

# Spectral Cycles for Foliations

Steven Hurder

University of Illinois at Chicago  
[www.math.uic.edu/~hurder](http://www.math.uic.edu/~hurder)

11th Nagoya International Mathematics Conference  
March 23, 2012

The *spectral geometry* of a closed Riemannian manifold  $M$  studies how the spectrum of an elliptic geometric operator on  $M$  is related to the topology & the Riemannian geometry of  $M$ .

The *spectral geometry* of a closed Riemannian manifold  $M$  studies how the spectrum of an elliptic geometric operator on  $M$  is related to the topology & the Riemannian geometry of  $M$ .

The *spectral geometry of a foliation*  $\mathcal{F}$  on a closed Riemannian manifold  $M$  studies how the spectrum of leafwise elliptic geometric operators for  $\mathcal{F}$  are related to the topology & the Riemannian geometry of the leaves, *and also on the dynamical and topological properties of the foliation itself.*

The *spectral geometry* of a closed Riemannian manifold  $M$  studies how the spectrum of an elliptic geometric operator on  $M$  is related to the topology & the Riemannian geometry of  $M$ .

The *spectral geometry of a foliation*  $\mathcal{F}$  on a closed Riemannian manifold  $M$  studies how the spectrum of leafwise elliptic geometric operators for  $\mathcal{F}$  are related to the topology & the Riemannian geometry of the leaves, *and also on the dynamical and topological properties of the foliation itself*.

We consider some aspects of how foliation spectral geometry is related to the generalized foliation cycles introduced in Lecture 1.

$M$  is a closed Riemannian manifold.

$\mathcal{F}_M$  is an  $n$ -dimensional foliation on  $M$ .

$\mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$  an Hermitian bundle, for which there is a first-order geometric operator along the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\mathcal{D}: C^\infty(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$$

That is, for each leaf  $L$  of  $\mathcal{F}_M$  the restriction

$$\mathcal{D}_L: C_c^\infty(L, \mathcal{E}_L) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(L, \mathcal{E}_L)$$

is elliptic and essentially self-adjoint.

For each leaf  $L$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ , the restricted operator

$$\mathcal{D}_L: C_c^\infty(L, \mathcal{E}_L) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(L, \mathcal{E}_L)$$

is elliptic and essentially self-adjoint, so we can define its spectrum, and the decomposition into pure and continuous parts:

$$\sigma(\mathcal{D}_L) = \sigma_a(\mathcal{D}_L) \cup \sigma_c(\mathcal{D}_L) \subset \mathbb{R}$$

In general, very little is known about this. For related discussions:

**Topology of covers and the spectral theory of geometric operators**, in *Index theory and operator algebras (Boulder 1991)*, Contemp. Math. Vol. 148, Amer. Math. Soc., 1993.

## Gap Labeling & K-Theory

One exception is for the cases where  $\mathcal{F}$  is Riemannian foliation as given in the works of Jesús Alvarez-Lopez and Yuri Kordyukov.

## Gap Labeling & K-Theory

One exception is for the cases where  $\mathcal{F}$  is Riemannian foliation as given in the works of Jesús Alvarez-Lopez and Yuri Kordyukov.

Another special case is based on the spectral flow approach via odd index theory for foliations, as in the solutions of Bellissard's "Gap Labeling Conjecture" in the study of quasi-crystals on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

- Kaminker & Putnam [2003]
- Benameur & Oyono-Oyono [2003]
- Bellissard, Benedetti & Gambaudo [2006]



## Gap Labeling & K-Theory

One exception is for the cases where  $\mathcal{F}$  is Riemannian foliation as given in the works of Jesús Alvarez-Lopez and Yuri Kordyukov.

Another special case is based on the spectral flow approach via odd index theory for foliations, as in the solutions of Bellissard's "Gap Labeling Conjecture" in the study of quasi-crystals on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

- Kaminker & Putnam [2003]
- Benameur & Oyono-Oyono [2003]
- Bellissard, Benedetti & Gambaudo [2006]

The idea of applying the generalized foliation cycles to the study of leafwise spectrum, is to convert every such problem into a "gap labeling" question, or at least one involving spectral flow of geometric operators.

