Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? **UIC Seminar:**

2025

Context

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size?

UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin University of Illinois at Chicago

February 23, 2025

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

The L_{ω_1} , ω case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models in

Getting Models in the continuum $\begin{array}{c}
K_{(2}\aleph_{0})^{+} & \text{implies} \\
\omega \text{-stability}
\end{array}$

Further Context Amost quasimini excellent classes 1 Two Alternatives

2 Historical Background

3 The $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ case

- Syntax and Semantics
- Getting Models in the continuum
- $K_{(2^{\aleph_0})^+ \neq \emptyset}$ implies ω -stability

4 Further Context

- Amost quasiminimal excellent classes
- Arbitrarily large models, bounded categoricity

Arbitrarily large 2 / 57

Formal and Formalism-Free Mathematics

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T Baldwi

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

The L_{ω_1},ω case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models in

 $K_{(2}N_{0})^{+}$ implies ω -stability

Further
Context
Amost quasiminim
excellent classes
Arbitrarily large

Formal

- **1** Explicit vocabulary, syntax and notion of truth e.g. $L_{\omega,\omega}$, admissible fragments, $L_{\lambda,\omega}(Q)$, L_{2nd} etc. 'elementary' submodel.
- 2 Natural axiomatizations of much of mathematics: axiom of Archimedes around structures up to the continuum.
- 3 Lindenbaum algebra and Stone space: Shelah for anything beyond first order [She75]

Formalism-Free [Ken21]

- standard mathematics including AEC: fixed vocabulary; abstract properties of 'elementary' submodel
 - 2 'Galois' types

ABSTRACT ELEMENTARY CLASSES defined

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical

The $L\omega_1$, ω case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models the continuum

Further Context

Definition

A class of *L*-structures, (K, \prec_K) , is said to be an *abstract* elementary class: *AEC* if both K and the binary relation \prec_K are closed under isomorphism and satisfy the following conditions.

- **A1**. If $M \prec_{\mathbf{K}} N$ then $M \subseteq N$.
- **A2**. $\prec_{\mathbf{K}}$ is a partial order on \mathbf{K} .
- **A3**. If $\langle A_i : i < \delta \rangle$ is $\prec_{\mathbf{K}}$ -increasing chain:

 - **2** for each $j < \delta$, $A_j \prec_{\mathbf{K}} \bigcup_{i < \delta} A_i$
 - if each $A_i \prec_{\mathbf{K}} M \in \mathbf{K}$ then $\bigcup_{i \prec \delta} A_i \prec_{\mathbf{K}} M$.

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{Can} \\ \mathsf{categorical} \\ \mathsf{classes} \ \mathsf{in} \\ L_{\omega_1,\omega} \ \mathsf{be} \\ \mathsf{bounded} \ \mathsf{in} \\ \mathsf{size?} \\ \mathsf{UIC} \ \mathsf{Seminar} \\ 2025 \end{array}$

Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical

Background
The Lore or

Syntax and Semantics Getting Models

the continuum $K_{(2}\aleph_{0})^{+} \text{impli}$

Further Context

Amost quasiminim ■ **A4**. If $A, B, C \in K$, $A \prec_{K} C$, $B \prec_{K} C$ and $A \subseteq B$ then $A \prec_{K} B$.

■ **A5**. There is a Löwenheim-Skolem number LS(K) such that if $A \subseteq B \in K$ there is a $A' \in K$ with $A \subseteq A' \prec_K B$ and

$$|A'| < \mathrm{LS}(K) + |A|.$$

Arbitrarily large 5 / 57

Historical Background

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Гwо

Alternatives

Historical Background

The $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$

case

Semantics
Getting Models in the continuum

 $\kappa_{(2}\aleph_{0})^{+} \not \emptyset$ implies ω -stability

Further Context

excellent class

6 / 57

When do \aleph_1 -categorical theories (AEC) have a bounded size of models?

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

Baldwin

Two Alternative

Historical Background

The $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models in the continuum

Further Context In the mid-70's Shelah answered my question as to whether a sentence of $L_{\omega_1,\omega}(Q)$ could be *categorical in the philosophers* sense, have only one model. In different papers he proved in different ways that \aleph_1 -categorical such sentence has a model in \aleph_2 .

Two questions: Under what conditions does a sentence of $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ (with LN \aleph_0) that is \aleph_1 -categorical have models in \aleph_2 , 2^{\aleph_0} , or even larger?

More generally, *Grossberg's question* Must an aec categorical in λ with $I(\mathbf{K}, \lambda^+) < 2^{\lambda^+}$ have a model in λ^{++} ?

We already know the second is independent of ZFC. As follows.

One Completely General Result

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternative

Historical Background

The $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models the continuum

 $\kappa_{(2^{N_0})^+
eq 0}^{\kappa_{(2^{N_0})^+}}$ impli ω -stability

Further Context

Arbitrarily large

WGCH(λ): $2^{\lambda} < 2^{\lambda^+}$

Let K be an abstract elementary class (AEC).

