal{T}\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T} \rangle}$$
$$\langle \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w} \rangle = (\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{y})(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{z})$$

X subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$, $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_d$ an orthonormal basis of **X**

$$\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}_i \rangle \mathcal{X}_i, \quad \|\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}_i \rangle^2$$

Rank one approximations

$$\mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l} \text{ IPS: } \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle = \sum_{i,j,k} a_{i,j,k} b_{i,j,k}, \quad \|\mathcal{T}\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T} \rangle}$$
$$\langle \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w} \rangle = (\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{y})(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{z})$$

X subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$, $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_d$ an orthonormal basis of **X**

$$\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}_i \rangle \mathcal{X}_i, \quad \|\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}_i \rangle^2$$

$$\|\mathcal{T}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2 + \|\mathcal{T} - \mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2$$

Rank one approximations

$$\mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l} \text{ IPS: } \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle = \sum_{i,j,k} a_{i,j,k} b_{i,j,k}, \quad \|\mathcal{T}\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T} \rangle}$$
$$\langle \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w} \rangle = (\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{y})(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{z})$$

X subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$, $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_d$ an orthonormal basis of **X**

$$\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}_i \rangle \mathcal{X}_i, \quad \|\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}_i \rangle^2$$

$$\|\mathcal{T}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2 + \|\mathcal{T} - \mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2$$

Best rank one approximation of \mathcal{T} :

Rank one approximations

$$\mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l} \text{ IPS: } \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle = \sum_{i,j,k} a_{i,j,k} b_{i,j,k}, \quad \|\mathcal{T}\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T} \rangle}$$
$$\langle \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w} \rangle = (\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{y})(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{z})$$

X subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$, $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_d$ an orthonormal basis of **X**

$$\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}_i \rangle \mathcal{X}_i, \quad \|\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}_i \rangle^2$$

$$\|\mathcal{T}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2 + \|\mathcal{T} - \mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2$$

Best rank one approximation of \mathcal{T} :

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}} \|\mathcal{T} - \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}\| = \min_{\|\mathbf{x}\|=\|\mathbf{y}\|=\|\mathbf{z}\|=1, a} \|\mathcal{T} - a \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}\|$$

Rank one approximations

$$\mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l} \text{ IPS: } \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle = \sum_{i=j=k}^{m,n,l} a_{i,j,k} b_{i,j,k}, \quad \|\mathcal{T}\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T} \rangle}$$
$$\langle \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w} \rangle = (\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{y})(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{z})$$

X subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$, $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_d$ an orthonormal basis of **X**

$$\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}_i \rangle \mathcal{X}_i, \quad \|\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}_i \rangle^2$$

$$\|\mathcal{T}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2 + \|\mathcal{T} - \mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2$$

Best rank one approximation of \mathcal{T} :

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}} \|\mathcal{T} - \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}\| = \min_{\|\mathbf{x}\|=\|\mathbf{y}\|=\|\mathbf{z}\|=1, a} \|\mathcal{T} - a \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}\|$$

Equivalent: $\max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|=\|\mathbf{y}\|=\|\mathbf{z}\|=1} \sum_{i=j=k}^{m,n,l} t_{i,j,k} x_i y_j z_k$

Rank one approximations

$$\mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l} \text{ IPS: } \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle = \sum_{i,j,k}^{m,n,l} a_{i,j,k} b_{i,j,k}, \quad \|\mathcal{T}\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T} \rangle}$$
$$\langle \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w} \rangle = (\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{y})(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{z})$$

X subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$, $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_d$ an orthonormal basis of **X**

$$\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}_i \rangle \mathcal{X}_i, \quad \|\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}_i \rangle^2$$

$$\|\mathcal{T}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2 + \|\mathcal{T} - \mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2$$

Best rank one approximation of \mathcal{T} :

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}} \|\mathcal{T} - \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}\| = \min_{\|\mathbf{x}\|=\|\mathbf{y}\|=\|\mathbf{z}\|=1, a} \|\mathcal{T} - a \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}\|$$

Equivalent: $\max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|=\|\mathbf{y}\|=\|\mathbf{z}\|=1} \sum_{i,j,k}^{m,n,l} t_{i,j,k} x_i y_j z_k$

