

APPENDIX A

The $\bar{\partial}$ -Operator

By S. Hurder

CONTENTS

- A1. Average Euler characteristic
- A2. The $\bar{\partial}$ -Index Theorem and Riemann–Roch
- A3. Foliations by surfaces
- A4. Geometric K -theories
- A5. Examples of complex foliations of three-manifolds

The purpose of this Appendix is to discuss the conclusion of the foliation index theorem in the context of foliations whose leaves are two-dimensional. Such foliations provide a class of reasonably concrete examples, which while they are certainly not completely representative of the wide range of foliations to which the theorem applies, are sufficiently complicated to warrant special attention, and also have the benefit of possessing the smallest leaf dimension for which the leaves have interesting topology. There is another, more fundamental reason for studying these foliations, and that is the observation that given any leafwise C^∞ -Riemannian metric on a two-dimensional foliation, \mathcal{F} , there is a corresponding complex-analytic structure on leaves making \mathcal{F} into a leafwise complex analytic foliation. Thus, two dimensional foliations automatically possess a Teichmüller space, and for each point in this space of complex structures, there is an associated Dirac operator along the leaves. The foliation index theorem then assumes the role of a Riemann–Roch Theorem for these complex structures.

We begin in §A1 with a discussion of the average Euler characteristic of Phillips–Sullivan, which is the prototype for the topological index

character of the foliation index theorems for surfaces. In §A2, the index theorem is reformulated for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator along the leaves of a leafwise-complex foliation. The Teichmüller spaces for two-dimensional foliations are discussed in §A3, and a few remarks about their properties are given. In §A4, some homotopy questions concerning the K -theory of the symbols of leafwise elliptic operators are discussed, with regard to the determination of all possible topological indices for a fixed foliation. Finally §A5 describes *some* of the “standard” foliations by surfaces, especially of three-manifolds, and the calculation of the foliation indices for them.

280

The reader will observe that this Appendix concentrates upon topological aspects of the foliation index theorem and serves as an elaboration upon Connes’ example of a foliation by complex lines on the four-manifold $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_1 \times \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_2$ described in §A3. A key point of this example is that the meaning of the analytic index along the leaves can also be explicitly described in terms of functions with prescribed zeros-and-poles and a growth condition. For the foliations we consider, such an explicit description of the analytic index is much harder to describe, and would take us too far afield, but must be considered an interesting open problem, especially with regards to the Riemann–Roch nature of the foliation index theorem.

A1. Average Euler Characteristic

The index theorem for the de Rham complex of a compact even dimensional manifold, M , yields the Chern–Gauss–Bonnet formula for its Euler characteristic, which is equal to the alternating sum of the Betti numbers of M . In a likewise fashion, it was shown in Chapter VIII that the foliation index theorem for the tangential de Rham complex of a foliated space yields an alternating sum of “Betti measures”. When the transverse measure ν has a special form, i.e., it is defined by an averaging sequence, the d -foliation index can also be interpreted as the ν -average Euler characteristic of the leaves. We examine this latter concept more closely, for it provides a prototype for the calculation of the topological index in the general foliation index theorem. First, recall the integrated form of Theorem 8.6:

Where is (8.6c)? just call it (8.6)

THEOREM A1.1 (*d*-Foliation Index Theorem). Let ν be a transverse invariant measure for a foliation \mathcal{F} of a foliated space X , with $C_\nu \in H_p^\tau(X)$ the associated Ruelle–Sullivan homology class of ν . Let d be the de Rham operator on the tangential de Rham complex of \mathcal{F} . Assume the tangent bundle FX is oriented, with associated Euler form $e^\tau(X)$. Then

$$(A1.2) \quad \chi(\mathcal{F}, \nu) \equiv \int_X \iota_d \cdot d\nu = \int_X e^\tau(X) d\nu = \langle e^\tau(X), C_\nu \rangle.$$

The left-hand-side of A1.2 is interpreted in Chapter VIII as the alternating sum of the ν -dimensions of the L^2 -harmonic forms on the leaves of \mathcal{F} . To give a geometric interpretation of the right-hand-side of A1.2, we require that ν be the limit of discrete regular measures:

DEFINITION A1.3. An *averaging sequence* [GP79] for \mathcal{F} is a sequence of compact subsets $\{L_j \mid j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ where each L_j is a submanifold with boundary of some leaf of \mathcal{F} , and

$$\frac{\text{vol}(\partial L_j)}{\text{vol}(L_j)} \rightarrow 0.$$

(The sets $\{L_j\}$ may belong to differing leaves as j varies, and we are assuming a Riemannian metric on FX has been chosen and fixed.)

The sequence $\{L_j\}$ is *regular* if the submanifolds ∂L_j of X have bounded geometry (i.e., there is a uniform bound on the sectional curvatures, the injectivity radii and the second fundamental forms of the ∂L_j .)

For X compact, the measure ν_L associated to an averaging sequence is defined, on a tangential measure λ , by the rule

$$\int_X \lambda d\nu_L = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\text{vol}(L_j)} \cdot \int_{L_j} \lambda,$$

where, if necessary, we pass to a subsequence of the $\{L_j\}$ for which the integrals converge in a weak- $*$ topology. The closed current associated to ν_L determines an asymptotic homology class denoted by $C_L \in H_p(X; \mathbb{R})$.

We say a transverse invariant measure ν is *regular* if $\nu = \nu_L$ for some regular averaging sequence $\{L_j \mid j = 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Not all invariant transverse measures arise from averaging sequences, but there are many examples where they do, the primary case being

foliations with growth restrictions on the leaves. Choose a Riemannian metric on FX . Its restriction to a leaf $L \subset X$ of \mathcal{F} defines a distance function and volume form on L . Pick a base point $x \in L$ and let $g(r, x)$ be the volume of the ball of radius r centered at x . We say L has:

polynomial growth of degree $\leq n$ if

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(r, x)}{r^n} < \infty$$

subexponential growth if

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} \log g(r, x) = 0$$

non-exponential growth if

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} \log g(r, x) = 0$$

exponential growth if

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} \log g(r, x) > 0.$$

For X compact, the growth type of L is independent of the choice of metric on FX and the basepoint x .

For a leaf L with non-exponential growth, there is a sequence of radii $r_j \rightarrow \infty$ for which the balls L_j of radius r_j centered at X form an averaging sequence [Pla75]. In this case, all of the sets L_j are contained in the same leaf L . For X compact and the foliation of class C^2 , these sets L_j can be modified to make them regular as well. For a foliation \mathcal{F} with even-dimensional leaves and a regular measure ν , the d -Index Theorem becomes

$$\chi(\mathcal{F}, \nu) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\text{vol}(L_j)} \int_{L_j} e^\tau(X).$$

By the Gauss–Bonnet theorem,

$$\int_{L_j} e^\tau(X) = e(L_j) + \int_{\partial L_j} \epsilon_j$$

where $e(L_j)$ is the Euler characteristic of L_j and ϵ_j is a correction term depending on the Riemannian geometry of ∂L_j . The assumption that the submanifolds $\{\partial L_j\}$ have uniformly bounded geometry implies there is a uniform estimate

$$\left| \int_{\partial L_j} \epsilon_j \right| \leq K \cdot \text{vol}(\partial L_j).$$

Therefore, in the limit we have

$$(A1.4) \quad \chi(\mathcal{F}, \nu) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e(L_j)}{\text{vol}(L_j)}$$


and the right side of A1.4 is called the *average Euler characteristic* of the averaging sequence $\{L_j\}$. Phillips–Sullivan [PS81] and Cantwell–Conlon [CC77] use this invariant of a non-compact Riemannian manifold to give examples of quasi-isometry types of manifolds which cannot be realized as leaves of foliations of a manifold X with $H_p(X, \mathbb{R}) = 0$.

Consider three examples of open two-manifolds (cf. [PS81]), whose metric is defined by the given embedding in E^3 . Each of the following, with their quasi-isometry class of metrics, can be realized as leaves of some foliation of some three-manifold, but the first two cannot be realized (with the given quasi-isometry class of metrics) as leaves in S^3 .

FIGURE A1.5

Jacob's Ladder


284



The growth type of L is linear, and the average Euler characteristic of L is $\frac{1}{\text{vol}(H)}$.

FIGURE A1.6


Infinite Jail Cell Window



The growth of L is quadratic, and average Euler characteristic of L is $\frac{2}{\text{vol } A}$.

FIGURE A1.7

Infinite Loch Ness Monster



The growth of L is quadratic, but the average Euler characteristic is zero.

The construction of the average Euler characteristic for surfaces suggests that a similar geometric interpretation can be given for the topological index of other differential operators. For the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator of complex line foliations, this is indeed true, as discussed further in §3.

285

It's not "rare" - it's "true"

A2. The $\bar{\partial}$ -Index Theorem and Riemann–Roch

We next examine in detail the meaning of the foliation index theorem for the tangential $\bar{\partial}$ -operator. Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation of a foliated space X and assume the leaves of \mathcal{F} are complex manifolds whose complex structure varies continuously in X . That is, in Definition 2.1 of Chapter II, we assume that foliation charts $\{\varphi_x\}$ can be chosen for which the composition $t_y \circ \varphi_x^{-1}(\cdot, n)$ is holomorphic for all n , and $n \mapsto t_y \circ \varphi_x^{-1}(\cdot, n)$ is continuous in the space of holomorphic maps.

Let k be such that the dimension of the leaves of \mathcal{F} is $p = 2k$.

A continuous vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ is *holomorphic* if for each leaf $L \subset X$ with given complex structure, the restriction $E|L \rightarrow L$ is a holomorphic bundle. As before, FX is the tangent bundle to the leaves of \mathcal{F} , and this is holomorphic in the above sense. Let $A^{r,s} \rightarrow X$ be the bundle of smooth tensors of type (r, s) :

$$A^{r,s} = \Lambda^{r,s}(F_{\mathbb{C}}X^*).$$

Given a holomorphic bundle E , let $A^{r,s} \otimes E$ denote the (r, s) -forms with coefficients in E . Assume that E has an Hermitian inner product, and then set

$$L^2(\mathcal{F}, E) = \bigoplus_{x \in X} L^2(L_x, E|L_x),$$

where L_x is the leaf of \mathcal{F} through x , $E|L_x$ is the restriction of the Hermitian bundle E to L_x , and we then take the L^2 -sections of E over L_x with respect to a Lebesgue measure on L_x inherited from a Riemannian metric on FX . Note that $L^2(\mathcal{F}, E)$ is in general neither a subspace nor a quotient of $L^2(X, E)$, the global L^2 -sections of E over X .

