

# Minimal sets for foliations

Steve Hurder

University of Illinois at Chicago  
[www.math.uic.edu/~hurder](http://www.math.uic.edu/~hurder)

Given *one* derivative,

*What can you do with one derivative?*

Topological dynamics for flows (and foliations) is a very wild world.  
But along with a derivative, sometimes arrives order.

Model example for this: attractors for *Axiom A* diffeomorphisms,  
and Williams' results on their topological structure.

*Goal: Classify (exceptional) minimal sets for  $C^1$ -foliations.*

Study the “simplest” cases.

Themes in classification of foliations:

$$2 \leftrightarrow 1 \leftrightarrow 0 \leftrightarrow 1 \leftrightarrow 2$$

- “2” —  $C^2$ -invariants, such as characteristic classes
- “1” —  $C^1$ -invariants, such as entropy & Lyapunov spectrum
- “0” —  $C^0$ -invariants, topological dynamics of foliations
- “1” — Lipschitz invariants, tempered cocycles, measure properties
- “2” — Classification of cycles in  $B\Gamma_q^2$

Discuss some aspects about transitioning from “0” to “1”.

## Classification of foliations in the 1970's and 80's

- Haefliger, Thurston  $\implies$

*Classifying spaces  $B\Gamma_q^r$  for  $r \geq 1$*

- Bott–Haefliger, Gelfand–Fuks, Kamber–Tondeur  $\implies$

*Secondary classes and how to calculate them*

- Mizutani, Morita, Tsuboi, Duminy, Sergiescu  $\implies$

*Decompose foliations, Godbillon-Vey class of constituents*

- Ghys, Hector, Langevin, Moussu, Rosenberg, Roussarie, Cantwell, Conlon, Plante, Sullivan, Walczak, Williams, Inaba, Matsumoto, Mizutani, Nishimori, Tsuchiya  $\implies$

*Foliations as dynamical systems*

A minimal set  $\mathcal{Z} \subset M$  is *exceptional* if the intersection  $\mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{T}$  is totally disconnected for every transversal  $\mathcal{T}$  to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  are recurrent in a minimal set  $\implies \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{T}$  is Cantor set.

Holonomy of  $\mathcal{F}$  along paths in  $\mathcal{Z}$  defines pseudogroup on  $\mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{T}$ .

A minimal set  $\mathcal{Z} \subset M$  is *exceptional* if the intersection  $\mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{T}$  is totally disconnected for every transversal  $\mathcal{T}$  to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  are recurrent in a minimal set  $\implies \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{T}$  is Cantor set.

Holonomy of  $\mathcal{F}$  along paths in  $\mathcal{Z}$  defines pseudogroup on  $\mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{T}$ .

**Problem:** Study the properties of *minimal pseudogroup actions* on Cantor sets. Look for properties characterizing their “ $C^1$ -ness”.

Or, a little more precisely:

**Problem:** Given a pseudogroup  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  acting on a Cantor set  $\mathfrak{X}$ , determine if it came from a minimal set in a  $C^r$ -foliation,  $r \geq 1$ .

The action must be *minimal & compactly generated*:

**Definition:** A pseudogroup  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  acting on a Cantor set  $\mathfrak{X}$  is *compactly generated*, if there exists two collections of *clopen* subsets  $\{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$  and  $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$  of  $\mathfrak{X}$  and homeomorphisms  $\{h_i: U_i \rightarrow V_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  which generate all elements of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ .

$\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}^*$  is defined to be the compositions of the generators  $\{h_i: U_i \rightarrow V_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  and their inverses, on the maximal domains for which the composition is defined.

There must be given a well-defined Lipschitz class of metrics on  $\mathfrak{X}$ :  
 $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$  and  $d'_{\mathfrak{X}}$  are *bi-Lipschitz equivalent*, if they satisfy a Lipschitz condition for some  $C \geq 1$ ,

$$C^{-1} \cdot d_{\mathfrak{X}}(x, y) \leq d'_{\mathfrak{X}}(x, y) \leq C \cdot d_{\mathfrak{X}}(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$$

There must be given a well-defined Lipschitz class of metrics on  $\mathfrak{X}$ :  
 $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$  and  $d'_{\mathfrak{X}}$  are *bi-Lipschitz equivalent*, if they satisfy a Lipschitz condition for some  $C \geq 1$ ,

$$C^{-1} \cdot d_{\mathfrak{X}}(x, y) \leq d'_{\mathfrak{X}}(x, y) \leq C \cdot d_{\mathfrak{X}}(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$$

*Lipschitz geometry* of the pair  $(\mathfrak{X}, d_{\mathfrak{X}})$  investigates the geometric properties common to all metrics in the Lipschitz class of the given metric  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$ . Example: Hausdorff dimension.

