

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

## Lecture 1

Let  $G_{\mathbf{Q}} = \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$  and  $p$  be a prime.

One knows if  $F(q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n q^n$  is the  $q$ -expansion of a weight  $k$  normalized cuspidal eigenform of level  $N$  and character  $\chi$ ,  $E = \mathbf{Q}_p(\{a_n\})$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and an odd, irreducible representation  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(E)$  unramified outside of  $Np$  such that if  $\ell \nmid Np$

$$\text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_{\ell}) = a_{\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad \det(\text{Frob}_{\ell}) = \chi(\ell)\ell^{k-1}.$$

One also knows the restriction of  $\rho$  to a decomposition group at  $p$  is “potentially semi-stable.”

*Example.* On  $X_0(49)$  there is a unique normalized weight 2 cusp form  $F(q)$ , where

$$a_2 = 1, a_{11} = 4, a_{23} = 8, a_{29} = 2, a_{37} = -6,$$

$$a_3 = a_5 = a_{13} = a_{17} = a_{19} = a_{31} = 0.$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s} = \prod_{\ell \neq 7} (1 - a_{\ell} \ell^{-s} + \ell^{-2s})^{-1} (1 + 7^{-s})^{-1}.$$

In 1993, J.M. Fontaine and B. Mazur conjectured [F-M],

**Conjecture.** Suppose  $E$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(E)$  is a continuous odd, irreducible representation ramified at only finitely many primes whose restriction to a decomposition group at  $p$  is potentially semi-stable. Then  $\rho$  arises from a modular form.

Mark Kisin has recently proven, using the “eigencurve” this conclusion when  $\rho$  arises from an “overconvergent form of finite slope.”

## Topics of course

Serre's theory of  $p$ -adic Banach spaces [S], [C2] and [B]. Overconvergent forms and the  $U$ -Operator [K], [C2]. The Canonical subgroup and the  $U$ -operator [K], [B2]. Pseudo-representations attached to overconvergent Forms, [H]. The Eigencurve, [C-M]. Fontaine's theory, [F] (see also [www.math.berkeley.edu/~coleman/fontaine.html](http://www.math.berkeley.edu/~coleman/fontaine.html)). The Fontaine-Mazur conjecture, [F-M]. Kisin's Theorem.

## $p$ -adic Banach spaces

A **Banach Algebra** is a commutative ring  $A$  with a unit element, complete and separated with respect to a non-trivial ultrametric norm  $|\cdot|$ . I.e.,  $|1| = 1$ ,

$$|a + b| \leq \max |a|, |b|, \quad |ab| \leq |a||b|,$$

for  $a$  and  $b \in A$ , and moreover,  $|a| = 0$  if and only if  $a = 0$ . A **Banach module** over  $A$  is an ultrametrically normed complete module  $E$  over  $A$ , such that  $|ae| \leq |a||e|$  if  $a \in A$  and  $e \in E$ .

An **orthonormal basis** for a Banach module  $E$  over  $A$  is a set  $\{e_i : i \in I\}$  of elements of  $E$ , for some index set  $I$ , such that every element  $m$  in  $E$  can be written uniquely in the form  $\sum_{i \in I} a_i e_i$  with  $a_i \in A$  such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |a_i| = 0$  and

$$|m| = \sup\{|a_i| : i \in I\}.$$

We say  $E$  is **orthonormizable** if it has an orthonormal basis.

*Examples.* Suppose  $A = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $M$  is the ring of analytic functions on the unit disk.

An  $A$ -homomorphism  $h: M \rightarrow N$  between two Banach  $A$ -modules is said to be **completely continuous** or **compact** if there exists a sequence of  $A$ -homomorphisms  $h_j: M \rightarrow N$  of "finite rank" such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{|m| \leq 1} |(h - h_j)(m)| \right) = 0.$$

It turns out that if  $M = N$ , has an orthonormal basis and  $A$  is "nice," then  $h$  has a characteristic series (Fredholm determinant).

- [B] Buzzard, K: email.
- [B2] Buzzard, K: *Analytic continuation of overconvergent eigenforms*. (Preprint).  
[www.ma.ic.ac.uk/~kbuzzard/math/research/papers/index.html](http://www.ma.ic.ac.uk/~kbuzzard/math/research/papers/index.html)
- [C1] Coleman, R: *Classical and overconvergent modular forms*. Invent. Math. 124 (1996) 215-241.
- [C2] \_\_\_\_: *P-adic Banach spaces and families of modular forms*. Invent. Math. 127 (1997) 417-479.
- [C-M] \_\_\_\_ and B. Mazur: *The Eigencurve*. Galois Representations and Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry, CUP 1998. [www.math.berkeley.edu/~coleman/preprints.html](http://www.math.berkeley.edu/~coleman/preprints.html) ■
- [F] Fontaine, J-M: *Le corps des périodes p-adiques*. Périodes p-adiques, Astérisque 223, Soc. Math. de France, (1994) 509-111.
- [F-M] Fontaine, J.M. and B. Mazur: *Geometric Galois representations*. Elliptic curves, modular forms and Fermat's last theorem (Hong Kong 1993) Internat. Press 1993.
- [H] Hida, H: *Nearly Ordinary Hecke Algebras and Galois Representations of Several Variables*. JAMI Inaugural Conference Proceedings, (supplement to) Amer. J. Math. (1990), 115–134.
- [K] Katz, N: *P-adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms, Modular Functions of one Variable*. Antwerp III, SLN 350, (1972) 69-190.
- [Ki] Kisin, M: *Overconvergent modular forms and the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture*, (preprint).
- [S] Serre, J-P: *Endomorphismes complètement continus des espaces de Banach p-adiques*. Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., **12** (1962) 69-85.

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

## Lecture 2

[www.math.berkeley.edu/~coleman/Courses/Sp02/ecfm.html](http://www.math.berkeley.edu/~coleman/Courses/Sp02/ecfm.html)

### Quick introduction to rigid analysis

Let  $K$  be a complete local field with absolute value  $|\cdot|$ . By  $K\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle$  I mean the ring

$$\mathbf{A}_n =: \sum_{I \geq 0} a_I X^I \quad \text{where } a_I \in K, |a_I| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \sigma(I) \rightarrow \infty.$$

This ring is Noetherian and is called the **Tate algebra** of dimension  $n$  over  $K$ . One can think of it as functions on a polydisk of radius 1. A quotient ring of this ring is called an affinoid algebra.

*Example.* Consider  $\{(x, y): y^2 = x^3 - 1, |x| \leq 1, |y| \leq 1\}$ .

If  $F(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{I \geq 0} a_I X^I$ , put  $\|F\| = \sup_I |a_I|$ . If  $\alpha: \mathbf{A}_n \rightarrow A$  is surjective we define

$$\|f\|_\alpha = \inf\{\|g\|: g \in \mathbf{A}_n, \alpha(g) = f\}.$$

This is a norm on  $A$ . One can also set

$$\|f\|_{sup} = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f^n\|_\alpha^{1/n}.$$

This is independent of  $\alpha$ . The **power bounded** elements  $A^0$  of  $A$  are the elements  $f$  such that  $\{\|f^n\|_\alpha\}$  is bounded or equivalently  $\|f\|_{sup} \leq 1$  and the **topological nilpotents** of  $A$   $A^+$  are the elements  $f$  such that  $\|f^n\|_\alpha \rightarrow 0$  or equivalently  $\|f\|_{sup} < 1$ . If  $A$  is reduced and  $A^0/A^+$  is an integral domain  $\|\cdot\|_{sup}$  is a norm equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ .

