Solving Schubert Problems with Littlewood-Richardson Homotopies

Jan Verschelde joint work with Frank Sottile and Ravi Vakil

University of Illinois at Chicago Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science http://www.math.uic.edu/~jan jan@math.uic.edu

ISSAC 2010 35th International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation. T.U. München, 25-28 July 2010.

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

Outline

Numerical Schubert Calculus

- Schubert varieties and Schubert problems
- homotopies for enumerative geometry

The Moving Flag in Littlewood-Richardson Homotopies

- specialization and generalization
- encoding the moves by black checkers

2 Lines meeting 4 given general Lines in 3-space

- checker games encode moving flag and coordinates for solutions
- coordinate transformations and moving coordinates in homotopy

Localization Patterns and Checker Movements

4 14 14 14 14

Schubert Varieties

A Schubert variety is defined by an *n*-dimensional flag *F*:

$$\mathcal{F} = [\mathbf{f}_1 \mathbf{f}_2 \cdots \mathbf{f}_n] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \quad \langle \mathbf{f}_1 \rangle \subset \langle \mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2 \rangle \subset \cdots \subset \langle \mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n \rangle$$

and a *k*-dimensional bracket $\omega \in \mathbb{N}^k$, $1 \le \omega_1 < \omega_2 < \cdots < \omega_k \le n$:

$$\Omega_{\omega}(F) = \left\{ X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k} \mid \dim(X \cap \langle \mathbf{f}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{\omega_i} \rangle) = i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \right\}.$$

For example: for $F \in \mathbb{C}^{6 \times 6}$, $\Omega_{[2 \ 4 \ 6]}(F)$ contains

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & 1 \\ x_{41} & x_{42} & x_{43} \\ 0 & x_{52} & x_{53} \\ 0 & 0 & x_{63} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} \dim(X \cap \langle \mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2 \rangle) &= 1 \\ \dim(X \cap \langle \mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{f}_3, \mathbf{f}_4 \rangle) &= 2 \\ \dim(X \cap \langle \mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{f}_3, \mathbf{f}_4, \mathbf{f}_5, \mathbf{f}_6 \rangle) &= 3 \end{split}$$

expressed via conditions on minors \rightarrow system of 13 polynomials in 9 variables

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Schubert Problems

A triple intersection $[2 4 6]^3 = [2 4 6][2 4 6][2 4 6]$ means

$$\Omega_{[2\ 4\ 6]}(I) \cap \Omega_{[2\ 4\ 6]}(M) \cap \Omega_{[2\ 4\ 6]}(F)$$

where I : the identity matrix represents the standard flag,

- M: a matrix represents the moving flag,
- *F* : another matrix represents the fixed flag.

The Littlewood-Richardson rule computes the number of solutions:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [2\ 4\ 6]^3 &=& ([2\ 4\ 6][2\ 4\ 6])[2\ 4\ 6]\\ &=& ([2\ 3\ 4]+2[1\ 3\ 5]+[1\ 2\ 6])[2\ 4\ 6]\\ &=& [2\ 3\ 4][2\ 4\ 6]+2[1\ 3\ 5][2\ 4\ 6]+[1\ 2\ 6][2\ 4\ 6]\\ &=& 0+2[1\ 2\ 3]+0 \end{array}$$

 \rightarrow there are 2 isolated 3-planes in $\Omega_{[2 4 6]}(I) \cap \Omega_{[2 4 6]}(M) \cap \Omega_{[2 4 6]}(F)$.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

poset to resolve general problems

Resolving $[2 \ 4 \ 6][2 \ 5 \ 6]^3$: $[2 \ 4 \ 6][2 \ 5 \ 6]^3 = (1[2 \ 3 \ 5] + 1[1 \ 4 \ 5] + 1[1 \ 3 \ 6])[2 \ 5 \ 6]^2$ $= (2[1 \ 3 \ 4] + 2[1 \ 2 \ 5])[2 \ 5 \ 6]$ $= 2[1 \ 2 \ 3].$

Using a poset:



∃ ▶ ∢ ∃ ▶

a Geometric Littlewood-Richardson Rule

William Fulton: Young Tableau. With Applications to Representation Theory and Geometry. Cambridge University Press, 1997. The first geometric proof and interpretation was given by Ravi Vakil: a geometric Littlewood-Richardson rule. Ann of Math, 2006.

