The dynamical classification of arboreal actions

Steve Hurder, University of Illinois at Chicago joint work with Olga Lukina, University of Vienna

In this talk we consider:

 $\star$  classification, up to return equivalence, minimal equicontinuous actions of a finitely generated group  $\Gamma$  on a Cantor space  $\mathfrak{X}.$ 

 $\star\,$  a new approach, based on the <u>Steinitz orders</u> of profinite groups associated to the group action.

 $\star\,$  two new classes of actions which are invariants of return equivalence - frothy and <u>turbulent</u> actions.

First, we discuss the motivation for the study of return equivalence.

Consider  $\mathcal{P} = \{ q_{\ell} \colon M_{\ell} \to M_{\ell-1} \mid \ell \geq 1 \}$ , where each  $M_{\ell}$  is a compact connected manifold without boundary of dimension  $n \geq 1$ , and  $q_{\ell}$  is a proper covering map. The inverse limit

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}} \equiv \lim_{\longleftarrow} \{q_{\ell} \colon M_{\ell} \to M_{\ell-1}\} \subset \prod_{\ell > 0} M_{\ell}$$

is the solenoidal manifold associated to  $\mathcal{P}$ . It is compact and connected but not locally connected, has a fibration map  $p_0: S_{\mathcal{P}} \to M_0$  and foliated by leaves which cover  $M_0$ .

For  $x_0 \in M_0$ , the fiber  $\mathfrak{X}_0 = p_0^{-1}(x_0)$  is a Cantor set, and the monodromy along leaves gives an action of  $\Gamma = \pi_1(M_0, x_0)$  on  $\mathfrak{X}_0$ .

Problem: Classify the solenoidal manifolds up to homeomorphism.

Vietoris - van Dantzig Solenoid:

$$\mathcal{S}(\vec{m}) = \varprojlim \{ q_{\ell} : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1 \mid \ell \geq 1 \}$$

where  $q_{\ell}$  is a covering map of the circle  $\mathbb{S}^1$  of degree  $m_{\ell} > 1$ . Let  $\vec{m} = (m_1, m_2, ...)$  be the collection of covering degrees, then the Steinitz degree of the covering map  $q_0: S(\vec{m}) \to \mathbb{S}^1$  is the product

$$\Pi[\vec{m}] = m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdots m_i \cdots = \prod_{p \in \pi} p^{n(p)} \quad , \quad 0 \le n(p) \le \infty$$

When  $m_i = 2$  for all  $i \ge 1$  we get the Smale attractor:



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Steinitz numbers are asymptotically equivalent, or  $\Pi[\vec{m}] \stackrel{\circ}{\sim} \Pi[\vec{n}]$ , if there exists  $m, n \ge 1$  with  $m \cdot \Pi[\vec{m}] = n \cdot \Pi[\vec{n}]$ .

[Bing, 1960] and [McCord, 1965] showed the following:

**Theorem:** Solenoids  $S(\vec{m})$  and  $S(\vec{n})$  are homeomorphic if and only if  $\prod[\vec{m}] \stackrel{\circ}{\sim} \prod[\vec{n}]$ .

**Question:** Does such a result hold for the case of solenoidal manifolds with dimension greater than 1?

• If solenoidal manifolds  $S_{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $S_{\mathcal{P}'}$  are homeomorphic, then their monodromy Cantor actions are *return equivalent*.

\* Clark - Hurder - Lukina, "Classifying matchbox manifolds", Geom & Top, 23, 2019; arXiv:1311.0226.

### Minimal equicontinuous Cantor actions:

- Γ is a finitely generated group,
- $\Phi \colon \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$  is a topological action.
- $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  is minimal if every orbit  $\mathcal{O}(x) = \{gx \mid g \in \Gamma\}$  is dense.

•  $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  is *equicontinuous* with respect to a metric  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$ , if for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$ , such that for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$  and  $g \in \Gamma$ , we have that  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}(x, y) < \delta$  implies  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}(gx, gy) < \epsilon$ .

•  $\mathfrak{X}$  Cantor space then the *clopen* (closed and open) subsets  $CO(\mathfrak{X})$  form a basis for the topology.

