#### AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Navigate MathSciNet Mathematical Reviews on the Web Jump to Search or Browse Screens

Item: 1 of 1 | <u>Return to headlines</u>

**MSN-Support** | Help Index

Select alternative format: <u>BibTeX</u> | <u>ASCII</u>

## MR1271826 (95e:57049)

# Hurder, Steven (1-ILCC); Mitsumatsu, Yoshihiko (J-CHUO)

## Transverse Euler classes of foliations on nonatomic foliation cycles.

*Differential topology, foliations, and group actions (Rio de Janeiro, 1992), 29–39, Contemp. Math., 161, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.* **57R30 (28D15 57R20 58F18)** 



For a (p+q)-dimensional manifold with a *p*-dimensional foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ , a foliation cycle is a *p*-dimensional cycle as defined by D. Sullivan [Invent. Math. **36** (1976), 225–255; MR **55** #6440]. There is a canonical bijective correspondence between foliation cycles and transverse invariant measures.

Compact leaves give rise to foliation cycles (corresponding to atomic transverse invariant measures). A foliation cycle is called almost compact if it is supported in a tubular neighborhood N (normal disk bundle) of a closed p-dimensional submanifold K with fiber disks being transverse to the foliation.

The authors investigate the average Euler class with respect to a foliation cycle C which is the cap product of the Euler class  $e(\nu \mathcal{F})$  of the normal bundle of the foliation and the foliation cycle C. They show that if C is almost compact and diffuse (i.e., not supported on a leaf isotopic to K in N), then the average Euler class vanishes. This was shown in their previous paper [Indiana Univ. Math. J. **40** (1991), no. 4, 1169–1183; <u>MR 93b:58114</u>] as a corollary to the following vanishing theorem: If a foliation has two foliation cycles and at least one of them is non-atomic, then their homological intersection vanishes. In the present paper, the authors use the blowing-up, study its invariant measures and show the vanishing of the average Euler class geometrically. Using this method they also show the above-mentioned vanishing theorem.

{For the entire collection see 94j:57003}

# Reviewed by Takashi Tsuboi

© Copyright American Mathematical Society 1995, 2004