*Example.* Same as above and also  $5xy = p$ .

## Compact operator over affinoid algebras

An  $A$ -homomorphism  $L: M \rightarrow N$  between two Banach  $A$ -modules is said to be **compact** if there exists a sequence of  $A$ -homomorphisms of finite rank  $h_j: M \rightarrow N$  such that  $h_j \rightarrow L$ . In good situations  $\det(1 - Th_j)$  is defined and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \det(1 - Th_j)$  exists.

Suppose  $\{e_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $M$  and  $\{d_j\}_{j \geq 0}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $N$ . Suppose

$$L(e_i) = \sum_j n_{i,j} d_j.$$

**Proposition.** *Suppose  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $A$  is a reduced affinoid algebra over  $K$ . The linear map  $L$  is compact if and only if*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{i \geq 0} |n_{i,j}| = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\pi_n$  be the projection onto the submodule  $E_n$  generated by  $d_j, j \leq n$  and  $L_n = \pi_n \circ L$ .

Now suppose  $L$  is compact. Then for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $A$ -linear map  $L': M \rightarrow N$  whose image is contained in a finitely generated submodule  $P$  and is such that  $|L - L'| < \epsilon$ .

We will show  $P^0 =: P \cap N^0$  is finitely generated over  $A^0$ . Assume this for now. Claim: There exists an  $m \geq 0$  such that

$$|\pi_m|_P - \text{id}_P| < \epsilon.$$

It follows that

$$|L - \pi_m \circ L'| < \epsilon.$$

This implies  $|n_{i,j}| < \epsilon$  for  $j \notin T$  which concludes the proof. ■

If  $M = N$ ,

$$\det(1 - TL) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \det(1 - T(\pi_n \circ L|_{M_n})).$$

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

## Lecture 3

J. Tate: Rigid analytic spaces, Inv. Math. 12 (1971) 257-289.

### Compact Operators

Let  $L: M \rightarrow N$  be a continuous linear map between orthonormizable Banach modules over  $A$ . Suppose  $\{e_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $M$  and  $\{d_j\}_{j \geq 0}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $N$  and

$$L(e_i) = \sum_j n_{i,j} d_j.$$

**Proposition.** *Suppose  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $A$  is a reduced affinoid algebra over  $K$ . The linear map  $L$  is compact if and only if*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{i \geq 0} |n_{i,j}| = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\pi_n$  be the projection onto the submodule  $E_n$  generated by  $d_j$ ,  $j \leq n$  and  $L_n = \pi_n \circ L$ .

Suppose  $L$  is compact. Then for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $A$ -linear map  $L': M \rightarrow N$  whose image is contained in a finitely generated submodule  $P$  and is such that  $|L - L'| < \epsilon$ .

Claim:  $P^0 =: \{n \in P: ||n|| \leq 1\}$  is finitely generated over  $A^0$ .

Indeed, let  $n_i = \sum_j b_{ij} d_j$   $1 \leq i \leq k$  generate  $P$ . Let

$$U = \{(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in A^k: \sum_{i=1}^k a_i n_i = 0\}.$$

Since  $A$  is Noetherian, there exists  $r \geq 0$  such that  $U = \text{Ker } F_r$ , where

$$F_t(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \pi_t\left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i n_i\right).$$

Thus, if  $t \geq r$

$$0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow A^k \xrightarrow{F_t} \pi_t N \cong A^t$$

is exact. Let  $B_t = F_t^{-1}((A^0)^t)$  so that in particular  $(\bigcap_{t \geq 0} B_t)/U \cong P^0$ . ■

*End of proof.* There exists an  $m \geq 0$  such that  $|\pi_m|_P - \text{id}_P| < \epsilon$ .

It follows that

$$|L - \pi_m \circ L'| < \epsilon.$$

This implies  $|n_{i,j}| < \epsilon$  for  $j \notin T$  which concludes the proof. ■

### Characteristic series

Suppose  $\pi$  is a uniformizing parameter of  $K$  and  $M = N = E$ .

**Theorem.** *If  $L$  is a compact operator on  $E$ , then*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \det(1 - T(\pi_m \circ L)|_{E_m})$$

*exists.*

We will denote it by  $P_L(T)$ .

*Proof.* First we can assume  $|L| \leq 1$ . Next we know that given  $k \geq 0$  there exist  $m_k \geq 0$  such that

$$L(e) \equiv \pi_{m_k} \circ L(e) \pmod{\pi^k}.$$

**Theorem.** *If  $L$  has norm at most  $|a|$  where  $a \in A$  then  $P_L(T)$  is an element of  $A^0[[aT]]$  and is entire in  $T$ . Also,  $P_L(T)$  is characterized by:*

(i) *If  $\{L_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a sequence of completely continuous operators on  $E$ , and  $L_n \rightarrow L$  then  $P_{L_n} \rightarrow P_L$  coefficientwise.*

(ii) *If the image of  $L$  in  $E$  is contained in an orthonormalizable direct factor  $F$  of finite rank over  $A$  of  $E$  such that the projection from  $E$  onto  $F$  has norm at most 1 then*

$$P_L(T) = \det(1 - TL|_F).$$



# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

## Lecture 4

### The Fredholm Determinant

**Theorem.** Suppose  $L$  is a compact operator on a ON Banach module  $E$  over  $A$ . If  $L$  has norm at most  $|a|$  where  $a \in A$ , then  $P_L(T)$  is an element of  $A^0[[dT]]$  and is entire in  $T$ . Also,  $P_L(T)$  is characterized by:

- (i) If  $\{L_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a sequence of compact operators on  $E$ , and  $L_n \rightarrow L$  then  $P_{L_n} \rightarrow P_L$  coefficientwise.
- (ii) If the image of  $L$  in  $E$  is contained in a direct factor  $F$  of finite rank over  $A$  of  $E$  such that the projection from  $E$  onto  $F$  is continuous then

$$P_L(T) = \det(1 - TL|F).$$

In particular,  $P_L(T)$  depends only on the topology.

*Proof.* I will prove  $P_L(T)$  is entire in  $T$  and (i). Let  $(e_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be an ONB. We can suppose  $|L| \leq 1$ . Suppose  $L(e_i) = \sum_j n_{i,j} e_j$ . For a finite set  $S$  of non-negative integers and a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $S$ , set

$$n_{S,\sigma} = \prod_{i \in S} n_{i,\sigma(i)}$$

Then

$$P_L(T) = 1 + c_1 T + c_2 T^2 + \cdots,$$

where

$$c_m = (-1)^m \sum_{\substack{S,\sigma \\ |S|=m}} \epsilon_\sigma n_{S,\sigma}.$$

Now let  $R_1 \geq R_2 \geq \cdots$  be the numbers  $r_j = \sup_{i \geq 0} |n_{ij}|$ . It follows that

$$|c_m| \leq R_1 R_2 \cdots R_m,$$

so

$$|c_m|M^m \leq (R_1M)(R_2M)\cdots(R_mM).$$

Now suppose,  $|L' - L| < \epsilon < 1$ .

### Some other key facts.

**Remark.** *If  $L:M \rightarrow N$  is compact and  $F:N \rightarrow M$  is continuous, then  $L \circ F$  and  $F \circ L$  are compact.*

(i) If  $u$  and  $v$  are compact operators on  $E$ ,

$$\det(1 - Tu)\det(1 - Tv) = \det((1 - Tu)(1 - Tv)).$$

(ii) Suppose  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are orthonormizable Banach modules over  $A$ . Suppose  $u$  is a compact homomorphism from  $E_1$  to  $E_2$  and  $v:E_2 \rightarrow E_1$  is a continuous homomorphism. Then  $P_{u \circ v}(T) = P_{v \circ u}(T)$ .