There must be given a well-defined Lipschitz class of metrics on  $\mathfrak{X}$ :  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$  and  $d'_{\mathfrak{X}}$  are *bi-Lipschitz equivalent*, if they satisfy a Lipschitz condition for some  $C \geq 1$ ,

$$C^{-1} \cdot d_{\mathfrak{X}}(x, y) \leq d'_{\mathfrak{X}}(x, y) \leq C \cdot d_{\mathfrak{X}}(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$$

*Lipschitz geometry* of the pair  $(\mathfrak{X}, d_{\mathfrak{X}})$  investigates the geometric properties common to all metrics in the Lipschitz class of the given metric  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$ . Example: Hausdorff dimension.

Cantor set has many metrics, need not be “locally homogeneous,” or satisfy a “doubling property” of Assouad, which is necessary if there is an embedding in some Euclidean space.

**Definition:** The action of a compactly-supported pseudogroup  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  is *Lipshitz* with respect to  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$  if there exists  $C \geq 1$  such that for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$  then for all  $w, w' \in U_i = \text{Dom}(h_i)$  we have

$$C^{-1} \cdot d_{\mathfrak{X}}(w, w') \leq d_{\mathfrak{X}}(h_i(w), h_i(w')) \leq C \cdot d_{\mathfrak{X}}(w, w') .$$

We then say that  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}^*$  is  $C$ -Lipshitz with respect to  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$ .

**Definition:** The action of a compactly-supported pseudogroup  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  is *Lipshitz* with respect to  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$  if there exists  $C \geq 1$  such that for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$  then for all  $w, w' \in U_i = \text{Dom}(h_i)$  we have

$$C^{-1} \cdot d_{\mathfrak{X}}(w, w') \leq d_{\mathfrak{X}}(h_i(w), h_i(w')) \leq C \cdot d_{\mathfrak{X}}(w, w') .$$

We then say that  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}^*$  is  $C$ -Lipshitz with respect to  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$ .

**Proposition:** Let  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  be a compactly-generated pseudogroup acting on a Cantor set  $\mathfrak{X}$ . If  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  is defined by the restriction of the holonomy of a  $C^1$ -foliation to a transversal  $\mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{T}$ , then  $\mathfrak{X}$  admits a metric  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$  such that the action is Lipshitz.

Let  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  be a minimal pseudogroup acting on a Cantor space  $\mathfrak{X}$ , and let  $V \subset \mathfrak{X}$  be a clopen subset.  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}|_V$  is defined as the restrictions of all maps in  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  with domain and range in  $V$ .

**Definition:** Let  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  be a minimal pseudogroup action on the Cantor set  $\mathfrak{X}$  via Lipschitz homeomorphisms with respect to the metric  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$ . Likewise, let  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$  be a minimal pseudogroup action on the Cantor set  $\mathfrak{Y}$  via Lipschitz homeomorphisms for the metric  $d_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  be a minimal pseudogroup acting on a Cantor space  $\mathfrak{X}$ , and let  $V \subset \mathfrak{X}$  be a clopen subset.  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}|V$  is defined as the restrictions of all maps in  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  with domain and range in  $V$ .

**Definition:** Let  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  be a minimal pseudogroup action on the Cantor set  $\mathfrak{X}$  via Lipschitz homeomorphisms with respect to the metric  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$ . Likewise, let  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$  be a minimal pseudogroup action on the Cantor set  $\mathfrak{Y}$  via Lipschitz homeomorphisms for the metric  $d_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ .

1.  $(\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathfrak{X}, d_{\mathfrak{X}})$  is *Morita equivalent* to  $(\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{Y}}, \mathfrak{Y}, d_{\mathfrak{Y}})$  if there exist clopen subsets  $V \subset \mathfrak{X}$  and  $W \subset \mathfrak{Y}$ , and a homeomorphism  $h: V \rightarrow W$  which conjugates  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}|V$  to  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{Y}}|W$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  be a minimal pseudogroup acting on a Cantor space  $\mathfrak{X}$ , and let  $V \subset \mathfrak{X}$  be a clopen subset.  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}|V$  is defined as the restrictions of all maps in  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  with domain and range in  $V$ .