**Fact:**  $\mathfrak{X}$  a Cantor space, a minimal action  $\Phi \colon \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$  is equicontinuous if and only if the  $\Gamma$ -orbit of every  $U \in CO(\mathfrak{X})$  is finite for the induced action  $\Phi_* \colon \Gamma \times CO(\mathfrak{X}) \to CO(\mathfrak{X})$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### **Two Models**

The <u>tree model</u>, or arboreal actions, where  $\Gamma$  acts on a tree  $\mathcal{T}$  preserving a root vertex v, then  $\mathfrak{X}$  is identified with the ends of  $\mathcal{T}$ 

The group chain model, where  $\Gamma$  acts on the coset spaces  $X_{\ell} = \Gamma/\Gamma_{\ell}$  where  $\Gamma_1 \supset \Gamma_2 \supset \cdots$  is a descending chain of proper subgroups, so  $\Gamma$  acts on the inverse limit space

$$\mathfrak{X} = \varprojlim \{X_1 \leftarrow X_2 \leftarrow \cdots\}$$

Fact: The two models are equivalent.

• Group chain model yields solenoidal manifolds directly.

**Definition:**  $U \subset \mathfrak{X}$  is *adapted* to the action  $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  if U is a *non-empty clopen* subset, and for any  $g \in \Gamma$ , if  $\Phi(g)(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  implies that  $\Phi(g)(U) = U$ .

• Given  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$  and clopen set  $x \in W$ , there is an adapted clopen set U with  $x \in U \subset W$ .

• For U adapted, the set of "return times" to U,

$$\Gamma_U = \{ g \in \Gamma \mid g \cdot U \cap U \neq \emptyset \}$$

is a subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , called the *stabilizer* of U.

**Definition:** Minimal equicontinuous Cantor actions  $(\mathfrak{X}_1, \Gamma_1, \Phi_1)$ and  $(\mathfrak{X}_2, \Gamma_2, \Phi_2)$  are return equivalent if there exists an adapted set  $U_1 \subset \mathfrak{X}_1$  for the action  $\Phi_1$  and an adapted set  $U_2 \subset \mathfrak{X}_2$  for the action  $\Phi_2$ , and a homeomorphism  $h: U_1 \to U_2$  which induces an isomorphism of the monodromy groups  $\mathcal{H}_{U_1}$  with  $\mathcal{H}_{U_2}$ .

**Basic Problem:** Classify the minimal equicontinuous Cantor actions up to return equivalence.

≙

**Easier Problem:** Find properties of minimal equicontinuous Cantor actions which are return invariant.

**Fun Problem:** Find interesting examples of minimal equicontinuous Cantor actions.

## • Properties

- \* Steinitz orders
- ★ Stable & Wild
- $\star\,$  Frothy & Turbulent

# • Examples

- \* Odometer Actions
- $\star$  Heisenberg Actions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 ○のへ⊙

# $(\mathfrak{X},\Gamma,\Phi)$ minimal equicontinuous Cantor action

- $\implies \ \ \Phi(\Gamma) \subset \textbf{Homeo}(\mathfrak{X}) \text{ is equicontinuous subgroup,}$
- $\implies \quad \text{closure } \mathfrak{G}(\Phi) = \overline{\Phi(\Gamma)} \subset \textbf{Homeo}(\mathfrak{X}) \text{ is profinite group.}$
- $\implies \ \mathfrak{G}(\Phi) \text{ acts transitively on } \mathfrak{X}, \text{ so have } \widehat{\Phi} \colon \mathfrak{G}(\Phi) \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$
- $\implies \text{ Isotropy subgroup } \mathfrak{D}(\Phi, x) = \{\widehat{g} \in \mathfrak{G}(\Phi) \mid \widehat{\Phi}(\widehat{g})(x) = x\}$ 
  - $\star \ \mathfrak{D}(\Phi, x)$  is finite, or Cantor group

$$\star \ \mathfrak{D}(\Phi, x) \sim \mathfrak{D}(\Phi, y) \text{ for } x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$$

$$\star \ \mathfrak{X} \cong \mathfrak{G}(\Phi)/\mathfrak{D}(\Phi,x)$$

The closure  $\overline{\Phi(\Gamma)}$  is also called the Ellis group of the action.

**Problem:** How does dynamics of action  $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  depend on subgroup  $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi, x)$ ? Or more precisely, on the left (adjoint) action of  $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi, x)$  on  $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)/\mathfrak{D}(\Phi, x)$ ?