# KK-Index

$C^*(M/\mathcal{F}_M)$  is the *reduced*  $C^*$ -algebra associated to  $\mathcal{F}_M$ .

**Theorem:** [Connes-Fack 1984] A first-order geometric operator  $\mathcal{D}$  along the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  defines a generalized index class

$$[\mathcal{D}] \in KK(M, M/\mathcal{F}) \cong KK(C(M), C^*(M/\mathcal{F}))$$

# KK-Index

$C^*(M/\mathcal{F}_M)$  is the *reduced*  $C^*$ -algebra associated to  $\mathcal{F}_M$ .

**Theorem:** [Connes-Fack 1984] A first-order geometric operator  $\mathcal{D}$  along the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  defines a generalized index class

$$[\mathcal{D}] \in KK(M, M/\mathcal{F}) \cong KK(C(M), C^*(M/\mathcal{F}))$$

Given a K-Theory class  $[E] \in K(M)$  we obtain the “index class”

$$[E] \cap [\mathcal{D}] \in K^*(C^*(M/\mathcal{F}))$$

## Some basic problems

The pairing  $[E] \cap [\mathcal{D}]$  is called “integration along the leaves” of  $\mathcal{F}$ , of the K-theory class  $[E]$  against the *leafwise fundamental class* defined by  $\mathcal{D}$ , to obtain the non-commutative index class

$$[E] \cap [\mathcal{D}] = \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes E) \in K^*(C^*(M/\mathcal{F}))$$

## Some basic problems

The pairing  $[E] \cap [\mathcal{D}]$  is called “integration along the leaves” of  $\mathcal{F}$ , of the K-theory class  $[E]$  against the *leafwise fundamental class* defined by  $\mathcal{D}$ , to obtain the non-commutative index class

$$[E] \cap [\mathcal{D}] = \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes E) \in K^*(C^*(M/\mathcal{F}))$$

Two basic problems in *foliation index theory*:

- What is the *analytic* meaning of  $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes E)$ ?

## Some basic problems

The pairing  $[E] \cap [\mathcal{D}]$  is called “integration along the leaves” of  $\mathcal{F}$ , of the K-theory class  $[E]$  against the *leafwise fundamental class* defined by  $\mathcal{D}$ , to obtain the non-commutative index class

$$[E] \cap [\mathcal{D}] = \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes E) \in K^*(C^*(M/\mathcal{F}))$$

Two basic problems in *foliation index theory*:

- What is the *analytic* meaning of  $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes E)$ ?
- How does  $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes E)$  depend on the geometry/dynamics of  $\mathcal{F}$ ?

## Some known relations

- The Lichnerowicz formula implies vanishing of components of the index class  $[E] \cap [\mathcal{D}]$  when the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  have positive scalar curvature.

## Some known relations

- The Lichnerowicz formula implies vanishing of components of the index class  $[E] \cap [D]$  when the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  have positive scalar curvature.
- When the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  have holonomy covers which satisfy the *coarse Baum-Connes Conjecture*, then pairing with  $[D]$  is a faithful.



## Some known relations

- The Lichnerowicz formula implies vanishing of components of the index class  $[E] \cap [D]$  when the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  have positive scalar curvature.

- When the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  have holonomy covers which satisfy the *coarse Baum-Connes Conjecture*, then pairing with  $[D]$  is a faithful.

See the papers:

**Exotic index theory for foliations**, *preprint*, 1993.

**Coarse geometry of foliations**, in *Geometric study of foliations (Tokyo, 1993)*, World Sci. Publishing, 1994.

# Transverse measures and traces

Let  $\Lambda$  be a holonomy-invariant transverse measure for the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ . That is, for a Borel subset  $E \subset M$  which intersects each leaf  $L$  at most countable many times, then  $\Lambda(E) \in \mathbb{R}$  is invariant under the holonomy translations of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

# Transverse measures and traces

Let  $\Lambda$  be a holonomy-invariant transverse measure for the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ . That is, for a Borel subset  $E \subset M$  which intersects each leaf  $L$  at most countable many times, then  $\Lambda(E) \in \mathbb{R}$  is invariant under the holonomy translations of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Associated to  $\Lambda$  is a trace  $Tr_\Lambda: C^*(M/\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proposition:** The  $\Lambda$ -dimension function  $Tr_\Lambda: K^0(C^*(M/\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is well-defined, and measures the differences of the *von Neumann dimensions* of the projections in  $C^*(M/\mathcal{F})$  defining a class.