**Definition:** Let  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  be a minimal pseudogroup action on the Cantor set  $\mathfrak{X}$  via Lipschitz homeomorphisms with respect to the metric  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$ . Likewise, let  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$  be a minimal pseudogroup action on the Cantor set  $\mathfrak{Y}$  via Lipschitz homeomorphisms for the metric  $d_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ .

1.  $(\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathfrak{X}, d_{\mathfrak{X}})$  is *Morita equivalent* to  $(\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{Y}}, \mathfrak{Y}, d_{\mathfrak{Y}})$  if there exist clopen subsets  $V \subset \mathfrak{X}$  and  $W \subset \mathfrak{Y}$ , and a homeomorphism  $h: V \rightarrow W$  which conjugates  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}|V$  to  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{Y}}|W$ .
2.  $(\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathfrak{X}, d_{\mathfrak{X}})$  is *Lipschitz equivalent* to  $(\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{Y}}, \mathfrak{Y}, d_{\mathfrak{Y}})$  if the conjugation  $h$  is Lipschitz.

Two very interesting problems:

**Problem:** Given a compactly-generated pseudogroup  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  acting minimally on a Cantor set  $\mathfrak{X}$ , and suppose there exists a metric  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that the generators are Lipschitz, when is there a Lipschitz equivalence to the pseudogroup defined by an exceptional minimal set of a  $C^1$  foliation?

**Problem:** Classify the compactly-generated Lipschitz pseudogroups acting minimally on a Cantor set  $\mathfrak{X}$ , up to Lipschitz equivalence.

Classify means, for example, that we are looking for *effective invariants* that distinguish the actions.

There are several known “types” of standard examples, of compactly-generated pseudogroups  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  acting minimally on a Cantor set  $\mathfrak{X}$ , which we recall. But first, there are some “bad characters” that the Lipschitz condition rules out.

**Theorem:** There exist compactly-generated pseudogroups  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  acting minimally on a Cantor set  $\mathfrak{X}$ , such that there is no metric on  $\mathfrak{X}$  for which the generators of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  satisfy a Lipschitz condition.

**Theorem:** There exist compactly-generated pseudogroups  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  acting minimally on a Cantor set  $\mathfrak{X}$ , such that there is no metric on  $\mathfrak{X}$  for which the generators of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  satisfy a Lipschitz condition.

This is related to the construction of complete Riemannian manifolds which cannot be realized as the leaf of a  $C^1$ -foliation.

Sketch of proof – for details see “*Lipshitz matchbox manifolds*”, arXiv:1309.1512.

Begin by constructing the model for the Cantor set  $\mathfrak{X}$ , with  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$ .

Let  $G_{\ell} = \mathbb{Z}/(2^{\ell}\mathbb{Z})$  be the cyclic group of order  $2^{\ell}$ .

Let  $p_{\ell+1}: G_{\ell+1} \rightarrow G_{\ell}$  be the natural quotient map. Set:

$$\mathfrak{X} = \varprojlim \{p_{\ell+1}: G_{\ell+1} \rightarrow G_{\ell}\} \subset \prod_{\ell \geq 1} \mathbb{Z}/(2^{\ell}\mathbb{Z}).$$

Begin by constructing the model for the Cantor set  $\mathfrak{X}$ , with  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$ .

Let  $G_{\ell} = \mathbb{Z}/(2^{\ell}\mathbb{Z})$  be the cyclic group of order  $2^{\ell}$ .

Let  $p_{\ell+1}: G_{\ell+1} \rightarrow G_{\ell}$  be the natural quotient map. Set:

$$\mathfrak{X} = \varprojlim \{p_{\ell+1}: G_{\ell+1} \rightarrow G_{\ell}\} \subset \prod_{\ell \geq 1} \mathbb{Z}/(2^{\ell}\mathbb{Z}).$$

Metric on  $\mathfrak{X}$ :  $\bar{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$  and  $\bar{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots)$ , then

$$d_{\mathfrak{X}}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} 3^{-\ell} \delta(x_{\ell}, y_{\ell}),$$

where  $\delta(x_{\ell}, y_{\ell}) = 0$  if  $x_{\ell} = y_{\ell}$ , and is equal to 1 otherwise.