Theorem

[WGCH (λ)] Suppose $\lambda \geq \mathrm{LS}(\mathbf{K})$ and \mathbf{K} is λ -categorical. If amalgamation fails in λ there are 2^{λ^+} models in \mathbf{K} of cardinality $\kappa = \lambda^+$.

Uses $[\hat{\Theta}_{\lambda^+}(S)]$ (weak diamond) for many S.

 λ -categoricity plays a fundamental role.

No really specific model theoretic hypothesis but a set-theoretic one?

Definitely not provable in ZFC for AEC (even for $L_{\omega_1,\omega}(Q_1)$ maybe for $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$).

THE counterexample: Φ

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

The L_{ω_1} , ω case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models in the continuum $K_{\omega_1} \aleph_{0} + M_{\omega_1}$ imp

Further
Context
Amost quasiminima

Arbitrarily large

 ${\it K}$ is the models in a vocabulary with two unary relations P, Q and two binary relations E, R which satisfy:

For any model $M \in \mathbf{K}$,

- \blacksquare P and Q partition M.
- \mathbf{Z} E is an equivalence relation on Q.
- \blacksquare P and each equivalence class of E is denumerably infinite.
- 4 R is a relation on $P \times Q$ so that each element of Q codes a subset of P.
- **5** *R* induces the independence property on $P \cup Q$.

This class is axiomatized by a sentence Φ in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}(Q_1)$.

Properties of models of Φ

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

The $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models

Getting Models in the continuum $K_{(2}\aleph_{0})^{+} = \emptyset$ implie

Further Context

Arbitrarily large

amalgamation, ω -stablilty, and arbitrarily large models FAIL

Under MA Φ is \aleph_1 -categorical but is not ω -stable, fails amalgamation in \aleph_0 , and has no models beyond the continuum.

Shelah suggested a variant, axiomatized in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ with the same properties in \aleph_0 . Laskowski showed that sentence had at least 2^{\aleph_0} models in \aleph_1 .

The AEC attached to Φ ([She, 6.3]) is the K_3 . $M, N \in K$, $M \leq_{K_3} N$ if $M \subseteq N$ and $[a]^M = [b]^N$. [She87, She83, She],[Bal09, §17]

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two

Historical

The $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$

Case Suntay and

Semantics
Getting Models in the continuum

 $K_{(2}\aleph_0)^+ \emptyset$ implie

Further Context

Amost quasimin excellent classes Arbitrarily large

The $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ case Syntax and Semantics

11 / 57

The class of models

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John I. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

The $L\omega_1,\omega$ case
Syntax and Semantics
Getting Models in the continuum

Further Context K_T is the class of atomic models of the countable first order theory T.

Definition

The atomic class K_T is extendible if there is a pair $M \leq N$ of countable, atomic models, with $N \neq M$.

Equivalently, K_T is extendible if and only if there is an uncountable, atomic model of T.

We assume throughout that K_T is extendible. We work in the monster model of T, which is usually not atomic.

A complete sentence of has such a representation by Chang's trick: Expanding the language by introducing predicates for countable conjunctions (theory \mathcal{T}^*) and making them correct by omitting types.

The $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ -class is the reducts of atomic models of T^* .

ω -stability in Atomic Classes

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical

The L_{ω_1} , ω case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models

Getting Models in the continuum $K_{(2}\aleph_{0})^{+}$ implie

Further Context

Definitions

 $p \in S_{at}(A)$ if $a \models p$ implies Aa is atomic.

K is ω -stable if for every countable model M, $S_{at}(M)$ is countable.

But, there may be $A \subseteq M$, $p \in S_{at}(A)$ that has no extension to $S_{at}(M)$.

Note also ϕ may be κ -stable in this sense while the associated AEC is not κ -stable (for Galois types) [BK09].

First order absoluteness

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? **UIC Seminar:**

Syntax and Semantics

Theorem (Morley-Baldwin-Lachlan)

A first order theory T in a countable language is \aleph_1 categorical iff

- T has no 2-cardinal models and
- **2** T is ω -stable.
- 1) is arithmetic and 2) is Π_1^1 .

Fact

A first order theory T in a countable language whose class of atomic models satisfies 1) and 2) is \aleph_1 -categorical.

I emphasize Morley because it is his direction:

' \aleph_1 -categorical implies ω -stable' that is problematic for $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$.

Getting ω -stability: I

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

The $L_{\omega_1,\alpha}$

Syntax and Semantics Getting Models in the continuum $\mathcal{K}_{(2}\aleph_0)^+ \not \emptyset \text{ implie}$

Further
Context

Amost quasiminima

Arbitrarily large

Theorem: Keisler/Shelah

$$\mathbf{K} = \operatorname{mod}(\psi), \ \psi \in \mathsf{L}_{\omega_1,\omega}$$

- **1** (Keisler) ZFC If some uncountable model in K realizes uncountably many types (in a countable fragment) over \emptyset then K has 2^{\aleph_1} models in \aleph_1 .
- 2 (Shelah) $(2^{\aleph_0} < 2^{\aleph_1})$ If K has $< 2^{\aleph_1}$ models of cardinality \aleph_1 , then K is ω -stable.