Lagrange multipliers: $\mathcal{T} \times \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z} := \sum_{j,k=1}^n t_{i,j,k} y_j z_k = \lambda \mathbf{x}$
 $\mathcal{T} \times \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{z} = \lambda \mathbf{y}, \quad \mathcal{T} \times \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} = \lambda \mathbf{z}$

Rank one approximations

$$\mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l} \text{ IPS: } \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle = \sum_{i,j,k}^{m,n,l} a_{i,j,k} b_{i,j,k}, \quad \|\mathcal{T}\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T} \rangle}$$
$$\langle \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w} \rangle = (\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{y})(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{z})$$

X subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$, $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_d$ an orthonormal basis of **X**

$$\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}_i \rangle \mathcal{X}_i, \quad \|\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}_i \rangle^2$$

$$\|\mathcal{T}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2 + \|\mathcal{T} - \mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2$$

Best rank one approximation of \mathcal{T} :

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}} \|\mathcal{T} - \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}\| = \min_{\|\mathbf{x}\|=\|\mathbf{y}\|=\|\mathbf{z}\|=1, a} \|\mathcal{T} - a \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}\|$$

Equivalent: $\max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|=\|\mathbf{y}\|=\|\mathbf{z}\|=1} \sum_{i,j,k}^{m,n,l} t_{i,j,k} x_i y_j z_k$

Lagrange multipliers: $\mathcal{T} \times \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z} := \sum_{j,k=1}^{m,n,l} t_{i,j,k} y_j z_k = \lambda \mathbf{x}$

$$\mathcal{T} \times \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{z} = \lambda \mathbf{y}, \quad \mathcal{T} \times \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} = \lambda \mathbf{z}$$

λ **singular value**, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$ **singular vectors**

Rank one approximations

$$\mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l} \text{ IPS: } \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle = \sum_{i,j,k} a_{i,j,k} b_{i,j,k}, \quad \|\mathcal{T}\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T} \rangle}$$
$$\langle \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w} \rangle = (\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{y})(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{z})$$

X subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$, $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_d$ an orthonormal basis of **X**

$$\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}_i \rangle \mathcal{X}_i, \quad \|\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}_i \rangle^2$$

$$\|\mathcal{T}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2 + \|\mathcal{T} - \mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{T})\|^2$$

Best rank one approximation of \mathcal{T} :

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}} \|\mathcal{T} - \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}\| = \min_{\|\mathbf{x}\|=\|\mathbf{y}\|=\|\mathbf{z}\|=1, a} \|\mathcal{T} - a \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}\|$$

Equivalent: $\max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|=\|\mathbf{y}\|=\|\mathbf{z}\|=1} \sum_{i,j,k} t_{i,j,k} x_i y_j z_k$

Lagrange multipliers: $\mathcal{T} \times \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z} := \sum_{j,k=1} t_{i,j,k} y_j z_k = \lambda \mathbf{x}$

$$\mathcal{T} \times \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{z} = \lambda \mathbf{y}, \quad \mathcal{T} \times \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} = \lambda \mathbf{z}$$

λ **singular value**, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$ **singular vectors**

How many distinct singular values are for a generic tensor?

ℓ_p maximal problem and Perron-Frobenius

ℓ_p maximal problem and Perron-Frobenius

$$\|(x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top\|_p := (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Problem: $\max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = \|\mathbf{y}\|_p = \|\mathbf{z}\|_p = 1} \sum_{i,j,k} t_{i,j,k} x_i y_j z_k$

Lagrange multipliers: $\mathcal{T} \times \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z} := \sum_{j,k=1} t_{i,j,k} y_j z_k = \lambda \mathbf{x}^{p-1}$

$\mathcal{T} \times \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{z} = \lambda \mathbf{y}^{p-1}$, $\mathcal{T} \times \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} = \lambda \mathbf{z}^{p-1}$ ($p = \frac{2t}{2s-1}$, $t, s \in \mathbb{N}$)

ℓ_p maximal problem and Perron-Frobenius

$$\|(x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top\|_p := (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Problem: $\max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_p=\|\mathbf{y}\|_p=\|\mathbf{z}\|_p=1} \sum_{i,j,k} t_{i,j,k} x_i y_j z_k$