Define action  $A: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}$  acts on each factor  $\mathbb{Z}/(2^\ell \mathbb{Z})$  by translation.

Action of  $A$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$  is minimal.

Let  $\sigma: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  be the shift map,  $\sigma(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (x_2, x_3, \dots)$ .

$\sigma$  is a  $2 - 1$  map, and so is not invertible.

$\sigma$  is a 3-times expanding map.

Define action  $A: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}$  acts on each factor  $\mathbb{Z}/(2^\ell \mathbb{Z})$  by translation.

Action of  $A$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$  is minimal.

Let  $\sigma: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  be the shift map,  $\sigma(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (x_2, x_3, \dots)$ .

$\sigma$  is a 2 - 1 map, and so is not invertible.

$\sigma$  is a 3-times expanding map.

Partition  $\mathfrak{X}$  into clopen subsets, for  $i = 0, 1$ ,

$$U_1(i) = \{(i, x_2, x_3, \dots) \mid 0 \leq x_j < 2^j, p_{j+1}(x_{j+1}) = x_j, j > 1\}.$$

$$\text{diam}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U_1(0)) = \text{diam}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U_1(1)) = d_{\mathfrak{X}}(U_1(0), U_1(1)) = 1/3.$$

Inverse map  $\tau_i = \sigma_i^{-1}: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow U_1(i)$  given by the usual formula for the section,  $\tau_i(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (i, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$ .

For  $\bar{x} \in \mathfrak{X}$ , set  $\bar{x}_\ell = (x_1, \dots, x_\ell)$ .

For  $\ell \geq 1$ , define the clopen neighborhood of  $\bar{x}$ ,

$$U_\ell(\bar{x}) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_\ell, \xi_{\ell+1}, \xi_{\ell+2}, \dots) \\ | 0 \leq \xi_j < 2^j, p_{j+1}(\xi_{j+1}) = \xi_j, j > \ell\}.$$

The restriction  $\sigma^\ell: U_\ell(\bar{x}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is 1-1 and onto,  $3^\ell$ -expansive.

$$\text{diam}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U_\ell(\bar{x})) = 3^{-\ell}/2.$$

So far, this is just the standard shift model.

A standard example of a Cantor minimal action by affine group.

The key point: construct hypercontraction  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ .

Choose two distinct points  $\bar{y}, \bar{z} \in \mathfrak{X}$ , and choose a sequence  $\{\bar{x}_k \mid -\infty < k < \infty\} \subset \mathfrak{X} - \{\bar{y}, \bar{z}\}$  of distinct points with  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{x}_k = \bar{y}$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \bar{x}_k = \bar{z}$ .

The key point: construct hypercontraction  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ .

Choose two distinct points  $\bar{y}, \bar{z} \in \mathfrak{X}$ , and choose a sequence  $\{\bar{x}_k \mid -\infty < k < \infty\} \subset \mathfrak{X} - \{\bar{y}, \bar{z}\}$  of distinct points with  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{x}_k = \bar{y}$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \bar{x}_k = \bar{z}$ .

Recursively, choose disjoint clopen neighborhoods  $\bar{x}_k \in V_k \subset \mathfrak{X}$

$$\text{diam}_{\mathfrak{X}}(V_k) = \text{diam}_{\mathfrak{X}}(V_{-k}) < \rho_k / (3 \ell_k!)$$

$\rho_k$  is distance between all previous choices.

$\varphi: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  defined by, for all  $-\infty < k < \infty$ ,

- restriction  $\varphi_k: V_k \rightarrow V_{k+1}$  is a homeomorphism onto, and
- $\varphi$  defined to be the identity on the complement of the union  $V = \cup\{V_k \mid -\infty < k < \infty\}$ .

The map  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism.

Let  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}} = \langle A, \tau_1, \tau_2, \varphi \rangle$  be pseudogroup they generate.

**Claim:** There does not exist a metric  $d'_X$  on  $X$  such that the generators  $\{A, \tau_1, \tau_2, \varphi\}$  of  $\mathcal{G}_X$  satisfy a Lipschitz condition.

*Proof:* If such a metric  $d'_X$  exists, then some power of the contractions  $\tau_i$  are contractions for the new metric  $d'_X$ .

But then the Lipschitz condition on  $\varphi$  becomes impossible.

**Claim:** There does not exist a metric  $d'_X$  on  $X$  such that the generators  $\{A, \tau_1, \tau_2, \varphi\}$  of  $\mathcal{G}_X$  satisfy a Lipschitz condition.

