

## Applications of the Contraction Mapping Theorem: Actions on Cantor sets

Steve Hurder University of Illinois at Chicago April 17, 2024

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

• A self-covering map  $\phi: M \to M$  of a compact manifold without boundary is *expanding* if there exists a Riemannian metric on TM and  $\lambda > 1$  such that for all  $\vec{v} \in TM$ ,  $\|D\phi(\vec{v})\| \ge \lambda \cdot \|\vec{v}\|$ .

Prelude

**Theorem.** [Franks 1968] Let M be a compact manifold without boundary that admits an expanding map. Then the fundamental group  $\Gamma = \pi_1(M, x)$  has polynomial growth, and hence contains a nilpotent subgroup of finite index.

Michael Shub, Expanding maps, Global Analysis (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vols. XIV, XV, XVI, Berkeley, Calif., 1968), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1970, pages 273–276.
M. Gromov, Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 53:53–73, 1981.

Prelude Self-embeddings Heisenberg Group chains Dynamics Heisenberg Profinite Next ●00 00 00000 0000 0000 0000 000 000

Let  $\phi: M \to M$  be an expanding map with a fixed point  $x \in M$ . Set  $\Gamma = \pi_1(M, x)$ , then get embedding  $\varphi = \phi_{\#} \colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$ . Set  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_{\ell+1} = \varphi(\Gamma_{\ell})$  for all  $\ell \ge 0$ . As  $\phi$  is expanding, we have  $\cap_{\ell \ge 0} \Gamma_{\ell} = \{0\}$ .

**Definition:** Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated group. A proper inclusion  $\varphi \colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$  with finite index image is called a <u>renormalization</u> of  $\Gamma$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

The terminology is motivated by the case when  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^n$ . **Example.**  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^2$  and choose p, q > 1 integers. Then  $\varphi(a, b) = (pa, qb)$  is a renormalization.



**Definition:**  $\Gamma$  is *non co-Hopfian* if it admits a renormalization.

Benjamini, and Nekrashevych and Pete introduced the terminology **Definition:**  $\Gamma$  is *strongly scale invariant* if there is a renormalization  $\varphi \colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$  such that, for  $\Gamma_{\ell} = \varphi^{\ell}(\Gamma)$ ,

$$\mathcal{K}(arphi) = igcap_{\ell > 0} \ \mathsf{\Gamma}_\ell \quad ext{is a finite group}$$

**Conjecture:** Strongly scale-invariant group  $\Gamma$  is virtually nilpotent.

\* V. Nekrashevych and G. Pete, *Scale-invariant groups*, **Groups Geom. Dyn.** 5:139–167, 2011.

Prelude Self-embeddings Heisenberg Group chains Dynamics Heisenberg Profinite Next OO● 000000 0000 0000 000 000 000

Franks-Gromov theorem shows that the conjecture is true if  $\Gamma$  is the fundamental group of a compact manifold with an expanding map.

Another special case of the conjecture is known:

**Theorem:** Let  $\Gamma$  be a strongly scale-invariant group, with a renormalization  $\varphi \colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma_{\ell} = \varphi^{\ell}(\Gamma)$  is <u>normal</u> in  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\Gamma/K(\varphi)$  is abelian.

\* *W. Van Limbeek*, Towers of regular self-covers and linear endomorphisms of tori, Geom. Topol., 2018.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

It is not usual that the subgroups  $\Gamma_\ell$  are normal in  $\Gamma.$ 

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group represented as  $(\mathbb{Z}^3, *)$  with the group operation \*, so for  $x, u, y, v, z, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

Heisenberg

$$(x, y, z) * (u, v, w) = (x + u, y + v, z + w + xv)$$

$$(x, y, z)^{-1} = (-x, -y, -z + xy)$$

This is equivalent to the upper triangular representation in  $GL(\mathbb{Z}^3)$ . In particular,

$$(x, y, z) * (u, v, w) * (x, y, z)^{-1} = (u, v, w + xv - yu)$$

For integers p, q > 1 let  $\varphi \colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$  by  $\varphi(x, y, z) = (px, qy, pqz)$ .

$$\mathcal{H}_{\ell} = \varphi^{\ell}(\mathcal{H}) = \{(p^{\ell}x, q^{\ell}y, (pq)^{\ell}z) \mid x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$
$$\bigcap_{\ell > 0} \mathcal{H}_{\ell} = \{e\}$$



Assume that p, q > 1 are distinct prime numbers.