(iii) if  $\phi:A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism of Banach algebras then  $\phi^*E =: E \otimes_A B$  is orthonormizable over  $B$  and

$$P_{\phi^*L}(T) = \phi(P_L(T)).$$

Given this one can define the characteristic series of a continuous operator  $V$  on  $M$  if one only assumes  $M$  is “locally orthonormizable.”

## Riesz Theory

Suppose  $u$  is a compact operator on  $E$ . Let  $A\{\{T\}\}$  denote the ring of entire series over  $A$ . For a polynomial of degree  $d$  whose leading coefficient is a unit,  $F(T)$ , let  $F^*(T) = T^d F(T^{-1})$ .

**Theorem.** Suppose  $P_u(T) = Q(T)S(T)$  where  $S \in A\{\{T\}\}$  and  $Q$  is a polynomial whose leading coefficient is a unit such that  $Q(0) = 1$  and which is relatively prime to  $S$ . Then there is a unique direct sum decomposition

$$E = N_u(Q) \oplus F_u(Q)$$

of  $E$  into closed submodules stable by  $u$  such that  $N_u(Q)$  is projective of rank  $\deg Q$ ,  $Q^*(u)N_u(Q) = 0$  and  $Q^*(u)$  is invertible on  $F_u(Q)$ . Moreover,  $N_u(Q)$  and  $F_u(Q)$  are locally equivalent to orthonormalizable modules and  $P_{u|_{N_u(Q)}}(T) = Q(T)$  and  $P_{u|_{F_u(Q)}}(T) = S(T)$ . ■

## The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

### Lecture 5

#### Restants

See Lang's algebra Chapter IV §8. Let  $e_i$  be the  $i$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial of  $T_1, \dots, T_n$ .

**Lemma.** *The subring of  $A[[T_1, \dots, T_n]]$ ,  $A\{\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}\}$ , is equal to the subring of  $A\{\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}\}$  consisting of elements which are left invariant under permutation of the variables  $T_i$ .*

Suppose  $Q(T) = T^n - a_1 T^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n a_n \in A[T]$  and  $P(T) \in A\{\{T\}\}$ . Then  $P(T_1) \cdots P(T_n) = H(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  for some  $H \in A\{\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}\}$ . The **resultant** of  $Q$  and  $P$  is

$$\text{Res}(Q, P) = H(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

Then,

$$\text{Res}(Q, 1) = 1 \quad \text{Res}(Q, T) = (-1)^n Q(0)$$

$$\text{Res}(Q, aP) = a^n \text{Res}(Q, P)$$

$$\text{Res}(Q, PR) = \text{Res}(Q, P) \text{Res}(Q, R)$$

$$\text{Res}(Q, P + BQ) = \text{Res}(Q, P)$$

and if  $S$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $m$ ,

$$\text{Res}(SQ, P) = \text{Res}(S, P) \text{Res}(Q, P)$$

$$\text{Res}(Q, S) = (-1)^{mn} \text{Res}(S, Q)$$

$$\text{Res}(Q, S^*) = \text{Res}(S, Q^*).$$

Recall  $Q^*(T) = T^n Q(T^{-1})$ .

Say that an element  $a \in A$  is **multiplicative** if  $|ab| = |a||b|$  for all  $b \in A$ .

**Proposition.** *The resultant of  $Q$  and  $P$  is a linear combination of  $Q$  and  $P$ . If  $Q$  and  $P$  have a non-constant polynomial common factor  $G$  whose leading term is multiplicative, then the resultant of  $Q$  and  $P$  is zero and is a unit if and only if  $Q$  and  $P$  are relatively prime in  $A\{\{T\}\}$ .*

**Lemma.** *If  $G(T)$  is a polynomial whose leading coefficient is multiplicative and  $H(T) \in A\{\{T\}\}$  such that  $G(T)H(T) \in A$  then  $G(T) \in A$  or  $H(T) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Replacing  $G(T)$  by  $G(p^{-M}T)$  for some positive integer  $M$  we may assume that the absolute value of the leading coefficient  $c$  of  $G$  is greater than all its other coefficients. Suppose  $\deg G = n$ . Suppose  $H(T) = \sum_k b_k T^k$  and  $m \geq 0$  is such that  $|b_m| \geq |b_k|$  for all  $k$  with strict inequality for  $k > m$ .

Now suppose  $B(T) \in A[T]$ ,  $B(0) = 0$  and  $F = Q^*$  for a monic polynomial  $Q$ . Let  $P(T) = 1 - XB(T)$ . Let

$$D(B, F) = Res(Q, P) \in A[X].$$

Now if  $B, F \in \{T\}$ ,  $B(0) = 0$ ,  $F(0) = 1$  let

$$D(B, F)(X) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(B_n, F_n)(X).$$

Then  $D(B, F)(X) \in A\{\{X\}\}$  and

**Theorem.** *If  $u$  is a compact operator on an orthonormizable Banach module  $E$  over  $A$  and  $B \in TA\{\{T\}\}$  then  $B(u)$  is compact and*

$$P_{B(u)}(T) = D(B, P_u)(T).$$

## The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

### Lecture 6

Correction: Lemma. If  $G(T)$  is a polynomial whose leading coefficient is multiplicative and  $H(T) \in A\{\{T\}\}$  such that  $G(T)H(T) \in A$  then  $G(T) \in A$  or  $H(T) = 0$ .

### Riesz Theory

Suppose  $A$  is a reduced affinoid algebra over  $K$ ,  $E$  is an orthonormizable Banach module over  $A$  and  $u$  is a compact operator on  $E$ .

We need one more thing about resultants,

**Lemma.** If  $P(T) = R(T)S(T)$ ,  $R, S \in A\{\{T\}\}$  and  $R(0) = S(0) = 1$ , then we have,  $D(B, P) = D(B, R)D(B, S)$ ,

and if  $Q$  is a monic polynomial,  $D(1 - Q^*, P)(1) = \text{Res}(Q, P)$ .

The **Fredholm resolvent**  $Fr_u$  of  $u$  is

$$\frac{P_u(T)}{(1 - Tu)} = P_u(T) \sum_{i \geq 0} u^i T^i.$$

**Proposition.** The Fredholm resolvent is “entire.”

*Proof.*  $Fr_u$  acts on  $E \otimes_A A[[T]]$ . If  $P_u(T) = \sum_{m \geq 0} c_m T^m$ ,  $Fr(u)(T) = \sum v_m T^m$ , where

$$v_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v_m = c_m + uv_{m-1}.$$

Let  $R_1 \geq R_2 \geq \dots$  be the numbers  $r_j = \sup_{i \geq 0} |n_{ij}|$  where  $(n_{ij})$  is the matrix for  $u$  wrt. an ONB  $B = \{e_I\}$ . Claim:  $|v_m| \leq R_1 R_2 \dots R_m$ .

First suppose  $E$  is free of finite rank  $n$ . Then since  $Fr(T)P_u(T) = \det(1 - Tu)$

Now suppose  $u(E) \subseteq E_n$ .

*End of proof.*  $\pi_n \circ u \rightarrow u$ .

**Lemma.** Suppose  $Q(T) \in A[T]$  is monic. Then  $(Q, P_u) = 1$  in  $A\{\{T\}\}$  if and only if  $Q^*(u)$  is invertible.

*Proof.* Let  $v = 1 - Q^*(u)$ . Suppose  $(Q, P_u) = 1$ .

$$(1 - vT)Fr_v(T) = P_v(T) = D(1 - Q^*, P_v)(T).$$

Last time we saw  $D(1 - Q^*, P_v)(1) = Res(Q, P_u)$ .