*Proof:* If such a metric  $d'_X$  exists, then some power of the contractions  $\tau_i$  are contractions for the new metric  $d'_X$ .

But then the Lipschitz condition on  $\varphi$  becomes impossible.

What is going on?

This is a “toy problem” for a broader geometric problem.

Return to minimal sets, and construct a graph which cannot be embedded quasi-isometrically in a  $C^1$ -foliation.

**Definition:** A *matchbox manifold* is a continuum with the structure of a smooth foliated space  $\mathfrak{M}$ , such that the transverse model space  $\mathfrak{X}$  is totally disconnected, and for each  $x \in \mathfrak{M}$ , the transverse model space  $\mathfrak{X}_x \subset \mathfrak{X}$  is a clopen subset, hence is homeomorphic to a Cantor set.

All matchbox manifolds are assumed to be smooth with a given leafwise Riemannian metric.



**Figure:** Blue tips are points in Cantor set  $\mathfrak{X}_x$

## Examples

- Exceptional minimal set for foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $M$ , with metric induced from Riemannian metric on  $M$ .
- Given a repetitive, aperiodic tiling of the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with finite local complexity, the associated tiling space  $\Omega$  is the closure of the set of translations by  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of the given tiling, in an appropriate Gromov-Hausdorff topology on the space of tilings. Metric is induced from “tiling matching”, so a type of ultra-metric.

- Ghys-Kenyon construction of laminations, for Cayley graphs of finitely-generated groups, and for foliated Cayley graphs.

[Ghys, 1999] *Laminations par surfaces de Riemann*.

[Blanc, 2001] *Propriétés génériques des laminations*.

[Lozano-Rojo, 2006] *Cayley foliated space of a graphed pseudogroup*.

[Lukina, 2012] *Hierarchy of graph matchbox manifolds*.

[Lozano-Rojo and Lukina, 2013] *Suspensions of Bernoulli shifts*.

- Ghys-Kenyon construction of laminations, for Cayley graphs of finitely-generated groups, and for foliated Cayley graphs.

[Ghys, 1999] *Laminations par surfaces de Riemann*.

[Blanc, 2001] *Propriétés génériques des laminations*.

[Lozano-Rojo, 2006] *Cayley foliated space of a graphed pseudogroup*.

[Lukina, 2012] *Hierarchy of graph matchbox manifolds*.

[Lozano-Rojo and Lukina, 2013] *Suspensions of Bernoulli shifts*.

**Remark:**  $L \subset \mathcal{Z}$  a leaf of minimal set for foliation,  $\Gamma_L$  the graph of the pseudogroup restricted to  $L$ , then there is an associated graph matchbox manifold  $\mathfrak{M}(\Gamma_L)$  which captures the dynamics of  $L$  in  $\mathcal{Z}$ , and is transversally Cantor set. Plus, can keep all leaves as sticks, no need to fatten them up, so really does look like matchboxes.

*Matchbox dynamics captures dynamics of foliation minimal sets.*

Example above, tilings, trees, etc:

expansive  $\longleftrightarrow$  shifts on trees  $\longleftrightarrow$  parabolic groups

Need to also look for rotational part of geometry, as in the decomposition of a semi-simple Lie group  $G = P \times K$ :

equicontinuous  $\longleftrightarrow$  Cantor rotations  $\longleftrightarrow$  compact groups

The *weak solenoids* correspond to the Cantor rotations, or maximal compact factors in Bruhat decomposition.

*Presentation* is a collection  $\mathcal{P} = \{p_{\ell+1}: M_{\ell+1} \rightarrow M_{\ell} \mid \ell \geq 0\}$ ,

- each  $M_{\ell}$  is a connected compact simplicial complex, dimension  $n$ ,
- each “bonding map”  $p_{\ell+1}$  is a proper surjective map of simplicial complexes with discrete fibers.

*Presentation* is a collection  $\mathcal{P} = \{p_{\ell+1}: M_{\ell+1} \rightarrow M_\ell \mid \ell \geq 0\}$ ,

- each  $M_\ell$  is a connected compact simplicial complex, dimension  $n$ ,
- each “bonding map”  $p_{\ell+1}$  is a proper surjective map of simplicial complexes with discrete fibers.