Two uses of WCH

- A WCH implies AP in \aleph_0 . Thus, if K is not ω -stable there is a countable model M and an uncountable $N \in K$ which realizes uncountably many types over M.
- By Keisler, $\operatorname{Th}_{M}(M)$ has $2^{\aleph_{1}}$ models. From WCH we conclude $\operatorname{Th}(M)$ has $2^{\aleph_{1}}$ models.

Getting ω -stability: II

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Alternatives Historical

The L_{ω_1}, ω case

Syntax and
Semantics

Getting Models in the continuum

the continuum $K_{(2}\aleph_{0})^{+}$ implies

Further
Context

Amost quasiminimal

Arbitrarily large

Morley's original *first order* proof using Hanf number for omitting types, EM-models, and the Skolem hull gives:

Theorem

If a complete first order theory has arbitrarily large models and is \aleph_1 -categorical then it is ω -stable.

More generally,

Theorem

An \aleph_1 -categorical atomic class K that has arbitrarily large models and amalgamation in \aleph_0 is ω -stable.

Tradeoff: \beth_{ω_1} for weak CH

A new notion of closure

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

The L_{ω_1} , ω case Syntax and Semantics Getting Models

Getting Models in the continuum $K_{(2}\aleph_{0})^{+}$ M imp

Further Context

Definition

An atomic tuple \mathbf{c} is in the pseudo-algebraic closure of the finite, atomic set B ($\mathbf{c} \in \operatorname{pcl}(B)$) if for every atomic model M such that $B \subseteq M$, and $M\mathbf{c}$ is atomic, $\mathbf{c} \subseteq M$.

When this occurs, and **b** is any enumeration of *B* and $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is the complete type of **cb**, we say that $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b})$ is pseudo-algebraic.

Example I

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

The $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models

Getting Models in the continuum $K_{(2)} \aleph_{(0)}^{+} = \emptyset \text{ impli}$

Further Context

Arbitrarily large

Our notion, pcl of *algebraic* differs from the classical first-order notion of algebraic as the following examples show:

Example

Suppose that an atomic model M consists of two sorts. The U-part is countable, but non-extendible (e.g., U infinite, and has a successor function S on it, in which every element has a unique predecessor). On the other sort, V is an infinite set with no structure (hence arbitrarily large atomic models). Then, an element $x_0 \in U$ is not algebraic over \emptyset in the normal sense but is in $\operatorname{pcl}(\emptyset)$.

Example II

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

The L_{ω_1}, ω case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models is the continuum

Further
Context
Amost quasiminim

Example

Let $L=A,B,\pi,S$ and T say that A and B partition the universe with B infinite, $\pi:A\to B$ is a total surjective function and S is a successor function on A such that every π -fiber is the union of S-components. K_T is the class of $M\models T$ such that every π -fiber contains exactly one S-component. Now choose elements $a,b\in M$ for such an M such that $a\in A$ and $b\in B$ and $\pi(a)=b$. Clearly, a is not algebraic over b in the classical sense, but $a\in \mathrm{pcl}(b)$.

Definability of pseudo-algebraic closure

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternative

The $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ case

Syntax and Semantics

Semantics

Getting Models in the continuum

K
(2^N0)⁺
(2^N0)+
(2^N0)

implies

Further
Context

Amost quasiminimal

Strong ω -homogeneity of the monster model of $\mathcal T$ yields:

Fact

If $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is the complete type of **cb**, then

$$c \in \operatorname{pcl}(b)$$
 if and only if $c' \in \operatorname{pcl}(b')$

for any $\mathbf{c}'\mathbf{b}'$ realizing $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$.

In particular, the truth of $c \in pcl(\mathbf{b})$ does not depend on an ambient atomic model.

Further, since a model which atomic over the empty set is also atomic over any finite subset, moving M to N we have:

Fact

If $\mathbf{c} \notin \operatorname{pcl}(B)$, witnessed by M then for every countable, atomic $N \supset B$, there is a realization \mathbf{c}' of $p(\mathbf{x}, B)$ such that $\mathbf{c}' \not\subseteq N$.

Pseudo-minimal sets

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? **UIC Seminar:** 2025

Syntax and Semantics

Definition

- 1 A possibly incomplete type q over **b** is pseudominimal if for any finite, $\mathbf{b}^* \supset \mathbf{b}$, $\mathbf{a} \models q$, and \mathbf{c} such that $\mathbf{b}^* \mathbf{c} \mathbf{a}$ is atomic, if $\mathbf{c} \subset \operatorname{pcl}(\mathbf{b}^* \mathbf{a})$, and $\mathbf{c} \not\in \operatorname{pcl}(\mathbf{b}^*)$, then $\mathbf{a} \in \operatorname{pcl}(\mathbf{b}^* \mathbf{c})$.
- 2 M is pseudominimal if x = x is pseudominimal in M.