Lagrange multipliers: $\mathcal{T} \times \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z} := \sum_{j,k=1}^l t_{i,j,k} y_j z_k = \lambda \mathbf{x}^{\rho-1}$

$$\mathcal{T} \times \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{z} = \lambda \mathbf{y}^{\rho-1}, \quad \mathcal{T} \times \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} = \lambda \mathbf{z}^{\rho-1} \quad (\rho = \frac{2t}{2s-1}, t, s \in \mathbb{N})$$

$\rho = 3$ is most natural in view of homogeneity

ℓ_p maximal problem and Perron-Frobenius

$$\|(x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top\|_p := (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Problem: $\max_{\|x\|_p=\|y\|_p=\|z\|_p=1} \sum_{i,j,k} t_{i,j,k} x_i y_j z_k$

Lagrange multipliers: $\mathcal{T} \times \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z} := \sum_{j,k=1} t_{i,j,k} y_j z_k = \lambda \mathbf{x}^{p-1}$

$\mathcal{T} \times \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{z} = \lambda \mathbf{y}^{p-1}$, $\mathcal{T} \times \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} = \lambda \mathbf{z}^{p-1}$ ($p = \frac{2t}{2s-1}$, $t, s \in \mathbb{N}$)

$p = 3$ is most natural in view of homogeneity

Assume that $\mathcal{T} \geq 0$. Then $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \geq 0$

For which values of p we have an analog of Perron-Frobenius theorem?

Yes, for $p \geq 3$, No, for $p < 3$,
Friedland-Gauber-Han [2]

Outline of the proof

Outline of the proof

Define: $F : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l$:

Outline of the proof

Define: $F : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l$:

$$F((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_{i,1} = \left(\|\mathbf{x}\|_p^{\rho-3} \sum_{j=k=1}^{n,l} f_{i,j,k} y_j z_k \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}, i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$F((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_{j,2} = \left(\|\mathbf{y}\|_p^{\rho-3} \sum_{i=k=1}^{m,l} f_{i,j,k} x_i z_k \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}, j = 1, \dots, n$$

$$F((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_k = \left(\|\mathbf{z}\|_p^{\rho-3} \sum_{i=j=1}^{m,n} f_{i,j,k} x_i y_j \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}, k = 1, \dots, l$$

Outline of the proof

Define: $F : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l$:

$$F((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_{i,1} = \left(\|\mathbf{x}\|_p^{p-3} \sum_{j=k=1}^{n,l} f_{i,j,k} y_j z_k \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$F((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_{j,2} = \left(\|\mathbf{y}\|_p^{p-3} \sum_{i=k=1}^{m,l} f_{i,j,k} x_i z_k \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, j = 1, \dots, n$$

$$F((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_k = \left(\|\mathbf{z}\|_p^{p-3} \sum_{i=j=1}^{m,n} f_{i,j,k} x_i y_j \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, k = 1, \dots, l$$

Assume $\sum_{j=k=1}^{n,l} f_{i,j,k} > 0, i = 1, \dots, m,$

$\sum_{i=k=1}^{m,l} f_{i,j,k} > 0, j = 1, \dots, n, \sum_{i=j=1}^{m,n} f_{i,j,k} > 0, k = 1, \dots, l$

Outline of the proof

Define: $F : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l$:

$$F((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_{i,1} = \left(\|\mathbf{x}\|_p^{p-3} \sum_{j=k=1}^{n,l} f_{i,j,k} y_j z_k \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$F((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_{j,2} = \left(\|\mathbf{y}\|_p^{p-3} \sum_{i=k=1}^{m,l} f_{i,j,k} x_i z_k \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, j = 1, \dots, n$$

$$F((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_k = \left(\|\mathbf{z}\|_p^{p-3} \sum_{i=j=1}^{m,n} f_{i,j,k} x_i y_j \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, k = 1, \dots, l$$

Assume $\sum_{j=k=1}^{n,l} f_{i,j,k} > 0, i = 1, \dots, m,$

$\sum_{i=k=1}^{m,l} f_{i,j,k} > 0, j = 1, \dots, n, \sum_{i=j=1}^{m,n} f_{i,j,k} > 0, k = 1, \dots, l$

F 1-homogeneous monotone, maps open positive cone $\mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^l$ to itself.

