

DEFINABLE TYPES AND F-GENERICS IN PRESBURGER ARITHMETIC

GABRIEL CONANT AND SOMAYEH VOJDANI

The goal of this note is to characterize certain definable types and f -generics in Presburger arithmetic (i.e., the complete first-order theory of the ordered group of integers $(\mathbb{Z}, +, <, 0)$). Specifically, we consider f -generic types in $S_n(G)$, where G is a saturated model of Presburger arithmetic (i.e. f -generic types with respect to the definable group \mathbb{Z}^n). Let G^* be sufficiently saturated monster model in which we can realize global types over G . Given $p \in S_n(G)$, and a realization $\bar{a} \models p$, we let $G(\bar{a})$ denote the divisible hull of the subgroup of G^* generated by $G\bar{a}$ (i.e. $G(\bar{a})$ is the definable closure of $G\bar{a}$ in G^*). Our main characterization is: given $p \in S_n(G)$ and $\bar{a} \models p$ in G^* , p is f -generic if and only if

- (i) \bar{a} is algebraically independent over G , and
- (ii) $G(\bar{a})$ is an end extension of G (i.e. if $x \in G(\bar{a}) \setminus G$ then $x > G$ or $x < G$).

Along the way, we give a similar characterization of definable types in $S_n(G)$, and we show that every f -generic type is strongly f -generic and definable over \emptyset .

0.1. Definable groups and f -generics. Let T be a complete theory, with a monster model M . We also work with a larger monster model M^* in which we can take realizations of global types over M .

Suppose $G = G(M)$ is a definable group in T . We let $S_G(M)$ denote the space of global types containing the formula defining G . Given $p \in S_G(M)$ and $g \in G$, we let gp denote the translate $\{\varphi(g^{-1}x) : \varphi(x) \in p\}$ of p .

Definition 0.1. Let $p \in S_G(M)$ be a global G -type.

- (a) p is **definable (over G)** if, for any formula $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, there is a formula $d_p[\varphi](\bar{y})$ over G such that, for any $\bar{b} \in G$, $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{b}) \in p$ if and only if $G \models d_p[\varphi](\bar{b})$.
- (b) p is a **f -generic** if, for every formula $\phi(x) \in p$, there is a small model M_0 such that no translate $\phi(gx)$ of $\phi(x)$ forks over M_0 .
- (c) p is a **strongly f -generic** if there is a small model M_0 such that no translate gp of p forks over M_0 .
- (d) p is **definably f -generic** if there is a small model M_0 such that every translate gp is definable over M_0 .

0.2. End extensions of discrete orders. Let T , M , and M^* be as in the previous subsection. Assume \mathcal{L} contains a symbol $<$ for an ordering and T extends the theory of linear orders. We say that the theory T is *definably complete* if any nonempty definable subset of M , with an upper bound in M , has a least upper bound in M , and similarly for lower bounds. Note that this does not depend on the model M . If T is definably complete, and we further assume that M is discretely ordered by $<$, then it follows that definable subsets of M contain their least upper bound and

Date: October 12, 2016
Updated: April 5, 2018.

greatest lower bound (whenever they exist). We will say T is *discretely ordered* to indicate that the ordering $<$ on M is discrete.

Recall that, in a totally ordered structure, algebraic closure and definable closure coincide. Given a tuple $\bar{a} \in (M^*)^n$, we let $M(\bar{a}) = \text{dcl}(M\bar{a})$.

Definition 0.2. Given subsets $A \subseteq B$ of M^* , we say B is an **end extension** of A if, for all $b \in B \setminus A$, either $b < a$ for all $a \in A$ or $b > a$ for all $a \in A$.

Lemma 0.3. *Suppose T is discretely ordered and definably complete. Fix a non-isolated type $p \in S_n(M)$ and a realization \bar{a} in M^* . If $M(\bar{a})$ is not an end extension of M then:*

- (a) p is not definable,
- (b) p has at least two distinct coheirs to M^* .