286

For E a leafwise-holomorphic bundle, the leafwise $\bar{\partial}$ -operator for \mathcal{F} has a densely defined extension to

$$\bar{\partial} \otimes E : L^2(\mathcal{F}, A^{r,s} \otimes E) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{F}, A^{r,s+1} \otimes E)$$

which is tangentially elliptic. Let $\ker_s(\bar{\partial} \otimes E)$ denote the kernel of

$$\bar{\partial} \otimes E : L^2(\mathcal{F}, A^{0,s} \otimes E) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{F}, A^{0,s+1} \otimes E).$$

An element $\omega \in \ker_s(\bar{\partial} \otimes E)$ is a form whose restriction to each leaf L is a smooth form of type $(0, s)$ satisfying $\bar{\partial}(\omega|L) = 0$. Furthermore, for each $s \geq 0$, $\ker_s(\bar{\partial} \otimes E)$ is a locally finite dimensional space over X (cf. Chapter I). For an invariant transverse measure ν , the total ν -density of the $(0, s)$ -solutions ω to the equation $\bar{\partial} \otimes E(\omega) = 0$ is $\dim_{\nu} \ker_s(\bar{\partial} \otimes E)$, and we set

$$\dim_{\nu} \ker(\bar{\partial} \otimes E) = \sum_{s=0}^k \dim_{\nu} \ker_s(\bar{\partial} \otimes E).$$

Similar arguments apply to the adjoint $\bar{\partial}^*$, and with the notation of Chapter IV we have

$$\int_X \iota_{\bar{\partial} \otimes E} d\nu = \dim_\nu \ker(\bar{\partial} \otimes E) - \dim_\nu \ker(\bar{\partial}^* \otimes E)$$

THEOREM A2.1 ($\bar{\partial}$ -Index Theorem). Let ν be an invariant transverse measure for a complex foliation \mathcal{F} of X , $C_\nu \in H_{2\kappa}^\tau(X; \mathbb{R})$ the associated Ruelle-Sullivan homology class, and $\text{Td}_\tau(X) = \text{Td}(FX \otimes \mathbb{C})$ the tangential Todd class for \mathcal{F} . Then

$$(A2.2) \quad \int_X \iota_{\bar{\partial} \otimes E} d\nu = \langle \text{ch}(\bar{\partial} \otimes E) \text{Td}_\tau(X), C_\nu \rangle$$

The left-hand-side of A2.2 is identified with the *arithmetic genus* of \mathcal{F} with coefficients in E ,

287

$$\chi(\bar{\partial} \otimes E, \nu) = \sum_{i=0}^{\kappa} (-1)^i \dim_\nu H^i(\mathcal{F}; E)$$

where

$$H^i(\mathcal{F}, E) \equiv \ker_i(\bar{\partial} \otimes E) / \ker_i(\bar{\partial}^* \otimes E)$$

is a locally-finite dimensional space over X . The number $\dim_\nu H^i(\mathcal{F}; E)$ measures the density of this cohomology group in the support of ν , and generalizes the ν -Betti numbers of the operator d . On the right-hand-side of A2.2, the term $\text{ch}(\bar{\partial} \otimes E)$ is the Chern character of the K -theory class determined by the complex

$$A^{0,*} \otimes E.$$

There is a standard simplification of the cup product

$$\text{ch}(\bar{\partial} \otimes E) \text{Td}(FX \otimes \mathbb{C}),$$

which yields:

COROLLARY A2.3. $\chi(\bar{\partial} \otimes E, \nu) = \langle \text{ch}(E) \text{Td}_\tau(FX), C_\nu \rangle$.

PROOF. Use the splitting principle and the multiplicativity of the Chern and Todd characters. For details, see [Sha78]. \square

Corollary A2.3 is exactly the classical Riemann–Roch Theorem in the context of foliations. The arithmetical genus $\chi(\bar{\partial} \otimes E, \nu)$ is the ν -density of the alternating sum of the dimensions of the $\bar{\partial}$ -closed L^2 -forms on the leaves of \mathcal{F} . The right-hand side is a topological invariant of E , FX and C_ν . For a given measure ν , one can hope to

choose the bundle E so that $\chi(\bar{\partial} \otimes E, \nu) \neq 0$, guaranteeing the existence for ν -a.e. leaf L of \mathcal{F} of $\bar{\partial}$ -closed L^2 -forms on L with coefficients in E .

A3. Foliations by Surfaces (Complex Lines or $k = 1$)

Let X be a compact foliated space with foliation \mathcal{F} having leaves of dimension $p = 2$. For example, we may take $X = M$ to be a smooth manifold and assume TM admits a 2-plane subbundle F . Then by Thurston [Thu76], F is homotopic to a bundle FM which is tangent to a smooth foliation of M by surfaces.

LEMMA A3.1. Let \mathcal{F} be a two dimensional foliation of X with FX orientable. Then every Riemannian metric g on FX canonically determines a continuous complex structure on the leaves of \mathcal{F} . That is, the pair (\mathcal{F}, g) determines a complex foliation of X .

288

PROOF. Define a J -operator J_g on FX to be rotation by $+\pi/2$ with respect to the given metric g and the orientation. For each leaf L , the structure $J_g|_L$ is integrable as the leaf is two-dimensional hence uniquely defines a complex structure on L . Furthermore, by the parametrized Riemann mapping theorem [Ahl66], there exist foliation charts for \mathcal{F} with each $t' \circ \varphi_x(\cdot, n)$ holomorphic and continuous in the variable ν . □

We remark that if \mathcal{F} has a given complex structure, J , then a metric g can be defined on FX for which $J_g = J$. Thus, the construction of Lemma 2.1 yields all possible complex structures on \mathcal{F} . This suggests the definition of the Teichmüller space of a two-dimensional foliation \mathcal{F} of a space X . We say two metrics g and g' on FX are *holomorphically equivalent* if there is a homeomorphism $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ mapping the leaves of \mathcal{F} smoothly onto themselves, and ϕ^*g' is conformally equivalent to g . We say that g and g' are *measurably holomorphically equivalent* if there is a measurable automorphism ϕ of X mapping leaves of \mathcal{F} smoothly onto leaves of \mathcal{F} , and $\phi^*(g')$ is conformally equivalent to g by a measurable conformal factor on X .

DEFINITION A3.2. The (*measurable*) *Teichmüller space* $T(X, \mathcal{F})$ (respectively, $T_m(X, \mathcal{F})$) is the set of (*measurable*) holomorphic equivalence classes $[g]$ of metrics on FX .

When \mathcal{F} consists of one leaf, this reduces to the usual Teichmüller space of a surface. When \mathcal{F} is defined by a fibration $X \rightarrow Y$ with fibre a surface Σ , let $T(\Sigma)$ be the Teichmüller space of Σ , then

$$T(X, \mathcal{F}) = C^0(Y, T(\Sigma))$$

is infinite dimensional. The more interesting question is to study $T(X, \mathcal{F})$ for an ergodic foliation \mathcal{F} . There are constructions of foliated manifolds, due to E. Ghys, which show that $T(X, \mathcal{F})$ can be infinite-dimensional, even for \mathcal{F} ergodic [Gh97; Gh99]

Related to this is a problem first posed by J. Cantwell and L. Conlon: when does there exist a metric on FX for which every leaf has constant negative curvature? A complete solution is given for codimension-one, proper foliations [CC81], as well as for leaves in Markov exceptional minimal sets [CC81]. There is also a more general problem, which is to “uniformize” \mathcal{F} – that is, to find a metric on the leaves such that the curvature is constant. This problem was solved by Alberto Candel [Can93] in the case all leaves are hyperbolic, or in the case they are spherical. Ghys gives a discussion and survey of the more general case of leaves of mixed type in Ghys [Gh97; Gh99].

As an analogue of the Phillips–Sullivan Theorem in §1, one can ask if given a surface Σ with complex structure J_Σ , and given a compact manifold X , does there exist a foliation \mathcal{F} of X and $[g] \in T(X, \mathcal{F})$ with Σ a leaf of \mathcal{F} so that the complex structure induced on Σ by $[g]$ coincides with J_Σ ? The average Euler characteristic of Σ still provides an obstruction to solving this problem, when Σ has non-exponential growth type, but the additional requirement that Σ have a prescribed complex structure should force other obstructions to arise. This would be especially interesting to understand for Σ of exponential growth-type, where no obstructions are presently known.

We now turn to consideration of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Index Theorem for a foliation by complex lines, and derive an analogue of the average Euler characteristic.

LEMMA A3.3. Let \mathcal{F} be a complex line foliation of X . Then

$$(A3.4) \quad \chi(\bar{\partial} \otimes E, \nu) = \langle c_1(E), C_\nu \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \chi(\mathcal{F}, \nu)$$

Here we start some text updates, plus new/updated references

end of text updates

289

PROOF. The degree two component of $\text{ch}_\tau(E) \text{Td}_\tau(X)$ is

$$c_1(E) + \frac{1}{2} c_1(FX).$$

□

Our goal is to give a geometric interpretation of the term $\langle c_1(E), C_\nu \rangle$ in A3.4 similar to the average Euler characteristic.

Let $\kappa \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^N$ be the canonical bundle over the complex projective N -space. For large N , there exists a tangentially smooth map

$$f_E : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^N$$

with

$$f_E^* \kappa = E.$$

(We say that f_E classifies E .) Let $H \subset \mathbb{C}P^N$ be a hypersurface dual to the first Chern class $c_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^N)$ of κ . For convenience, we now assume X is a C^1 -manifold and \mathcal{F} is also C^1 . The complex structure on \mathcal{F} orients its leaves, and the complex structure on $\mathbb{C}P^N$ orients the normal bundle to H . A connection on $\kappa \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^N$ pulls back under the f_E to a connection on $E \rightarrow X$, so $f_E^*(c_1) = c_1(E)$ holds both for cohomology classes and on the level of forms. Furthermore, a C^1 -perturbation of f_E results in a C^0 -perturbation of the form $c_1(E)$.