Now suppose  $Q^*(u)(1 - w) = 1$ .

## The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

### Lecture 7

#### Riesz Theory (continued)

For  $R(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n T^n$ , let

$$\Delta^k F(T) = \sum_{n \geq k} \binom{n}{k} a_n T^{n-k}$$

and  $\Delta = \Delta^1$ . If  $F(T) \in A[\{T\}]$  and  $a \in A$ , say  $a$  is a zero  $F$  of **order**  $k$  if  $\Delta^i F(a) = 0$  for  $i < k$  and  $\Delta^k F(a)$  is a unit.

**Lemma.** *Suppose  $a \in A$  is a zero of  $P_u(T)$  of order  $h$ . Then we have a unique decomposition*

$$E = N(a) \oplus F(a)$$

*into closed submodules such that  $1 - au$  is invertible on  $F(a)$  and  $(1 - au)^h N(a) = 0$ .*

*Proof. Proof.* We have

$$(1 - uT)\Delta^s Fr_u(T) - u\Delta^{s-1} Fr_u(T) = \Delta^s P_u(T).$$

So if  $v_s = \Delta^s Fr_u(a)$ . We get  $(1 - au)^{s+1} v_s = 0$  for  $s \leq h$ .

Let  $c = \Delta^h P_u(a)$ ,

$$e = c^{-1}(1 - au)v_h \quad \text{and} \quad f = c^{-1}uv_{h-1}.$$

Then

$$e + f = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad fe^h = 0.$$

The endomorphisms  $e^h$  and  $\sum_{i \geq 1} \binom{h}{i} e^{h-1} f^i$  are projectors.



**Theorem.** Suppose  $P_u(T) = Q(T)S(T)$  where  $S \in A[\{T\}]$  and  $Q$  is a monic polynomial such that  $Q(0) = 1$  and which is relatively prime to  $S$ . Then there is a unique direct sum decomposition

$$E = N_u(Q) \oplus F_u(Q)$$

of  $E$  into closed submodules stable by  $u$  such that  $N_u(Q)$  is projective of rank  $\deg Q$ ,  $Q^*(u)N_u(Q) = 0$  and  $Q^*(u)$  is invertible on  $F_u(Q)$ . Moreover,  $N_u(Q)$  and  $F_u(Q)$  are locally equivalent to orthonomizable modules and

$$P_{u|_{N_u(Q)}}(T) = Q(T) \quad \text{and} \quad P_{u|_{F_u(Q)}}(T) = S(T).$$

*Proof.* Let  $n = \deg Q$ ,  $B(T) = 1 - Q^*(T)$  and  $v = B(u)$ . Then

$$P_v(T) = D(B, P_u)(T) = D(B, Q)(T) \cdot D(B, S)(T),$$

but

$$D(B, Q)(X) = \text{Res}(Q^*, 1 - X(1 - Q^*)) = (1 - X)^n,$$

and  $D(B, S)(1) = \text{Res}(Q, S)$ .

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 8

## Riesz Theory (continued)

Last we proved, Suppose  $P_u(T) = Q(T)S(T)$  where  $S \in A\{\{T\}\}$  and  $Q$  is a polynomial of degree  $h$  whose leading coefficient is a unit such that  $Q(0) = 1$  which is relatively prime to  $S$ . Then there is a direct sum decomposition

$$E = N_u(Q) \oplus F_u(Q)$$

of  $E$  into closed submodules stable by  $u$  such that  $Q^*(u)^h N_u(Q) = 0$  (note the  $h$ ) and  $Q^*(u)$  is invertible on  $F_u(Q)$ . Moreover, if  $Q(T) = (1 - bT)^h$  then  $Q^*(u)N_u(Q) = 0$ .

Let  $F = N_u(Q)$  and  $e.F = F_u(Q)$  Claim:  $Q^*(u)N = 0$  in general.

Now lets prove  $N_u(Q)$  is projective of rank  $h$ . Suppose we know this when  $A$  is a field.

Let  $\{e_i\}$  be an ON basis for  $E$ . Let  $m$  be a maximal ideal. Let

$$f_i = \sum_{j \in I} a_{i,j} e_j \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq h$$

be elements of  $N$  which form a basis of  $N_m$  modulo  $m$ . Then  $\exists j_1, \dots, j_h$  such that

$$g =: \det(a_{i j_k}) \neq 0$$

is not zero at  $m$ . Let  $U$  be an open affinoid in  $\text{Max} A$  where  $g$  is invertible. Claim:  $f_1, \dots, f_h$  is a basis for  $N_U$ .

Now we prove when the leading coefficient of  $Q$  is multiplicative,  $\det(1 - Tu | N_u(Q)) = Q(T)$ . ■

**Proposition.** *Suppose  $N$  is a free. Then, locally, there exists a norm on  $E$  equivalent to  $\| \cdot \|$  such that both  $N$  and  $F$  with their induced norms are orthonormizable.*

**Corollary.** *If  $u_F$  is the induced operator on  $F$ ,  $u_F$  has a characteristic series and*

$$P_u(T) = \det(1 - Tu|_N)P_{u_F}(T).$$

*It follows that There exist  $H(T) \in A\{\{T\}\}$  such that*

$$H(T)Q(T) = \det(1 - Tu|_N)$$

We also get  $P_{u_F}(T) = S(T)$ .

## The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

### Lecture 9

#### Serre's Riesz theory

Suppose now  $A$  is a field. As usual  $E$  is an ON Banach space over  $A$  and  $u$  is a compact operator on  $E$ . Let  $\{e_i\}$  be an ONB of  $E$ .

Suppose  $a$  is a zero of  $P_u(T)$  of order  $h$  and  $E = N \oplus F$  is the decomposition of  $E$  into  $u$ -stable Banach subspaces such that  $(1 - au)^h N = 0$  and  $1 - au$  is invertible on  $E$ .

**Theorem.** (Serre)  $N$  is free of dimension  $h$ .

Suppose  $W$  is  $d$ -dimensional subspace of  $N$  stable by  $u$ . Claim:  $E = W \oplus G$  with  $G$  ONable.

Suppose  $\dim W = 1$ . Suppose  $w \in W$ ,  $\|w\| = 1$ . Suppose

$$w = \sum a_i e_i$$

and  $|e_k| = 1$ . Let  $G = \text{Span}\{e_i : i \neq k\}$ .

Using this we see that

$$(1 - Ta)^{\dim W} |P_u(T)$$

and so  $\dim N \leq h$ .

We know

$$\det(1 - Tu|N) \cdot P_{u|F}(T) = P_u(T).$$

Since  $1 - au$  is invertible on  $F$ , it follows that  $\dim N \geq h$ .

## Pseudo-representations

Suppose you have a group  $G$  and functions  $D, T: G \rightarrow R$ ? What do you need to know about  $D$  and  $T$  to know there is a representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2(R)$  such that

$$D(\sigma) = \det(\rho(\sigma)) \quad \text{and} \quad T(\sigma) = \text{Tr}(\rho(\sigma)) \quad (*)$$

Let  $S$  be a finite set of primes. Suppose  $G_S$  is the Galois group of the maximal Abelian extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$  unramified outside of  $S$  and  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{G}_S$  a complex conjugation.