Proof. Since $M(\bar{a})$ is not an end extension of M , we may fix an M -definable function $f : (M^*)^n \rightarrow M^*$, and $m_1, m_2 \in M$ such that $f(\bar{a}) \notin M$ and $m_1 < f(\bar{a}) < m_2$. Define the upwards closed set

$$X = \{m \in M : p \models f(\bar{x}) < m\}.$$

Then m_1 and m_2 witness that X is nonempty and not all of M . If X has a minimal element m_0 , and m_0^- is the immediate predecessor of m_0 in M , then we must have $m_0^- \leq f(\bar{a}) < m_0$, and so $f(\bar{a}) = m_0^- \in M$, which is a contradiction. So X has no minimal element, and therefore cannot be M -definable. This proves part (a).

Now define

$$C = \{c \in M^* : m < c < m' \text{ for all } m \in M \setminus X \text{ and } m' \in X\}.$$

Then $f(\bar{a}) \in C$, and so $C \neq \emptyset$. We define the following partial types over M^* :

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 &= p \cup \{m < f(\bar{x}) < c : m \in M \setminus X, c \in C\} \\ q_2 &= p \cup \{c < f(\bar{x}) < m : c \in C, m \in X\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that q_1 and q_2 are distinct since $C \neq \emptyset$. If we can show that they are each finitely satisfiable in M , then they will extend to distinct coheirs of p , which proves part (b). So we show q_1 is finitely satisfiable in M (the proof for q_2 is similar).

Fix a formula $\varphi(\bar{x}) \in p$ and some $m \in M \setminus X$ (which exists since X is not all of M). Set

$$A = \{m' \in M : \varphi(\bar{a}') : m < m'\}.$$

Then A is an M -definable subset of M , which is nonempty since $b \in A(M^*)$. Since A is bounded below by m , we may fix a minimal element $m_0 \in A$. By elementarity, m_0 is the minimal element of $A(M^*)$. In particular, $m_0 < f(\bar{a})$, and so $m_0 \in M \setminus X$. By definition of A , $m_0 = f(\bar{a}')$ for some $\bar{a}' \in M^n$ such that $M \models \varphi(\bar{a}')$. Altogether, we have $M \models \varphi(\bar{a}')$, and $m < f(\bar{a}') < c$ for any $c \in C$. \square

Suppose T is discretely ordered and definably complete. If, moreover, $\text{dcl}(\emptyset)$ is nonempty, then T has definable Skolem functions by picking out either the maximal element of a definable set or the least element greater than some \emptyset -definable constant. It follows that $M(\bar{a})$ is the unique prime model over $M\bar{a}$.

0.3. Presburger arithmetic. Let $T = \text{Th}(\mathbb{Z}, +, <, 0)$. Let G denote a sufficiently saturated model of T , and let G^* denote a larger elementary extension of G , which is sufficiently saturated with respect to G . We treat types over G as *global types*, but use G^* as an even larger monster model in which we can realize such types.

Note that T satisfies the properties discussed in the previous section: it is discretely ordered and definably complete, with $\text{dcl}(\emptyset)$ nonempty. Therefore, for $\bar{a} \in G^*$, $G(\bar{a})$ is the prime model over $G\bar{a}$. Recall that T has quantifier elimination in the expanded language $\mathcal{L}^* = \{+, <, 0, 1, (D_n)_{n < \omega}\}$ where D_n is a unary predicate interpreted as $n\mathbb{Z}$. Consequently, given $\bar{a} \in G^*$, $G(\bar{a})$ is the divisible hull of the subgroup of G^* generated by $G\bar{a}$.

Given $a \in G^*$ and $n > 0$, let $[a]_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ be the unique remainder of a modulo n . Given $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we let $s_{\bar{k}}(\bar{x})$ denote the definable function $\bar{x} \mapsto k_1x_1 + \dots + k_nx_n$.

Proposition 0.4.

