

Part III Model Theory, Lecture 17, 18 Nov

T complete, infinite models.

Recall: A cardinal κ is regular if every unbounded subset of κ has size κ .

E.g. $\aleph_0, \aleph_1, 2^{\aleph_0}$ are regular. \aleph_ω not regular, e.g. $\{\lambda_n : n \geq 1\}$ is unbounded.

Theorem 17.1 Suppose T is κ -stable and κ is regular. Then T has a saturated model of size κ .

Proof

Step 1: If $M \models T$, $|M| = \kappa$, then $\exists N \models M$ st $|N| = \kappa$, and N realizes all 1 -types over M .

Pf: Enumerate $S_1(M) = \{p_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ by κ -stability. Build chain.

Step 2: Build $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ st $|M_0| = \kappa$. $M_{\alpha+1} \supseteq M_\alpha$ realizes all 1 -types over M_α , and $|M_{\alpha+1}| = \kappa$. Let $M = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} M_\alpha$. Then $|M| = \kappa$. If $A \subset M$, $|A| < \kappa$, then $A \in M_\alpha$ for some $\alpha < \kappa$ since κ is regular. So M realizes all 1 -types over A . \square

Theorem 17.2: Suppose T is \aleph_0 -stable. Then T is κ -stable $\forall \kappa \geq \aleph_0$.

Proof

Fix $\kappa \geq \aleph_0$. Assume we have $M \models T$, $|M| = \kappa$, st $|S_1(M)| > \kappa$. Then $\exists L_m$ -formula $\phi(x)$ st $|\phi(x)| > \kappa$. $\#L_m\text{-formulas} \leq \kappa$ $[x=x] = S_1(M)$

Claim: For an L_m -formula $\phi(x)$ if $|\phi(x)| > \kappa$ then $\exists L_m$ -formula $\psi(x)$ st $|\phi \wedge \psi| > \kappa$, $|\phi \wedge \neg \psi| > \kappa$.

Pf: Adapt the claim from the proof of Lemma 14.1.

Now build $\{\phi_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in 2^{<\omega}}$ st $[\phi_\sigma]$ is partitioned $[\phi_{\sigma_0}] \cup [\phi_{\sigma_1}]$ and $[\phi_\sigma] \neq \emptyset$. Let $N \leq M$ st $|N| = \aleph_0$ and N contains all parameters used in all ϕ_σ 's.

For $\sigma \in 2^\omega$, find $p_\sigma \in S_1(N)$ st $\phi_{\sigma i} \in p_\sigma \forall i \geq 0$. $|S_1(N)| = 2^{\aleph_0}$. T is not \aleph_0 -stable. \square

Corollary 17.3 If T is \aleph_0 -stable then T has a saturated model of size $\kappa \nvdash \text{regular } \kappa \geq \aleph_0$.

Fact: \aleph_0 -stable theories have saturated models of all infinite cardinalities.

Def 17.4 Fix $M \models T$, and an L -formula $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n)$. Then $p \in S_m(M)$ is definable wrt $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ if $\exists L_M$ -formula $\psi(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ st $\forall \bar{b} \in M^n$,

$$\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{b}) \in p \iff M \models \psi(\bar{b}).$$

We say $p \in S_m(M)$ is definable if it is definable wrt any L -formula $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ (any \bar{y}).

Example 17.5

1) $p = t_p(a/M)$ where $a \in M$. Given $\phi(x, \bar{y})$ let $\psi(\bar{y})$ be $\phi(a, \bar{y}) \leftarrow L_M$ -formula.

2) T is DLO. M is \mathbb{Q} . Choose $p \in S_1(\mathbb{Q})$ st $x < b$ is in p iff $\sqrt{2} < b$.

Let $\phi(x, y)$ be $x < y$. Then $\{b \in \mathbb{Q} : \phi(x, b) \in p\} = (-\infty, \sqrt{2}) \cap \mathbb{Q}$.

By QF any definable subset of \mathbb{Q} is a Boolean comb. & intervals with endpoints in \mathbb{Q} .

Notation: Let x be a tuple of variables. M^x denotes $M^{|\mathbf{x}|}$.

Def 17.6: Let $\phi(x, y)$ be an L -formula [x, y tuples]. Then $\phi(x, y)$ has the order property wrt T if $\exists M \models T$ and $(a_i)_{i \geq 0}, (b_i)_{i \geq 0}$ st $a_i \in M^x$, $b_i \in M^y$, $\forall i \geq 0$ and $\forall i, j$, $M \models \phi(a_i, b_j)$ iff $i \leq j$.

E.g. In \mathbb{Q} , $x \leq y$ has the OP (wrt DLO) Let $a_i = b_i = i$.

Fundamental Theorem of Stability (Shelah 1976) TFAE

- 1) T is stable.
- 2) For any $M \models T$, any $p \in S_n(M)$ is definable.
- 3) No L -formula has the OP wrt T .

FTS2 \Rightarrow FTS1 Assume 2. Fix $\kappa \geq |\mathbb{Z}| + \aleph_0$ s.t. $\kappa^{|\mathbb{Z}| + \aleph_0} = \kappa$ (e.g. $\kappa = 2^{|\mathbb{Z}| + \aleph_0}$)

We show T is κ -stable. Fix $M \models T$, $|M| = \kappa$. Let $X = \{\text{L-formulas } \varphi(x, \bar{y})\}$ and $Y = \{\text{all } L_M\text{-formulas } \psi(\bar{y})\}$ (any $\bar{y}\rangle$). Given $p \in S_1(M)$, define $F_p : X \rightarrow Y$ s.t. $F_p(\varphi(x, \bar{y}))$ witnesses that p is definable w.r.t. $\varphi(x, \bar{y})$. Then $p \mapsto F_p$ is an injective function from $S_1(M)$ to Y^X . So $|S_1(M)| \leq |Y^X| = \kappa^{|\mathbb{Z}| + \aleph_0} = \kappa$. \square