

# Morse theory for plane algebraic curves

Jaca, 2009

Maciej Borodzik

Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw

Jaca, June 2009

## Our setup is the following

- $C \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  algebraic curve
- Intersect  $C$  with a sphere  $S_r$  of radius  $r$ .
- Links for small  $r$  are understood.
- Links at infinity are understood.
- Study properties of  $C$  by these links.

What happens with  $L_r$  if we change  $r$ ?

Mono

$C$  introduces a "cobordism" between links of singular points and the link at infinity.

Our setup is the following

- $C \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  algebraic curve
- Intersect  $C$  with a sphere  $S_r$  of radius  $r$ .
- Links for small  $r$  are understood.
- Links at infinity are understood.
- Study properties of  $C$  by these links.

What happens with  $L_r$  if we change  $r$ ?

Mono

$C$  introduces a "cobordism" between links of singular points and the link at infinity.

# Setup

Our setup is the following

- $C \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  algebraic curve
- Intersect  $C$  with a sphere  $S_r$  of radius  $r$ .
- Links for small  $r$  are understood.
- Links at infinity are understood.
- Study properties of  $C$  by these links.

What happens with  $L_r$  if we change  $r$ ?

Monodromy

$C$  introduces a "cobordism" between links of singular points and the link at infinity.

# Setup

Our setup is the following

- $C \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  algebraic curve
- Intersect  $C$  with a sphere  $S_r$  of radius  $r$ .
- Links for small  $r$  are understood.
- Links at infinity are understood.
- Study properties of  $C$  by these links.

What happens with  $L_r$  if we change  $r$ ?

Monodromy

$C$  introduces a "cobordism" between links of singular points and the link at infinity.

# Setup

Our setup is the following

- $C \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  algebraic curve
- Intersect  $C$  with a sphere  $S_r$  of radius  $r$ .
- Links for small  $r$  are understood.
- Links at infinity are understood.
- Study properties of  $C$  by these links.

What happens with  $L_r$  if we change  $r$ ?

## Motto

$C$  introduces a "cobordism" between links of singular points and the link at infinity.

# Setup

Our setup is the following

- $C \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  algebraic curve
- Intersect  $C$  with a sphere  $S_r$  of radius  $r$ .
- Links for small  $r$  are understood.
- Links at infinity are understood.
- Study properties of  $C$  by these links.

What happens with  $L_r$  if we change  $r$ ?

## Motto

$C$  introduces a "cobordism" between links of singular points and the link at infinity.

# Setup

Our setup is the following

- $C \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  algebraic curve
- Intersect  $C$  with a sphere  $S_r$  of radius  $r$ .
- Links for small  $r$  are understood.
- Links at infinity are understood.
- Study properties of  $C$  by these links.

What happens with  $L_r$  if we change  $r$ ?

Motto

$C$  introduces a "cobordism" between links of singular points and the link at infinity.



# Setup

Our setup is the following

- $C \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  algebraic curve
- Intersect  $C$  with a sphere  $S_r$  of radius  $r$ .
- Links for small  $r$  are understood.
- Links at infinity are understood.
- Study properties of  $C$  by these links.

What happens with  $L_r$  if we change  $r$ ?

## Motto

$C$  introduces a "cobordism" between links of singular points and the link at infinity.

## Classical arguments from Morse theory

### Lemma

*If for all  $r \in [r_1, r_2]$ ,  $C$  is transverse to  $S_r$ , then  $L_{r_1}$  is isotopic to  $L_{r_2}$ .*

### Lemma

*Crossing a non transversality point is either 0, or 1 or 2 – handle addition to the link.*

## Classical arguments from Morse theory

### Lemma

*If for all  $r \in [r_1, r_2]$ ,  $C$  is transverse to  $S_r$ , then  $L_{r_1}$  is isotopic to  $L_{r_2}$ .*

### Lemma

*Crossing a non transversality point is either 0, or 1 or 2 – handle addition to the link.*

## Classical arguments from Morse theory

### Lemma

*If for all  $r \in [r_1, r_2]$ ,  $C$  is transverse to  $S_r$ , then  $L_{r_1}$  is isotopic to  $L_{r_2}$ .*

### Lemma

*Crossing a non transversality point is either 0, or 1 or 2 – handle addition to the link.*

## Adding handles mean

- 0-handles: adding an unknot to  $L_r$ .
- 2-handles: deleting an unknot to  $L_r$ .
- 1-handles: adding a band.

