

# Model Theory and Combinatorics of Homogeneous Metric Spaces

## Errata

Gabriel Conant  
University of Illinois at Chicago  
gconan2@uic.edu

last updated: September 29, 2015

### Typos

- page 20: “...with binary relations given by distance **inequalities**. However, when working directly...”
- page 25: “...with distances possibly outside of  $S$ , still satisfies **these** axioms.”
- page 26: “...there is an  $\mathcal{R}$ -triangle  $(r', s', t')$  in  $S$ , which  **$\Phi$ -approximates  $(u, v, w)$** .”
- page 31: In the proof of Proposition 2.3.10(a), the element  $s$  is undefined. The proof should read: “Suppose  $\alpha, \beta \in S^*$ , with  $\alpha \leq^* \beta$ . Fix an  $S$ -approximation  $\Phi$  of  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ . **By density of  $S$ , we may fix  $r \in \Phi(\alpha) \cap S$  and  $s \in \Phi(\beta) \cap S$  such that  $r \leq s$** . Then  $(r, s, s)$  is an  $\mathcal{R}$ -triangle...”
- page 31: “However, in the case that  $\mu := P_S(\alpha, \beta)$  is an element **of  $\nu(S)$** ,...”
- page 52: “Call an extension scheme  $(\mathcal{A}, f, \Psi)$  **standard** if  $\Psi^+(A^f \times A^f) \subseteq R$ .”
- page 52: “Set  $f_0 = f|_{A_0}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_0 = (A_0, d_A)$ , and  $\Psi_0 = \Psi_{A_0^{f_0} \times A_0^{f_0}}$ .”
- page 52:  $\Phi(a, b) = \begin{cases} \Phi_0(a, b) & \text{if } a, b \in A_0 \cup \{z_f\} \\ \hat{\Psi}(d_A(a, b)) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- page 61: “...and, given  $r, s \in R$ ,  $r \oplus s$  **is either  $r + s$  or the maximal element of  $R$** .”
- page 63: (from the paragraph starting “In [15]...” through the rest of the section on page 64) In this discussion, there are some technical issues concerning whether equality of theories  $T = T'$  should mean that  $T$  and  $T'$  are the same collection of sentences, or that they axiomatize the

same complete theory. The reader should assume the latter.

- page 64: “In [26], it is shown that the continuous theory of the complete Urysohn sphere has  $\text{SOP}_n$  for all  $n \geq 3$ ...” (The cited source [26] does not address continuous versions of  $\text{SOP}_1$  or  $\text{SOP}_2$ .)
- page 69: “For example, if  $\mathcal{S} = (\{0, 1, 3, 4\}, +_{\mathcal{S}}, \leq, 0)$ , then  $\frac{1}{2}(1 +_{\mathcal{S}} 3) = 3$  and  $\frac{1}{2}1 +_{\mathcal{S}} \frac{1}{2}3 = 4$ .”
- page 80: “For this, fix  $b \in BC$ , and note  $U(a_2) \leq d(a_2, b) \oplus \delta_b$ ...”
- page 80: “...by Lemma 3.4.10(c), we have  $a' \downarrow_C^d Bb_*$  for all  $a' \in A'$ , which gives  $A' \downarrow_C^d Bb_*$  by Lemma 3.4.1.”
- page 81: “(iv)  $\mathcal{R}$  is ultrametric, i.e., for all  $r, s \in R$ , if  $r \leq s$  then  $r \oplus s = s$ .”
- page 88: “Since  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{R}})$  is simple, it follows from Theorem 3.5.7(iv)...”
- page 96: “In other words  $\text{arch}(\mathcal{R}) \leq n$  if and only if  $s \leq nr$  for all  $s, r \in R^{>0}$ .”
- page 98: “Given  $1 \leq i < n$ , we have  $d(a_i^0, a_{i+1}^1) = \alpha_{i+1}$ ...”
- page 105: “To show the first equality, it suffices by part (a)...”
- page 128: The proof of Theorem 4.4.4 is overly complicated, and contains several typos, listed below. For a cleaner proof, see the preprint *Extending partial isometries of generalized metric spaces*, arXiv 1509.04950.
  - page 128: “Since  $\text{Spec}(A)$  is finite,  $\mathcal{R}$  is countable and has only finitely many archimedean classes.”
  - page 129: The indices  $i$  and  $j$  should not be fixed at the beginning of the proof of Claim 2. Instead, it should say: *Proof: We extend  $A$  to an  $\mathcal{R}$ -metric space  $A^*$  such that, if  $A_1^*, \dots, A_m^*$  are the  $\sim$ -classes of  $A^*$ , then  $A_i^*$  and  $A_j^*$  are isometric for all  $i, j \leq m$ .*
  - page 129: “Note that, for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $(A_i, d)$  is a subspace of  $(A, d_0)$ .”
  - page 129: “Given  $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ , fix an isometry  $\theta_{i,j} : A_i \rightarrow A_j$ . By induction, there is an  $\mathcal{S}_1$ -metric space  $B_1$  such that  $A_1 \subseteq B_1$  and any partial isometry of  $A_1$  extends to a total isometry of  $B_1$ .”
  - page 130: In the proof of Claim 4,  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  are fixed elements of  $\text{dom}(\varphi) \cap A_i$  and  $\text{dom}(\varphi) \cap A_j$ , respectively.
  - page 130: Both the definition of  $\hat{\phi}$  and Claim 6 are irrelevant and can be entirely omitted.

- page 148: “(i)  $R = \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \cup \{t\}$ , with  $t \notin \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ ”

## Errors

- page 117: There is a crucial error in the proof of Theorem 4.2.2, which prevents the argument from working in general when  $\mathcal{F}$  is nonempty. The argument can be salvaged by imposing strong restrictions on  $\mathcal{F}$ , but the general situation is unclear. This has the following consequences:
  - (i) Theorem 4.2.2 is only proved when  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies certain restrictions (which include  $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset$ ).
  - (ii) Corollary 4.2.3 is only proved when  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies these restrictions (in particular, Corollary 4.2.4 is still true).
  - (iii) Corollary 4.3.4 is still true (see final remarks below).
  - (iv) Other than these, all other results are unaffected.

A new draft of the argument, which spells out the restrictions on  $\mathcal{F}$ , is available as part of the preprint: *Extending partial isometries of generalized metric spaces*, [arxiv.org/abs/1509.04950](https://arxiv.org/abs/1509.04950). In particular, the classes of triangles of odd perimeter (which are the subject of Corollary 4.3.4, and the motivation for considering nonempty  $\mathcal{F}$ ) satisfy these restrictions.