The normal core for  $\mathcal{H}_{\ell}$  is the largest normal subgroup of  $\mathcal{H}_{\ell}$ . Then

$$C_{\ell} = \operatorname{core}(\mathcal{H}_{\ell}) = \{((pq)^{\ell}x, (pq)^{\ell}y, (pq)^{\ell}z) \mid x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is a proper subgroup of  $\mathcal{H}_{\ell}$ , with quotient group

$$\mathcal{H}_{\ell}/\mathcal{C}_{\ell}\cong\{(x,y,0)\mid x\in\mathbb{Z}/q^{\ell}\mathbb{Z}\;,\;y\in\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell}\mathbb{Z}\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

So as  $\ell \to \infty$ , the groups  $\Gamma_{\ell}$  become less and less normal.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated group.

**Definition.** A group chain is a descending chain  $\mathcal{G} = \{\Gamma_{\ell} \mid \ell \geq 0\}$ where  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_{\ell+1} \subset \Gamma_{\ell}$  is a proper subgroup of finite index.

Group chains

The quotient  $X_{\ell} = \Gamma/\Gamma_{\ell}$  is a finite set with transitive left  $\Gamma$ -action. Inclusion  $\Gamma_{\ell+1} \subset \Gamma_{\ell}$  induces a surjection  $p_{\ell+1} \colon X_{\ell+1} \to X_{\ell}$ . Define

$$\mathfrak{X} \equiv \varprojlim \{p_{\ell+1} \colon X_{\ell+1} \to X_{\ell} \mid \ell \geq 0\} \subset \prod_{\ell \geq 0} X_{\ell} .$$

The product of finite sets is given the Tychonoff topology - cylinder sets generate the topology.

Then  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a closed subset, so is a Cantor space with left  $\Gamma$ -action. A point in  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a sequence  $x = (x_0, x_1, ...)$  where  $p_{\ell+1}(x_{\ell+1}) = x_{\ell}$ . For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  we have  $\Phi(\gamma)(x) = \gamma \cdot x = (\gamma \cdot x_0, \gamma \cdot x_1, ...)$ .

PreludeSelf-embeddingsHeisenbergGroup chainsDynamicsHeisenbergProfiniteNext $\infty = 00000$  $\mathfrak{X}$  has an ultrametric  $d_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ :

for  $x = (x_0, x_1, ...)$  and  $y = (y_0, y_1, ...)$  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}(x, y) = 2^{-m}$  where  $m = \min\{\ell \mid x_\ell \neq y_\ell\}$ 

This satisfies the *ultrametric* triangle inequality, for  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{X}$ ,

$$d_{\mathfrak{X}}(x,y) \leq \min\{d_{\mathfrak{X}}(x,z), d_{\mathfrak{X}}(z,y)\}$$

Then  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}(\gamma \cdot x, \gamma \cdot y) = d_{\mathfrak{X}}(x, y)$  so  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant ultrametric.

The action  $\Phi \colon \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$  is a generalized odometer.

\* M.-I. Cortez and S. Petite, *G*-odometers and their almost one-to-one extensions, **J. London Math. Soc.**, 78(2):1–20, 2008.

A Cantor action  $\Phi \colon \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$  is *equicontinuous* if for some metric  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$ , for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

Group chains

$$d_{\mathfrak{X}}(x,y) < \delta \implies d_{\mathfrak{X}}(\Phi(g)(x), \Phi(g)(y)) < \epsilon \quad ext{for all } g \in \Gamma.$$

The action  $\Phi$  is isometric for the ultrametric the  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$ , so

•  $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  is an equicontinuous Cantor action.

**Remark:** A minimal equicontinuous Cantor action can also be viewed as a group action on a rooted tree, aka an *arboreal action*.

\* R.I. Grigorchuk, Some problems of the dynamics of group actions on rooted trees, **Proc. Steklov Institute of Math.**, 273: 64–175, 2011.

Let  $\Phi \colon \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$  be an equicontinuous Cantor action. The action is a homomorphism  $\Phi \colon \Gamma \to \text{Homeo}(\mathfrak{X})$ , and let  $\widehat{\Gamma} = \overline{\Phi(\Gamma)} \subset \text{Homeo}(\mathfrak{X})$  denote the closure in uniform topology

Group chains

**Proposition:** [Ellis, 1969]  $\Phi$  equicontinuous implies that  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  is a profinite group, that is, *compact* and totally disconnected.