**Theorem.** *Then if  $R$  is an integral domain whose quotient field  $K$  is not of characteristic  $\neq 2$ , there exists a  $\rho$  satisfying  $(*)$  and  $\rho(\mathbf{c}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  if and only if (for all  $g, h, k, \ell \in G_S$ ):*

$$\delta(g \cdot h) = \delta(g)\delta(h) + \xi(h, g)$$

$$\xi(gh, k) = \alpha(g)\xi(h, k) + \delta(h)\xi(g, k)$$

$$\xi(g, hk) = \alpha(k)\xi(g, h) + \delta(h)\xi(g, k)$$

$$\xi(g, h)\xi(k, \ell) = \xi(g, \ell)\xi(k, h)$$

and

$$\alpha(1) = \delta(1) = 1; \quad \alpha(\mathbf{c}) = -1; \quad \delta(\mathbf{c}) = 1$$

where

$$\alpha(x) = \frac{T(x) + T(cx)}{2}, \quad \delta(x) = \frac{T(x) - T(cx)}{2}$$

$$\xi(x, y) = \alpha(xy) - \alpha(x)\alpha(y).$$

Moreover. if  $R \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , the category of complete noetherian local  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -algebras,  $\rho$  is continuous if and only if  $T$  is.

If there exist  $r, s \in G_S$  such that  $\xi(r, s) \neq -0$ , the representation  $\rho$  is given by

$$g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(g) & \frac{\xi(g, s)}{\xi(r, s)} \\ \xi(r, g) & \delta(g) \end{pmatrix}.$$

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 10

One formula I left out from the previous theorem is  $D(g) = \alpha(g)\delta(g) - \xi(g, g)$ . Since for a pseudo-representation  $(T, D)$ ,  $D$  is determined by  $T$ , I will call  $T$  a pseudo-representation.

## What pseudo-representations are good for

Suppose  $(N, p) = 1$ . Then  $h_1(N, \mathbf{Z}_p) = \varprojlim h_k(\Gamma_1(Np^n), \mathbf{Z}_p)$  is independent of the weight and is topologically generated by Hecke operators  $T(n)$  and  $\langle a \rangle$ ,  $(a, Np) = 1$ .

**Theorem.** (Hida) Suppose  $A \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is the category of complete local noetherian  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -algebras, be an integral domain with quotient field  $K$  and  $\lambda: h_1(N, \mathbf{Z}_p) \rightarrow A$  is a continuous  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -homomorphism. Then there is a unique semi-simple representation  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2(K)$  such that

- (i)  $\rho$  is continuous.
- (ii)  $\rho$  is unramified outside  $Np$ .
- (iii) If  $\ell \nmid Np$  is a prime and  $\phi_\ell$  is a Frobenius above  $\ell$

$$\det(1 - \rho(\phi_\ell)X) = 1 - \lambda(T(\ell))X + \lambda(\langle \ell \rangle)\ell X^2.$$

## Back to pseudo-representations

**Proposition.** Suppose  $R$  is a product of finitely many objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  two ideals of  $R$  and  $T_{\mathfrak{a}}: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{a}$  and  $T_{\mathfrak{b}}: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{b}$  two continuous  $p$ -rs (pseudo-representations). If there exist functions  $t$  and  $d$  on a dense subset  $\Sigma$  of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  with values in  $R/(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b})$  such that

$$(T_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sigma), D_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sigma)) \equiv (t(\sigma), d(\sigma)) \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}$$

$$(T_{\mathfrak{b}}(\sigma), D_{\mathfrak{b}}(\sigma)) \equiv (t(\sigma), d(\sigma)) \pmod{\mathfrak{b}},$$

for  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  then there exists a  $p$ -r  $T_{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}}: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow R/(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b})$  such that

$$(T_{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}}(\sigma), D_{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}}(\sigma)) \equiv (t(\sigma), d(\sigma)) \pmod{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}}.$$

*Proof.* Consider

$$0 \rightarrow R/(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) \longrightarrow R/\mathfrak{a} \oplus R/\mathfrak{b} \xrightarrow{\alpha} R/(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}) \rightarrow 0.$$

**Theorem** (Wiles). Suppose  $R$  is a topological  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -algebra and  $\{\mathfrak{p}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  are ideals such that  $R/\mathfrak{p}_i \in \mathcal{C}$  and

$$R = \varprojlim R / \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_i,$$

$\Sigma$  is a dense subset of  $G$ ,  $t, d$  are functions  $\Sigma \rightarrow R$  and  $p$ -rs  $T_i: G \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p}_i$  such that

$$(T_i(\sigma), D_i(\sigma)) \equiv (t(\sigma), d(\sigma)) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i}$$

for  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ . Then there exists a unique  $p$ -r  $T: G \rightarrow R$  such that  $T(\sigma) \equiv T_i(\sigma) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  for all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and all  $i$ .

*Proof.*

**Corollary.** If  $\lambda: R \rightarrow A$  is a continuous  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -algebra homomomorphism into an integral domain with fraction field  $K$  of characteristic different than 2, there exist a semisimple representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2(K)$  such that

$$\det(1 - \rho(\sigma)X) = 1 - \lambda(T(\sigma))X + \lambda(D(\sigma))X^2$$

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 11

Let  $G = G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ . Now will prove

**Theorem.** Suppose  $(N, p) = 1$ . Suppose  $A \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$  is an integral domain with quotient field  $K$  and  $\lambda: h_1(N, \mathbf{Z}_p) \rightarrow A$  is a continuous  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -homomorphism. Then there is a unique semi-simple representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2(K)$  such that

- (i)  $\rho$  is continuous.
- (ii)  $\rho$  is unramified outside  $Np$ .
- (iii) If  $\ell \nmid Np$  is a prime and  $\phi_\ell$  is a Frobenius above  $\ell$

$$\det(1 - \rho(\phi_\ell)X) = 1 - \lambda(T(\ell))X + \lambda(\langle \ell \rangle)\ell X^2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Sigma = \{\phi_\ell: \phi_\ell \text{ is a Frobenius above } \ell\}$ .

Fix  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $R = h_1(N, \mathbf{Z}_p) = \varprojlim_n h_k(\Gamma_1(Np^n), \mathbf{Z}_p)$ . Now  $R_n = h_k(\Gamma_1(Np^n), \mathbf{Z}_p)$  is a product of finitely many objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $R_n$  contains finitely many minimal prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_{ni}$  and  $\bigcap_i \mathfrak{p}_{ni} = 0$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{p}_{ni}$  denote its inverse image in  $R$ . It follows that

$$R = \varprojlim_n R / \bigcap \mathfrak{p}_{ni}.$$

Now, one knows if  $\lambda: R_n \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p F$  there exists a weight  $k$  eigenform  $F$  on  $\Gamma_1(Np^n)$  such that

$$F(q) = \sum \lambda_{n \geq 1}(T(n))q^n.$$

and by Deligne there exists an irreducible continuous representation  $\pi: G \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$  such that  $\det(\pi(\mathbf{c})) = -1$  and

$$\det(1 - \pi(\phi_\ell)X) = 1 - \lambda(T(\ell))X + \lambda(\langle \ell \rangle)\ell X^2.$$



Thus for each  $(n, i)$  we have a p-r with values in  $R/\mathfrak{p}_{ni}$ . Now let

$$t(\phi_\ell) = T(\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad d(\phi_\ell) = \ell\langle\ell\rangle.$$

## Back to Banach Modules

Let  $K$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . Let  $K^0 = \{a \in K : |a| \leq 1\}$  and  $\wp = \{a \in R : |a| < 1\}$ .  
 Suppose  $Y$  is a reduced irreducible affinoid such that  $\tilde{Y}$  is also reduced and we will regard  $A(Y)$  as a Banach algebra with respect to the supremum norm.