The *generalized solenoid*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}} \equiv \varprojlim \{p_{\ell+1}: M_{\ell+1} \rightarrow M_\ell\} \subset \prod_{\ell \geq 0} M_\ell$$

$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is given the product topology.

*Presentation* is *stationary* if  $M_\ell = M_0$  for all  $\ell \geq 0$ , and the bonding maps  $p_\ell = p_1$  for all  $\ell \geq 1$ .

**Definition:**  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a *weak solenoid* if for each  $\ell \geq 0$ ,  $M_{\ell}$  is a compact manifold without boundary, and the map  $p_{\ell+1}$  is a proper covering map of degree  $m_{\ell+1} > 1$ .

**Definition:**  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a *weak solenoid* if for each  $\ell \geq 0$ ,  $M_{\ell}$  is a compact manifold without boundary, and the map  $p_{\ell+1}$  is a proper covering map of degree  $m_{\ell+1} > 1$ .

Classic example: Vietoris solenoid, defined by tower of coverings:

$$\longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \xrightarrow{n_{\ell+1}} \mathbb{S}^1 \xrightarrow{n_{\ell}} \dots \xrightarrow{n_2} \mathbb{S}^1 \xrightarrow{n_1} \mathbb{S}^1$$

where all covering degrees  $n_{\ell} > 1$ .

Weak solenoids are the most general form of this construction.

**Definition:**  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a *weak solenoid* if for each  $\ell \geq 0$ ,  $M_{\ell}$  is a compact manifold without boundary, and the map  $p_{\ell+1}$  is a proper covering map of degree  $m_{\ell+1} > 1$ .

Classic example: Vietoris solenoid, defined by tower of coverings:

$$\longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \xrightarrow{n_{\ell+1}} \mathbb{S}^1 \xrightarrow{n_{\ell}} \dots \xrightarrow{n_2} \mathbb{S}^1 \xrightarrow{n_1} \mathbb{S}^1$$

where all covering degrees  $n_{\ell} > 1$ .

Weak solenoids are the most general form of this construction.

**Proposition:** A weak solenoid is a matchbox manifold.

**Remark:** A generalized solenoid may be a matchbox manifold, such as for Williams solenoids, and Anderson-Putnam construction of finite approximations to tiling spaces. Or, it may not be.

Associated to a presentation: sequence of proper surjective maps

$$q_\ell = p_1 \circ \cdots \circ p_{\ell-1} \circ p_\ell: M_\ell \rightarrow M_0.$$

and a fibration map  $\Pi_\ell: \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{P} \rightarrow M_\ell$  obtained by projection onto the  $\ell$ -th factor.  $\Pi_0 = \Pi_\ell \circ q_\ell: \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{P} \rightarrow M_0$  for all  $\ell \geq 1$ .

Choice of a basepoint  $x \in \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{P}$  gives basepoints  $x_\ell = \Pi_\ell(x) \in M_\ell$ .

$$\mathcal{H}_\ell = \text{image}(q_\ell: \pi_1(M_\ell, x_\ell)) \subset \mathcal{H}_0.$$

**Definition:**  $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{P}$  is a *McCord* (or *normal*) *solenoid* if for each  $\ell \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_\ell$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathcal{H}_0$ .

$\mathcal{P}$  normal presentation  $\implies$  fiber  $\mathfrak{X}_x = (\Pi_0)^{-1}(x)$  of  $\Pi_0: \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{P} \rightarrow M_0$  is a Cantor group, and monodromy action of  $\mathcal{H}_0$  on  $\mathfrak{X}_x$  is minimal.

A continuum  $\Omega$  is *homogeneous* if its group of homeomorphisms is point-transitive. Alex Clark and I proved the following in 2010.

**Theorem:** Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a matchbox manifold.

- If  $\mathfrak{M}$  has equicontinuous pseudogroup, then  $\mathfrak{M}$  is homeomorphic to a weak solenoid as foliated spaces.
- If  $\mathfrak{M}$  is homogeneous, then  $\mathfrak{M}$  is homeomorphic to a McCord solenoid as foliated spaces.

This looks almost like the Molino Theorem for TP foliations!

Though one point was troubling...

Solenoids have many possible Lipschitz classes of metrics.

For a weak solenoid, choose a metric  $d_\ell$  on each  $X_\ell$ .

Choose a series  $\{a_\ell \mid a_\ell > 0\}$  with total sum  $< \infty$ .