I.e, pcl satisfies exchange (and more); we have a geometry.

'Density'

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwir

Two Alternative

Historical Background

The L_{ω_1} , ω case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models in the continuum $K_{(2}\aleph_{0})^{+} = \emptyset \text{ imp}$

Further Context

Definition

 K_T satisfies 'density' of pseudominimal types if for every atomic **e** and atomic type $p(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{x})$ there is a **b** with **eb** atomic and $q(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x})$ extending p such that q is pseudominimal.

So density fails if there is a single type $p(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{x})$ over which exchange fails.

Method: 'Consistency implies Truth':1

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? **UIC Seminar:**

Syntax and Semantics

[BL16]

Let ϕ be a τ -sentence in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}(Q)$ such that it is consistent that ϕ has a model.

Let A be the countable ω -model of set theory, containing ϕ , that thinks ϕ has an uncountable model.

Construct B, an uncountable model of set theory, which is an elementary extension of A, such that B is correct about uncountability. Then the model of ϕ in B is actually an uncountable model of ϕ .

Main Theorem

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

Case

Syntax and
Semantics

Getting Models in the continuum $K_{(2}\aleph_{0})^{+} \nearrow \emptyset$ implies

Further Context Amost quasiminim

Goal Theorem [BLS16]

If K_T fails 'density of pseudominimal types' then K_T has 2^{\aleph_1} models of cardinality \aleph_1 .

We prove this in two steps

- 1 Force to construct a model (M, E) of set theory in which a model of T codes model theoretic and combinatorial information sufficient to guarantee the non-isomorphism of its image in the different ultralimits.
- 2 Apply Skolem ultralimits of the models of set theory from 1) to construct 2^{\aleph_1} atomic models of T with cardinality \aleph_1 in V.

Getting Models in the continuum

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

. wo

Alternatives

Historical Background

The $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ case

Case Syntax and

Getting Models in the continuum

 $K_{(2}\aleph_{0})^{+}$ implies

Further Context

Amost quasimin excellent classes

Arbitrarily large 25 / 57

Getting models in 2^{\aleph_0} : Method

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Can} \\ {\rm categorical} \\ {\rm classes in} \\ {L_{\omega_1,\omega}} \ {\rm be} \\ {\rm bounded in} \\ {\rm size?} \\ {\rm UIC Seminar:} \\ {\rm 2025} \end{array}$

Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

The L_{ω_1}, ω case Syntax and Semantics Getting Models in the continuum $K_{(2}\aleph_0)^+ \mathcal{M}$ implies

Further Context Amost quasiminim In the novel White Light [Ruc80], Rudy Rucker proposes a metaphor for the continuum hypothesis. One can reach \aleph_1 by a laborious climb up the side of Mt. ON, pausing at ϵ_0 .

Or one can take

Cantor's elevator An instantaneous trip up a shaft at the center
of the mountain.

For atomic models we take the slightly slower Shelah's elevator The elevator is a bit slower but has only countably many floors. After building finitely many rooms at each step we reach an object of cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} .

Asymptotic similarity

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical

The L_{ω_1}, ω case
Syntax and Semantics
Getting Models in the continuum

Further
Context
Amost quasiminimal

Arbitrarily large

Definition

Fix an *L*-structure *M*. A subset of *M*, indexed by $\{a_{\eta}: \eta \in 2^{\omega}\}$, is asymptotically similar if, for every *k*-ary *L*-formula θ , there is an integer N_{θ} such that for every $\ell \geq N_{\theta}$,

$$M \models \theta(a_{\eta_0},\ldots,a_{\eta_{k-1}}) \leftrightarrow \theta(a_{\tau_0},\ldots,a_{\tau_{k-1}})$$

whenever $(\eta_0, \ldots, \eta_{k-1})$ and $(\tau_0, \ldots, \tau_{k-1})$ satisfy: $\eta_i \upharpoonright \ell = \tau_i \upharpoonright \ell$ and the $\eta_i \upharpoonright \ell$ are distinct.

Remark

Asymptotic similarity is a type of indiscernibility; the indiscernibility is only formula by formula. Let $M = (2^{\omega}, \{U_i : i < \omega\})$ where the U_i are independent unary predicates. The entire universe is asymptotically similar, although no two elements have the same 1-type.

27 / 57

Getting models in 2^{\aleph_0}

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

The $L\omega_1$, ω case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models in the continuum

the continuum $K_{(2}\aleph_0)^+ \not \emptyset$ implie ω -stability

Further Context

Theorem [BL19]

If a countable first order theory T has an atomic pseudominimal model M of cardinality \aleph_1 then there is an atomic pseudominimal model N of T which a contains a set of asymptotically similar elements with cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} . Equivalently, if the models of a complete sentence Φ in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ are pseudominimal and Φ has an uncountable model, it has a model in the continuum.