For a rigid spacs  $X$  let  $A(X)$  denote the ring of rigid analytic functions on  $X$ , and  $|\cdot|$  denote the supremum semi-norm on  $A(X)$  and  $A^0(X)$  will denote the subring in  $A(X)$  of power bounded functions on  $Y$ . Then  $\wp A^0(Y)$  equals the set of topologically nilpotent elements in  $A(Y)$  and  $\bar{Y} = \text{Spec}(A^0(Y)/\wp A^0(Y))$ . Let  $\mathbf{B}_K^n$  will denote the  $n$ -dimensional affinoid polydisk over  $K$ . Then

$$A(\mathbf{B}_K^n) \cong K\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad A^0(\mathbf{B}_K^n) \cong K^0\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle.$$

If  $a \in K$  and  $r \in |\mathbf{C}_p|$  we let  $B_K[a, r]$  and  $B_K(a, r)$  denote the affinoid and wide open disks of radius  $r$  about  $a$  in  $\mathbf{A}_K^1$ .

Suppose  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of reduced affinoids over  $K$ . Then  $(A(X), |\cdot|)$  is a Banach module over  $(A(Y), |\cdot|)$ .

**Lemma.** *Suppose  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of reduced affinoids over  $K$  and  $A^0(X)/\wp A^0(X)$  is free over  $A^0(Y)/\wp A^0(Y)$ . Then the Banach module  $A(X)$  over  $A(Y)$  is orthonormizable.*

**Proposition.** *Suppose  $f: Z \rightarrow X$  is a map of reduced affinoids over  $Y$ ,  $\tilde{X}$  is reduced and  $A(X)$  is orthonormizable over  $A(Y)$  and the image of  $\bar{Z}$  in  $\bar{X}$  is finite over  $\bar{Y}$ . Then the map  $f^*$  from  $A(X)$  to  $A(Z)$  is a compact homomorphism of Banach modules over  $A(Y)$ .*

## The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture

### Nuclear Families

Robert F. Coleman

Suppose  $M$  is a Banach space over  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $M'$  is the continuous dual space. Then  $M \otimes M'$  has a natural norm such that

$$\|h \otimes e\| = \|e\| \max_{\|d\| \leq 1} |h(d)|,$$

and we get a new Banach space  $N_M =: M \hat{\otimes} M'$ . This space has natural ring structure

$$(e \otimes h) \cdot (d \otimes f) = h(d)(f \otimes e).$$

Moreover, there is a natural “trace” map

$$\mathrm{Tr}: e \otimes h \rightarrow h(e).$$

We also have a continuous linear map  $b: N_M \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(M) := \underset{cont}{Hom}(M, M)$ ,

$$b(e \otimes h): d \rightarrow h(d)e$$

which turns multiplication into composition and its image is an ideal. The operators in the image of  $b$  are called **nuclear**. (They are compact.) One has the Fredholm determinant, for  $u \in N_M$

$$\det(1 - zu) = \exp \left( - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Tr}(u^n) \frac{z^n}{n} \right).$$

This series is entire and zeroes, counting multiplicity, are the inverses of the non-zero spectra of  $b(u)$ . (This was all extracted from Grothendieck’s *La Theorie de Fredholm* (1956).)

Let  $H = L^2([0, 1], dt)$ . Then if  $k(x, y) \in L^2([0, 1] \times [0, 1], dt \times dt)$  we an operator  $K$  on  $H$

$$Kf(x) = \int_0^1 k(x, y)f(y)dy.$$

These are called Hilbert-Schmidt operators. The product of two of these is nuclear.

## What about families?

Suppose one has a “family” of nuclear operators. How does the spectrum vary?

*Example.* Suppose  $M$  is a Banach space and  $Z$  is a compact Hausdorff space. Suppose  $U$  is a nuclear operator on  $M$  and  $V \in C(Z, \mathcal{B}(M))$ . Then  $U_x := U \circ V(x)$  is a family of nuclear operators on  $M$ . In fact, we get a Fredholm determinant  $D_{U,V}(T)$  whose coefficients are in  $A := C(Z)$ . Call its zero locus the **spectral space** of the family.

Another way to phrase this is: Let  $M_A = M \hat{\otimes} A = C(Z, M)$ . Then we have an operator on  $M_A$  over  $A$

$$e \otimes f \rightarrow (x \rightarrow U(x)e \otimes f(x)),$$

and this operator is “nuclear” over  $A$ . One can replace  $C$  with  $An$  everywhere.

**Questions.** Suppose  $Z$  is a closed disk and  $U$  and  $V$  are analytic. Under what conditions is the zero locus of  $D_{U,V}(T)$  a finite union of connected components and when do these components have finite genus?

## The $U$ -operator and modular forms

Let  $p$  be a prime. The compactification  $X_0(p)$  of the Riemann surface  $\mathcal{H}/\Gamma_0(p)$  (one has to add the cusps 0 and  $\infty$ ) can be described with equations over  $\mathbf{Z}$  and thought about over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . It has two natural  $p$ -adic analytic pieces  $W_\infty$  and  $W_0$ ,

Let  $X_r$  be the neighborhood of  $X_\infty$  of “radius”  $r$ . For  $r$  small there is a natural finite morphism  $\phi: X_r \rightarrow X_{r^{1/p}}$ . We can think of points on  $X_0(p)$  as pairs  $(E, C)$  where  $E$  is an elliptic curve and  $C$  is a subgroup of order  $p$ . For some elliptic curves  $E$  there is a canonical subgroup of order  $p$ ,  $K(E)$  and

$$\phi: (E, K(E)) \rightarrow (E/K(E), K(E/K(E))).$$

Now we have “nuclear” operator on  $M := A(X_r)$

$$U =: \text{Res}_{X_r}^{X_{r^{1/p}}} \circ \text{Tr}_{X_{r^{1/p}}}^{X_r}(\phi).$$

There is a weight  $p-1$  Eisenstein series  $E_{p-1}$  and therefore a function  $\mathbf{E}$  on  $X_r$  (for small  $r$ ) whose  $q$ -expansion is

$$E_{p-1}(q)/E_{p-1}(q^p).$$

Since this  $q$ -expansion is  $\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ ,  $\mathbf{E}^s$  makes sense for  $|s| \leq 1$  and is in  $A(X_r)$  for small  $r$ , so we have

$$V: B[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(M),$$

$$V(s)g = \mathbf{E}^s \cdot g$$

and so we get a family of nuclear operators  $U_s$  on  $M$ . If  $k = (p-1)n$  one calls the elements of  $M_k = E_{p-1}^n M$  weight  $k$  **overconvergent** modular forms. It contains the classical weight  $k$  forms on  $\Gamma_0(p)$  and if  $F$  is classical

$$F \rightarrow E_{p-1}^n U_n(F/E_{p-1}^n)$$

is the classical weight  $k$   $U$ -operator. We get a **spectral curve**  $S$  over  $B[0, 1]$ .

## The Eigencurve

There are other operators  $T(n)$  for any integer  $n$  prime to  $p$  and using the fact that nuclear operators make up an ideal we can use  $U \circ T(n)$  to make another spectral curve  $S_n$ . The **eigencurve**  $\mathcal{E}$  is essentially the fiber product of all these spectral curves. A point  $x$  on the eigencurve corresponds to a normalized overconvergent eigenforms  $F_x$  with non-zero  $U$ -eigenvalue. These have  $q$ -expansion s.

For each eigenform mod  $p$   $f$  there is a component  $\mathcal{E}_f$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  whose points correspond to normalized overconvergent eigenforms whose  $q$ -expansion s reduce to that of  $f$ .