# Transverse measures and foliated spaces

The support  $\mathcal{Z}(\Lambda) \subset M$  is the smallest closed saturated subset for which  $\Lambda$  has “full measure”.

**Lemma:**  $\mathcal{Z}(\Lambda)$  is a foliated space.

# Transverse measures and foliated spaces

The support  $\mathcal{Z}(\Lambda) \subset M$  is the smallest closed saturated subset for which  $\Lambda$  has “full measure”.

**Lemma:**  $\mathcal{Z}(\Lambda)$  is a foliated space.

So, we can restrict  $\mathcal{D}$  to the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{Z}(\Lambda)}$  and study the meaning of the index pairing on this space.

Motivates the approach in the book by Moore & Schochet.

# Transverse measures and foliated spaces

The support  $\mathcal{Z}(\Lambda) \subset M$  is the smallest closed saturated subset for which  $\Lambda$  has “full measure”.

**Lemma:**  $\mathcal{Z}(\Lambda)$  is a foliated space.

So, we can restrict  $\mathcal{D}$  to the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{Z}(\Lambda)}$  and study the meaning of the index pairing on this space.

Motivates the approach in the book by Moore & Schochet.

**Problem:** What is the analytic meaning of the restricted index?  
How does it depend on the dynamics of  $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{Z}(\Lambda)}$ ?

## Generic invariant sets

Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subset M$  be a closed saturated subset, with foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition:**  $\mathcal{Z}$  is *generic* if each leaf  $L \subset \mathcal{M}$  without holonomy for  $\mathcal{F}$ , is also without holonomy as a leaf for  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $M$ .

If the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  are all simply connected, then  $\mathcal{Z}$  is generic.

## Generic invariant sets

Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subset M$  be a closed saturated subset, with foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition:**  $\mathcal{Z}$  is *generic* if each leaf  $L \subset \mathfrak{M}$  without holonomy for  $\mathcal{F}$ , is also without holonomy as a leaf for  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $M$ .

If the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  are all simply connected, then  $\mathcal{Z}$  is generic.

**Proposition:** [Fack & Skandalis 1982] If  $\mathcal{Z} \subset M$  is a generic closed invariant set, then there is a well-defined restriction map

$$\iota_{M,\mathcal{Z}}: C^*(M/\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{F})$$

Consequently we obtain the restricted index class:

$$\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes E|_{\mathcal{Z}}) = [E] \cap [\mathcal{D}] \cup [\iota_{M,\mathcal{Z}}] \in K^*(C^*(\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{F}))$$



**Corollary:** Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subset M$  be a generic closed invariant set containing the support of a holonomy invariant transverse measure  $\Lambda$ . Then there is a well defined measured-index functional

$$\text{Ind}_\Lambda \equiv \text{Tr}_\Lambda \circ \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \iota_{M, \mathcal{Z}}^*: K_*(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

which factors through  $K^*(C_r^*(\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{F}))$ .

**Corollary:** Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subset M$  be a generic closed invariant set containing the support of a holonomy invariant transverse measure  $\Lambda$ . Then there is a well defined measured-index functional

$$\text{Ind}_\Lambda \equiv \text{Tr}_\Lambda \circ \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \iota_{M, \mathcal{Z}}^*: K_*(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

which factors through  $K^*(C_r^*(\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{F}))$ .

We can then consider  $\mathcal{Z} \subset M$  as the image of a foliated space  $\mathfrak{M}$  and study our problems in this context.