A simple application of the method gives Borel models in the continuum of any theory with trivial definable closure.

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two

Alternatives

Background

The $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ case

Case Syntax and

Semantics

Getting Models in the continuum

K₍₂N₀)⁺ implie

Further Context

excellent classe

 $\mathbf{K}_{(2^{\aleph_0})^+}
eq \emptyset$ implies ω -stability

Arbitrarily large 29 / 57

Goal Theorem [BLS24]

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

The L_{ω_1}, ω case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models in the continuum

 $\kappa_{(2\aleph_0)^+}$ implies ω -stability

Further
Context

Amost quasiminima excellent classes

Arbitrarily large

Theorem

If an atomic class At is \aleph_1 -categorical and has a model of size $(2^{\aleph_0})^+$ then At is ω -stable.

Old and new definitions:

Definition

- **1** A type $p \in S_{at}(M)$ splits over $F \subseteq M$ if there are tuples $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}' \subseteq M$ and a formula $\phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ such that $\operatorname{tp}(\mathbf{b}/F) = \operatorname{tp}(\mathbf{b}'/F)$, but $\phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}) \land \neg \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}') \in p$.
- 2 We call $p \in S_{at}(M)$ constrained if p does not split over some finite $F \subseteq M$ and unconstrained if p splits over every finite subset of M.

30 / 57

3 $C_M := \{ p \in S_{at}(M) : p \text{ is constrained} \}$, for an atomic model M, . We say At has **only constrained types** if $S_{at}(N) = C_N$ for every atomic model N.

Basic Properties

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T Baldwir

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

case
Syntax and
Semantics
Getting Models in

 $\kappa_{(2}\aleph_{0})^{+}$ implies ω -stability

Further Context
Amost quasiminimal

Lemma

- If M is a countable atomic model and $p \in S_{at}(M)$ then p is realized in an atomic extension of M.
- 2 For any atomic models $M \leq N$ and finite $A \subseteq M$, then for any $q \in S_{at}(N)$ that does not split over A, the restriction $q \upharpoonright M$ does not split over A; and any $p \in S_{at}(M)$ that does not split over A has a unique non-splitting extension $q \in S_{at}(N)$.
- If some atomic N has an unconstrained $p \in S_{at}(N)$, then for every countable $A \subseteq N$, there is a countable $M \preceq N$ with $A \subseteq M$ for which the restriction $p \upharpoonright M$ is unconstrained.
- 4 At has only constrained types if and only if $S_{at}(M) = C_M$ for every/some countable atomic model M.

Limit types

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical

The $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models

the continuum $K_{(2}N_{0})^{+}$ implies ω -stability

Further Context Amost quasiminim

Definition

For $|N| = \aleph_1$, a type $p \in S_{at}(N)$ is a limit type if the restriction $p \upharpoonright M$ is realized in N for every countable $M \leq N$.

Trivially, for every N, every type in $S_{at}(N)$ realized in N is a limit type. Since we allow M=N in the definition of a limit type, if M is countable, then the only limit types in $S_{at}(M)$ are those realized in M.

'Consistency implies Truth': II

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two

Historical

The L_{ω_1},ω case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models in the continuum

 $\kappa_{_{(2}\aleph_0)^+}$ implies ω -stability

Further Context Amost quasiminima Note that there are no additional assumptions on At, other than the existence of an uncountable, atomic model.

KEY Theorem:

If At admits an uncountable, atomic model, then there is some $N \in \operatorname{At}$ with $|N| = \aleph_1$ for which every limit type in $S_{at}(N)$ is constrained.

So if \aleph_1 -categorical: limit = constrained on the model in \aleph_1 .

Linking a largish model with ω -stability

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

The L_{ω_1}, ω case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models in the continuum

the continuum $\begin{array}{c}
\kappa_{(2} \aleph_{0})^{+} & \text{implies} \\
\omega \text{-stability}
\end{array}$

Further Context Amost quasiminin

Theorem

If an atomic class At is \aleph_1 -categorical and has a model of size $(2^{\aleph_0})^+$ with a relatively \aleph_1 -saturated submodel of cardinality continuum, then $S_{At}(M)$ has only constrained types

Pf. Choose a c realizing an unconstrained type and use relative \aleph_1 -saturation to build an unconstrained limit type. This contrathe KFY.

Similarly argue that if there is a unconstrained type over a countable model then there is a model in \aleph_1 with an unconstrained limit type. Apply KEY again [BLS24, Theorem 2.4.4]

Relatively saturated models exist!

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

case
Syntax and
Semantics
Getting Models in the continuum

 $\kappa_{(2\aleph_0)^+}$ implies ω -stability

Further Context Proof.