Given a regular averaging sequence $\{L_j\}$, for each $j \geq 1$ choose a C^1 -perturbation f_j of f_E so that $f_j(L_j)$ is transverse to H , and $f_j^*(c_1)$ converges uniformly to $c_1(E)$. We say a point $x \in L_j \cap f_j^{-1}(H)$ is a *zero* of E if $f_j(L_j)$ is positively oriented at $f_j(x)$, and a *pole* if the orientation is reversed. Let $Z(L_j)$ and $P(L_j)$ denote the corresponding set of zeros and poles in L_j . Then elementary geometry shows

$$\int_{L_j} c_1(E) = \#Z(L_j) - \#P(L_j) + \epsilon_j,$$

where the error term ϵ_j is proportional to $\text{vol}(\partial L_j)$. This uses that $\{\partial L_j\}$ has uniformly bounded geometry. Combined with Lemma 3.3, we obtain:

PROPOSITION A3.5. Let X be a C^1 -manifold and assume \mathcal{F} is a C^1 -holomorphic foliation by surfaces. For $\nu = \nu_L$ given by a regular averaging sequence $\{L_j\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\bar{\partial} \otimes E, \nu) &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#Z(L_j)}{\text{vol}(L_j)} - \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#P(L_j)}{\text{vol}(L_j)} + \frac{1}{2} \chi(\mathcal{F}, \nu) \\ &= (\text{average density of zeros of } E) \\ &\quad - (\text{average density of poles of } E) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(\text{average Euler char}). \end{aligned}$$

Silvio- please put more vglue in the display of 3.5

Consider the case of a foliation of a 3-manifold X by surfaces. Let $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d\}$ be a collection of d embedded closed curves in X which are transverse to \mathcal{F} , and $\{n_1, \dots, n_d\}$ a collection of non-zero integers. This data defines a complex line bundle $E \rightarrow X$, and for a leaf L the restriction $E|L$ is associated to the divisor

$$\sum_{i=1}^d n_i \cdot (\gamma_i \cap L).$$

Let ν be an invariant transverse measure. Then Proposition A3.5 takes on the more precise form:

PROPOSITION A3.6.

$$\dim_{\nu} H^0(\mathcal{F}; E) - \dim_{\nu} H^1(\mathcal{F}; E) = \sum_{i=1}^d n_i \cdot \nu(\gamma_i) + \frac{1}{2} \chi(\mathcal{F}, \nu).$$

PROOF. $c_1(E)$ is dual to the 1-cycle $\sum_{i=1}^d n_i \cdot \gamma_i$. □

If $\nu = \nu_L$ is defined by an averaging sequence $\{L_j\}$, then $\nu(\gamma_i)$ is precisely the limit density of $(\gamma_i \cap L_j)$ in L_j , so Proposition A3.6 relates the ν -dimension of L^2 -harmonic forms on the leaves of \mathcal{F} with the average density of the zeros and poles of E . This is exactly what a Riemann–Roch Theorem should do. The latitude in choosing E for a given \mathcal{F} means one can often ensure that either $H^0(\mathcal{F}; E)$, the L^2 -meromorphic functions on the leaves of \mathcal{F} with order $\geq \sum n_i \cdot \gamma_i$, or the corresponding space of meromorphic 1-forms $H^1(\mathcal{F}; E)$ has positive ν -density. This type of result is of greatest interest when the complex structures of the leaves of \mathcal{F} can be prescribed in advance, as in Example A3.7 below.

For a complex line foliation \mathcal{F} of an n -manifold X , given closed oriented submanifolds $\{V_1, \dots, V_d\}$ of codimension 2 in X transverse to \mathcal{F} , and integers $\{n_1, \dots, n_d\}$, there is a holomorphic line bundle $E \rightarrow X$ corresponding to the divisor $\sum_{i=1}^d n_i \cdot V_i$. The existence of such closed transversals V_i to \mathcal{F} , and more generally of holomorphic vector bundles $E \rightarrow X$, is usually hard to ascertain. However, there is one geometric context in which such V_i always exists in multitude, the foliations given as in (2.2) of Chapter II. We briefly recall their construction.

Let Y be a compact oriented manifold of dimension $n - 2$, Σ_g a surface of genus g , and $\rho : \Gamma_g \rightarrow \text{Diff}(Y)$ a representation of the fundamental group $\Gamma_g = \kappa_1(\Sigma_g)$. The quotient manifold

$$M = (\tilde{\Sigma} \times Y) / \Gamma_g$$

has a natural 2-dimensional foliation transverse to the fibres of

$$\kappa : M \rightarrow \Sigma_g.$$

The leaves of \mathcal{F} are coverings of Σ_g associated to the isotropy groups of ρ , and inherit complex structures from Σ_g . The d -index theorem for \mathcal{F} can be deduced from Atiyah's L^2 -index theorem for coverings [Ati76]. For the $\bar{\partial}$ -index theorem, this is no longer the case. Also, note that the Teichmüller spaces of this class of foliations always has dimension at least that of Σ_g , as every metric on $T\Sigma_g$ lifts to a metric on FM . However, they need not have the same dimension, and $T(M, \mathcal{F})$ or $T_m(M, \mathcal{F})$ provide a very interesting geometric “invariant” of the representation ρ of Γ_g on Y .

292

For each point $x \in \Sigma_g$, the fibre $\pi^{-1}(x) \subset M$ is a closed orientable transversal to \mathcal{F} . To obtain further transversals, we assume the fibration $M \rightarrow \Sigma_g$ is trivial, so there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Sigma_g \times Y \\
 \searrow \pi & & \swarrow \\
 & & \Sigma_g
 \end{array}$$

Note that the foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on $\Sigma_g \times Y$ induced from its identification with M will not, in general, be the product foliation. A transversal to

\mathcal{F} corresponds to a transversal to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, and the latter can often be found explicitly.

EXAMPLE A3.7. Consider the example described by Connes in [Con82]. Here, $\Sigma_1 = \mathbb{C}/\Gamma_1$ is a complex torus, as is $Y = \mathbb{C}/\Gamma_2$, for lattices Γ_1 and Γ_2 in \mathbb{C} . Let Γ_1 act by translations on \mathbb{C}/Γ_2 , and form

$$M = (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}/\Gamma_2)/\Gamma_1 \cong (\mathbb{C}/\Gamma_1) \times (\mathbb{C}/\Gamma_2)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \kappa \\ \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C}/\Gamma_1 \end{array}$$

Connes takes $V_1 = 0 \times \mathbb{C}/\Gamma_2$ and $V_2 = \mathbb{C}/\Gamma_1 \times 0$ as the transversals in $\Sigma_1 \times Y$. Neither V_1 nor V_2 is homotopic to a fibre $\kappa^{-1}(x)$ so the $\bar{\partial}$ -index theorem for E associated to the divisor $V_1 - V_2$ is not derivable from the L^2 -index theorem for coverings. For ν the Euclidean volume on \mathbb{C}/Γ_2 , Connes remarks that

$$\chi(\bar{\partial} \otimes E, \nu) = \text{density } \Gamma_2 - \text{density } \Gamma_1,$$

so the dimension of the space of L^2 -harmonic functions on almost every leaf $\mathbb{C} \subset M$ with divisor $\mathbb{C} \cap (V_1 - V_2)$ is governed by the density of the lattices Γ_1 and Γ_2 . Again, this is exactly the role of a Riemann–Roch Theorem, where for foliations the degree of a divisor is replaced with the average density of the divisor. 293

A4. Geometric K -Theories

The examples described at the end of §A3 for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator suggest that to obtain analytical results from the foliation index theorem, it is useful to understand the possible topological indices of leafwise elliptic operators. In the examples above, the ν -topological indices are varied by making choices of “divisors” which pair non-trivially with the foliation cycle C_ν . As a consequence, various spaces of meromorphic forms are shown to be non-trivial. To obtain similar results for a general foliation, \mathcal{F} , it is useful to determine the range of topological indices of leafwise elliptic operators for \mathcal{F} . In this section, we briefly describe the formal “calculation” of these indices in terms of K -groups of foliation groupoids. In some cases, these abstract results can be explicitly calculated, giving very useful information. The

reader is referred to the literature for more detailed discussions. One other point is that the foliation index theorem equates the analytic index with the evaluation of a foliation cycle on a K -theory class; these evaluations can be much easier to make, than to fully determine the topological K -theory of the foliation. In this section, and in §A5, we will examine more carefully the values of the topological index paired with a foliation current for some classes of foliations.

Recall from Definition 2.20 of Chapter II the *holonomy groupoid*, or *graph*, $G(X)$ associated to the foliated space X . A point in $G(X)$ is an equivalence class $[\gamma_{xy}]$ of paths $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ with $\gamma(0) = x$, $\gamma(1) = y$ and γ remains on the same leaf for all t . Two paths are identified if they have the same holonomy. $G(X)$ is a topological groupoid with the multiplication defined by concatenation of paths. Also associated to the foliated manifold (X, \mathcal{F}) is a groupoid $\Gamma(X)$, constructed by Haefliger in [Hae84]. The groupoid $\Gamma(X)$ coincides with one of the restricted groupoids $G_N^N(X)$ of Chapter II. Let $\{U_\alpha\}$ be a locally finite open cover of X by foliation charts such that $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ is contractible if non-empty. For each α , there is given a diffeomorphism

$$\phi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q$$

sending the leaves of $\mathcal{F} | U_\alpha$ to $\mathbb{R}^p \times pt$. Define a transversal

$$T_\alpha = \phi_\alpha^{-1}(\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^q) \subset U_\alpha$$

for each α . By a judicious choice of the $\{U_\alpha\}$, we can assume the $\{T_\alpha\}$ are pairwise disjoint (cf. [HS83]). Then set $N = \cup T_\alpha$, an embedded open q -submanifold of X . It is an easy exercise to show $G_N^N(X)$ coincides with the Haefliger groupoid $\Gamma(X)$ constructed from the foliation charts $\{\phi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p+q}\}$.

The inclusion $N \times N \subset X \times X$ induces an inclusion of topological groupoids $\Gamma(X) \subset G(X)$. The cofibre of the inclusion is modeled on the trivial groupoid $R^p \times R^p$, where all pairs (x, y) are morphisms. One thus expects the above inclusion to be an equivalence, and Haefliger shows in [Hae84] that this is indeed so:

THEOREM A4.1 (Haefliger). The inclusion $\Gamma(X) \subset G(X)$ is a Morita equivalence of categories.

For any topological groupoid \mathcal{G} , there is a classifying space $B\mathcal{G}$ of \mathcal{G} structures, which is constructed using a modification of the Milnor join construction [Hae71; Mil56]. Applying this to $G(X)$ yields the space $BG(X)$ which is fundamental for foliation K -theory (cf. Chapter 9 [Con82]). Applying the B -construction to $\Gamma(X)$, we obtain a space $B\Gamma(X)$ which is fundamental for the characteristic class theory of \mathcal{F} .

COROLLARY A4.2. Let \mathcal{F}, X be a foliated space. The inclusion $\Gamma(X) \subset G(X)$ induces a homotopy equivalence $B\Gamma(X) \simeq BG(X)$.

Thus, the topological invariants of $B\Gamma(X)$ and $BG(X)$ agree. Note the open contractible covering $\{U_\alpha\}$ of X defines a natural continuous map $X \rightarrow B\Gamma(X)$. If all leaves of \mathcal{F} are contractible, then this inclusion is a homotopy equivalence, so that the topological type of $BG(X)$ is the same as X . By placing weaker restrictions on the topological types of the leaves of \mathcal{F} , one can more generally deduce that the inclusion is an N -equivalence on homotopy groups, [Hae84]. 294
For the generic foliation, however, one expects that the space $BG(X)$ will have a distinct topological type from X , probably more complicated.

The space $B\Gamma(X)$ can be studied from a “universal viewpoint” by introducing the Haefliger classifying spaces. For the class of transversally C^r -differentiable foliations of codimension q , Haefliger defines a space $B\Gamma_q^{(r)}$, and there is a universal map

$$i_X : B\Gamma(X) \rightarrow B\Gamma_q^{(r)}.$$

The cohomology groups of $B\Gamma_q^{(r)}$ then define universal classes which pull back to $B\Gamma(X)$ via $(i_X)^*$. The non-triviality of $(i_X)^*$ is then a statement about both the topology of $B\Gamma(X)$ and the inclusion i_X . A short digression will describe the situation for C^∞ foliations.