- ロ ト - 4 目 ト - 4 目 ト - 1 - 9 へ ()

#### **Steinitz numbers:**

**Example:** Suppose *a* and *b* are Steinitz numbers, with

$$a=\prod_{p\in\pi}p^{n(p)}$$
 ,  $b=\prod_{p\in\pi}p^{m(p)}$ 

where  $\pi$  is the set of distinct prime numbers.

$$LCM(a, b) = \prod_{p \in \pi} p^{\max\{n(p), m(p)\}}$$

**Definition:**  $\mathcal{N} = \{n_i \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  collection of positive integers.

$$LCM(\mathcal{N}) = \prod_{p \in \pi} p^{n(p)}$$
,  $0 \le n(p) \le \infty$ 

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬる

is least common multiple as Steinitz number

### Steinitz order:

 $\mathfrak{G}$  a profinite group

 $\mathfrak{N}\subset\mathfrak{G}$  open normal subgroup then  $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{N}$  is finite group.

**Definition:**  $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{G}$  be a closed subgroup of the profinite group  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

 $\Pi[\mathfrak{G}:\mathfrak{H}] = LCM\{\#\{\mathfrak{G}/(\mathfrak{N}\cdot\mathfrak{H})\} \mid \mathfrak{N} \subset \mathfrak{G} \text{ clopen normal subgroup}\}$ 

is the <u>relative Steinitz order</u> of  $\mathfrak{H}$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

- <u>Steinitz order</u> of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is  $\Pi[\mathfrak{G}] = \Pi[\mathfrak{G} : {\widehat{e}}].$
- Steinitz numbers Π<sub>1</sub> <sup>a</sup> ∼ Π<sub>2</sub> (asymptotic equivalence)
   ⇒ m · Π<sub>1</sub> = n · Π<sub>2</sub> for integers m, n ≥ 1

\* J.S. Wilson, Chapter 2, Profinite groups, London
 Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, Vol. 19, 1998.

**Theorem:**  $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  minimal equicontinuous Cantor action, then the asymptotic relative Steinitz order  $\prod_a[\mathfrak{G}(\Phi) : \mathfrak{D}(\Phi)]$  is an invariant of return equivalence class of the action.

**Corollary:** Asymptotic Steintiz order of tower of coverings is an invariant of the homeomorphism class of solenoidal manifold.

Definition: Prime spectrum of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is the collection

 $\pi(\Pi[\mathfrak{G}]) = \{ p \text{ prime } | p \text{ divides } \Pi[\mathfrak{G}] \}$ 

**Theorem:**  $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  minimal equicontinuous Cantor action, then the prime spectra  $\pi(\Pi[\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)])$  and  $\pi(\Pi[\mathfrak{D}(\Phi)])$  are invariants of return equivalence of the action, modulo finite sets of primes.

**Remark:** Classification problem can be considered in terms of prime spectra of actions.

### **Regularity properties of Cantor actions:**

Here are alternate versions of *topologically free* actions which are valid for  $\Gamma$  profinite group.

•  $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  is quasi-analytic  $\iff$ 

for any clopen subset  $U \subset \mathfrak{X}$  & any  $g \in \Gamma$ , if  $\Phi(g)(U) = U$  and  $\Phi(g)|U$  is the identity, then  $\Phi(g)$  is the identity on all of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

•  $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  is locally quasi-analytic  $\iff$ 

if there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for any adapted subset  $U \subset \mathfrak{X}$  with  $\operatorname{diam}(U) < \epsilon$  & any  $g \in \Gamma$  with  $\Phi(g)(U) = U$ , if there exists clopen  $V \subset U$  with  $\Phi(V) = V$  and the restriction  $\Phi(g)|V$  is the identity, then  $\Phi(g)|U$  is the identity on all of U.

- ロ ト - 4 回 ト - 4 □

**Definition:**  $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  is <u>stable</u> if the *profinite* action  $\widehat{\Phi} : \mathfrak{G}(\Phi) \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$  is locally quasi-analytic.

**Theorem:** Stable property is an invariant of return equivalence.

**Remark:** The classification problem for stable actions essentially reduces to a problem in algebra.

\* Cortez - Medynets, "Orbit equivalence rigidity of equicontinuous systems", Journal Lond. Math. Soc. (2), 94, 2016.