One can attach a representation  $\rho_f: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$  unramified away from  $p$  such that

$$\text{Tr } \rho_f(\phi_\ell) = a_\ell$$

if  $\ell \neq p$  and  $f(q) = \sum_n a_n q^n$ . If  $\rho_f$  is irreducible one can attach a representation  $\rho_x: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2(\mathbf{C}_p)$  to each point  $x$  in  $\mathcal{E}_f$  unramified away from  $p$  which “lifts”  $\rho_f$  such that

$$\mathrm{Tr} \rho_x(\phi_\ell) = A_\ell$$

if  $\ell \neq p$  and  $F_x(q) = \sum_n A_n q^n$ .

### Fontaine-Mazur and Kisin

**Conjecture.** *Suppose  $E$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2(E)$  is a continuous odd, irreducible representation ramified at only finitely many primes whose restriction to a decomposition group at  $p$  is “semi-stable.” Then  $\rho$  arises from a classical modular form.*

Mark Kisin has recently proven this conclusion when  $\rho$  arises from an overconvergent eigenform with non-zero  $U$  eigenvalue using the eigencurve (**Coleman-Mazur**) and the following

**Theorem (C, 94).** *If  $F$  is an overconvergent eigenform of weight  $k$  and the valuation of its  $U$ -eigenvalue is  $< k - 1$  then  $F$  is classical.*

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

## Lecture 13

### Li's Example

Suppose  $H = \{v = \sum_{i \geq 1} a_i e_i : a_i \in \mathbf{C}, \|v\| =: \sum_{i \geq 1} |a_i| < \infty\}$ . Consider the operator  $L: e_i \rightarrow \frac{e_i}{i}$ .

$$L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{e'_i \otimes e_i}{i}\right)$$

Why doesn't  $L$  have a trace?

### A Compact Source

**Proposition.** *Suppose  $f: Z \rightarrow X$  is a map of reduced affinoids over  $Y$ ,  $\tilde{X}$  is reduced and  $A(X)$  is orthonormalizable over  $A(Y)$  and the image of  $\overline{Z}$  in  $\overline{X}$  is finite over  $\overline{Y}$ . Then  $f^*: A(X) \rightarrow A(Z)$  is a compact homomorphism of  $A(Y)$ -Banach modules.*

*Proof.* Let  $B = A^0(Y)$ ,  $C = A^0(Z)$  and  $D = A^0(X)$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be elements of  $D$  such that the map from  $B\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle$ ,  $T_i \mapsto x_i$  is surjective onto  $D$ . There are monic polynomials  $g_i(S) \in B[S]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that  $f^*g_i(x_i) \in \pi C$  for some  $\pi \in K^0$  such that  $|\pi| < 1$ . We can write any element of  $D$  as

$$\sum_{I, N} a_{I, N} x^I g(x)^N,$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ ,  $I, N \in \mathbf{N}^n$  (ordered lexicographically),  $I < \deg(g)$  and  $a_{I, N} \in B$ . Now let  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an ON basis for  $A(X)$  over  $A(Y)$ . Then  $e_i \in D$ . Let  $F_{i, m}$  be an element in the  $B$ -span of

$$\{f^*(x^I g(x)^N) : I < \deg g \text{ and } S(N) < m\}$$

such that  $F_{i,m} \equiv f^* e_i \bmod \pi^m C$ . Define  $L_m: A(X) \rightarrow A(Z)$  by  $L_m(e_i) = F_{i,m}$ . Then  $L_m$  is of finite rank and converges to  $f^*$  ■

Call such a morphism  $f$  **inner over**  $Y$ . If  $Y = \text{Spec} K$  call  $f$  **inner**.

*Examples.*

## Overconvergence

Suppose  $Z$  is an affinoid. Then an overconvergent function  $f$  on  $Z$  is a rigid function such that there exists some inner embedding  $Z \rightarrow X$  and a function  $F$  on  $X$  which extends  $f$ .

When  $Z$  has good reduction one can use the same  $X$  for any two functions.

*Examples.*

When  $f$  is a section of a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  one does something similar.

Suppose  $(N, p) = 1$ . Then  $X_1(Np)$  has a model whose reduction has two components,  $X_0 =: X_0(N)$  and  $X_\infty =: X_\infty(N)$ . Let  $W_\infty = \text{Red}^{-1} X_\infty$  and  $Z_1(N) = \text{Red}^{-1} X_\infty - X_0$ . Define  $W_0$  similarly. Then  $W_\infty \cap W_0$  is a union of annuli  $A_s$  where  $s$  is a ss point of  $X_1(N)$ . There exist  $w_s \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $T_s: A_s \cong A(p^{-w_s}, 1)$  such that  $|T_s(x)| \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow Z_1(N)$ . If  $x \neq 0, 1728$  or  $N > 4$ ,  $w_s = 1$

Let  $W_\infty(r) =: W_\infty(N)(r)$  be the set of  $x \in W_\infty$ ,  $x \in Z_1(N)$  or  $s$  and  $v(T_s(x)) \leq r$ . (In particular,  $W_\infty((Nn)(0)) = Z_1(Nn)$ .)

One has a canonical sheaf  $\omega$  on  $X_1(Np)$  (if  $Np \geq 5$ ).

An overconvergent form of weight  $k$  is an overconvergent section of  $\omega^{\otimes k}$  on  $Z_1(N)$ . It extends to  $W_\infty(r)$  for some  $r$ .

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 14

## Overconvergence

Suppose  $Z$  is an affinoid. Then an overconvergent function  $f$  on  $Z$  is a rigid function such that there exists some inner embedding  $Z \rightarrow X$  and a function  $F$  on  $X$  which extends  $f$ .

**Lemma.** *Overconvergent functions form a ring.*

**Lemma.** *If  $Z$  is reduced and has good reduction,  $Z \rightarrow Y$  is inner and  $f$  is an overconvergent function on  $Z$ . There exists an affinoid  $Y$ , morphisms  $Z \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $Z \rightarrow X$  is inner and a function  $F$  on  $X$  which extends  $f$ .*

*Examples.*

Suppose  $(N, p) = 1$ . Then  $\overline{\mathcal{X}_1(Np)} = X_0 \cup X_\infty$ , Let  $W_\infty = \text{Red}^{-1}X_\infty$  and  $Z_1(N) =: Z_1(Np) = \text{Red}^{-1}(X_\infty - X_0)$ . There exist  $w_s \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $T_s : A_s \cong A(p^{-w_s}, 1)$  such that  $|T_s(x)| \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow Z_1(N)$ . Let  $W_\infty(r) =: W_\infty(N)(r)$  be the set of  $x \in W_\infty$ ,  $x \in Z_1(N)$  or  $s$  and  $v(T_s(x)) \leq r$ . There is a canonical sheaf  $\omega$  on  $X_1(Np)$  (if  $Np \geq 5$ ).

An overconvergent form of weight  $k$  is an overconvergent section of  $\omega^{\otimes k}$  on  $Z_1(N)$ .

## Eisenstein Series

Suppose  $p$  is odd. Let  $\pi^{p-1} = -p$ . For a character  $\chi: \mathbf{Z}_p^* \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p^*$ , let  $f_\chi$  denote its “conductor”. Let  $\mathcal{W} = \text{Hom}_{cont}(\mathbf{Z}_p^*, \mathbf{C}_p^*)$  (weight space).  $\mathbf{Z}$  injects naturally into  $\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ ;

$$k \in \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow (a \rightarrow a^k).$$



Let  $\tau$  denote the Teichmüller character and  $\mathbf{1}$  denote the trivial character.