**Corollary:** Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subset M$  be a generic closed invariant set containing the support of a holonomy invariant transverse measure  $\Lambda$ . Then there is a well defined measured-index functional

$$\text{Ind}_\Lambda \equiv \text{Tr}_\Lambda \circ \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \iota_{M, \mathcal{Z}}^*: K_*(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

which factors through  $K^*(C_r^*(\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{F}))$ .

We can then consider  $\mathcal{Z} \subset M$  as the image of a foliated space  $\mathfrak{M}$  and study our problems in this context.

### Assumptions:

- $\mathfrak{M}$  is a leafwise orientable matchbox manifold;
- The dynamics of  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathfrak{M}$  is equicontinuous;
- The leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  are simply connected.

Theorem of Clark & H implies that  $\mathfrak{M}$  admits a presentation as an inverse tower of compact manifolds  $B_\ell$ .

That is, given  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a compact, orientable base manifold  $B_{\ell_0}$  and a tower of proper orientation-preserving covering maps

$$\xrightarrow{p_{\ell+1}} B_\ell \xrightarrow{p_\ell} \cdots \xrightarrow{p_{\ell_0+2}} B_{\ell_0+1} \xrightarrow{p_{\ell_0+1}} B_{\ell_0}$$

so that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is homeomorphic to the inverse limit of this system, and the fibers of the natural map  $\pi_{\ell_0} : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow B_{\ell_0}$  have diameters  $\leq \epsilon$ .

The diameter assumption means that the manifold  $B_{\ell_0}$  embeds in  $M$  as an “approximate leaf” for  $\mathcal{F}$ , so that the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathfrak{M}}$  are coverings of  $B_{\ell_0}$ . This follows by *shape theory* of  $\mathfrak{M} \subset M$ .

The assumption that the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathfrak{M}}$  are simply connected has a simple interpretation.

Choose basepoints  $x_\ell \in B_\ell$  with  $p_\ell(x_\ell) = x_{\ell-1}$ , set  $G_\ell = \pi_1(B_\ell, x_\ell)$ .

Then there is a descending chain of groups and injective maps

$$\xrightarrow{p_{\ell+1}} G_\ell \xrightarrow{p_\ell} G_{\ell-1} \xrightarrow{p_{\ell-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{p_2} G_{\ell_0+1} \xrightarrow{p_{\ell_0+1}} G_{\ell_0}$$

Set  $q_\ell = p_\ell \circ \cdots \circ p_{\ell_0+1}: B_\ell \longrightarrow B_{\ell_0}$ .

Then the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $\mathfrak{M}$  are simply connected if and only if the

*residual kernel*  $\bigcap_{\ell \geq \ell_0} (q_\ell)_\#(G_\ell) = \{0\}$  .

## Geometric interpretation of even index

Suppose that the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  have even dimension.

**Theorem:** Let  $[E] \in K^0(M)$ . Then there is a constant  $\lambda_0 > 0$  so that the measured index

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\Lambda(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes E|_{\mathcal{Z}})) = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_0}{d(\ell, \ell_0)} \cdot \mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{D}_\ell \otimes E|_{B_\ell})$$

where

- $\lambda_0$  depends only on  $\Lambda$  and the choice of  $B_0$
- $d(\ell, \ell_0)$  is the covering degree of  $q_\ell: B_\ell \rightarrow B_0$
- $E|_{B_\ell}$  is restriction of  $E \rightarrow M$  to an embedding of  $B_\ell \subset M$
- $\mathcal{D}_\ell$  is a geometric operator on  $B_\ell$  which *approximates* the leafwise operator  $\mathcal{D}$ .

This result should be compared with the geometric interpretation given to the index for measured laminations by surfaces in:

**The  $\partial$ -operator**, *Appendix A, Global analysis on foliated spaces*, by C. C. Moore and C. Schochet, 1988.

In that work, no assumption is made on the dynamics of the lamination, so the above result gives an “improvement” of the conclusions there.