- (a) Let $G_0 \prec G$ be a small model, and fix $a, b \in G$.
- (i) If $G_0 < a < b$ then there is some $c \in G$ such that $b < c$ and $a \equiv_{G_0} c$.
 - (ii) If $a < b < G_0$ then there is some $c \in G$ such that $c < a$ and $b \equiv_{G_0} c$.
- (b) For any $p \in S_n(G)$ and $\bar{a} \models p$, if $G(\bar{a})$ is not an end extension of G then there are $h_1, h_2 \in G$ and $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $h_1 < s_{\bar{k}}(\bar{a}) < h_2$ and $s_{\bar{k}}(\bar{a}) \notin G$.

Proof. Part (a). We prove (i); the proof of (ii) is similar. By quantifier elimination and saturation of G it is enough to fix an integer $N > 0$, and find $c \in G$ such that $b < c$ and $[c]_n = [a]_n$ for all $0 < n \leq N$. To find such an element, simply note that $\bigcap_{0 < n \leq N} nG + [a]_n$ is nonempty (as it contains a), and is therefore a single coset $mG + r$ for some $m, r \in \mathbb{Z}$. So we may choose $c = b - [b]_m + m + r$.

Part (b). By assumption, there is $b \in \text{dcl}(G\bar{a}) \setminus G$ and $h'_1, h'_2 \in G$ such that $h'_1 < b < h'_2$. By the description of definable closure in Presburger arithmetic, there are integers $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and some $h_0 \in G$ such that $rb = s_{\bar{k}}(\bar{a}) + h_0$. Now let $h_i = rh'_i - h_0$. \square

0.4. Definable types in Presburger arithmetic. In the context of Definition 0.1, we consider the situation where G is the monster model M , and the definable group is $G^n = \mathbb{Z}^n(G)$, for a fixed $n > 0$, under coordinate addition. In particular, where we have the notation $S_G(M)$ in Definition 0.1, here we just have $S_n(G)$.

Definition 0.5. A type $p \in S_n(G)$ is **algebraically independent** if for all (some) $\bar{a} \models p$, $a_i \notin G(\bar{a}_{\neq i})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Lemma 0.6. Suppose $p \in S_n(G)$ is algebraically independent and for all (some) $\bar{a} \models p$, $G(\bar{a})$ is an end extension of G . Then p is definable over \emptyset .

Proof. Let \mathbb{Z}_*^n denote $\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$. By quantifier elimination, it suffices to give definitions for atomic formulas of the following forms:

- $\varphi_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) := (s_{\bar{k}}(\bar{x}) = t(\bar{y}))$, where $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_*^n$ and $t(\bar{y})$ is a term in variables \bar{y} ,
- $\varphi_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) := (s_{\bar{k}}(\bar{x}) > t(\bar{y}))$, where $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_*^n$ and $t(\bar{y})$ is a term in variables \bar{y} ,
- $\varphi_3(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) := ([s_{\bar{k}}(\bar{x}) + t(\bar{y})]_m = 0)$, where $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_*^n$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and $t(\bar{y})$ is a term in variables \bar{y} .

Fix $\bar{a} \models p$ and fix $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_*^n$. Since p is algebraically independent, it follows that $s_{\bar{k}}(\bar{a}) \notin G$. Since $G(\bar{a})$ is an end extension of G , we may partition $\mathbb{Z}_*^n = S^+ \cup S^-$

where

$$S^+ = \{\bar{k} : s_{\bar{k}}(\bar{a}) > G\} \quad \text{and} \quad S^- = \{\bar{k} : s_{\bar{k}}(\bar{a}) < G\}.$$

Note that S^+ and S^- depend only on p , and not choice of realization \bar{a} . Moreover, for any $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $m > 0$, the integer $[s_{\bar{k}}(\bar{a})]_m \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ depends only on p . We now give the following definitions for p (note that they are formulas over \emptyset):

$$\begin{aligned} d_p[\varphi_1](\bar{y}) &:= (y_1 \neq y_1), \\ d_p[\varphi_2](\bar{y}) &:= \begin{cases} y_1 = y_1 & \text{if } \bar{k} \in S^+ \\ y_1 \neq y_1 & \text{if } \bar{k} \in S^-, \end{cases} \\ d_p[\varphi_3](\bar{y}) &:= ([t(\bar{y}) + [s_{\bar{k}}(\bar{a})]_m]_m = 0). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Theorem 0.7. *Given $p \in S_n(G)$, the following are equivalent.*

- (i) p is definable (over G).
- (ii) p has a unique coheir to G^* .
- (iii) For any (some) $\bar{a} \models p$, $G(\bar{a})$ is an end extension of G .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). This is true in any NIP theory (see [5, Exercise 2.74]), and has nothing to do with G being a group.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). By Lemma 0.3(b).