\* Hurder - Lukina, "Orbit equivalence and classification of weak solenoids", Indiana Univ. Math. Journal, Vol. 69, 2020; arXiv:1803.02098.

\* Hurder - Lukina, "Nilpotent Cantor actions"; arXiv:1905.07740.

**Definition:**  $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  is <u>wild</u> if the *profinite* action  $\widehat{\Phi} : \mathfrak{G}(\Phi) \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$  is not locally quasi-analytic.

Wild Cantor actions include:

• actions of weakly branch groups on their boundaries

\* Bartholdi - Grigorchuk - Šunik, "Branch groups", **Handbook of** algebra, Vol. 3, 2012.

• actions of higher rank arithmetic lattices on quotients of their profinite completions

\* Hurder- Lukina, "Wild solenoids", Transactions A.M.S., 371, 2019; arXiv:1702.03032.

- subgroups of wreath product groups acting on trees
- \* Álvarez López Barral Lijó Lukina Nozawa, "Wild Cantor actions", J. Math. Soc. Japan, to appear; arXiv:2010.00498.

# **Classifying nilpotent Cantor actions:**

 $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  is a nilpotent Cantor action  $\Leftrightarrow$ 

- minimal & equicontinuous,
- $\Gamma$  contains a finitely-generated nilpotent subgroup of finite index.

**Question:** How do the dynamical properties of nilpotent Cantor actions differ from those of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -odometers?

**Theorem:** [Hurder - Lukina, 2021] Let  $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  be a nilpotent Cantor action. Then

prime spectrum  $\pi(\Pi[\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)])$  is finite  $\implies$  action is stable

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Problem: Show there exist wild nilpotent Cantor actions.

Two more properties:

**Definition:** A wild Cantor action  $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  is said to be <u>frothy</u> if  $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} H_i$ , where each  $H_i$  is a finite group.

**Definition:** A wild Cantor action  $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  is said to be <u>turbulent</u> if the set of points with non-trivial holonomy has full measure.

This notion has applications to the study of I.R.S.'s

\* Gröger - Lukina, "Measures and regularity of group Cantor actions", Discrete Contin. Dynam. Sys.-A, 41(5) 2021; arXiv:1911.00680.

### Examples:

- Toroidal Actions
- Heisenberg (nilpotent) Actions

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

- $\star$  Stable
- $\star$  Wild
- $\star$  Frothy
- $\star$  Turbulent

Classic odometers: Choose two disjoint sets of distinct primes,

$$\pi_f = \{q_1, q_2, \ldots\}$$
 ,  $\pi_{\infty} = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots\}$ 

where  $\pi_f$  and  $\pi_{\infty}$  can be chosen to be finite or infinite sets. Choose multiplicities  $n(q_i) \ge 1$  for the primes in  $\pi_f$ . For each  $\ell > 0$ , define a subgroup of  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$  by

$$\Gamma_{\ell} = \{q_1^{n(q_1)}q_2^{n(q_2)}\cdots q_{\ell}^{n(q_{\ell})}\cdot p_1^{\ell}p_2^{\ell}\cdots p_{\ell}^{\ell}\cdot n\mid n\in\mathbb{Z}\}$$

The completion  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  with respect to this group chain admits a product decomposition into its Sylow *p*-subgroups

$$\widehat{\Gamma} \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/q_i^{n(q_i)}\mathbb{Z} \cdot \prod_{p \in \pi_{\infty}} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)} \quad , \ \pi(\Pi[\widehat{\Gamma}]) = \pi_f \cup \pi_{\infty}$$

 $\mathbb{Z}\text{-action}$  on  $\mathfrak{X}=\widehat{\Gamma}$  is free, so certainly topologically free & stable.

Heisenberg odometers:  $\mathcal{H} \subset GL(\mathbb{Z}^3)$ 

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$
(1)

The group operation \* in coordinates  $(a, b, c), (a', b', c') \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ ,

$$(a, b, c) * (a', b', c') = (a + a', b + b', c + c' + ab')$$

The normal subgroups and representations of *H* are described in
\* Lightwood - Şahin - Ugarcovici, "The structure and spectrum of Heisenberg odometers", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 142(7), 2014.
\* Danilenko - Lemańczyk, "Odometer actions of the Heisenberg group", J. Anal. Math., 128, 2016.