$\mathcal{F} = [f_{i,j,k}]$ induces tri-partite graph on $\langle m \rangle, \langle n \rangle, \langle l \rangle$:

$i \in \langle m \rangle$ connected to $j \in \langle n \rangle$ and $k \in \langle l \rangle$ iff $f_{i,j,k} > 0$, sim. for j, k

Outline of the proof

Define: $F : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l$:

$$F((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_{i,1} = \left(\|\mathbf{x}\|_p^{p-3} \sum_{j=k=1}^{n,l} f_{i,j,k} y_j z_k \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$F((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_{j,2} = \left(\|\mathbf{y}\|_p^{p-3} \sum_{i=k=1}^{m,l} f_{i,j,k} x_i z_k \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

$$F((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_k = \left(\|\mathbf{z}\|_p^{p-3} \sum_{i=j=1}^{m,n} f_{i,j,k} x_i y_j \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, l$$

Assume $\sum_{j=k=1}^{n,l} f_{i,j,k} > 0, i = 1, \dots, m,$

$\sum_{i=k=1}^{m,l} f_{i,j,k} > 0, j = 1, \dots, n, \sum_{i=j=1}^{m,n} f_{i,j,k} > 0, k = 1, \dots, l$

F 1-homogeneous monotone, maps open positive cone $\mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^l$ to itself.

$\mathcal{F} = [f_{i,j,k}]$ induces tri-partite graph on $\langle m \rangle, \langle n \rangle, \langle l \rangle$:

$i \in \langle m \rangle$ connected to $j \in \langle n \rangle$ and $k \in \langle l \rangle$ iff $f_{i,j,k} > 0$, sim. for j, k

If tri-partite graph is connected then F has unique positive eigenvector

Outline of the proof

Define: $F : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l$:

$$F((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_{i,1} = \left(\|\mathbf{x}\|_p^{p-3} \sum_{j=k=1}^{n,l} f_{i,j,k} y_j z_k \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$F((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_{j,2} = \left(\|\mathbf{y}\|_p^{p-3} \sum_{i=k=1}^{m,l} f_{i,j,k} x_i z_k \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

$$F((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_k = \left(\|\mathbf{z}\|_p^{p-3} \sum_{i=j=1}^{m,n} f_{i,j,k} x_i y_j \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, l$$

Assume $\sum_{j=k=1}^{n,l} f_{i,j,k} > 0, i = 1, \dots, m,$

$\sum_{i=k=1}^{m,l} f_{i,j,k} > 0, j = 1, \dots, n, \sum_{i=j=1}^{m,n} f_{i,j,k} > 0, k = 1, \dots, l$

F 1-homogeneous monotone, maps open positive cone $\mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^l$ to itself.

$\mathcal{F} = [f_{i,j,k}]$ induces tri-partite graph on $\langle m \rangle, \langle n \rangle, \langle l \rangle$:

$i \in \langle m \rangle$ connected to $j \in \langle n \rangle$ and $k \in \langle l \rangle$ iff $f_{i,j,k} > 0$, sim. for j, k

If tri-partite graph is connected then F has unique positive eigenvector

If F completely irreducible, i.e. F^N maps nonzero nonnegative vectors to positive, nonnegative eigenvector is unique and positive

Outline of the proof

Define: $F : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l$:

$$F((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_{i,1} = \left(\|\mathbf{x}\|_p^{p-3} \sum_{j=k=1}^{n,l} f_{i,j,k} y_j z_k \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$F((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_{j,2} = \left(\|\mathbf{y}\|_p^{p-3} \sum_{i=k=1}^{m,l} f_{i,j,k} x_i z_k \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, j = 1, \dots, n$$

$$F((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_k = \left(\|\mathbf{z}\|_p^{p-3} \sum_{i=j=1}^{m,n} f_{i,j,k} x_i y_j \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, k = 1, \dots, l$$

Assume $\sum_{j=k=1}^{n,l} f_{i,j,k} > 0, i = 1, \dots, m,$

$\sum_{i=k=1}^{m,l} f_{i,j,k} > 0, j = 1, \dots, n, \sum_{i=j=1}^{m,n} f_{i,j,k} > 0, k = 1, \dots, l$

F 1-homogeneous monotone, maps open positive cone $\mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^l$ to itself.

