#### AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Navigate MathSciNet Mathematical Reviews on the Web Jump to Search or Browse Screens

Item: 1 of 1 | <u>Return to headlines</u>

Select alternative format: <u>BibTeX</u> | <u>ASCII</u>

## MR1962152 (2003m:55003)

# Colman, Hellen [Colman Vale, Hellen] (1-ILCC); Hurder, Steven (1-ILCC)

Tangential LS category and cohomology for foliations. (English summary)

*Lusternik-Schnirelmann category and related topics (South Hadley, MA, 2001), 41–64, Contemp. Math., 316, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.* 55M20 (55T10, 57P20, 57P22)

<u>55M30 (55T10 57R30 57R32)</u>

Journal Article Doc Delive

**References: 0** 

### **Review Citations: 0**

The re-awakening of interest in the Lyusternik-Shnirel'man (LS) category in the past decade provided a springboard for application of the theory to other subjects. One of these new applications (for which the first author was the prime instigator) was to foliations. Let  $(M, \mathcal{F})$  be a foliated manifold and suppose  $(U, \mathcal{F}_U)$  is an open subset with the restricted foliation. Then U is said to be tangentially categorical if the inclusion  $(M, \mathcal{F}) \to (U, \mathcal{F}_U)$  is integrably homotopic to a foliated map  $U \to M$  that is constant on each leaf of  $\mathcal{F}_U$ . The least number of tangentially categorical open sets required to cover M is the "tangential category" of  $(M, \mathcal{F})$ , denoted by  $\operatorname{cat}_{\mathcal{F}}(M)$ . (Note that this definition is more aligned with the dynamical definition of category than with the homotopy definition.) This reduces to the ordinary LS category if  $\mathcal{F}$  is the foliation given by taking a single leaf and, just as ordinary category estimates the complexity of a space, the tangential category measures the leafwise topological complexity of F. Two fundamental lower bounds for  $cat_{\mathcal{F}}(M)$  were discovered by the first author and E. Macias-Virgós [J. London Math. Soc. (2) **65** (2002), no. 3, 745–756; <u>MR 2003a:57050</u>]; namely,  $cat(L) \leq cat_{\mathcal{F}}(M)$ , where L is any leaf of the foliation and  $\operatorname{nil}(H^+_{\mathcal{F}}(M)) \leq \operatorname{cat}_{\mathcal{F}}(M)$ , where nil stands for nilpotency degree and  $H^+_{\mathcal{F}}(M)$  denotes the positive degree foliated cohomology. In the present paper, the authors enhance the latter inequality to  $\operatorname{nil}(H^+_{\mathcal{F}}(M)) \leq \operatorname{nil}(E_1^{*,+}) \leq \operatorname{cat}_{\mathcal{F}}(M)$ , where  $E_1^{*,*}$  is the  $E_1$ -term of the foliation spectral sequence. Furthermore, it is also shown that  $\operatorname{nil}(E_r^{*,+}) \leq \operatorname{nil}(E_1^{*,+})$  and methods are developed for finding nontrivial classes. Two sample results that give the flavor of the types of applications possible are the following: (1) If the Godbillon-Vey class  $GV(\mathcal{F})$  is nonzero, then  $\operatorname{cat}_{\mathcal{F}}(M) \ge n+2$ , where n is the codimension of  $\mathcal{F}$ ; (2) if M is compact and  $\mathcal{F}$ is given by a locally free  $\mathbb{R}^m$ -action, then  $\operatorname{cat}_{\mathfrak{T}}(M) = m + 1$ . In this last result, equality (rather than an inequality) follows by applying a fundamental result of W. Singhof and E. Vogt [Topology

**MSN-Support** | Help Index

**42** (2003), no. 3, 603–627; <u>MR 2004a:57035</u>] that  $\operatorname{cat}_{\mathcal{F}}(M) \leq \dim \mathcal{F} + 1$  (extending the usual category inequality  $\operatorname{cat}(M) \leq \dim M$ ). Finally, let it be noted that the paper is well-written and gives a nice overview of the subject at hand.

{For the entire collection see 2003j:55001}

## Reviewed by John F. Oprea

© Copyright American Mathematical Society 2003, 2004