A combinatorial checker game for the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients implies that we can

- count (enumerate) the solutions to Schubert problems,
- compute these solutions via explicit deformations.

 \rightarrow Littlewood-Richardson homotopies

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Motivation: experimental study of reality conjectures

http://www.math.tamu.edu/~secant

Christopher Hillar, Luis Garcia-Puente, Abraham Martin del Campo, James Ruffo, Zach Teitler, Stephen L. Johnson, Frank Sottile: *Experimentation at the Frontiers of Reality in Schubert Calculus.* Contemporary Math. AMS 2010.

Homotopies for Enumerative Geometry

- B. Huber, F. Sottile, and B. Sturmfels: Numerical Schubert calculus. J. of Symbolic Computation, 26(6):767–788, 1998.
- J. Verschelde: Numerical evidence for a conjecture in real algebraic geometry. *Experimental Mathematics* 9(2): 183–196, 2000.
- B. Huber and J. Verschelde: Pieri homotopies for problems in enumerative geometry applied to pole placement in linear systems control. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* 38(4):1265–1287, 2000.
- F. Sottile and B. Sturmfels: A sagbi basis for the quantum Grassmannian. J. Pure and Appl. Algebra 158(2-3): 347–366, 2001.
- T.Y. Li, X. Wang, and M. Wu: Numerical Schubert calculus by the Pieri homotopy algorithm. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 20(2):578–600, 2002.
- J. Verschelde and Y. Wang: Computing dynamic output feedback laws. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control.* 49(8):1393–1397, 2004.
- A. Leykin and F. Sottile: Galois group of Schubert problems via homotopy continuation. *Math. Comp.* 78(267): 1749–1765, 2009.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Degenerating the moving Flag

- Given *I* : the identity matrix represents the standard flag,
 - *M* : a matrix represents the moving flag,
 - F : another matrix represents the fixed flag,

we consider a triple intersection for some bracket ω :

general problem: $\Omega_{\omega}(I) \cap \Omega_{\omega}(M) \cap \Omega_{\omega}(F)$ $\downarrow \uparrow \qquad degeneration \downarrow \uparrow generalization$ degenerate problem: $\Omega_{\omega}(I) \cap \Omega_{\omega}(I) \cap \Omega_{\omega}(F)$

The degeneration $M \rightarrow I$ allows to satisfy the intersection condition by solving some linear systems.

Littlewood-Richardson homotopies generalize I to M via invertible transformations involving a parameter t.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Generalizing the moving Flag

first three moves for n = 4, random $\gamma_{ii} \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_{31}t & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_{31}t & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{21}t & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{21}t & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{31} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{21}t & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{21}t & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{31} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{11}t & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{11}t & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_{21}t & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \gamma_{31}t & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

æ

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Generalizing the moving Flag

last three moves for n = 4, random $\gamma_{ii} \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{11} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_{21} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \gamma_{31} & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_{22}t & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{11} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_{21} & 0 & \gamma_{22}t & 1 \\ \gamma_{31} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{21}t & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{21}t & 1 & 0 \\ \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{22} & 0 & 1 \\ \gamma_{31} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{21}t & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{21}t & 1 & 0 \\ \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{22} & 0 & 1 \\ \gamma_{31} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_{13}t & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{13}t & 1 \\ \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{22} & 1 & 0 \\ \gamma_{31} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Encoding the Moves

bubble sort on $n n - 1 \cdots 21$

$$I \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_{31} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{31} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{11} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_{21} & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{11} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_{31} & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \gamma_{21} & 0 & \gamma_{22} & 1 \\ \gamma_{31} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{22} & 0 & 1 \\ \gamma_{31} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{13} & 1 \\ \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{22} & 1 & 0 \\ \gamma_{31} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{13} & 1 \\ \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{22} & 1 & 0 \\ \gamma_{31} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

æ

ヘロト 人間 トイヨト 人間トー

Specialization in \mathbb{P}^3



Littlewood-Richardson Homotopies

Degeneration of general flag from *M* to *I* in $\begin{pmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ moves.