$\mathcal{F} = [f_{i,j,k}]$ induces tri-partite graph on $\langle m \rangle, \langle n \rangle, \langle l \rangle$:

$i \in \langle m \rangle$ connected to $j \in \langle n \rangle$ and $k \in \langle l \rangle$ iff $f_{i,j,k} > 0$, sim. for j, k

If tri-partite graph is connected then F has unique positive eigenvector

If F completely irreducible, i.e. F^N maps nonzero nonnegative vectors to positive, nonnegative eigenvector is unique and positive

$p < 3$ numerical counterexamples $m = n = l = 2$

Analog of SVD decomposition: I

Analog of SVD decomposition: I

Unfolding tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$ in 1-index: viewing as a matrix $A_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times (nl)}$.

Analog of SVD decomposition: I

Unfolding tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$ in 1-index: viewing as a matrix $A_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times (nl)}$.

For a cubic tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n}$

do orthonormal change of coordinates in each three components \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T} \times_1 Q_1 \times_2 Q_2 \times_3 Q_3$$

Analog of SVD decomposition: I

Unfolding tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$ in 1-index: viewing as a matrix $A_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times (nl)}$.

For a cubic tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n}$

do orthonormal change of coordinates in each three components \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T} \times_1 Q_1 \times_2 Q_2 \times_3 Q_3$$

One can have at most $\frac{3n(n-1)}{2}$ zero entries in \mathcal{T}_1 : $t_{j,i,i} = t_{i,j,i} = t_{i,i,j}$ for $i < j$.

Analog of SVD decomposition: I

Unfolding tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$ in 1-index: viewing as a matrix $A_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times (nl)}$.

For a cubic tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n}$

do orthonormal change of coordinates in each three components \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T} \times_1 Q_1 \times_2 Q_2 \times_3 Q_3$$

One can have at most $\frac{3n(n-1)}{2}$ zero entries in \mathcal{T}_1 : $t_{j,i,i} = t_{i,j,i} = t_{i,i,j}$ for $i < j$.

Apply QR algorithm to the n columns of the unfolded matrix in mode k indexed by $(j,j), j = 1, \dots, n$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Analog of SVD decomposition: I

Unfolding tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$ in 1-index: viewing as a matrix $A_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times (nl)}$.

For a cubic tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n}$

do orthonormal change of coordinates in each three components \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T} \times_1 Q_1 \times_2 Q_2 \times_3 Q_3$$

One can have at most $\frac{3n(n-1)}{2}$ zero entries in \mathcal{T}_1 : $t_{j,i,i} = t_{i,j,i} = t_{i,i,j}$ for $i < j$.

Apply QR algorithm to the n columns of the unfolded matrix in mode k indexed by $(j,j), j = 1, \dots, n$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Example $2 \times 2 \times 2$: $\begin{bmatrix} t_{1,1,1} & t_{1,2,2} \\ t_{2,1,1} & t_{2,2,2} \end{bmatrix}; \begin{bmatrix} t_{1,1,1} & t_{2,1,2} \\ t_{1,2,1} & t_{2,2,2} \end{bmatrix}; \begin{bmatrix} t_{1,1,1} & t_{2,2,1} \\ t_{1,1,2} & t_{2,2,2} \end{bmatrix}$

Analog of SVD decomposition: I

Unfolding tensor $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}$ in 1-index: viewing as a matrix $A_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times (nl)}$.

For a cubic tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n}$

do orthonormal change of coordinates in each three components \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T} \times_1 Q_1 \times_2 Q_2 \times_3 Q_3$$

One can have at most $\frac{3n(n-1)}{2}$ zero entries in \mathcal{T}_1 : $t_{j,i,i} = t_{i,j,i} = t_{i,i,j}$ for $i < j$.

Apply QR algorithm to the n columns of the unfolded matrix in mode k indexed by $(j,j), j = 1, \dots, n$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Example $2 \times 2 \times 2$: $\begin{bmatrix} t_{1,1,1} & t_{1,2,2} \\ t_{2,1,1} & t_{2,2,2} \end{bmatrix}; \begin{bmatrix} t_{1,1,1} & t_{2,1,2} \\ t_{1,2,1} & t_{2,2,2} \end{bmatrix}; \begin{bmatrix} t_{1,1,1} & t_{2,2,1} \\ t_{1,1,2} & t_{2,2,2} \end{bmatrix}$

Do QR on each two columns successively to obtain:

$$t_{2,1,1} = t_{1,2,1} = t_{1,1,2} = 0.$$

CUR approximation of matrices

CUR approximation of matrices

For given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$, $E \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}$
 $\min_{U \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}} \|A - EUF\|_F$ achieved for $U = E^\dagger A F^\dagger$

CUR approximation of matrices

For given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$, $E \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}$
 $\min_{U \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}} \|A - EUF\|_F$ achieved for $U = E^\dagger A F^\dagger$

CUR approximation $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$, $R \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}$ some submatrices of A .