**Lemma:**  $\Phi$  minimal action implies that  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  acts transitively on  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

For  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ , define the isotropy subgroup

$$\mathcal{D}_x = \{\widehat{\gamma} \in \widehat{\Gamma} \mid \widehat{\Phi}(\widehat{\gamma})(x) = x\}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

The isomorphism class of  $\mathcal{D}_x$  is independent of choice of x, and  $\mathfrak{X} \cong \widehat{\Gamma}/\mathcal{D}_x$  as left  $\Gamma$ -spaces.

 $\widehat{\Gamma}$  has a discrete description analogous to that for  $\mathfrak X.$ 

The action of  $\Gamma$  on  $X_{\ell} = \Gamma/\Gamma_{\ell}$  permutes the cosets, where  $\Gamma_{\ell}$  is the isotropy of the basepoint  $e \cdot \Gamma_{\ell}$ .

Group chains

The kernel of the action  $\Gamma \to \mathbf{Perm}(X_\ell)$  is the core normal subgroup of  $\Gamma_\ell$ ,

$$\mathcal{C}_\ell = igcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \ \gamma \cdot \Gamma_\ell \cdot \gamma^{-1}$$

Then there is an isomorphism

$$\widehat{\Gamma} = \varprojlim \{ \widehat{p}_{\ell+1} \colon \Gamma/C_{\ell+1} \to \Gamma/C_{\ell} \mid \ell \geq 0 \}$$

and the isotropy subgroup is

$$\mathcal{D}_{x} = \varprojlim \{ \widehat{p}_{\ell+1} \colon \Gamma_{\ell+1} / C_{\ell+1} \to \Gamma_{\ell} / C_{\ell} \mid \ell \geq 0 \}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Now consider a renormalization  $\varphi \colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$  and define  $\Gamma_{\ell} = \varphi^{\ell}(\Gamma)$ with associated inverse limit space  $\mathfrak{X}_{\varphi} = \widehat{\Gamma}_{\varphi}/\mathcal{D}_{\varphi}$  and  $\Gamma$ -action  $\Phi_{\varphi}$ .

Group chains

**Proposition.**  $\varphi$  induces a contraction  $\lambda_{\varphi} \colon \mathfrak{X}_{\varphi} \to \mathfrak{X}_{\varphi}$ .

*Proof.*  $\varphi$  induces a map of quotients  $\overline{p} \colon \Gamma/\Gamma_{\ell} \to \Gamma_1/\Gamma_{\ell+1}$ . This induces the right shift map  $\lambda_{\varphi} \colon \mathfrak{X}_{\varphi} \to U_1 \subset \mathfrak{X}_{\varphi}$ ,

$$\lambda_{\varphi}(x_0, x_1, \ldots) = (e, \varphi(x_0), \varphi(x_1), \ldots)$$

The contraction has a unique fixed-point  $x_{\varphi} \in \mathfrak{X}_{\varphi}$ .

How does this help with showing that  $\Gamma$  is virtually nilpotent? What we need is a contraction  $\widehat{\varphi} \colon \widehat{\Gamma}_{\varphi} \to \widehat{\Gamma}_{\varphi}$  with open image. We take a detour into Cantor dynamics.

Prelude Self-ember	ddings Heisenberg	Group chains	Dynamics	Heisenberg	Profinite	Next
000	00		●000	O	000	000

Let  $\Phi \colon \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$  be a Cantor action of a countable group  $\Gamma$ .

The topology of Cantor space  $\mathfrak X$  is generated by clopen subsets: U is closed and open.

A non-empty clopen  $U \subset \mathfrak{X}$  is <u>adapted</u> if the return times to U form a subgroup:

$$\Gamma_U = \{g \in \Gamma \mid \Phi(g)(U) = U\} \subset \Gamma$$

**Lemma:** Let  $\Phi : \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$  be an equicontinuos Cantor action. For  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$  and V open with  $x \in V$ , there is an adapted set U with  $x \in U \subset V$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

PreludeSelf-embeddings<br/>000Heisenberg<br/>00Group chains<br/>000000Dynamics<br/>0●00Heisenberg<br/>0Profinite<br/>000Next<br/>000

**Definition:** A Cantor action  $\Phi: H \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$  is <u>quasi-analytic</u> if for each adapted clopen set  $U \subset \mathfrak{X}$  and  $g \in H$  we have

• if  $\Phi(g)(U) = U$  and the restriction  $\Phi(g)|U$  is the identity map on U, then  $\Phi(g)$  acts as the identity on all of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

For H a countable group, this is equivalent to topologically free.