Our interest is in group chains in  $\mathcal{H}$  which are <u>not normal</u>. Here is a very useful result:

**Theorem:** Let  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  be a profinite completion of a finitely-generated nilpotent group  $\Gamma$ . Then there is a topological isomorphism

$$\widehat{\Gamma} \cong \prod_{p \in \pi(\Pi[\widehat{\Gamma}])} \ \widehat{\Gamma}_{(p)} \ ,$$

where  $\widehat{\Gamma}_{(p)} \subset \widehat{\Gamma}$  denotes the Sylow *p*-subgroup of  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  for a prime *p*.

Thus the action of  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  can be analyzed for each prime. Conversely, actions of  $\mathcal{H}$  can be constructed prime by prime.

### A model action of a finite *p*-group:

Fix a prime  $p \ge 2$ .

For  $n \ge 1$  and  $0 \le k < n$ , we have the following finite groups:

$$G_{p,n} = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{rrr} 1 & \overline{a} & \overline{c} \\ 0 & 1 & \overline{b} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \mid \overline{a}, \overline{b}, \overline{c} \in \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

$$H_{p,n,k} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & p^k \overline{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mid \overline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

$$X_{p,n,k} = G_{p,n}/H_{p,n,k}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

The isotropy group of the action of  $G_{p,n}$  on  $X_{p,n,k}$  at the coset  $eH_{p,n,k}$  of the identity element is  $H_{p,n,k}$ .

### Construction of a wild example:

Let  $\pi_f$  and  $\pi_\infty$  be two disjoint collections of primes, with  $\pi_f$  an infinite set and  $\pi_\infty$  arbitrary, possibly empty.

Enumerate  $\pi_f = \{q_1, q_2, \ldots\}$  and choose integers  $1 \le r_i \le n_i$  for  $1 \le i < \infty$ .

Enumerate  $\pi_{\infty} = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots\}$ , again with the convention that if  $\ell$  is greater than the number of primes in  $\pi_{\infty}$  then we set  $p_{\ell} = 1$ . For each  $\ell \geq 1$ , define the integers

$$\begin{aligned} M_\ell &= q_1^{r_1} q_2^{r_2} \cdots q_\ell^{r_\ell} \cdot p_1^\ell p_2^\ell \cdots p_\ell^\ell , \\ N_\ell &= q_1^{n_1} q_2^{n_2} \cdots q_\ell^{n_\ell} \cdot p_1^\ell p_2^\ell \cdots p_\ell^\ell . \end{aligned}$$

For  $\ell \geq 1$ , define a subgroup of  $\mathcal{H}$ , in the coordinates above,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\ell} = \{(aM_{\ell}, bN_{\ell}, cN_{\ell}) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}\},\$$

Its core subgroup is given by  $C_{\ell} = \{(aN_{\ell}, bN_{\ell}, cN_{\ell}) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . For  $k_i = n_i - r_i$  we then have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\infty} \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} G_{q_i,n_i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{(p_j)} , \quad D_{\infty} \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} H_{q_i,n_i,k_i} .$$

The Cantor space  $X_{\infty} = \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\infty}/D_{\infty}$  associated to the group chain  $\{\mathcal{H}_{\ell} \mid \ell \geq 1\}$  is given by

$$X_{\infty} \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{q_i,n_i,k_i} \times \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{(p_j)}.$$

ション・ 山田・ 山田・ 山田・ 山田・

Let  $x_i \in X_{q_i,n_i,k_i}$  denote the coset of the identity element. For each  $\ell \ge 1$ , we define a clopen set in  $X_{\infty}$ 

$$U_{\ell} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \{x_i\} \times \prod_{i=\ell+1}^{\infty} X_{q_i,n_i,k_i} \times \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{(p_j)}.$$

- This action is wild.
- If the set  $\pi_{\infty}$  is empty, then the action is frothy as well.
- With proper choices of integers  $1 \le r_i \le n_i$  for  $1 \le i < \infty$ , the action will be turbulent.

Details of calculations and more examples are in the paper

\* Hurder-Lukina, "The prime spectrum of solenoidal manifolds", 2021; arXiv:2103.06825