CUR approximation of matrices

For given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$, $E \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}$
 $\min_{U \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}} \|A - EUF\|_F$ achieved for $U = E^\dagger A F^\dagger$

CUR approximation $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$, $R \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}$ some submatrices of A .

$C(C^\dagger A R^\dagger)R$ best rank $\leq \min(p, q)$ approximation matrix based on C, R submatrices of A .

CUR approximation of matrices

For given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$, $E \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}$
 $\min_{U \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}} \|A - EUF\|_F$ achieved for $U = E^\dagger A F^\dagger$

CUR approximation $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$, $R \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}$ some submatrices of A .

$C(C^\dagger A R^\dagger)R$ best rank $\leq \min(p, q)$ approximation matrix based on C, R submatrices of A .

Goreinov-Tyrtyshnikov-Zmarashkin 95: for $p = q$ choose $U = A[I, J]^{-1}$

CUR approximation of matrices

For given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$, $E \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}$
 $\min_{U \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}} \|A - EUF\|_F$ achieved for $U = E^\dagger AF^\dagger$

CUR approximation $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$, $R \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}$ some submatrices of A .

$C(C^\dagger AR^\dagger)R$ best rank $\leq \min(p, q)$ approximation matrix based on C, R submatrices of A .

Goreinov-Tyrtyshnikov-Zmarashkin 95: for $p = q$ choose $U = A[I, J]^{-1}$
(corresponds to best CUR approximation on the entries read)

CUR approximation of matrices

For given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$, $E \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}$
 $\min_{U \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}} \|A - EUF\|_F$ achieved for $U = E^\dagger A F^\dagger$

CUR approximation $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$, $R \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}$ some submatrices of A .

$C(C^\dagger A R^\dagger)R$ best rank $\leq \min(p, q)$ approximation matrix based on C, R submatrices of A .

Goreinov-Tyrtyshnikov-Zmarashkin 95: for $p = q$ choose $U = A[I, J]^{-1}$
(corresponds to best **CUR** approximation on the entries read)
good approximation when the corresponding $\det A[I, J]$ is maximal

CUR approximation of matrices

For given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$, $E \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}$
 $\min_{U \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}} \|A - EUF\|_F$ achieved for $U = E^\dagger A F^\dagger$

CUR approximation $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$, $R \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}$ some submatrices of A .

$C(C^\dagger A R^\dagger)R$ best rank $\leq \min(p, q)$ approximation matrix based on C, R submatrices of A .

Goreinov-Tyrtyshnikov-Zmarashkin 95: for $p = q$ choose $U = A[I, J]^{-1}$
(corresponds to best **CUR** approximation on the entries read)
good approximation when the corresponding $\det A[I, J]$ is maximal

Friedland-Mehrmann-Miedlar-Nkengla 08 choose several random choices of I, J set of rows and columns of A such that $A[I, J]$ has maximal product of significant singular values

Extension to 3-tensors I:

Extension to 3-tensors I:

For given $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$, $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$, $E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q}$, $G \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times r}$,
where $\langle p \rangle \subset \langle n \rangle \times \langle l \rangle$, $\langle q \rangle \subset \langle m \rangle \times \langle l \rangle$, $\langle r \rangle \subset \langle m \rangle \times \langle l \rangle$

$\min_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q \times r}} \|\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{U} \times F \times E \times G\|_F$ achieved for $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{A} \times E^\dagger \times F^\dagger \times G^\dagger$

Extension to 3-tensors I:

For given $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$, $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$, $E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q}$, $G \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times r}$,
where $\langle p \rangle \subset \langle n \rangle \times \langle l \rangle$, $\langle q \rangle \subset \langle m \rangle \times \langle l \rangle$, $\langle r \rangle \subset \langle m \rangle \times \langle l \rangle$

$\min_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q \times r}} \|\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{U} \times F \times E \times G\|_F$ achieved for $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{A} \times E^\dagger \times F^\dagger \times G^\dagger$

CUR approximation of \mathcal{A} obtained by choosing E, F, G submatrices of unfolded \mathcal{A} in the mode 1, 2, 3.