Here is our key technical result:

**Theorem 1.** The action  $\widehat{\Phi}_{\varphi} \colon \widehat{\Gamma}_{\varphi} \times \mathfrak{X}_{\varphi} \to \mathfrak{X}_{\varphi}$  is quasi-analytic.

**Corollary.** Let  $\widehat{\gamma} \in \widehat{\Gamma}_{\varphi}$ . The homeomorphism  $\widehat{\Phi}_{\varphi}(\widehat{\gamma}) \colon \mathfrak{X}_{\varphi} \to \mathfrak{X}_{\varphi}$  is uniquely determined by its restriction to an adapted subset of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

Theorem 1 is the key to the proof of the next two results:

**Theorem 2.** A renormalization map  $\varphi$  induces a contraction map on the closure,  $\widehat{\varphi} \colon \widehat{\Gamma}_{\varphi} \to \widehat{\Gamma}_{\varphi}$  with open image.

**D**vnamics

Theorem 3. 
$$\mathcal{D}_{\varphi} = \bigcap_{n>0} \ \widehat{\varphi}^n(\widehat{\Gamma}_{\varphi}) \subset \widehat{\Gamma}_{\varphi}$$

This connects discriminant invariants for Cantor actions, with invariants for contraction profinite groups.

For the proof see

\* S. Hurder, O. Lukina and W. Van Limbeek, *Cantor dynamics of renormalizable groups*, **Groups**, **Geometry**, and **Dynamics**, 15:1449-1487, 2021.



The proof of Theorem 2 has a key point.

The renormalization  $\varphi \colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$  naturally induces a map  $\widehat{\varphi} \colon \widehat{\Gamma}_{\varphi} \to \operatorname{Homeo}(U_1)$  where  $U = \operatorname{image}(\lambda_{\varphi}) \subset \mathfrak{X}_{\varphi}$ .

We need to show that the maps in the image of  $\widehat{\varphi}$  have unique extensions to **Homeo**( $\mathfrak{X}_{\varphi}$ ).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

This is exactly what Theorem 1 says is true.

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group. Assume that p, q > 1 are distinct prime numbers, and define  $\varphi \colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$  by  $\varphi(x, y, z) = (px, qy, pqz)$ . Then

Heisenberg

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{H}_{\ell} &= \varphi^{\ell}(\mathcal{H}) = \{ (p^{\ell}x, q^{\ell}y, (pq)^{\ell}z) \mid x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \} \\ \mathcal{C}_{\ell} &= \operatorname{core}(\mathcal{H}_{\ell}) = \{ ((pq)^{\ell}x, (pq)^{\ell}y, (pq)^{\ell}z) \mid x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \} \\ \mathcal{H}_{\ell}/\mathcal{C}_{\ell} &\cong \{ (x, y, 0) \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}/q^{\ell}\mathbb{Z} \ , \ y \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell}\mathbb{Z} \} \end{split}$$

Then taking the inverse limit of  $\widehat{p}_{\ell+1} \colon \Gamma/\mathit{C}_{\ell+1} \to \Gamma/\mathit{C}_{\ell}$ 

$$\widehat{\Gamma} \cong \{(a, b, c) \mid a, b, c \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{pq}\}$$

and the inverse limit of  $\widehat{\rho}_{\ell+1} \colon \Gamma_{\ell+1}/C_{\ell+1} \to \Gamma_\ell/C_\ell$ 

$$\mathcal{D}_{arphi} = \{(a,b,0) \mid a \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{q}, b \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}\} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{q} imes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}$$

 $\varphi$  maps  $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi}$  to itself and is multiplication by p and q, respectively.



Theorem 2 gives us a contraction mapping  $\widehat{\varphi} \colon \widehat{\Gamma}_{\varphi} \to \widehat{\Gamma}_{\varphi}$  with open image, where  $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\varphi}$  is a Cantor group, so is a complete metric space.

There is an extensive literature on the structure of profinite groups with an open contraction mapping. We use results from:

\* U. Baumgartner and G. Willis, *Contraction groups and scales of automorphisms of totally disconnected locally compact groups*, **Israel J. Math.**, 142:221–248, 2004.

\* C. Reid, *Endomorphisms of profinite groups*, **Groups Geom. Dyn.**, 8:553–564, 2014.