Let $B\Gamma_q$ denote the universal classifying space of codimension q C^∞ -foliations. (It is important to specify the transverse differentiability of \mathcal{F} , as the topology of $B\Gamma_q$ depends strongly on how much differentiability is required.) The composition

$$f_{\mathcal{F}} : X \rightarrow B\Gamma(X) \rightarrow B\Gamma_q,$$

or more precisely its homotopy class, was introduced by Haefliger in order to “classify” the C^∞ -foliations on a given X . The classification is modulo an equivalence relation which turns out to be *concordance* for X compact, and *integrable homotopy* for X open (cf. [Hae71]). For $B\Gamma(X)$, the principal invariants are the characteristic classes. Recall the definition of the differential graded algebra

$$WO_q = \Lambda(h_1, h_3, \dots, h_{q'}) \otimes \mathbb{R}[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_q]_{2q}$$

where the subscript “ $2q$ ” indicates that this is a truncated polynomial algebra, truncated in degrees greater than $2q$, and q' is the greatest off integer $\leq q$. The differential is determined by $d(h_i \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes c_i$ and $d(1 \otimes c_i) = 0$. The monomials $h_I \wedge c_J = h_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge h_{i_\ell} \wedge c_1^{j_1} \dots c_q^{j_q}$ where

$$(A4.3) \quad i_1 < \dots < i_\ell, \quad |J| = j_1 + 2j_2 + \dots + qj_q \leq q, \quad i_1 + |J| > q$$

are closed, and they span the cohomology $H^*(WO_q)$ in degrees greater than $2q$. The *Vey basis* is a subset of these (cf. [BH72; KT74; KT75; Law74].)

A foliation \mathcal{F} on M determines a map of differential algebras into the de Rham complex of M , $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}: WO_q \rightarrow \Omega^*(M)$. The induced map in cohomology, $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}^*: H^*(WO_q) \rightarrow H^*(M)$ depends only the integrable homotopy class of \mathcal{F} . The secondary classes of \mathcal{F} are spanned by the images $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}^*(h_I \wedge c_J)$ for $h_I \wedge c_J$ satisfying (A4.3).

The construction of the map $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$ is functorial, so there exist a universal map (cf. [Law77])

$$\tilde{\Delta}_* : H^*(WO_q) \rightarrow H^*(B\Gamma_q),$$

and for given \mathcal{F} on X we obtain its secondary classes via

$$\Delta_* = f_{\mathcal{F}}^* \circ \tilde{\Delta}_* : H^*(WO_q) \rightarrow H^*(X).$$

We next describe how the topology of $BG(X)$ is related to the topological indices of leafwise elliptic operators of \mathcal{F} . For \mathcal{F} a C^1 -foliation of a manifold X , the groupoid $G(X)$ has a natural map to $GL(q, \mathbb{R})$ obtained by taking the Jacobian matrix of the holonomy along a path $[\gamma_{xy}]$. This induces a map

$$BG(X) \rightarrow BGL(q, \mathbb{R}),$$

296
definition of $H^*(WO_q)$
– done

which defines a rank q vector bundle $\xi \rightarrow BG(X)$ whose pullback to X under $X \rightarrow B\Gamma(X) \rightarrow BG(X)$ is the normal bundle to \mathcal{F} . The ξ -twisted K -theory of $BG(X)$ is defined as

$$K_*^\xi(BG(X)) \equiv K_*(B(\xi), S(\xi))$$

where $B(\xi)$ is the unit disc subbundle of $\xi \rightarrow BG(X)$, and $S(\xi)$ is the unit sphere bundle.

Connes and Skandalis construct in [CS84] a map

$$\text{Ind}_t : K_*^\xi(BG(X)) \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*(X)),$$

which they call the topological index map, via an essentially topological procedure which converts a vector bundle or unitary over $BG(X)$ into an idempotent or invertible element over $C_r^*(X)$. Let F_1^*X denote the unit cotangent bundle to \mathcal{F} over X . Then there is a natural map of K -theories, $b : K^1(F_1^*X) \rightarrow K_0^\xi(BG(X))$, obtained from the exact sequence for the pair $(B(\xi), S(\xi))$. If \mathcal{F} admits a transverse invariant measure ν , then there is a linear functional ϕ_ν on $K_0(C_r^*(X))$ (cf. 6.23), and the composition $\phi_\nu \circ \text{Ind}_t \circ b = \text{Ind}_\nu^t$, the topological measured index. That is, for D a leafwise operator with symbol class $u = [\sigma_D] \in K^1(F_1^*X)$.

$$\phi_\nu \circ \text{Ind}_t \circ b(u) = \langle \text{ch}(D) \text{Td}_\tau(X), C_\nu \rangle.$$

Connes and Skandalis also construct a direct map,

297

$$\text{Ind}_a : K^1(F_1^*X) \rightarrow K_0(C_r^*(X)),$$

which they call the analytic index homomorphism, by associating to an invertible u the index projection operator over $C_r^*(X)$ of a zero-order leafwise elliptic operator whose symbol class is u . Also,

$$\text{Ind}_\nu^a \equiv \phi_\nu \circ \text{Ind}_a(u)$$

is the analytic index of this operator, calculated using the dimension function associated to ν . They then proved:

THEOREM A4.4 (Connes - Skandalis General Foliation Index Theorem).

[?] For any foliation \mathcal{F} , there is an equality of maps

$$\text{Ind}_a = \text{Ind}_t \circ b : K^1(F_1^*X) \rightarrow K_0(C_r^*(X)).$$

Note that Theorem A4.4 makes sense even when \mathcal{F} possesses no invariant measures. If there is an invariant measure, ν , then by the above remarks, the theorem implies the ν -measured foliation index theorem proved in Chapters 7 and 8. Note also that this formulation of the index theorem shows that the possible range of the analytic traces of leafwise operators, with respect to a given invariant measure ν , are contained in the image of the map

$$\phi_\nu \circ \text{Ind}_t : K_0^\xi(BG(X)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

This is the meaning of the earlier statement that the topology of $BG(X)$ dictates the possible analytic indices of leafwise operators, and motivates the study of $BG(X)$. In fact, Connes has conjectured that this space has K -theory isomorphic to that of $C_r^*(X)$.

CONJECTURE A4.5. Suppose that all holonomy groups of \mathcal{F} are torsion-free. Then Ind_t is an isomorphism.

status of conjecture - still open!

It is known that Conjecture A4.5 is true if \mathcal{F} is defined by a free action of a simply connected solvable Lie group on X , [Con82]. Also, for flows on the 2-torus and for certain ‘‘Reeb foliations’’ of three-manifolds, the work of Torpe [Tor85] and Penington [Pen83] shows that conjecture A4.5 holds.

Given a foliated manifold X with both FX and TX orientable, a natural problem, related to Conjecture A4.5, is to determine to what extent the composition

298

$$K_*(X) \xrightarrow{\text{Thom}} K_*^\xi(X) \rightarrow K_*^\xi(BG(X)) \xrightarrow{\text{Ind}_t} K_*(C_r^*(X))$$

is an isomorphism. We describe three quite general results on this, and then show that the $\bar{\partial}$ -Index Theorem also sheds some light on this problem in particular cases.

$H_*(X)$ was removed – no need

Let G be a connected Lie group. A locally free action of G on X is *almost free* if given $g \in G$ with fixed point $x \in X$, either $g = \text{id}$ or the germ of the action of g near x is non-trivial. If \mathcal{F} is defined by an almost free action of G on X , then $G(X) \cong X \times G$. If G is also contractible, then $X \rightarrow BG(X)$ is a homotopy equivalence.

THEOREM A4.6 (Connes [Con82]). Let \mathcal{F} be defined by an almost free action of a simply connected solvable Lie group G on X . Then there is a natural isomorphism $K_*(X) \cong K_*(C_r^*(X))$.

For $B^p = \Gamma \backslash G/K$ a locally symmetric space of rank one with negative sectional curvatures, there is a natural action of the lattice Γ on the sphere at infinity ($\cong S^{p-1}$) of the universal cover G/K . The manifold $M = (G/K \times S^{p-1})/\Gamma$ can be identified with the unit tangent bundle T^1B . The codimension $q = (p - 1)$ foliation of M defined in Chapter II corresponds here with the Anosov (= weak stable) foliation of T^1B .

THEOREM A4.7 (Takai [Tak86]). The index yields an isomorphism

$$K_*(M) \cong K_*(C_r^*(M))$$

For B a surface of genus ≥ 2 , this result is due to Connes (Chapter 12, [Con82]).

The third result deals with the characteristic classes of C^∞ -foliations. Recall from above that each class $[z] \in H^*(WO_q)$ defines a linear functional $\Delta_*[z]$ on $H_*(X)$. Connes has shown [Con86] that $[z]$ also defines a linear functional on $K_*(C_r^*(X))$, and these functionals are natural with respect to the map $H_*(X) \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*(X))$. From this one concludes: 299

THEOREM A4.8 (Connes [Con86]). Suppose there exists

$$[z] \in H^*(WO_n) \quad \text{and} \quad [u] \in H_*(X)$$

such that $\Delta_*[z]([u]) \neq 0$. Then $[u]$ is mapped to a non-trivial class in $K_*(C_r^*(X))$.

Theorem A4.8 shows that the characteristic classes of \mathcal{F} can be used to prove certain classes in $H_*(X)$ inject into $K_*(C_r^*(X))$.

After these generalities, we consider foliations of three-manifolds with an invariant measure ν given, and study the ν -topological index, $\text{Ind}_\nu^t(u)$, for $u \in K_1(X)$, which calculates the composition

$$K_1(X) \rightarrow K_0^\xi(X) \cong K^1(F_1^*X) \xrightarrow{\text{Ind}_\nu^t} \mathbb{R}.$$

First, here is a general statement for such foliations. Recall that a simple closed curve γ in X transverse to \mathcal{F} determines a complex line bundle E_γ over \mathcal{F} with divisor $[\gamma]$. Take $\bar{\partial}$ along leaves and form $\bar{\partial} \otimes E_\gamma$, then this gives a map

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(X; Z) &\rightarrow K^1(F_1^*X) \\ [\gamma] &\rightarrow [\bar{\partial} \otimes E_\gamma] \end{aligned}$$

and composing with Ind_a yields a map

$$\text{Ind} : H_1(X; Z) \rightarrow K_0(C_r^*(X)).$$

PROPOSITION A4.9. Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension-one C^1 -foliation of a compact three-manifold X . Assume both TX and FX are orientable.

a) Suppose ν is an invariant transverse measure with $C_\nu \neq 0$ in $H_2(X; \mathbb{R})$, and the support of ν does not consist of isolated toral leaves. (A toral leaf L is isolated if no closed transverse curve to \mathcal{F} intersects L .) Then there exists a holomorphic line bundle $E \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\text{Ind}_\nu(\bar{\partial} \otimes E) \neq 0$$

and thus

$$\text{Ind}(\bar{\partial} \otimes E) \in K_0(C_r^*(X))$$

is non-zero.