Extensions to 3-tensors: II

$\mathcal{A} = [a_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times \ell}$ - 3-tensor

given $I \subset \langle m \rangle$, $J \subset \langle n \rangle$, $K \subset \langle \ell \rangle$ define

$R := \mathcal{A}_{\langle m \rangle, J, K} = [a_{i,j,k}]_{\langle m \rangle, J, K} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (\#J \cdot \#K)}$,

$C := \mathcal{A}_{I, \langle n \rangle, K} \in \mathbb{R}^{\langle n \rangle \times (\#I \cdot \#K)}$,

$D := \mathcal{A}_{I, J, \langle \ell \rangle} \in \mathbb{R}^{I \times (\#I \cdot \#J)}$

Problem: Find 3-tensor $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{(\#J \cdot \#K) \times (\#I \cdot \#K) \times (\#I \cdot \#J)}$

such that \mathcal{A} is approximated by the Tucker tensor

$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U} \times_1 C \times_2 R \times_3 D$

where \mathcal{U} is the least squares solution

$$\mathcal{U}_{\text{opt}} \in \arg \min_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{\text{three tensor}}} \sum_{(i,j,k) \in \mathcal{S}} (a_{i,j,k} - (\mathcal{U} \times_1 C \times_2 R \times_3 D)_{i,j,k})^2$$

$$\mathcal{S} = (\langle m \rangle \times J \times K) \cup (I \times \langle n \rangle \times K) \cup (I \times J \times \langle \ell \rangle)$$

Extension to 3-tensors: III

For $\#I = \#J = p$, $\#K = p^2$, $I \subset \langle m \rangle$, $J \subset \langle n \rangle$, $K \subset \langle \ell \rangle$
generally there is an exact solution to $\mathcal{U}_{\text{opt}} \in \mathbb{R}^{p^3 \times p^3 \times p^2}$
obtained by unfolding in third direction

View \mathcal{A} as $A \in \mathbb{R}^{(mn) \times \ell}$ by identifying
 $\langle m \rangle \times \langle n \rangle \equiv \langle mn \rangle$, $I_1 = I \times J$, $J_1 = K$ and apply CUR again.

More generally, given $\#I = p$, $\#J = q$, $\#K = r$.

For $L = I \times J$ approximate \mathcal{A} by $\mathcal{A}_{\langle m \rangle, \langle n \rangle, K} E_{L, K}^\dagger \mathcal{A}_{I, J, \langle \ell \rangle}$
Then for each $k \in K$ approximate each matrix $\mathcal{A}_{\langle m \rangle, \langle n \rangle, \{k\}}$ by
 $\mathcal{A}_{\langle m \rangle, J, \{k\}} E_{I, J, \{k\}}^\dagger \mathcal{A}_{I, \langle n \rangle, \{k\}}$

Scaling of nonnegative tensors to balanced tensors

$\mathbf{0} \leq \mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$ **balanced if each unfolding has fixed row sum:**
 $\sum_{j,k} t_{i,j,k} = \alpha > 0, \sum_{i,k} t_{i,j,k} = \beta > 0, \sum_{i,j} t_{i,j,k} = \gamma > 0$

Scaling of nonnegative tensors to balanced tensors

$\mathbf{0} \leq \mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$ **balanced** if each unfolding has fixed row sum:
 $\sum_{j,k} t_{i,j,k} = \alpha > \mathbf{0}$, $\sum_{i,k} t_{i,j,k} = \beta > \mathbf{0}$, $\sum_{i,j} t_{i,j,k} = \gamma > \mathbf{0}$

Find nec. and suf. conditions for scaling:

$\mathcal{T}' = [x_i y_j z_k t_{i,j,k}]$, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} > \mathbf{0}$ such that \mathcal{T}' **balanced**

Scaling of nonnegative tensors to balanced tensors

$\mathbf{0} \leq \mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$ **balanced** if each unfolding has fixed row sum:
 $\sum_{j,k} t_{i,j,k} = \alpha > \mathbf{0}$, $\sum_{i,k} t_{i,j,k} = \beta > \mathbf{0}$, $\sum_{i,j} t_{i,j,k} = \gamma > \mathbf{0}$

Find nec. and suf. conditions for scaling:

$\mathcal{T}' = [x_i y_j z_k t_{i,j,k}]$, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} > \mathbf{0}$ such that \mathcal{T}' **balanced**