Define a metric on  $\mathfrak{X}_x$  by setting, for  $u, v \in \mathfrak{X}_x$  so

$u = (x_0, u_1, u_2, \dots)$  and  $v = (x_0, v_1, v_2, \dots)$ ,

$$d_{\mathfrak{X}}(u, v) = a_1 d_1(u_1, v_1) + a_2 d_1(u_2, v_2) + \dots$$

**Problem:** What is the classification of weak (or McCord) solenoids, up to Lipschitz equivalence?

This problem seems to be wide open.

We give a simple example, in the case of Vietoris solenoids.

Let  $m_\ell$  be the covering degrees for a presentation  $\mathcal{P}$  with base  $M_0 = \mathbb{S}^1$ , given by  $m_\ell = 2$  for  $\ell$  odd, and  $m_\ell = 3$  for  $\ell$  even.

Let  $n_\ell$  be the covering degrees for a presentation  $\mathcal{Q}$  with base  $M_0 = \mathbb{S}^1$ , given by  $\{n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots\} = \{2, 3, 2, 2, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .  
The  $\ell$ -th cover of degree 3 is followed by  $2^\ell$  covers of degree 2.

We give a simple example, in the case of Vietoris solenoids.

Let  $m_\ell$  be the covering degrees for a presentation  $\mathcal{P}$  with base  $M_0 = \mathbb{S}^1$ , given by  $m_\ell = 2$  for  $\ell$  odd, and  $m_\ell = 3$  for  $\ell$  even.

Let  $n_\ell$  be the covering degrees for a presentation  $\mathcal{Q}$  with base  $M_0 = \mathbb{S}^1$ , given by  $\{n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots\} = \{2, 3, 2, 2, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .  
The  $\ell$ -th cover of degree 3 is followed by  $2^\ell$  covers of degree 2.

Sequences are equivalent for *Baer classification* of solenoids,  
 $\implies \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}} \cong \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ .

But for the metrics they define, the solenoids  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  are not Lipschitz equivalent as matchbox manifolds.

Recent result by Alex Clark, Olga Lukina and myself.

**Theorem:** Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a minimal matchbox manifold without holonomy. Then there exists a presentation  $\mathcal{P}$  by simplicial maps between compact branched manifolds such that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}$  as foliated spaces.

Recent result by Alex Clark, Olga Lukina and myself.

**Theorem:** Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a minimal matchbox manifold without holonomy. Then there exists a presentation  $\mathcal{P}$  by simplicial maps between compact branched manifolds such that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}$  as foliated spaces.

This implies a sort of generalization of the Denjoy/Sacksteder:

**Corollary:** Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be an exceptional minimal set for a  $C^1$ -foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  of a compact manifold  $M$ . If all leaves of  $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathfrak{M}}$  are simply connected, then there is a Lipschitz homeomorphism of  $\mathfrak{M}$  with the inverse limit space  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}$  defined by a presentation  $\mathcal{P}$ , given by simplicial maps between compact branched manifolds.

Recent result by Alex Clark, Olga Lukina and myself.

**Theorem:** Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a minimal matchbox manifold without holonomy. Then there exists a presentation  $\mathcal{P}$  by simplicial maps between compact branched manifolds such that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}$  as foliated spaces.

This implies a sort of generalization of the Denjoy/Sacksteder:

**Corollary:** Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be an exceptional minimal set for a  $C^1$ -foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  of a compact manifold  $M$ . If all leaves of  $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathfrak{M}}$  are simply connected, then there is a Lipschitz homeomorphism of  $\mathfrak{M}$  with the inverse limit space  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}$  defined by a presentation  $\mathcal{P}$ , given by simplicial maps between compact branched manifolds.

**Problem:** How to “classify”  $C^1$ -minimal sets which are inverse limit spaces of branched manifolds.

Amidst the vast wasteland formed by the class of all minimal Cantor actions by compactly generated-pseudogroups:

- Study the *Lipshitz subclass*, and its classification by invariants such as *Bratteli diagrams* and *ordered  $K$ -Theory*, *dimension properties*, and possibly other invariants such as secondary classes associated to their embeddings into  $C^1$ -foliations, which reflect their “inner  $C^1$ -ness”.
- Study the *Zygmund subclass*, such as  $C^2$ -embedded normal solenoids, and their geometric and cohomological invariants, such as secondary classes associated to their embeddings into  $C^2$ -foliations, which reflect their “inner  $C^2$ -ness”.

Thank you for your attention!