Three flag intersection condition $\Omega_{\omega}(I) \cap \Omega_{\omega}(M) \cap \Omega_{\omega}(F)$ is at the special position for M = I reduced to the equations imposed on

 $X\in \Omega_\omega(F): \quad P(X)=0.$

Generalizing the moving flag M leads to homotopies of the form

$$P(M(t)X) = 0, t \in [0, 1].$$

The solution *k*-plane X is represented in this moving basis M(t) in suitable local coordinates, via a localization pattern.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

The Problem of Four General Lines

Classical problem in projective 3-space \mathbb{P}^3 :

Given four general lines in \mathbb{P}^3 (no triplet is coplanar), find all lines that meet those four given lines nontrivially.

Identify \mathbb{P}^3 with \mathbb{C}^4 with natural basis ($\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_4$). Let $L_1 = \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$ and $L_2 = \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_4)$, consider

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x_{2,1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & x_{4,2} \end{bmatrix} \quad P(X) = \begin{cases} \det([X|L_3]) = 0 \\ \det([X|L_4]) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where X meets L_1 and L_2 by its pattern.

Specializing L_2 to coincide with L_1 makes X change pattern so $P(X) = \mathbf{0}$ becomes a linear system.

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ



Jan Verschelde (UIC)

æ



Jan Verschelde (UIC)

æ









Jan Verschelde (UIC)

Littlewood-Richardson Homotopies

ISSAC 2010, 25-28 July 20 / 37

æ



Jan Verschelde (UIC)

ISSAC 2010, 25-28 July 21 / 37

æ



Jan Verschelde (UIC)

Littlewood-Richardson Homotopies

ISSAC 2010, 25-28 July

æ

22/37













Checker Games resolving [2 4][2 4][2 4][2 4]



ъ



Jan Verschelde (UIC)

Littlewood-Richardson Homotopies

ISSAC 2010, 25-28 July 30 / 37

corresponding coordinate transformation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{32} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{32}-1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\equiv \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/(x_{32}-1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Jan Verschelde (UIC)

Littlewood-Richardson Homotopies

ISSAC 2010, 25-28 July

31/37



Jan Verschelde (UIC)

Littlewood-Richardson Homotopies

ISSAC 2010, 25-28 July 32 / 37

homotopy as red checkers swap

Similar to the case of a line meeting two lines and a fixed point, we use a homotopy:

$$X(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x_{12}t & x_{12} \\ x_{32} & 0 \\ x_{32}t & x_{32} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

At t = 0, X(0) fits the pattern.

At t = 1, a coordinate change makes X(1) to fit the pattern.

Localization Patterns



ъ

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Rising and Falling Checkers



To resolve $\Omega_{\omega}(F) \cap \Omega_{\tau}(M)$, 9 cases to consider:

- Where is the top red checker in the critical diagonal?
 - (a) In the rising checker's square.
 - (b) Elsewhere in the critical diagonal.
 - (c) There is no red checker in the critical diagonal.
- Where is the red checker in the critical row?
 - (α) In the descending checker's square.
 - (β) Elsewhere in the critical row.
 - γ) There is no red checker in the critical row.

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

Movement of Red Checkers



ISSAC 2010, 25-28 July 36 / 37

æ

An Implementation in PHCpack

Since v2.3.46 Littlewood-Richardson homotopies are in PHCpack, at http://www.math.uic.edu/~jan/download.html

phc -e option #4 allows to resolve intersection conditions,

e.g.: in
$$\mathbb{C}^{10}$$
: [6 8 10]⁷ = 720[1 2 3],
in \mathbb{C}^{11} : [7 9 11]⁸ = 3598[1 2 3],
in \mathbb{C}^{12} : [9 11 12][8 11 12]¹³ = 860574[1 2 3], etc...

Solving small Schubert problems on a Mac OS X 2.2 Ghz:

- $[2 4]^4 = 2$ takes 5 milliseconds,
- $[2 4 6]^3 = 2$ takes 169 milliseconds,
- [2 5 8]²[4 6 8] = 2 takes 2.556 seconds,
- [2 4 6 8]²[2 5 7 8] = 3 takes 8.595 seconds.

(日本) (日本) (日本) 日