Let M^{**} be an atomic model of size $(2^{\aleph_0})^+$. We construct a relatively \aleph_1 -saturated elementary substructure $M^* \preceq M^{**}$ of size 2^{\aleph_0} as the union of a continuous chain $(N_\alpha:\alpha\in\omega_1)$ of elementary substructures of M^{**} , each of size 2^{\aleph_0} , where, for each $\alpha<\omega_1$ and each of the 2^{\aleph_0} countable $M\preceq N_\alpha$, $N_{\alpha+1}$ realizes each of the at most 2^{\aleph_0} $p\in S(M)$ that is realized in M^{**} .

Note there is no reason to think any of these models are even \aleph_1 -saturated, until we conclude ω -stability. [BLS24, Theorem 2.4.5]

Further Context

categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

Can

John T. Baldwin

wo

Alternatives

Background

The $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ case

Semantics
Getting Models in the continuum

 $K_{(2}\aleph_0)^+ \nearrow \emptyset$ implies ω -stability

Further Context

Amost quasiminimal excellent classes

excellent classes

Arbitrarily large 36 / 57

Covers of Algebraic Varieties

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size?

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical

The L_{ω_1},ω case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models in the continuum

Further
Context
Amost quasiminimal
excellent classes

$$\mathsf{exp} : (\mathcal{C}, +) \!\! \twoheadrightarrow \!\! (\mathcal{C}, \times).$$

$$p: C \rightarrow S(C)$$
.

Zilber conjecture that the most complicated (Shimura Varieties) were (almost) quasiminimal exellent and so uncountably categorical. The many partial results/methods are represented in the next chart.

Approaches to categoricity of covers

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Alternatives

Background
The $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$

Case
Syntax and
Semantics
Getting Models in the continuum $K_{(2}\aleph_{0})^{+} M$ impli

Further
Context
Amost quasiminimal
excellent classes

	topic	paper	method/context	sec
1	Complex exponentiation	[Zil05]	quasiminimality	§?
2	cov mult group	[Zil06]	quasiminimality	§?
3	1	[BZ11]	quasiminimality	
4	<i>j</i> -function	[Har14]	background	§?
5	Modular/Shimura Curves	[DH17]	quasiminimality	§?
6	Modular/Shimura Curves	[DZ22]	quasiminimality	
7	Abelian Varieties	[BGH14]	finite Morley rank groups	§?
8	Abelian Varieties	[BHP20]	fmr & notop	§ ?
9	Shimura <i>varieties</i>	[Ete22]	notop	§7
10	Smooth varieties	[Zil22]	o-quasiminimality	§.

Arbitrarily large 38 / 57

Almost quasiminimal excellence: I

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical

The $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ case

Semantics
Getting Models in the continuum $K_{(2)}\aleph_{(0)}^{+}$ impli

Further Context

Amost quasiminimal excellent classes

Arbitrarily large

Definition (Quasiminimal structure)

A structure M is *quasiminimal* if every first order $(L_{\omega_1,\omega})$ definable subset of M is countable or cocountable. Algebraic closure is generalized by saying $b \in \operatorname{acl}'(X)$ if there is a first order formula with **countably many** solutions over X which is satisfied by b.

Almost quasiminimal excellence: II

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? **UIC Seminar:**

excellent classes

Amost quasiminimal

Definition (Quasiminimal excellent geometry)

Let **K** be a class of **L**-structures such that $M \in \mathbf{K}$ admits a closure relation cl_M mapping $X \subseteq M$ to $\operatorname{cl}_M(X) \subseteq M$ that satisfies the following properties.

Basic Conditions

- **1** Each cl_M defines a pregeometry on M.
- **2** For each $X \subseteq M$, $\operatorname{cl}_M(X) \in K$.
- 3 countable closure property (ccp): If $|X| \leq \aleph_0$ then $|\operatorname{cl}(X)| < \aleph_0$.

Almost quasiminimal excellence: II

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

Syntax and Semantics
Getting Models in the continuum $K_{(2)}N_{(0)}^{+} = 0$ implies

Further
Context

Amost quasiminimal
excellent classes

- 4 Homogeneity
 - A class K of models has ℵ₀-homogeneity over ∅ (Definition 5) if the models of K are pairwise qf-back and forth equivalent
 - 2 A class K of models has \aleph_0 -homogeneity over models if for any $G \in K$ with G empty or a countable member of K, any H, H' with $G \leq H, G \leq H'$, H is qf-back and forth equivalent with H' over G.
- **5** K is an almost quasiminimal excellent geometry if the universe of any model $H \in K$ is in cl(X) for any maximal cl-independent set $X \subseteq H$.
- 6 We call a class which satisfies these conditions an *almost* quasiminimal excellent geometry [BHH⁺14].

Terminology

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two

Alternative

Historical Background

The $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ case

Syntax and
Semantics

the continuum $K_{(2}\aleph_{0})^{+}$ imp

 $\kappa_{(2}\aleph_{0)}$ impli ω -stability

Further Context Amost quasiminimal

excellent classes
Arbitrarily large

The **almost** is essential because the structures are two-sorted. But in the quasi-minimal covers: Galois type are quantifier-free first order types (in a suitably Morleyized theory).