300

b) Let $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_d\}$ be a collection of invariant transverse measures such that the associated currents $\{C_1, \dots, C_d\} \subset H_2(X; \mathbb{R})$ are linearly independent when evaluated on closed transversals to \mathcal{F} . Then there exist holomorphic line bundles E_1, \dots, E_d over X such that the elements

$$\{\text{Ind}(\bar{\partial} \otimes E_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, d\} \subset K_0(C_r^*(X))$$

are linearly independent.

For example, it is not hard to show that if \mathcal{F} has a dense leaf, and the currents $\{C_1, \dots, C_d\} \subset H_2(X; \mathbb{R})$ of part b) are independent, then they are independent on closed transversals. Define $H(\Lambda) \subset H_2(X; \mathbb{R})$ to be the subspace spanned by the currents associated to the invariant measures for \mathcal{F} .

COROLLARY A4.10. If \mathcal{F} has a dense leaf, then there is an inclusion $H(\Lambda) \subset K_0(C_r^*(X)) \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

PROOF OF A4.9. First assume there is a closed transverse curve γ to \mathcal{F} which intersects the support of ν . Then $\nu(\gamma) \neq 0$, and we define $E = E_{n,\gamma}$ and use A3.4 to calculate

$$\text{Ind}_\nu(\bar{\partial} \otimes E_{n,\gamma}) \neq 0$$

for all but at most one value of n . If no such curve γ exists, then the support of ν must consist of compact leaves. One can show these

leaves must be tori which are isolated and this contradicts the hypothesis that there is a non-isolated toral leaf in the support of ν . This proves a). The proof of b) is similar. \square

A5. Examples of Complex Foliations of Three-Manifolds

The geometry of foliations on three-manifolds has been intensively studied. In this section, we select four classes of these foliations for study, and consider the $\bar{\partial}$ -index theorem for each. Let M be a compact oriented Riemannian three-manifold. Then M admits a non-vanishing vector field, and this vector field is homotopic to the normal field of some codimension one foliation of M . Moreover, M even has uncountably many codimension one foliations which are distinct up to diffeomorphism and concordance, [Thu74]. This abundance of foliations on three-manifolds makes their study especially appealing.

301

There are exactly two simply connected solvable Lie groups of dimension two, the abelian group R^2 and the solvable affine group on the line.

$$A^2 = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{cc} x & y \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{array} \right] \mid x > 0 \right\} \subset SL(2, \mathbb{R}).$$

A locally free action of R^2 or A^2 on a three-manifold M defines a codimension one foliation with very special properties. The foliations defined by an action of R^2 have been completely classified: see A5.1 and A5.2 below. For $\pi_1 M$ solvable, the locally free actions of A^2 on M have been classified by Ghys–Sergiescu [GS80] and Plante [Pla75]; see A5.4 and A5.5 below. For $\pi_1 M$ not solvable, some restrictions on the possible A^2 -actions are known.

Note that Connes' Theorem A4.6 applies only when \mathcal{F} is defined by an almost free action of R^2 or A^2 . This assumption does not always hold in the following examples, so we must use the geometry of \mathcal{F} to help calculate the image of the index map.

Throughout, M will denote a closed, oriented Riemannian three-manifold and \mathcal{F} an oriented two-dimensional foliation of M .

A5.1. Locally-Free R^2 -Actions. Let $a \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, which defines a diffeomorphism $\phi_a : T^2 \rightarrow T^2$, and a torus bundle over S^1 by setting

$$M_a = T^2 \times \mathbb{R} / (x, t) \sim (\phi_a(x), t + 1).$$

THEOREM A5.1 ([RRW70]). Suppose M admits a locally free action of R^2 . Then M is diffeomorphic to M_a for some $a \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

For \mathcal{F} defined by an R^2 -action. $\pi_1 M$ is solvable by Theorem A5.1 and \mathcal{F} has no Reeb components. The foliated three-manifolds with $\pi_1 M$ solvable and no Reeb components have been completely classified by Plante (Theorem 4.1 of [Pla79]: note that only his cases II, III or V are possible for an R^2 -action).

302

For $\pi_1 M$ solvable, there is also a classification of the invariant measures for any \mathcal{F} on M :

THEOREM A5.2 (Plante–Thurston). If $\pi_1 M$ is solvable and \mathcal{F} is transversally oriented, then the space of foliation cycles, $H(\Lambda) \subset H_2(M)$, has real dimension 1.

For \mathcal{F} defined by an R^2 -action, this implies there is a unique non-trivial projective class of cycles in $H_2(M)$ which arise from invariant transverse measures. Fix such an invariant measure ν . For the d -index theorem, evaluation on C_ν yields the average Euler characteristic of the leaves in the support of ν . These leaves are covered by R^2 , hence have average Euler characteristics zero, and T_ν annihilates the class $\text{Ind}(d)$.

For the operator $\bar{\partial}$, we use formula A3.4 to construct holomorphic bundles over M for which $T_\nu \circ \text{Ind}(\bar{\partial} \otimes E) \neq 0$. The number of such bundles is controlled by the *period mapping* of ν . This is a homomorphism $P_\nu : H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $P_\nu(\alpha) = \nu(\gamma)$ where γ is a simple closed curve representing the homology class α . The rank of its image is called the *rank* of (\mathcal{F}, ν) , denoted by $r(\mathcal{F})$. Note that $1 \leq r(\mathcal{F}) \leq 3$.

PROPOSITION A5.3. The elements $\text{Ind}(\bar{\partial} \otimes E) \in K_0(C_r^*(X))$, for $E \rightarrow M$ a holomorphic line bundle, generate a subgroup with rank at least $r(\mathcal{F})$.

PROOF. For each $\alpha \in \pi_1 M$ with $P_\nu(\alpha) \neq 0$, choose a simple closed curve γ in M representing α and transverse to \mathcal{F} . This is possible by Theorem A5.1 and the known structure of R^2 -actions. Then take $E = E_\gamma$ as in §3 to obtain

$$T_\nu \circ \text{Ind}(\bar{\partial} \otimes E) = \langle \text{ch}(E), C_\nu \rangle = \nu(\gamma) = P_\nu(\gamma).$$

This shows the map T_ν is onto the image of P_ν . □

It is easy to see that $r(\mathcal{F}) = 3$ if and only if \mathcal{F} is a foliation by planes. This coincides with the R^2 -action being free, and then one knows by Theorem A4.6 that

303

$$\alpha \mapsto \text{Ind}(\bar{\partial} \otimes E_\gamma)$$

is an isomorphism from $H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ onto the summand of $K_0(C_r^*(M))$ corresponding to the image of $H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}) \subset K_0(M) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(C_r^*(M))$.

A5.2. An R^2 -Action on a Nilmanifold. Let N_3 be the nilpotent group of strictly triangular matrices in $GL(3, \mathbb{R})$:

$$N_3 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ such that } a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

For each integer $n > 0$, define a lattice subgroup

$$\Gamma_n = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & p & r/n \\ 0 & 1 & q \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ such that } p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Then $M = N_3/\Gamma_n$ is a compact oriented three-manifold, and the subgroup

$$R^2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

acts almost freely on M via left translations. Also note M is a circle bundle over T^2 , and $H_2(M; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^2$. By Theorem A4.6, the index map is an isomorphism, so $K_0(C_r^*(M)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$. The curve representing the homology class of

$$\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \pi_1 M$$

is transverse to \mathcal{F} and $P_\nu(\alpha) \neq 0$ for a transverse measure ν with $C_\nu \neq 0$. However, $\text{Ind}(\bar{\partial} \otimes E_\gamma)$ cannot detect the contribution to $K_0(C_r^*(M))$ from the curve defined by a fibre of $M \rightarrow T^2$.

A5.3. Foliations Without Holonomy. If for every leaf L of a foliation, \mathcal{F} , the holonomy along each closed loop in L is trivial, then we say \mathcal{F} is without holonomy. In codimension-one, such foliations can be effectively classified up to topological equivalence. We discuss this for the case of C^2 -foliations. By Sacksteder's Theorem (cf. [Law77]), a codimension-one, C^2 -foliation without holonomy of a compact manifold admits a transverse invariant measure ν whose support is all of M . Moreover, there is foliation-preserving homeomorphism between M and a model foliated space, 304

$$X = (\tilde{B} \times S^1)/\Gamma,$$

where Γ is the fundamental group of a compact manifold B , \tilde{B} is its universal cover with Γ acting via deck translations, and Γ acts on S^1 via a representation

$$\exp(2\pi i\rho) : \Gamma \rightarrow SO(2),$$

for $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The foliation of X by sheets $\tilde{B} \times \{\theta\}$ has a canonical invariant measure, $d\theta$, and ν corresponds to $d\theta$ under the homeomorphism. Since the index invariants are topological, in this case we can assume that M is one of these models. For a three-manifold this implies $B = \Sigma_g$ for Σ_g a surface of genus $g \geq 1$. The case $g = 1$ is a special case of examples (A5.1) above.

Let Λ denote the abelian subgroup of \mathbb{R} which is the image of ρ . Denote by $r(\mathcal{F})$ the rank of Λ . It is an easy geometrical exercise to see that the group Λ agrees with the image of the evaluation map

$$[d\theta] : H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, there exists simple closed curves $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\}$ in M transverse to \mathcal{F} for which $\{P_\nu(\gamma_i)\}$ yields a \mathbb{Z} -basis for Λ . Form the holomorphic bundles $\{E_i\}$ corresponding to the $\{\gamma_i\}$, then the set $\{\text{Ind}(\bar{\partial} \otimes E_i)\}$ generates a free subgroup of rank r in $K_0(C_r^*(M))$. Since $H_2(BG(M); \mathbb{R})$ has rank r , this implies

PROPOSITION A5.4. The index map

$$K_0^r(BG(M)) \rightarrow K_0(C_r^*(M))$$

is a monomorphism.

These foliations have been analyzed in further detail by Natsume [Nat85] where he shows that this map is also a surjection.

A5.4. Solvable Group Actions. The locally free actions of A^2 on three-manifolds has been studied by many authors, including Ghys–Sergiescu [GS80] and Ghys [Gh84; Gh85; Gh93]: 305

THEOREM A5.5. Let $\pi_1 M$ be solvable and suppose A^2 acts on M . Then M is diffeomorphic to a torus bundle M_a over S^1 , and the monodromy map $a \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ has two distinct real eigenvalues.

THEOREM A5.6 ([Gh85]). Suppose A^2 acts locally free on M and preserves a smooth volume form. Then M is diffeomorphic to $SL(2, \mathbb{R})/\Gamma$ for some cocompact lattice in the universal covering group

$$\widetilde{SL(2, \mathbb{R})},$$

and the action of A^2 on M is via left translations.

PROPOSITION A5.7. Suppose $H_1(M) = 0$ and A^2 acts locally freely on M . Then the action preserves a smooth volume form on M .