**Theorem.** Let  $\widehat{\varphi} \colon \widehat{\Gamma}_{\varphi} \to \widehat{\Gamma}_{\varphi}$  be a contraction map with open image. Then there is an isomorphism with a semi-direct product

 $\widehat{\mathsf{\Gamma}}_{\varphi} \cong \mathcal{N}_{\varphi} \rtimes \mathcal{D}_{\varphi}$ 

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{N}_arphi &=& \{\widehat{m{g}}\in\widehat{\Gamma}_arphi \mid \lim_{\ell
ightarrow\infty}\,\widehat{arphi}^\ell(\widehat{m{g}})=\widehat{e}\}\ \mathcal{D}_arphi &=& igcap_{n>0}\,\,\widehat{arphi}^n(\widehat{\Gamma}_arphi)\subset\widehat{\Gamma}_arphi \end{array}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Moreover, the contraction factor  $\mathcal{N}_{\varphi}$  is pro-nilpotent. That is,  $\mathcal{N}_{\varphi}$  is an inverse limit of nilpotent groups. We use this structure theorem for contraction maps to show:

**Theorem.** Let  $\varphi$  be a renormalization of the finitely generated group  $\Gamma$ . Suppose that

$$\mathcal{K}(\varphi) = \bigcap_{\ell > 0} \varphi^{\ell}(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma \quad , \quad \mathcal{D}_{\varphi} = \bigcap_{n > 0} \ \widehat{\varphi}_{0}^{n}(\widehat{\Gamma}_{\varphi}) \subset \widehat{\Gamma}_{\varphi}$$

Profinite

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

are both finite groups, then

- Γ is virtually nilpotent,
- If both groups are trivial, then Γ is nilpotent.

**Remark:** The normality assumption in van Limbeek's Theorem is replaced by the assumption that  $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi}$  is a finite group.

**Basic Problem:** Show that  $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi}$  is virtually nilpotent.



The Conjecture has been shown for another special case:

**Theorem.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated strongly scale invariant group, and assume that  $\Gamma$  is virtually polycyclic. Then  $\Gamma$  is virtually nilpotent.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

\* J. Deré, *Strongly scale-invariant virtually polycyclic groups*, **Groups Geom. Dyn.**, 16:985–1004, 2022.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a residually finite finitely generated group. Then  $\Gamma$  has at least one group chain  $\mathcal{G} = \{\Gamma_{\ell} \mid \ell \geq 0\}$ . We then obtain an action  $\widehat{\Phi} \colon \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$ , where  $\mathfrak{X} \cong \widehat{\Gamma}/\mathcal{D}_x$ .

**Problem.** How are the dynamical properties of this Cantor action related to the group structure of  $\Gamma$ ?

Next

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

One motivation for the problem is the observation that associated to the action  $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  is a cross-product  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ . This construction of  $C^*$ -algebras appears in many contexts.

**Problem.** How is the structure of  $C^*(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  related to the dynamical properties of the Cantor action  $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ ?



## Cantor action bibliography.

- \* S. Hurder and O. Lukina, Wild solenoids, Transactions A.M.S., 371:4493-4533, 2019.
- \* O. Lukina, Arboreal Cantor actions, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2), 99:678-706, 2019.

\* S. Hurder and O. Lukina, Orbit equivalence and classification of weak solenoids, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 69:2339–2363, 2020.

\* O. Lukina, Galois Groups and Cantor actions, Transactions A.M.S., 374:1579-1621, 2021.

\* M. Gröger and O. Lukina, Measures and regularity of group Cantor actions, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. A., 41:2001–2029, 2021.

\* S. Hurder and O. Lukina, *Limit group invariants for non-free Cantor actions*, **Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems**, 41:1751–1794, 2021.

\* S. Hurder, O. Lukina and W. van Limbeek, *Cantor dynamics of renormalizable groups*, Groups, Geometry, and Dynamics, 15:1449–1487, 2021.

\* J. Alvarez Lopez, R. Barral Lijo, O. Lukina and H. Nozawa, Wild Cantor actions, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 474:447–472, 2022.

\* M.I. Cortez and O. Lukina, Settled elements in profinite groups, Advances in Mathematics, 404, 2022.

\* S. Hurder and O. Lukina, Nilpotent Cantor actions, Proceedings A.M.S., 150:289–304, 2022.

\* S. Hurder and O. Lukina, *Essential holonomy of Cantor actions*, Journal Math. Society Japan, to appear. arxiv:2205.06285

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

\* S. Hurder and O. Lukina, Type invariants for solenoidal manifolds, submitted, arXiv:2305.00863.

\* S. Hurder and O. Lukina, Prime spectrum and dynamics for nilpotent Cantor actions, Pacific Journal Mathematics, to appear. arXiv:2305.00896