

Applications of PseudoFinite Model Theory

Lecture 5 (4 May 2020)

Theorem 5.1 (Vapnik-Chervonenkis)

Suppose X is a finite set, and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ with $VC(\mathcal{A}) = d$. Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0, n \geq 1$

$$\left| \left\{ \bar{a} \in X^n : \left| Av_{\bar{a}}(S) - |S|/|X| \right| \geq \varepsilon \text{ for some } S \in \mathcal{A} \right\} \right| \leq \frac{O(n^d)}{e^{\varepsilon^2 n / 32}} |X|^n$$

Idea: For $\bar{a} \in X^n$, consider sets $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \cap S$ for $S \in \mathcal{A}$.

Sauer-Schelah: If $\bar{a} \in X^n$ then $\left| \left\{ \bar{a} \cap S : S \in \mathcal{A} \right\} \right| \leq O(n^d)$

Corollary 5.2 Suppose X is finite and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ with $VC(\mathcal{A}) = d$.

Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \bar{a} \in X^n$, with $n \leq O_{\varepsilon, d}(1)$, st $\forall S \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\left| Av_{\bar{a}}(S) - |S|/|X| \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

NIP Formulas in PseudoFinite Structures

Let M be an L -structure.

Def 5.3 A formula $\varphi(\bar{x}; \bar{y})$ is k -NIP if $\nexists \bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_k \in M^{\bar{x}}$ and $(\bar{b}_s)_{s \in [k]}$ in $M^{\bar{y}}$

st $M \models \varphi(\bar{a}_i, \bar{b}_s)$ iff i.e.s

i.e., $(M^{\bar{x}}, M^{\bar{y}}; \varphi)$ omits $([k], \wp([k]); \epsilon)$

i.e., $VC(\{\varphi(M; \bar{b}) : \bar{b} \in M^{\bar{y}}\}) < k$. (see Exc 14(d))

$\varphi(\bar{x}; \bar{y})$ is NIP if it is k -NIP for some $k \geq 1$.

Convention: From now on, " M is pseudoFinite" means $M \models \bigcup M_i$ where each M_i is a finite L -structure and we work in the expanded language for M .

In this case, μ denotes the normalized pseudo-finite counting measure on all definable subsets of M . Given a formula $\varphi(x; \bar{y})$ over \emptyset and $\bar{b} \in M^{\bar{y}}$

$$\mu(\varphi(x; \bar{b})) = \text{st}\left(f_{\varphi}^M(\bar{b})\right) \quad (\text{Exc. 4}).$$

Proposition 5.4 Suppose M is pseudo-finite and $\varphi(x; \bar{y})$ is NIP.

Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n \geq 1 \forall \bar{a} \in M^n \exists \bar{b} \in M^{\bar{y}}$,

$$|Ar_{\bar{a}}(\varphi(x; \bar{b})) - \mu(\varphi(x; \bar{b}))| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof: Let $\varphi(x; \bar{y})$ be $\psi(x; \bar{y}, \bar{c})$ for some $\psi(x; \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ over \emptyset and $\bar{c} \in M^{\bar{z}}$.

So $\mu(\varphi(x; \bar{b})) = \text{st}\left(f_{\psi}^M(\bar{b}, \bar{c})\right)$. Assume $\varphi(x; \bar{y})$ is k -NIP.

Let $X(\bar{z})$ express " $\psi(x; \bar{y}; \bar{z})$ is k -NIP". So $M \models X(\bar{c})$.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $n = O_{k-1, \varepsilon}(1)$ be as in Corollary 5.2. Then $\forall M_i$ ($M \models \prod_i M_i$)

$$M_i \models \forall \bar{z} (X(\bar{z}) \rightarrow \exists v_1 \dots \exists v_n \forall \bar{y} | Ar_{\bar{v}}(\psi(x; \bar{y}, \bar{z}) - f_{\psi}(\bar{y}, \bar{z})) < \varepsilon).$$

So M satisfies this by Łoś's Theorem.

Corollary 5.5 Suppose M is pseudo-finite and $\varphi(x; \bar{y})$ is NIP. Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,

\exists finite $F \subseteq M$ st $\forall \bar{b} \in M^{\bar{y}}$ if $\mu(\varphi(x; \bar{b})) \geq \varepsilon$ then $F \cap \varphi(M, \bar{b}) \neq \emptyset$.

Def. 5.6: Given a group G and $A \subseteq G$, call A left (right) generic if $G = FA$ (resp. $G = AF$) for some finite $F \subseteq G$.

Theorem 5.7 Let G be a pseudo-finite expansion of a group and suppose $A \subseteq G$ is definable and NIP (i.e. $\varphi(x; y) := x \in yA$ is NIP).

- TFAE
- A is left generic
 - A is right generic
 - $\mu(A) > 0$.

Proof i) \Rightarrow iii), ii) \Rightarrow iii) by finite additivity + invariance of μ .

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Assume $\mu(A) = \varepsilon > 0$. By Cor 5.5 (applied to " $x \in y A$ ") \exists finite $F \subseteq G$ s.t. $\forall g \in G$, $F \cap gA \neq \emptyset$. So $G = AF^{-1}$ ($g \alpha = f \iff g^{-1} = \alpha F^{-1}$)

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Similar. Use the fact that " $x \in A y$ " is NIP (Exc. 18).

Exercise 17 NIP is necessary in Thm 5.7

Def 5.8 Let M be a structure, and $\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{y})$ a formula.

- 1) A ϕ -formula is a Boolean combination of "instances" $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{b})$ for $\bar{b} \in M^{\bar{x}}$
- 2) A subset of $M^{\bar{x}}$ is ϕ -definable if it's defined by a ϕ -formula.
- 3) Let $\phi^*(\bar{y}; \bar{x})$ be the same formula $\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{y})$ but with the roles of object variables and parameter variables exchanged.

Exercise 18: If $\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{y})$ is k -NIP then $\phi^*(\bar{y}; \bar{x})$ is 2^k -NIP.

Theorem 5.9 Suppose M is pseudofinite and $\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{y})$ is NIP.

Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, the set $D_\varepsilon = \{ \bar{b} \in M^{\bar{y}} : \mu(\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{b})) \leq \varepsilon \}$ is an intersection of countably many ϕ^* -definable sets.