Arbitrarily large models, bounded categoricity

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Гwo

Alternatives

Historical Background

The $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ case

Case
Syntax and

Getting Models in the continuum

 $\mathsf{K}_{(2} \aleph_{0})^{+} \otimes^{\mathsf{implie}}$

Further

Amost quasiminima

Galois may properly refine Syntactic

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

The L_{ω_1}, ω case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models in the continuum

the continuum $K_{\stackrel{(2)}{\sim} N_0)^+ \stackrel{(3)}{\sim} 0}$ implies ω -stability

Further
Context

Amost quasiminimal
excellent classes

Theorem Hart-Shelah/B-Kolesnikov

For each $2 \le k < \omega$ there is an $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ -sentence ϕ_k such that:

- **1** ϕ_k is categorical in μ if $\mu \leq \aleph_{k-2}$;
- **3** ϕ_k is not categorical in any μ with $\mu > \aleph_{k-2}$;
- **4** ϕ_k has the disjoint amalgamation property in every κ ;
- **5** For k > 2,
 - 1 ϕ_k is (\aleph_0, \aleph_{k-3}) -tame; indeed, syntactic first-order types determine Galois types over models of cardinality at most \aleph_{k-3} ;
 - 2 ϕ_k is \aleph_m -Galois stable for $m \le k 3$;
 - \emptyset ϕ_k is not $(\aleph_{k-3}, \aleph_{k-2})$ -tame.

[Bal09, BK09] refining an example of [HS90].

Galois may properly refine Syntactic: II

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical

The $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ case

Semantics
Getting Models in the continuum

K (280) + (A impli

Further Context

- **1** ϕ_2 is \aleph_0 -categorical but not ω -Galois stable nor categorical in any power. (ω -synactic stability unclear in paper.)
- **2** ϕ_3 is categorial in \aleph_1, \aleph_2 and never again: In \aleph_0 , syntactic = Galois and ω -stable.

Thus, Morley's result that ω -stable implies κ -stable for all κ is gone (for Galois and likely? syntactic).

Questions/Problems

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

The $L\omega_1$, ω case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models in the continuum $K_{\alpha N_0)^+}$ ϕ impli

Further Context Let ϕ be a complete sentence of $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$.

- I Give a definition of a 'complete' that eliminates uninformative counterexamples [BKS16, BHK13].
- 2 Do the BLS results on $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ generalize at all? E.g. to analytic classes? [BL16]
- **3** If ϕ characterizes $\kappa > \aleph_0$, must ϕ have 2^{κ} models in κ ?
- 4 For $\kappa < \aleph_{\omega_1}$, describe an explicit sentence that characterizes κ . [BKL17]

Theorem

[BS24] There is a complete sentence ϕ of $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ such that ϕ has maximal models in a set of cardinals λ that is cofinal in the first measurable μ while ϕ has no maximal models in any $\chi \geq \mu$.

References I

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? **UIC Seminar:**



Categoricity.

2014.

Number 51 in University Lecture Notes. American Mathematical Society, Providence, USA, 2009.

M. Bays, M. Gavrilovich, and M. Hils. Some definability results in abstract Kummer theory. International Mathematics Research Notices. 43:3975-4000. 2014. https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnt057.

M. Bays, B. Hart, T. Hyttinen, M. Kesala, and J. Kirby. Quasiminimal structures and excellence. Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 46:155–163.

References II

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternative

Historical Background

The $L\omega_1$, ω case Syntax and Semantics Getting Models in the continuum $K_{(2}\aleph_0)^+ \mathcal{M}$ implies

Further Context

L**ontext** Amost quasiminimal John T. Baldwin, T. Hyttinen, and M. Kesälä.

Beyond first order logic: From number of structures to structure of numbers part II.

Bulletin of Iranian Math. Soc., 2013.

Available online at

http://www.iranjournals.ir/ims/bulletin/.

M. Bays, B. Hart, and A. Pillay.

Universal covers of commutative finite Morley rank groups.

Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu, 19:767–799, 2020.

https://www3.nd.edu/~apillay/papers/universalcovers-BHP.pdf.

References III

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

Syntax and Semantics
Getting Models in the continuum

K(2×0)+ Ø implies

Further Context Amost quasimin

- John T. Baldwin and Alexei Kolesnikov. Categoricity, amalgamation, and tameness. Israel Journal of Mathematics, 170:411–443, 2009.
- John T. Baldwin, M. Koerwien, and C. Laskowski. Disjoint amalgamation in locally finite AEC. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 82:98–119, 2017.
- John T. Baldwin, M. Koerwien, and I. Souldatos. The joint embedding property and maximal models. *Archive for Mathematical Logic*, 55:545–565, 2016.
- John T. Baldwin and Paul Larson.
 Iterated elementary embeddings and the model theory of infinitary logic.
 - Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 167:309–334, 2016.

References IV

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternative

Historical Background

The L_{ω_1}, ω case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models in the continuum $K_{\omega} \aleph_0)^+ M$ impl

Further Context John T. Baldwin and C. Laskowski.