Let us describe the foliation on $M_0 = T^2 \times \mathbb{R}/\phi_a$. Let $\bar{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be an eigenvalue with eigenvalue $\lambda > 0$. The foliation of \mathbb{R}^3 by planes parallel to the span of $\{(\bar{v} \times 0), (\bar{0} \times 1)\}$ is invariant under the covering transformations of $\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow M_a$, so descends to a foliation \mathcal{F}_λ on M_a . When $\lambda = 1$, the \mathbb{R}^2 -action on \mathbb{R}^3 defining the foliation there descends to an \mathbb{R}^2 action on M_a , defining \mathcal{F}_λ . When $\lambda \neq 1$, the leaves of \mathcal{F}_λ are defined by an action of A^2 on M_a .

For A^2 -actions on M with $\pi_1 M$ not solvable, it seems reasonable to conjecture they must have this form given in Theorem A5.6.

If the action of A^2 preserves a volume form on M^3 , then \mathcal{F} is transversally affine [GS80], so there can be no invariant measures for \mathcal{F} . In this case Theorem 8.6 of Chapter VIII reveals no information about $K_0(C_r^*(M))$. However, one has Connes' Theorem A4.6 since the A^2 -action is almost free. To give an illustration, let $\Gamma \subset SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ be a

cocompact lattice, and set $M = SL(2, \mathbb{R})/\Gamma$. The group A^2 acts via left translations and preserves a smooth volume form on M . Then

$$G(M) \cong M \times A^2,$$

$$K_0^\tau(BG(M)) \cong K^0(M)$$

and

$$\text{Ind} : K_0^\tau(BG(M)) \rightarrow K_0(C_r^*(M))$$

is an isomorphism. Note the foliation on M admits $2g$ closed transversals $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2g}\}$ which span $H_1(M)$. Form the corresponding bundles $E_i \rightarrow M$, and consider the classes $\{\text{Ind}(\bar{\partial} \otimes E_i)\} \subset K_0(C_r^*(M))$. It is natural to ask whether these classes are linearly independent, and for a geometric proof if so.

306

A5.5. Foliations with All Leaves Proper. A leaf $L \subset M$ is *proper* if it is locally closed in M . \mathcal{F} is proper if every leaf is proper. The geometric theory of codimension-one proper foliations is highly developed (cf. [CC81],[HH86]). We recall a few general facts relevant to our discussion.

THEOREM A5.8. Let \mathcal{F} be a proper foliation of arbitrary codimension. Then the quotient measure space M/\mathcal{F} , endowed with the Lebesgue measure from M , is a standard Borel space.

COROLLARY A5.9. Let \mathcal{F} be a proper foliation of arbitrary codimension. Then any ergodic invariant transverse measure for \mathcal{F} with finite total mass is supported on a compact leaf.

THEOREM A5.10. For a codimension one proper foliation \mathcal{F} , all leaves of \mathcal{F} have polynomial growth, and the closure of each leaf of \mathcal{F} contains a compact leaf.

Let \mathcal{F} be a proper codimension-one foliation of M^3 . Given a transverse invariant measure ν , we can assume without loss of generality that the support of ν is a compact leaf L . If L has genus ≥ 2 , then there exists a closed transversal γ which intersects L , so $T_\nu \circ \text{Ind}(\bar{\partial} \otimes E_{n,\gamma}) \neq 0$ for all but at most one value of n . Thus, the class

$$[L] \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$$

corresponds to a non-trivial class

$$\text{Ind}(\bar{\partial} \otimes E_{n,\gamma}) \in K_0(C_r^*(M)).$$

If L is a 2-torus, then it is difficult to tell whether the homology class of L is non-zero, and if so, whether it generates a non-zero class in $K_0(C_r^*(M))$. There is a geometric criterion which yields an answer.

THEOREM A5.11 (Rumler–Sullivan). Suppose M admits a metric for which each leaf of \mathcal{F} is a minimal surface. Then every compact leaf of \mathcal{F} has a closed transversal which intersects it.

307

COROLLARY A5.12. Suppose \mathcal{F} is a proper and minimal foliation. For each ergodic invariant transverse measure ν , there is a holomorphic bundle $E_\nu \rightarrow M$ such that $\text{Ind}(\bar{\partial} \otimes E_\nu) \in K_0(C_r^*(M))$ is non-zero, and $\text{Ind}_\nu(\bar{\partial} \otimes E_\nu) \neq 0$.

We cannot conclude from Corollary A5.12 that the elements

$$\{\text{Ind}(\bar{\partial} \otimes E_\nu) \mid \nu \text{ ergodic} \}$$

are independent. (Consider the product foliation $\Sigma_g \times S^1$.) However, if M has a metric for which every leaf is geodesic submanifold, then there are as many independent classes in $K_0(C_r^*(M))$ as there are independent currents $C_\nu \in H_2(M; \mathbb{R})$.

The Reeb foliation of S^3 is another relevant example of a proper foliation. It is not minimal, and $K_0(C_r^*(M)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ so the toral leaf does not contribute (cf. [Pen83] and [Tor85]).

A5.6. Foliations with Non-Zero Godbillon–Vey Class.

There is exactly one characteristic class for codimension-one foliations (of differentiability at least C^2), the Godbillon–Vey class $GV \in H^3(M; \mathbb{R})$. Recall from §A4 that GV defines linear functionals on both $K_*(M)$ and $K_*(C_r^*(M))$, and these functionals agree under the map

$$K_*(M) \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*(M)).$$

¹ If $GV \neq 0$ in $H^3(M)$, then there is a class $[u] \in K_*(C_r^*(M))$ on which GV is non-trivial. From this we conclude that the composition

$$H_3(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_1^\xi(BG(M)) \rightarrow K_0(C_r^*(M))$$

¹We remark that the map $GV : K_*(C_r^*(M)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *not* natural—it depends upon the choice of a smooth dense subalgebra of $C_r^*(M)$.

is injective.

The information on $K_1(C_r^*(M))$ obtained from GV is about all one knows for these foliations \mathcal{F}_α on M , which underlines the need for better understanding of how the geometry of a foliation is related to the analytic invariants in $K_0(C_r^*(M))$.

Since the first edition of this book, there has been great advances in understanding the relationship between the foliation indices and the geometry of \mathcal{F} . We mention in particular the works of Hitoshi Moriyoshi, who has given a very explicit description of the Godbillon-Vey invariant as an analytic invariant [Mori94a; Mori94b; Mori02; MorNat1].

Bibliography

- [ABP73] M. Atiyah, R. Bott, and V. K. Patodi. On the heat equation and the index theorem. *Invent. Math.*, 19:279–330, 1973. Errata in *Invent. Math.* **28** (1975), 277–280.
- [ABS64] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott, and A. Shapiro. Clifford modules. *Topology*, 3 suppl. 1:3–38, 1964.
- [Ahl66] Lars V. Ahlfors. *Lectures on quasiconformal mappings*. Number 10 in Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies. Van Nostrand, Toronto, 1966. With the assistance of Clifford J. Earle, Jr.
- [AM66] Louis Auslander and Calvin C. Moore. Unitary representations of solvable Lie groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No.*, 62:199, 1966.
- [Arv76] William Arveson. *An invitation to C^* -algebras*. Number 39 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 1976.
- [AS68a] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. The index of elliptic operators, I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 87:484–530, 1968.
- [AS68b] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. The index of elliptic operators, III. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 87:546–604, 1968.
- [AS71] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. The index of elliptic operators, IV. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 93:119–138, 1971.
- [Ati67] M. F. Atiyah. *K-theory*. W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1967. Lecture notes by D. W. Anderson.
- [Ati75] M. F. Atiyah. Classical groups and classical differential operators on manifolds. In E. Vesentini, editor, *Differential operators on manifolds*, pages 5–48. Cremonese, Rome, 1975.
- [Ati76] M. F. Atiyah. Elliptic operators, discrete groups and von Neumann algebras. In *Colloque “Analyse et Topologie” en l’Honneur de Henri Cartan*, number 32-33 in Astérisque, pages 43–72. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1976.
- [BC] P. Baum and A. Connes. Geometric k -theory for lie groups and foliations. Preprint.
- [BGR77] Lawrence G. Brown, Philip Green, and Marc A. Rieffel. Stable isomorphism and strong Morita equivalence of C^* -algebras. *Pacific J. Math.*, 71(2):349–363, 1977.
- [Bla86] Bruce Blackadar. *K-theory for operator algebras*. Number 5 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications. Springer, New York, 1986.
- [Bor63] Armand Borel. Compact Clifford-Klein forms of symmetric spaces. *Topology*, 2:111–122, 1963.
- [Bot69] Raoul Bott. *Lectures on $K(X)$* . Mathematics Lecture Note Series. W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1969.

- [BH72] R. Bott and A. Haefliger, *On characteristic classes of Γ -foliations*, **Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.**, 78:1039–1044, 1972.
- [Bou58] N. Bourbaki. *Éléments de mathématique. Topologie générale. Chapitre 9: Utilisation des nombres réels en topologie générale*. Number 1045 in Actualités Sci. Ind. Hermann, Paris, second edition, 1958.
- [Bow78] Rufus Bowen. *On Axiom A diffeomorphisms*. AMS, Providence, R.I., 1978. Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, No. 35.
- [Bre68] Manfred Breuer. Fredholm theories in von Neumann algebras, I. *Math. Ann.*, 178:243–254, 1968.
- [BT82] Raoul Bott and Loring W. Tu. *Differential forms in algebraic topology*. Number 82 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 1982.
- [Can93] Alberto Candel. Uniformization of surface laminations. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 26:489–516, 1993.
- [CC77] John Cantwell and Lawrence Conlon. Leaves with isolated ends in foliated 3-manifolds. *Topology*, 16(4):311–322, 1977.
- [CC81] John Cantwell and Lawrence Conlon. Poincaré-bendixson theory for leaves of codimension one. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 265(1, pages=181–209), 1981.
- [CC81] John Cantwell and Lawrence Conlon. Leafwise hyperbolicity of proper foliations. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 64(2, pages=329–337), 1989. Correction: *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 66(2, pages=319–321), 1991.
- [CC81] John Cantwell and Lawrence Conlon. Markov minimal sets have hyperbolic leaves. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 9(1, pages=13–25), 1991.
- [CGT82] Jeff Cheeger, Mikhail Gromov, and Michael Taylor. Finite propagation speed, kernel estimates for functions of the laplace operator, and the geometry of complete riemannian manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 17(1):15–53, 1982.
- [Che73] Paul R. Chernoff. Essential self-adjointness of powers of generators of hyperbolic equations. *J. Functional Analysis*, 12:401–414, 1973.
- [CM82] Alain Connes and Henri Moscovici. The l^2 -index theorem for homogeneous spaces of lie groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 115(2, pages=291–330), 1982.
- [CMS73] L. A. Coburn, R. D. Moyer, and I. M. Singer. C^* -algebras of almost periodic pseudo-differential operators. *Acta Math.*, 130:279–307, 1973.
- [Con73] Alain Connes. Une classification des facteurs de type III. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 6:133–252, 1973.
- [Con78] Alain Connes. The von Neumann algebra of a foliation. In G. Dell’Antonio, S. Doplicher, and G. Jona-Lasinio, editors, *Mathematical problems in theoretical physics*, volume 80 of *Lecture Notes in Phys.*, pages 145–151. Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [Con79] Alain Connes. Sur la theorie non commutative de l’integration. In Pierre de la Harpe, editor, *Algèbres d’opérateurs*, number 725 in *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 19–143. Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [Con81a] Alain Connes. An analogue of the thom isomorphism for crossed products of a c^* -algebra by an action of \mathbf{r} . *Adv. in Math.*, 39(1):31–55, 1981.