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). We may assume p is non-isolated. We proceed by induction on n . If $n = 1$ then p is algebraically independent since it is non-isolated, and so we apply Lemma 0.6. Assume the result for $n' < n$ and fix $p \in S_n(G)$. If p is algebraically independent then we apply Lemma 0.6. So assume, without loss of generality, that we have $\bar{a} \models p$ with $a_n \in G(\bar{a}_{<n})$. Let $q = \text{tp}(\bar{a}_{<n}/G) \in S_{n-1}(G)$. By assumption, $G(\bar{a}_{<n}) = G(\bar{a})$ is an end extension of G , and so q is definable by induction. Fix a G -definable function $f : (G^*)^{n-1} \rightarrow G^*$ such that $f(\bar{a}_{<n}) = a_n$. Fix a formula $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ and define

$$\psi(\bar{x}_{<n}, \bar{y}) := \varphi(\bar{x}_{<n}, f(\bar{x}_{<n}), \bar{y}).$$

Let $d_q[\psi](\bar{y})$ be an \mathcal{L}_G -formula such that, for any \bar{b} in G , $\psi(\bar{x}_{<n}, \bar{b}) \in q$ if and only if $G \models d_q[\psi](\bar{b})$. Then, for any \bar{b} in G , we have

$$\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{b}) \in p \Leftrightarrow G^* \models \varphi(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \Leftrightarrow G^* \models \psi(\bar{a}_{<n}, \bar{b}) \Leftrightarrow G \models d_q[\psi](\bar{b}).$$

This shows that p is definable. \square

Remark 0.8.

- (1) Neither Lemma 0.6 nor Theorem 0.7 require saturation of G .
- (2) This result is similar to the Marker-Steinhorn theorem for o-minimal theories [3], which says that a type p over a model \mathcal{M} of an o-minimal theory is definable if and only if \mathcal{M} is *Dedekind closed* in $\mathcal{M}(\bar{a})$ (i.e. no Dedekind cut in M is realized in $\mathcal{M}(\bar{a})$), where $\mathcal{M}(\bar{a})$ is again the unique prime model over $M\bar{a}$.
- (3) Lemma 0.3(a) gives a direct (and short) proof of (i) \Rightarrow (iii), which does not appeal to NIP.
- (4) Some relationship between definable 1-types and end extensions in Peano arithmetic is discussed in Section 11.4 of [4].

0.5. f -generics in Presburger arithmetic. We continue to work with the notations and conventions from the previous section.

Proposition 0.9. *Any f -generic $p \in S_n(G)$ is algebraically independent.*

Proof. Suppose p is not algebraically independent. Without loss of generality, fix $\bar{a} \models p$ with $a_n \in G(\bar{a}_{<n})$. Then there are $r, k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \in G$ such that $ra_n = b + k_1a_1 + \dots + k_{n-1}a_{n-1}$. Consider the formula $\phi(\bar{x}; b) := rx_n = b + k_1x_1 + \dots + k_{n-1}x_{n-1}$, and note that $\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{b}) \in p$. We fix a small model $G_0 \prec G$, and find a translate of $\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{b})$ that forks over G_0 . Pick $c \in rG$ such that $b - c \notin G_0$, and set $g = \frac{c}{r}$. Let $\bar{g} = (0, \dots, 0, g)$, and set $\psi(\bar{x}; b, \bar{g}) := \phi(\bar{x} + \bar{g}; b)$. By construction, we may find automorphisms $\sigma_i \in \text{Aut}(G/G_0)$ such that $\sigma_i(b-c) \neq \sigma_j(b-c)$ for all $i \neq j$. Setting $b_i = \sigma_i(b)$ and $\bar{g}_i = \sigma_i(\bar{g}) = (0, \dots, \frac{\sigma_i(c)}{r})$, we have that $\{\psi(\bar{x}; b_i, \bar{g}_i) : i < \omega\}$ is 2-inconsistent. So $\psi(\bar{x}; b, \bar{g})$ forks over G_0 , as desired. \square