### Lemma

*If  $C$  is a complex curve, there are no 2-handles.*

Adding handles mean

- 0-handles: adding an unknot to  $L_r$ .
- 2-handles: deleting an unknot to  $L_r$ .
- 1-handles: adding a band.

Lemma

*If  $C$  is a complex curve, there are no 2-handles.*

Adding handles mean

- 0-handles: adding an unknot to  $L_r$ .
- 2-handles: deleting an unknot to  $L_r$ .
- 1-handles: adding a band.

Lemma

*If  $C$  is a complex curve, there are no 2-handles.*

Adding handles mean

- 0-handles: adding an unknot to  $L_r$ .
- 2-handles: deleting an unknot to  $L_r$ .
- 1-handles: adding a band.

Lemma

*If  $C$  is a complex curve, there are no 2-handles.*



Adding handles mean

- 0-handles: adding an unknot to  $L_r$ .
- 2-handles: deleting an unknot to  $L_r$ .
- 1-handles: adding a band.

## Lemma

*If  $C$  is a complex curve, there are no 2-handles.*

# What about singularities

Crossing a singular point of multiplicity  $m$  can be viewed as follows

- Take a disconnected sum of  $L_r$  with a link of singularity...
- And then join them with precisely  $m$  one handles.

## Example

Passing through a double point corresponds to changing an undercrossing to an overcrossing on some planar diagram of the link.

# What about singularities

Crossing a singular point of multiplicity  $m$  can be viewed as follows

- Take a disconnected sum of  $L_r$  with a link of singularity...
- And then join them with precisely  $m$  one handles.

## Example

Passing through a double point corresponds to changing an undercrossing to an overcrossing on some planar diagram of the link.

# What about singularities

Crossing a singular point of multiplicity  $m$  can be viewed as follows

- Take a disconnected sum of  $L_r$  with a link of singularity...
- And then join them with precisely  $m$  one handles.

## Example

Passing through a double point corresponds to changing an undercrossing to an overcrossing on some planar diagram of the link.

# What about singularities

Crossing a singular point of multiplicity  $m$  can be viewed as follows

- Take a disconnected sum of  $L_r$  with a link of singularity...
- And then join them with precisely  $m$  one handles.

## Example

Passing through a double point corresponds to changing an undercrossing to an overcrossing on some planar diagram of the link.

Now, please, hold Your breath, I will try to show some real pictures.

Take your favourite link invariant such that

- It is computable for many algebraic knots
- You can control its changes when adding a handle
- It is not too good. It is not equal to genus for positive links.

And this invariant yields obstruction for the existence of a plane curve with given singularities.

Take your favourite link invariant such that

- It is computable for many algebraic knots
- You can control its changes when adding a handle
- It is not too good. It is not equal to genus for positive links.

And this invariant yields obstruction for the existence of a plane curve with given singularities.



Take your favourite link invariant such that

- It is computable for many algebraic knots
- You can control its changes when adding a handle
- It is not too good. It is not equal to genus for positive links.

And this invariant yields obstruction for the existence of a plane curve with given singularities.

Take your favourite link invariant such that

- It is computable for many algebraic knots
- You can control its changes when adding a handle
- It is not too good. It is not equal to genus for positive links.

And this invariant yields obstruction for the existence of a plane curve with given singularities.

Take your favourite link invariant such that

- It is computable for many algebraic knots
- You can control its changes when adding a handle
- It is not too good. It is not equal to genus for positive links.

And this invariant yields obstruction for the existence of a plane curve with given singularities.

My favourite invariant up to now is is

Tristram–Levine signature

## Definition

If  $S$  is Seifert matrix of the link  $L$  and  $|\zeta| = 1$ , then  $\sigma_L(\zeta)$  is the signature of the form

$$(1 - \zeta)S + (1 - \bar{\zeta})S^T.$$

My favourite invariant up to now is is

Tristram–Levine signature

## Definition

If  $S$  is Seifert matrix of the link  $L$  and  $|\zeta| = 1$ , then  $\sigma_L(\zeta)$  is the signature of the form

$$(1 - \zeta)S + (1 - \bar{\zeta})S^T.$$

My favourite invariant up to now is is

Tristram–Levine signature

## Definition

If  $S$  is Seifert matrix of the link  $L$  and  $|\zeta| = 1$ , then  $\sigma_L(\zeta)$  is the signature of the form

$$(1 - \zeta)S + (1 - \bar{\zeta})S^T.$$

My favourite invariant up to now is is

Tristram–Levine signature

## Definition

If  $S$  is Seifert matrix of the link  $L$  and  $|\zeta| = 1$ , then  $\sigma_L(\zeta)$  is the signature of the form

$$(1 - \zeta)S + (1 - \bar{\zeta})S^T.$$

## Theorem

*If  $L_1, \dots, L_n$  are links of singular points of  $C$ ,  $L_\infty$  is a link at infinity, then for almost all  $\zeta$*

$$|\sigma_{L_\infty}(\zeta) - \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_{L_k}(\zeta)| \leq b_1(C).$$

In the proof we use the absence of 2–handles, but this can be done in general, i.e. non-complex case, too.