- [Con81b] Alain Connes. Feuilletages et algèbres d'opérateurs. In *Séminaire Bourbaki 1979/80*, number 842 in Lecture Notes in Math., pages 139–155. Springer, Berlin, 1981.
- [Con82] Alain Connes. A survey of foliations and operator algebras. Number 38 in Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., pages 521–628. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1982.
- [Con85a] Alain Connes. Noncommutative differential geometry, i: The chern character in k -homology. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (62):257–309, 1985.
- [Con85b] Alain Connes. Noncommutative differential geometry, ii: De rham homology and noncommutative algebra. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (62):310–360, 1985.
- [Con86] A. Connes. Cyclic cohomology and the transverse fundamental class of a foliation. In *Geometric methods in operator algebras*, number 123 in Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., pages 52–144. Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1986.
- [Con13] Alain Connes. c^* algèbres et géométrie différentielle. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris S r. A-B*, 290:A599–A604, 1980, number=13.
- [CS81] Alain Connes and Georges Skandalis. Théorème de l'indice pour les feuilletages. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris S r. I Math.*, 292(18):871–876, 1981.
- [CS84] Alain Connes and Georges Skandalis. The longitudinal index theorem for foliations. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 20(6):1139–1183, 1984.
- [CT77] Alain Connes and Masamichi Takesaki. The flow of weights on factors of type iii. *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)*, 29(4):473–575, 1977. Errata in **30**:4 (1978), 653–655.
- [Dav83] Michael W. Davis. Groups generated by reflections and aspherical manifolds not covered by Euclidean space. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 117(2):293–324, 1983.
- [DD63] Jacques Dixmier and Adrien Douady. Champs continus d'espaces hilbertiens et de C^* -algèbres. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 91:227–284, 1963.
- [Dix69a] Jacques Dixmier. *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien (Algèbres de von Neumann)*. Number 25. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, second edition, 1969. Cahiers scientifiques.
- [Dix69b] Jacques Dixmier. *Les C^* -algèbres et leurs représentations*. Number 24. Gauthier-Villars, second edition, 1969. Cahiers scientifiques.
- [dM76a] L. Boutet de Monvel. A course on pseudo differential operators and their applications. Lecture notes, Durham, NC, 1976.
- [DM76b] M. Duflo and Calvin C. Moore. On the regular representation of a nonunimodular locally compact group. *J. Functional Analysis*, 21(2):209–243, 1976.
- [Dug] J. Dugundji *Topology* Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1965.
- [dR55] Georges de Rham. *Variétés différentiables: formes, courants, formes harmoniques*. Number 1222 in Actualités Sci. Ind. Hermann, Paris, 1955.
- [DS58] Nelson Dunford and Jacob T. Schwartz. *Linear Operators. I. General Theory*. Number 7 in Pure and Applied Mathematics. Interscience, New York, 1958. With the assistance of W. G. Bade and R. G. Bartle.

- [DS63] Nelson Dunford and Jacob T. Schwartz. *Linear operators. Part II: Spectral theory. Self adjoint operators in Hilbert space*. Interscience, New York, 1963. With the assistance of William G. Bade and Robert G. Bartle.
- [DS71] Nelson Dunford and Jacob T. Schwartz. *Linear operators. Part III: Spectral operators*. Number 7 in Pure and Applied Mathematics. Interscience, New York, 1971. With the assistance of William G. Bade and Robert G. Bartle.
- [Dup78] Johan L. Dupont. *Curvature and characteristic classes*. Number 640. Springer, Berlin, 1978. Lecture Notes in Mathematics.
- [Ehr63] C. Ehresmann. Structures feuilletées. In E. M. Rosenthal, editor, *Proceedings of the Fifth Canadian Mathematical Congress, University of Montreal, 1961*, pages 109–172. University of Toronto Press, Toronto, Ont., 1963.
- [EKA83] Aziz El Kacimi-Alaoui. Sur la cohomologie feuilletée. *Compositio Math.*, 49(2):195–215, 1983.
- [EMT77] D. B. A. Epstein, K. C. Millett, and D. Tischler. Leaves without holonomy. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 16(3):548–552, 1977.
- [ES52] Samuel Eilenberg and Norman Steenrod. *Foundations of algebraic topology*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1952.
- [FHM78] Jacob Feldman, Peter Hahn, and Calvin C. Moore. Orbit structure and countable sections for actions of continuous groups. *Adv. in Math.*, 28(3):186–230, 1978.
- [FM77] Jacob Feldman and Calvin C. Moore. Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras, i, II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 234(2):289–324 and 325–359, 1977.
- [Fri54] K. O. Friedrichs. Symmetric hyperbolic linear differential equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 7:345–392, 1954.
- [FS82] T. Fack and G. Skandalis. Sur les représentations et idéaux de la c^* -algèbre d'un feuilletage. *J. Operator Theory*, 8(1):95–129, 1982.
- [Fur61] H. Furstenberg. Strict ergodicity and transformation of the torus. *Amer. J. Math.*, 83:573–601, 1961.
- [Gh84] Étienne Ghys. Flots d'Anosov sur les 3-variétés fibrées en cercles. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 4:67–80, 1984.
- [Gh85] Étienne Ghys. Actions localement libres du groupe affine. *Invent. Math.*, 82:479–526, 1985.
- [Gh93] Étienne Ghys. Rigidité différentiable des groupes fuchsien. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 78:0073–8301, 1993.
- [Gh97] Étienne Ghys. Sur l'uniformisation des laminations paraboliques. In *Integrable systems and foliations/Feuilletages et systèmes intégrables (Montpellier, 1995)*, Progr. Math. Vol. 145, pages 73–91, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1997.
- [Gh99] Étienne Ghys. Laminations par surfaces de Riemann. In *Dynamique et géométrie complexes (Lyon, 1997)*, Panor. Synthèses Vol. 8, pages 49–95, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1999.
- [Gil73] Peter B. Gilkey. Curvature and the eigenvalues of the Laplacian for elliptic complexes. *Advances in Math.*, 10:344–382, 1973.

- [Gil74] Peter B. Gilkey. *The index theorem and the heat equation*. Number 4 in Mathematics Lecture Series. Publish or Perish Inc., Boston, Mass., 1974. Notes by Jon Sacks.
- [Gil84] Peter B. Gilkey. *Invariance theory, the heat equation, and the Atiyah–Singer index theorem*. Number 11 in Mathematics Lecture Series. Publish or Perish, Wilmington, Del., 1984.
- [GL80] Mikhael Gromov and Jr. Lawson, H. Blaine. Spin and scalar curvature in the presence of a fundamental group, i. *Ann. of Math.* (2), 111(2):209–230, 1980.
- [God71] Claude Godbillon. *Éléments de topologie algébrique*. Hermann, Paris, 1971.
- [God73] Roger Godement. *Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux*, volume 13 of *Publications de l’Institut de mathématique de l’Université de Strasbourg*. Hermann, Paris, third edition, 1973.
- [Goo75] Sue E. Goodman. Closed leaves in foliations of codimension one. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 50(3):383–388, 1975.
- [GP79] S. E. Goodman and J. F. Plante. Holonomy and averaging in foliated sets. *J. Differential Geom.*, 14(3):401–407, 1979.
- [GS80] E. Ghys and V. Sergiescu. Stabilité et conjugaison différentiable pour certains feuilletages. *Topology*, 19(2):179–197, 1980.
- [Gun66] R. C. Gunning. *Lectures on Riemann surfaces*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1966. Princeton Mathematical Notes.
- [Haa79] Uffe Haagerup. Operator-valued weights in von neumann algebras, i, ii. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 32:175–206 and 339–361, 1979.
- [Haa87] Uffe Haagerup. Connes’ bicentralizer problem and uniqueness of the injective factor of type iii_1 . *Acta Math.*, 158(1-2):95–148, 1987.
- [Hae62] André Haefliger. Variétés feuilletées. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* (3), 16:367–397, 1962.
- [Hae71] André Haefliger. Homotopy and integrability. In Nicolaas H. Kuiper, editor, *Manifolds–Amsterdam 1970*, number 197 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics, pages 133–163. Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [Hae80] André Haefliger. Some remarks on foliations with minimal leaves. *J. Differential Geom.*, 15(2):269–284, 1980.
- [Hae84] André Haefliger. Groupoïdes d’holonomie et classifiants. (116):70–97, 1984. Transversal structure of foliations.
- [Hah32] Hans Hahn. *Reelle Funktionen, 1: Punktfunktionen*. Number 13 in *Mathematik und ihre Anwendungen in Monographien und Lehrbüchern*. Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1932. Reprinted by Chelsea, New York.
- [Hah78a] Peter Hahn. The regular representations of measure groupoids. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 242:35–72, 1978.
- [Hah78b] Peter Hahn. The regular representations of measure groupoids. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 242:35–72, 1978.
- [Hei75] James L. Heitsch. A cohomology for foliated manifolds. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 50:197–218, 1975.
- [Her79] Michael-Robert Herman. Sur la conjugaison différentiable des difféomorphismes du cercle à des rotations. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 49:5–233, 1979.

- [HH84] J. Heitsch and S. Hurder. Secondary classes, weil measures and the geometry of foliations. *J. Differential Geom.*, 20(2):291–309, 1984.
- [HH86] Gilbert Hector and Ulrich Hirsch. *Introduction to the geometry of foliations, Part A: Foliation on compact surfaces, fundamentals for arbitrary codimension, and holonomy*. Number 1 in Aspects of Mathematics. Vieweg, Braunschweig, second edition, 1986.
- [Hir58] Friedrich Hirzebruch. Automorphe Formen und der Satz von Riemann–Roch. In *Symposium internacional de topología algebraica*, pages 129–144. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México and UNESCO, Mexico City, 1958.
- [Hir76] Morris W. Hirsch. *Differential topology*. Number 33 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 1976.
- [HS83] M. Hilsaum and G. Skandalis. Stabilité des C^* -algèbres de feuilletages. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 33(3):201–208, 1983.
- [Hus75] Dale Husemoller. *Fibre bundles*, volume 20 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 1975.
- [KT74] Franz W. Kamber and Philippe Tondeur. Characteristic invariants of foliated bundles. *Manuscripta Math.*, 11:51–89, 1974.
- [KT75] Franz W. Kamber and Philippe Tondeur. *Foliated bundles and characteristic classes*, volume 493 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 1975.
- [Kar78] Max Karoubi. *K-theory: An introduction*. Number 226 in Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [Kas80a] G. G. Kasparov. Hilbert c^* -modules: theorems of stinespring and voiculescu. *J. Operator Theory*, 4(1):133–150, 1980.
- [Kas80b] G. G. Kasparov. The operator k -functor and extensions of c^* -algebras. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 44(3):571–636, 1980. In Russian.
- [KM85] Jerome Kaminker and John G. Miller. Homotopy invariance of the analytic index of signature operators over C^* -algebras. *J. Operator Theory*, 14(1):113–127, 1985.
- [KN63] Shoshichi Kobayashi and Katsumi Nomizu. *Foundations of differential geometry I*. Wiley, New York, 1963.
- [KN69] Shoshichi Kobayashi and Katsumi Nomizu. *Foundations of differential geometry II*. Wiley, New York, 1969.
- [Kri76] Wolfgang Krieger. On ergodic flows and the isomorphism of factors. *Math. Ann.*, 223(1):19–70, 1976.
- [Kum] A. Kumjian. On c^* -diagonals and twisted relations. preprint.
- [Kur66] K. Kuratowski. *Topology*, volume 1. Academic Press, New York, 1966. New edition, revised and augmented. Translated from the French by J. Jaworowski.
- [KW75] Jerry L. Kazdan and F. W. Warner. Scalar curvature and conformal deformation of Riemannian structure. *J. Differential Geometry*, 10:113–134, 1975.
- [Law74] H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. *Foliations*, **Bulletin Amer. Math. Soc.**, 8:369–418, 1974.
- [Law77] H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. *The quantitative theory of foliations*. Number 27 in CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. ams, Providence, R. I., 1977.