Henkin constructions of models with size continuum.

Bulletin of Symbolic Logic, 25:1-34, 2019.

https://doi.org/10.1017/bsl.2018.2,

http://homepages.math.uic.edu/~jbaldwin/pub/henkcontbib.

- John T. Baldwin, C. Laskowski, and S. Shelah. Constructing many atomic models in ℵ₁.

 Journal of Symbolic Logic, 81:1142–1162, 2016.
- John T. Baldwin, C. Laskowski, and S. Shelah. When does \aleph_1 -categoricity imply ω -stability. *Model Theory*, 3:3:801–825, 2024.

References V

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

The L_{ω_1},ω case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models in the continuum

the continuum $K_{(2}\aleph_0)^+ \not \emptyset$ implies ω -stability

Further Context

John T. Baldwin and S. Shelah.

Maximal models up to the first measurable in ZFC.

24, 2024.

Shelah number 1147 http://homepages.math.uic.edu/~jbaldwin/pub/ahazfjan7.pdf.



M Bays and B Zilber.

Covers of multiplicative groups of algebraically closed fields of arbitrary characteristic.

Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., 43:689-702, 2011.

References VI

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

Case

Syntax and
Semantics

Getting Models in the continuum $K_{(2}N_0)^+ \rightarrow \emptyset$ implies ω -stability

Further Context Amost quasimi Christopher Daw and Adam Harris.

Categoricity of modular and Shimura curves.

Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu, 66:1075–1101, 2017.

math arxiv https://arxiv.org/pdf/1304.4797.pdf.

C. Daw and B.I. Zilber.

Modular curves and their pseudo-analytic cover.

math arxiv: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2107.11110.pdf Nov., 2022.

Sebastian Eterović.
Categoricity of Shimura varieties.
2022 version, 2022.

References VII

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

Syntax and Semantics
Getting Models in the continuum $K_{(2)}N_{(0)}^{+} = 0$ implies

Further Context Amost quasiminima Adam Harris.

Categoricity and covering spaces.

PhD thesis, Oxford, 2014. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1412.3484.pdf.

B. Hart and S. Shelah.

Categoricity over P for first order T or categoricity for $\phi \in \mathbb{I}_{\omega_1 \omega}$ can stop at \aleph_k while holding for $\aleph_0, \dots, \aleph_{k-1}$. Israel Journal of Mathematics, 70:219–235, 1990.

Juliette Kennedy.

Gödel, Tarski, and the lure of Natural Language.

Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2021.

References VIII

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

The $L\omega_1$, ω case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models in the continuum

Getting Models in the continuum $\begin{matrix} \mathbf{K}_{(2} \mathbf{N}_0)^+ & \text{implies} \\ \omega \text{-stability} \end{matrix}$

Context

Amost quasiminima

R. Rucker.

White Light.
Ace, 1980.

Saharon Shelah.

Abstract elementary classes near \aleph_1 sh88r. revision of Classification of nonelementary classes II, Abstract elementary classes; on the Shelah archive.

S. Shelah.

Categoricity in \aleph_1 of sentences in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}(Q)$.

Israel Journal of Mathematics, 20:127–148, 1975.

Sh index 48.

References IX

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? **UIC Seminar:**

S. Shelah.

Classification theory for nonelementary classes. II. the number of uncountable models of $\psi \in L_{\omega_1\omega}$ part B. Israel Journal of Mathematics, 46;3:241–271, 1983. Sh index 87b.



Saharon Shelah.

Classification of nonelementary classes II, abstract elementary classes.

In John T. Baldwin, editor, Classification theory (Chicago, IL, 1985), pages 419-497. Springer, Berlin, 1987. paper 88: Proceedings of the USA-Israel Conference on Classification Theory, Chicago, December 1985; volume 1292 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics.

References X

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

The L_{ω_1} , ω case

Syntax and Semantics

Getting Models in the continuum

the continuum ${\color{red} \kappa_{(2} \aleph_0)^+ \surd \emptyset} \ {\color{black} \text{implies}}$ $\omega\text{-stability}$

Context

Amost quasiminima



B.I. Zilber.

A categoricity theorem for quasiminimal excellent classes. In A. Blass and Y. Zhang, editors, *Logic and its*Applications, volume 380 of Contemporary Mathematics

Applications, volume 380 of Contemporary Mathematics, pages 297–306. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.



B.I. Zilber.

Covers of the multiplicative group of an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0.

Journal of the London Mathematical Society, pages 41–58, 2006.

References XI

Can categorical classes in $L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ be bounded in size? UIC Seminar: 2025

John T. Baldwin

Two Alternatives

Historical Background

Case
Syntax and
Semantics
Getting Models in the continuum

 $\kappa_{(2\aleph_0)^+}$ impli

Further Context

Amost quasiminimal



B.I. Zilber.

Non-elementary categoricity and projective locally o-minimal classes.

on Zilber's webpage, 2022.