Theorem 0.10. *If $p \in S_n(G)$ is algebraically independent, then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) p is f -generic.
- (ii) p is strongly f -generic.
- (iii) p is definably f -generic.
- (iv) p is definable (over G).
- (v) p is definable over \emptyset .
- (vi) For any (some) $\bar{a} \models p$, $G(\bar{a})$ is an end extension of G .

Proof. (iv) \Rightarrow (vi): By Theorem 0.7.

(vi) \Rightarrow (v): By Lemma 0.6.

(v) \Rightarrow (iv): Trivial.

(i) \Rightarrow (vi): Suppose $G(\bar{a})$ is not an end extension of G , and fix $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $h_1, h_2 \in G$ such that $s_{\bar{k}}(\bar{a}) \notin G$ and $h_1 < s_{\bar{k}}(\bar{a}) < h_2$. Consider the formula $\phi(\bar{x}; h_1, h_2) := h_1 < s_{\bar{k}}(\bar{x}) < h_2$, and note that $\phi(\bar{x}; h_1, h_2) \in p$. We fix a small model $G_0 \prec G$, and find a translate of $\phi(\bar{x}; h_1, h_2)$ that forks over G_0 . Without loss of generality, assume $b > 0$ and also $h_1 > 0$. Let k_i be a nonzero element of the tuple \bar{k} . By saturation of G , we may find $g \in G$ such that $k_i g > c$ for all $c \in G_0$. Let $\bar{g} \in G^n$ be such that $g_j = 0$ for all $j \neq i$ and $g_i = g$. For $t \in \{1, 2\}$, set $c_t = h_t + k_i g \in G$. Then $\phi(\bar{x} - \bar{g}; h_1, h_2)$ is equivalent to $c_1 < s_{\bar{k}}(\bar{x}) < c_2$. Since $c < c_1$ for all $c \in G_0$, it is easy to show, using Proposition 0.4(a), that $\phi(\bar{x} - \bar{g}; h_1, h_2)$ forks over G_0 , as desired. So p is not f -generic.

(vi) \Rightarrow (iii): Suppose $G(\bar{a})$ is an end extension of G . For any $\bar{g} \in G^n$, we have $G(\bar{a}) = G(\bar{g} + \bar{a})$, and $\bar{g}p$ is still algebraically independent. Therefore, for any $\bar{g} \in G^n$, we use Lemma 0.6 to conclude that $\bar{g}p$ is definable over \emptyset .

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): Trivial.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Trivial. \square

Remark 0.11. In general, f -generic types need not be strongly f -generic, even in definably amenable NIP groups. In fact, if G is a sufficiently saturated model of RCF, then there are f -generic types in $(G^2, +)$, which are not strongly f -generic (see [1, Example 3.10]). On the other hand, Levi, Kaplan, and Simon [2] have shown that if G is definably amenable and dp -minimal, then any f -generic type in $S_G(M)$ is strongly f -generic.

REFERENCES

- [1] Artem Chernikov and Pierre Simon, *Definably amenable NIP groups*, arXiv:1502.04365, 2015, to appear in J. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [2] Itay Kaplan, Elad Levi, and Pierre Simon, *Some remarks on dp-minimal groups*, Groups, modules, and model theory—surveys and recent developments, Springer, Cham, 2017, pp. 359–372.
- [3] David Marker and Charles I. Steinhorn, *Definable types in \mathcal{O} -minimal theories*, J. Symbolic Logic **59** (1994), no. 1, 185–198.
- [4] Bruno Poizat, *A course in model theory*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000, An introduction to contemporary mathematical logic, Translated from the French by Moses Klein and revised by the author.
- [5] Pierre Simon, *A guide to NIP theories*, vol. 44, Cambridge University Press, 2015.