## Theorem

*If  $L_1, \dots, L_n$  are links of singular points of  $C$ ,  $L_\infty$  is a link at infinity, then for almost all  $\zeta$*

$$|\sigma_{L_\infty}(\zeta) - \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_{L_k}(\zeta)| \leq b_1(C).$$

In the proof we use the absence of 2–handles, but this can be done in general, i.e. non-complex case, too.

- A polynomial curve of bidegree  $(m, n)$ , having an  $A_{2k}$  singularity at the origin, has  $k \leq \sim \frac{1}{4}mn$ .
- BMY-like inequality for polynomial curves.
- Possible proof of Zajdenberg–Lin theorem using the fact that  $b_1(C) = 0$  and relations among signatures of torus knots.
- Studying deformations of singular points: we get new relations.
- Find maximal number of cusps on a curve in  $CP^2$  of degree  $d$ .
- Possible ways to improve everything if we apply better invariants.

- A polynomial curve of bidegree  $(m, n)$ , having an  $A_{2k}$  singularity at the origin, has  $k \leq \sim \frac{1}{4}mn$ .
- BMY-like inequality for polynomial curves.
- Possible proof of Zajdenberg–Lin theorem using the fact that  $b_1(C) = 0$  and relations among signatures of torus knots.
- Studying deformations of singular points: we get new relations.
- Find maximal number of cusps on a curve in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  of degree  $d$ .
- Possible ways to improve everything if we apply better invariants.

- A polynomial curve of bidegree  $(m, n)$ , having an  $A_{2k}$  singularity at the origin, has  $k \leq \sim \frac{1}{4}mn$ .
- BMY-like inequality for polynomial curves.
- Possible proof of Zajdenberg–Lin theorem using the fact that  $b_1(C) = 0$  and relations among signatures of torus knots.
- Studying deformations of singular points: we get new relations.
- Find maximal number of cusps on a curve in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  of degree  $d$ .
- Possible ways to improve everything if we apply better invariants.

- A polynomial curve of bidegree  $(m, n)$ , having an  $A_{2k}$  singularity at the origin, has  $k \leq \sim \frac{1}{4}mn$ .
- BMY-like inequality for polynomial curves.
- Possible proof of Zajdenberg–Lin theorem using the fact that  $b_1(C) = 0$  and relations among signatures of torus knots.
- Studying deformations of singular points: we get new relations.
- Find maximal number of cusps on a curve in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  of degree  $d$ . We reprove Varchenko's result  $s(d) \leq \sim \frac{23}{72}d^2$ , which is very close to the best known  $\frac{125 + \sqrt{73}}{432}d^2$ .
- Possible ways to improve everything if we apply better invariants.

- A polynomial curve of bidegree  $(m, n)$ , having an  $A_{2k}$  singularity at the origin, has  $k \leq \sim \frac{1}{4}mn$ .
- BMY-like inequality for polynomial curves.
- Possible proof of Zajdenberg–Lin theorem using the fact that  $b_1(C) = 0$  and relations among signatures of torus knots.
- Studying deformations of singular points: we get new relations.
- Find maximal number of cusps on a curve in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  of degree  $d$ . We reprove Varchenko's result  $s(d) \leq \sim \frac{23}{72}d^2$ , which is very close to the best known  $\frac{125 + \sqrt{73}}{432}d^2$ .
- Possible ways to improve everything if we apply better invariants.

- A polynomial curve of bidegree  $(m, n)$ , having an  $A_{2k}$  singularity at the origin, has  $k \leq \sim \frac{1}{4}mn$ .
- BMY-like inequality for polynomial curves.
- Possible proof of Zajdenberg–Lin theorem using the fact that  $b_1(C) = 0$  and relations among signatures of torus knots.
- Studying deformations of singular points: we get new relations.
- Find maximal number of cusps on a curve in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  of degree  $d$ . We reprove Varchenko's result  $s(d) \leq \sim \frac{23}{72}d^2$ , which is very close to the best known  $\frac{125 + \sqrt{73}}{432}d^2$ .
- Possible ways to improve everything if we apply better invariants.

# Thank You!