This result should be compared with the geometric interpretation given to the index for measured laminations by surfaces in:

**The  $\partial$ -operator**, *Appendix A, Global analysis on foliated spaces*, by C. C. Moore and C. Schochet, 1988.

In that work, no assumption is made on the dynamics of the lamination, so the above result gives an “improvement” of the conclusions there.

The assumption that the dynamics of the lamination is *equicontinuous* implies the existence of approximating cycles in  $M$ , and these cycles “carry” the measured index.



## Geometric interpretation of odd dimension

Suppose that the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  have odd dimension.

**Theorem:** Let  $\varphi: M \rightarrow U(N)$  be a leafwise smooth function with values in the unitary group, for some  $N > 0$ . Then there is a constant  $\lambda_0 > 0$  so that the measured index

$$\eta_\Lambda(\mathcal{D}, \varphi) = \text{Tr}_\Lambda(\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \varphi|_{\mathcal{Z}})) = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_0}{d(\ell, \ell_0)} \cdot \eta(\mathcal{D}_\ell, \varphi)$$

where  $\eta_\Lambda(\mathcal{D}, \varphi)$  is the leafwise  $\eta$ -invariant, and  $\eta(\mathcal{D}_\ell, \varphi)$  denotes the relative  $\eta$ -invariant for  $\mathcal{D}_\ell$  coupled to the twisted flat bundle over  $B_\ell$  defined by the restricted unitary bundle  $\varphi|_{B_\ell}$ .

## Geometric interpretation of odd dimension

Suppose that the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  have odd dimension.

**Theorem:** Let  $\varphi: M \rightarrow U(N)$  be a leafwise smooth function with values in the unitary group, for some  $N > 0$ . Then there is a constant  $\lambda_0 > 0$  so that the measured index

$$\eta_\Lambda(\mathcal{D}, \varphi) = \text{Tr}_\Lambda(\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \varphi|_{\mathcal{Z}})) = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_0}{d(\ell, \ell_0)} \cdot \eta(\mathcal{D}_\ell, \varphi)$$

where  $\eta_\Lambda(\mathcal{D}, \varphi)$  is the leafwise  $\eta$ -invariant, and  $\eta(\mathcal{D}_\ell, \varphi)$  denotes the relative  $\eta$ -invariant for  $\mathcal{D}_\ell$  coupled to the twisted flat bundle over  $B_\ell$  defined by the restricted unitary bundle  $\varphi|_{B_\ell}$ .

The proof of this result is actually quite technically involved, though may be considered “standard” given the previous works.

This result should be compared with the geometric interpretation given to the odd index for flat bundles in the papers:

**Toeplitz operators and the eta invariant: the case of  $S^1$ ,**  
(with Ronald G. Douglas and Jerome Kaminker), in *Index theory of elliptic operators, foliations, and operator algebras*, Contemp. Math., 70, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.

**Eta invariants and the odd index theorem for coverings,** in *Geometric and topological invariants of elliptic operators*, Contemp. Math., 105, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990.

Both of these papers implicitly assumed that the dynamics of the foliations being considered were equicontinuous.

# Conclusions

The approach to studying index theory for foliations considered previously can be understood as special cases of the study of the index theory for a particular classes of foliated spaces.

Introducing generalized foliation cycles, as represented by matchbox manifolds, gives approaches to generalizing these results.

# Conclusions

For example, the techniques can be applied to obtain:

- Relations between spectra for matchbox manifolds and operators from quasi-crystals;
- Measured spectral flow estimates (integrated density of states) for leafwise operators in foliations
- Relation between pure-point spectrum and index theory
- Spectrum for almost  $1 - 1$  factors (generalizing the Denjoy flow)

# Conclusions

An important open problem is then:

**Problem:** Extend the above results for generalized foliation cycles represented by equicontinuous matchbox manifolds, to those represented by minimal expansive matchbox manifolds.

Thank you for your time and patience.

Thank you for your time and patience.

The slides for both talks can be downloaded from:

*<http://www.math.uic.edu/~hurder/talks/Nagoya20120321.pdf>*

*<http://www.math.uic.edu/~hurder/talks/Nagoya20120324.pdf>*