- [Mac48] George W. Mackey. The Laplace transform for locally compact Abelian groups. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 34:156–162, 1948.
- [Mac62] George W. Mackey. Point realizations of transformation groups. *Illinois J. Math.*, 6:327–335, 1962.
- [Mac63a] George W. Mackey. Ergodic theory, group theory, and differential geometry. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 50:1184–1191, 1963.
- [Mac63b] Saunders MacLane. *Homology*, volume 114. Berlin, 1963. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften.
- [Mac64] George W. Mackey. Ergodic transformation groups with a pure point spectrum. *Illinois J. Math.*, 8:593–600, 1964.
- [Mac66] George W. Mackey. Ergodic theory and virtual groups. *Math. Ann.*, 166:187–207, 1966.
- [Mac76] George W. Mackey. *The theory of unitary group representations*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1976. Based on notes by James M. G. Fell and David B. Lowdenslager of lectures given at the University of Chicago, 1955.
- [Mil56] John Milnor. Construction of universal bundles. I. *Ann. of Math.* (2), 63:272–284, 1956.
- [Mol73] Pierre Molino. Propriétés cohomologiques et propriétés topologiques des feuilletages à connexion transverse projectable. *Topology*, 12:317–325, 1973.
- [Moo77] Calvin C. Moore. Square integrable primary representations. *Pacific J. Math.*, 70(2):413–427, 1977.
- [Moo82] Calvin C. Moore. Ergodic theory and von neumann algebras. In Richard V. Kadison, editor, *Operator algebras and applications*, volume 2 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 179–226. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1982.
- [Mori94a] Hitoshi Moriyoshi. The Euler and Godbillon-Vey forms and symplectic structures on $\text{Diff}_+^\infty(S^1)/\text{SO}(2)$. In *Symplectic geometry and quantization (Sanda and Yokohama, 1993)*, volume 179 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 193–203. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1994.
- [Mori94b] Hitoshi Moriyoshi. On cyclic cocycles associated with the Godbillon-Vey classes. In *Geometric study of foliations (Tokyo, 1993)*, pages 411–423. World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1994.
- [Mori02] Hitoshi Moriyoshi. Operator algebras and the index theorem on foliated manifolds. In *Foliations: geometry and dynamics (Warsaw, 2000)*, pages 127–155. World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [MorNat1] Hitoshi Moriyoshi and Toshikazu Natsume. The Godbillon-Vey cyclic cocycle and longitudinal Dirac operators. *Pacific J. Math.*, 172:483–539, 1996.
- [MRW87] Paul S. Muhly, Jean N. Renault, and Dana P. Williams. Equivalence and isomorphism for groupoid C^* -algebras. *J. Operator Theory*, 17(1):3–22, 1987.
- [MS74] John W. Milnor and James D. Stasheff. *Characteristic classes*. Number 76 in Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1974.
- [Nat85] Toshikazu Natsume. The C^* -algebras of codimension one foliations without holonomy. *Math. Scand.*, 56(1):96–104, 1985.

- [Pal65] Richard S. Palais. *Seminar on the Atiyah-Singer index theorem*. Number 57 in Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1965. With contributions by M. F. Atiyah, A. Borel, E. E. Floyd, R. T. Seeley, W. Shih and R. Solovay.
- [Ped79] Gert K. Pedersen. *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*. Number 14 in London Mathematical Society Monographs. Academic Press, London, 1979.
- [Pen83] M. Penington. *K -theory and C^* -algebras of Lie groups and foliations*. PhD thesis, Oxford University, 1983.
- [Phi87] John Phillips. The holonomic imperative and the homotopy groupoid of a foliated manifold. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 17(1):151–165, 1987.
- [Pla75] J. F. Plante. Foliations with measure preserving holonomy. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 102(2):327–361, 1975.
- [Pla79] J. F. Plante. Foliations of 3-manifolds with solvable fundamental group. *Invent. Math.*, 51(3):219–230, 1979.
- [Poo81] Walter A. Poor. *Differential geometric structures*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1981.
- [Pra66] Jean Pradines. Théorie de Lie pour les groupoïdes différentiables: Relations entre propriétés locales et globales. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 263:A907–A910, 1966.
- [PS81] Anthony Phillips and Dennis Sullivan. Geometry of leaves. *Topology*, 20(2):209–218, 1981.
- [PT73] Gert K. Pedersen and Masamichi Takesaki. The Radon-Nikodym theorem for von Neumann algebras. *Acta Math.*, 130:53–87, 1973.
- [Puk71] L. Pukanszky. Unitary representations of solvable Lie groups. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 4:457–608, 1971.
- [Pur66] R. Purves. Bimeasurable functions. *Fund. Math.*, 58:149–157, 1966.
- [Ram71] Arlan Ramsay. Virtual groups and group actions. *Advances in Math.*, 6:253–322, 1971.
- [Ram82] Arlan Ramsay. Topologies on measured groupoids. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 47(3):314–343, 1982.
- [Ree52] Georges Reeb. Sur certaines propriétés topologiques des variétés feuilletées. In *Sur les espaces fibres et les varietes feuilletées*, by Wu Wen-tsun and Georges Reeb, number 11 in Publ. Inst. Math. Univ. Strasbourg, Hermann, 1952.
- [Rei59] Bruce L. Reinhart. Foliated manifolds with bundle-like metrics. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 69:119–132, 1959.
- [Rei83] Bruce L. Reinhart. *Differential geometry of foliations*. Number 99 in Ergebnisse der Mathematik und Ihrer Grenzgebiete. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983. The fundamental integrability problem.
- [Ren80] Jean Renault. *A groupoid approach to C^* -algebras*. Number 793. Berlin, 1980.
- [Ren82] Jean N. Renault. c^* -algebras of groupoids and foliations. In Richard V. Kadison, editor, *Operator algebras and applications*, volume 2 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 339–350. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1982.
- [Roe85] John Roe. *Analysis on manifolds*. PhD thesis, Oxford University, 1985.
- [Roe87] John Roe. Finite propagation speed and Connes' foliation algebra. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 102(3):459–466, 1987.

- [Roe88a] John Roe. An index theorem on open manifolds, i. *J. Differential Geom.*, 27(1):115–136, 1988.
- [Roe88b] John Roe. An index theorem on open manifolds, ii. *J. Differential Geom.*, 27(1):115–136, 1988.
- [RRW70] H. Rosenberg, R. Roussarie, and D. Weil. A classification of closed orientable 3-manifolds of rank two. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 91:449–464, 1970.
- [RS75] David Ruelle and Dennis Sullivan. Currents, flows and diffeomorphisms. *Topology*, 14(4):319–327, 1975.
- [RS81] Jonathan Rosenberg and Claude Schochet. The classification of extensions of c^* -algebras. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 4(1):105–110, 1981.
- [Sar78a] K. S. Sarkaria. *The de Rham cohomology of foliated manifolds*. PhD thesis, SUNY, Stony Brook, NY, 1978.
- [Sar78b] K. S. Sarkaria. A finiteness theorem for foliated manifolds. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 30(4):687–696, 1978.
- [Sha78] Patrick Shanahan. *The Atiyah-Singer index theorem: an introduction*. Number 638 in LNM. Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [Sin77] I. M. Singer. Some remarks on operator theory and index theory. (575):128–138, 1977.
- [Ste51] Norman Steenrod. *The Topology of Fibre Bundles*. Number 14 in Princeton Mathematical Series. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1951.
- [Sul76] Dennis Sullivan. Cycles for the dynamical study of foliated manifolds and complex manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 36:225–255, 1976.
- [Tak79] Masamichi Takesaki. *Theory of operator algebras, I*. Springer, New York, 1979.
- [Tak83] Masamichi Takesaki. *Structure of factors and automorphism groups*. Number 51 in CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. AMS, Providence, 1983.
- [Tak86] H. Takai. kk -theory for the c^* -algebras of Anosov foliations. In H. Araki and E. G. Effros, editors, *Geometric methods in operator algebras*, number 123 in Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., pages 387–399. Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1986.
- [Tay79] Michael E. Taylor. *Fourier integral operators and harmonic analysis on compact manifolds*, volume 2 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* AMS, Providence, 1979.
- [Tay81] Michael E. Taylor. *Pseudodifferential operators*. Number 34 in Princeton Mathematical Series. PUP, Princeton, NJ, 1981.
- [Tho64] René Thom. Généralisation de la théorie de Morse aux variétés feuilletées. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 14(1):173–189, 1964.
- [Thu74] William Thurston. The theory of foliations of codimension greater than one. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 49:214–231, 1974.
- [Thu76] W. P. Thurston. Existence of codimension-one foliations. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 104(2):249–268, 1976.
- [Tor85] Anne Marie Torpe. k -theory for the leaf space of foliations by reeb components. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 61(1):15–71, 1985.
- [Vai73] Izu Vaisman. *Cohomology and differential forms*. Number 21 in Pure and Applied Mathematics. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.

- [Var63] V. S. Varadarajan. Groups of automorphisms of Borel spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 109:191–220, 1963.
- [Wel73] Jr. Wells, R. O. *Differential analysis on complex manifolds*. Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1973.
- [Wh78] G. W. Whitehead *Elements of Homotopy Theory*. GTM 61 Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
- [Win83] H. E. Winkelkemper. The graph of a foliation. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 1(3):51–75, 1983.
- [Zima] R. J. Zimmer. Algebraic topology of ergodic lie group actions and measurable foliations. Preprint.
- [Zimb] R. J. Zimmer. Characteristic classes and smooth realizations of measurable foliations. Preprint.
- [Zim83] Robert J. Zimmer. Ergodic actions of semisimple groups and product relations. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 118(1):9–19, 1983.
- [Zim84] Robert J. Zimmer. *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*. Number 81 in Monographs in Mathematics. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1984.