Solution: Linear Programming

Scaling of nonnegative tensors to balanced tensors

$\mathbf{0} \leq \mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$ **balanced** if each unfolding has fixed row sum:
 $\sum_{j,k} t_{i,j,k} = \alpha > 0$, $\sum_{i,k} t_{i,j,k} = \beta > 0$, $\sum_{i,j} t_{i,j,k} = \gamma > 0$

Find nec. and suf. conditions for scaling:

$\mathcal{T}' = [x_i y_j z_k t_{i,j,k}]$, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} > \mathbf{0}$ such that \mathcal{T}' balanced

Solution: Linear Programming

THM (SC): $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m \times n \times l}$, $1 < m \leq n \leq l$, each $m \times m$ submatrix of the unfolded tensor $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times nl}$ in the first mode has positive permanent, and there exists one $m \times m$ fully indecomposable submatrix. Then there exists a "unique" scaling of \mathcal{T}' of \mathcal{T} such that $\mathbf{A}' \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times nl}$ is stochastic.

Scaling of nonnegative tensors to balanced tensors

$\mathbf{0} \leq \mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$ **balanced** if each unfolding has fixed row sum:
 $\sum_{j,k} t_{i,j,k} = \alpha > 0$, $\sum_{i,k} t_{i,j,k} = \beta > 0$, $\sum_{i,j} t_{i,j,k} = \gamma > 0$

Find **nec. and suf. conditions** for scaling:

$\mathcal{T}' = [x_i y_j z_k t_{i,j,k}]$, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} > \mathbf{0}$ such that \mathcal{T}' **balanced**

Solution: Linear Programming

THM (SC): $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m \times n \times l}$, $1 < m \leq n \leq l$, each $m \times m$ submatrix of the unfolded tensor $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times nl}$ in the first mode has positive permanent, and there exists one $m \times m$ fully indecomposable submatrix. Then there exists a "unique" scaling of \mathcal{T}' of \mathcal{T} such that $\mathbf{A}' \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times nl}$ is stochastic.

Fact: Sinkhorn scaling algorithm works

Scaling of nonnegative tensors to balanced tensors

$\mathbf{0} \leq \mathcal{T} = [t_{i,j,k}] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times l}$ **balanced** if each unfolding has fixed row sum:
 $\sum_{j,k} t_{i,j,k} = \alpha > 0, \sum_{i,k} t_{i,j,k} = \beta > 0, \sum_{i,j} t_{i,j,k} = \gamma > 0$

Find **nec. and suf. conditions** for scaling:

$\mathcal{T}' = [x_i y_j z_k t_{i,j,k}]$, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} > \mathbf{0}$ such that \mathcal{T}' **balanced**

Solution: Linear Programming

THM (SC): $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m \times n \times l}$, $1 < m \leq n \leq l$, each $m \times m$ submatrix of the unfolded tensor $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times nl}$ in the first mode has positive permanent, and there exists one $m \times m$ fully indecomposable submatrix. Then there exists a "unique" scaling of \mathcal{T}' of \mathcal{T} such that $\mathbf{A}' \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times nl}$ is stochastic.



Fact: Sinkhorn scaling algorithm works

Newton method works, since the scaling problem can be reformulated to unique minimum of strict convex function

References I

-  S. Friedland, On the generic rank of 3-tensors, arXiv: 0805.3777v2.
-  S. Friedland, S. Gauber and L. Han, Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonnegative multilinear forms, arXiv:0905.1626.
-  S. Friedland, V. Mehrmann, A. Miedlar, and M. Nkengla, Fast low rank approximations of matrices and tensors, submitted, www.matheon.de/preprints/4903.
-  S.A. Goreinov, E.E. Tyrtysnikov, N.L. Zmarashkin, Pseudo-skeleton approximations of matrices, *Reports of the Russian Academy of Sciences* 343(2) (1995), 151-152.
-  S.A. Goreinov, E.E. Tyrtysnikov, N.L. Zmarashkin, A theory of pseudo-skeleton approximations of matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 261 (1997), 1-21.

References II

-  L.H. Lim, Singular values and eigenvalues of tensors: a variational approach, *CAMSAP* 05, 1 (2005), 129-132.
-  M.W. Mahoney and P. Drineas, CUR matrix decompositions for improved data analysis, *PNAS* 106, (2009), 697-702.