Suppose  $\kappa \in \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{C}_p)$ ,  $\kappa \neq \mathbf{1}$ , and  $n \geq 1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ , let

$$\sigma_\kappa^*(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ (d,p)=1}} \kappa(d)d^{-1}, \quad \zeta^*(\kappa) = \frac{1}{\kappa(c)-1} \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p^*} \kappa(a)a^{-1} dE_{1,c}(a)$$

for any  $c \in \mathbf{Z}_p^*$  such that  $\kappa(c)$  is not 1. So that, when  $\kappa(a) = \langle\langle a \rangle\rangle^s \chi(a)$  (is **arithmetic**) where  $s \in \mathbf{C}_p$ ,  $|s| < |\pi/p|$ , and  $\chi$  is a character of finite order  $\zeta^*(\kappa) = L_p(1-s, \chi)$ . Let

$$G_\kappa^*(q) = \frac{\zeta^*(\kappa)}{2} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_\kappa^*(n) q^n.$$

When  $\kappa(a) = \langle\langle a \rangle\rangle^k \chi(a)$ , where  $k$  is an integer and  $\chi$  is a character of finite order on  $\mathbf{Z}_p^*$  such that  $\chi(-1) = 1$ ,  $G_\kappa^*(q)$  is the  $q$ -expansion of a weight  $k$  overconvergent modular form  $G_\kappa^*$  on  $\Gamma_1(\text{LCM}(p, f_\chi))$  and character  $\chi\tau^{-k}$ . It is classical if  $k$  is at least 1.

If  $\zeta^*(\kappa) \neq 0$  and  $\kappa \neq \mathbf{1}$ , let  $E_\kappa^*(q) = 2G_\kappa^*(q)/\zeta^*(\kappa)$  and also  $E_1^*(q) = 1$ . Suppose  $\kappa \in \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{C}_p)$  and  $\kappa$  is trivial on  $\mu(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , then  $|\zeta^*(\kappa)| > 1$  and  $|E_\kappa^*(q) - 1| < 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}^* = B(0, |\pi/p|)$  and  $\mathcal{W}^* = \mathcal{B}^* \times \mathbf{Z}/(p-1)\mathbf{Z}$ . For  $s = (t, i) \in \mathcal{W}^*(\mathbf{C}_p)$  let  $\kappa_s(a) = a^s =: \langle\langle a \rangle\rangle^t \tau^i(a)$ . Let  $E = E_{\kappa_{(1,0)}}$ . Note that  $E(q) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

For  $m \geq 0, N > 0$  ( $N, p) = 1$  let  $Z_1(Np^m)$  denote the connected component of the ordinary locus in  $X_1(Np^m)$  containing  $\infty$ .

**Lemma.** Suppose  $\kappa(a) = \langle\langle a \rangle\rangle^k \chi(a)$  is arithmetic and  $\chi$  is trivial on  $\mu(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ . Then  $E_\kappa^*$  (which converges on) does not vanish on  $Z_1(p^m)$  where  $p^m = \text{LCM}(p, f_\chi)$ .

*Proof.* First  $E_\kappa^*$  converges on  $Z_1(p^m)$  because it is overconvergent. Next, the lemma is true for  $E$ . Now observe that  $F = E_\kappa^*/E^k$  is a function on  $Z_1(p^m)$  whose  $q$ -expansion is congruent to 1.

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 15

## Some remarks on overconvergence

First we can define overconvergent differentials of degree  $d$ ,  $\Omega^{d\ddagger}(X)$ , on an affinoid  $X$  in the same way we defined overconvergent functions  $A^\ddagger(X) = \Omega^{0\ddagger}(X)$  and this module is a finite rank  $A^\ddagger(X)$ -module. Next we can sheafify these things.

*Some speculation:*

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a coherent sheaf on a rigid space  $X$ , an overconvergent a structure  $\mathcal{F}^\ddagger$  is a sheaf on  $X$  coherent over  $\mathcal{O}_X^\ddagger$  such that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X^\ddagger} \mathcal{F}^\ddagger$ . Then we get overconvergent structures on  $\Omega_X^d$  and if  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^\ddagger)$  and  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^\ddagger)$  are two coherent sheaves with OS so is  $(\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}^\ddagger \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X^\ddagger} \mathcal{G}^\ddagger)$ . Moreover, if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a proper morphism of rigid spaces  $(R_{f*}^n \mathcal{F}, R_{f*}^n \mathcal{F}^\ddagger)$  is an overconvergent structure on the coherent sheaf  $R_{f*}^n \mathcal{F}$ .

Since  $\omega_M = R_{f*} \Omega_{E_1(M)/X_1(M)}^1$ , if  $M \geq 5$ , we get a canonical overconvergent structures on  $\omega^{\otimes n}$  where  $\omega = \omega_{Np}|_{Z_1(Np)}$ .

## Back to Eisenstein Series

Suppose  $p$  is odd,  $\pi^{p-1} = -p$ .

For  $\kappa \in \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{C}_p)$ ,  $\kappa \neq \mathbf{1}$ , and  $n \geq 1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,

$$\sigma_\kappa^*(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ (d,p)=1}} \kappa(d)d^{-1}, \quad \zeta^*(\kappa) = \frac{1}{\kappa(c) - 1} \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p^*} \kappa(a)a^{-1} dE_{1,c}(a)$$

for any  $c \in \mathbf{Z}_p^*$  such that  $\kappa(c)$  is not 1 and

$$G_\kappa^*(q) = \frac{\zeta^*(\kappa)}{2} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_\kappa^*(n)q^n.$$

If  $\zeta^*(\kappa) \neq 0$  and  $\kappa \neq \mathbf{1}$ , let  $E_\kappa^*(q) = 2G_\kappa^*(q)/\zeta^*(\kappa)$  and also  $E_1^*(q) = 1$ . Suppose  $\kappa \in \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{C}_p)$  and  $\kappa$  is trivial on  $\mu(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , then  $|\zeta^*(\kappa)| > 1$  and  $|E_\kappa^*(q) - 1| < 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}^* = B(0, |\pi/p|)$  and  $\mathcal{W}^* = \mathcal{B}^* \times \mathbf{Z}/(p-1)\mathbf{Z}$ . For  $s = (t, i) \in \mathcal{W}^*(\mathbf{C}_p)$  let  $\kappa_s(a) = a^s =: \langle \langle a \rangle \rangle^t \tau^i(a)$ . If  $E = E_{\kappa_{(1,0)}}$ ,  $E(q) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

For  $m \geq 0, N > 0$ ,  $(N, p) = 1$ , let  $Z_1(Np^m)$  denote the connected component of the ordinary locus in  $X_1(Np^m)$  containing  $\infty$ .

$q$  is a parameter at  $\infty$  and any section of  $\omega^{\otimes k}$  has a  $q$ -expansion .

**Lemma.** Suppose  $\kappa(a) = \langle \langle a \rangle \rangle^k \chi(a)$  and  $\chi$  is trivial on  $\mu(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ . Then  $E_\kappa^*$  (which converges on) does not vanish on  $Z_1(p^m)$  where  $p^m = LCM(p, f_\chi)$ .

*Proof.* First  $E_\kappa^*$  converges on  $Z_1(p^m)$ . Next, the lemma is true for  $E$ . Now observe that  $F = E_\kappa^*/E^k$  is a function on  $Z_1(p^m)$  whose  $q$ -expansion is congruent to 1. -

$X_1(Np) = W_0(N) \cup W_\infty(N)$ .  $W_\infty \cap W_0 = \bigcup_s A_s$ . Suppose  $T_s : A_s \cong A(p^{-w_s}, 1)$  such that  $|T_s(x)| \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow Z_1(Np)$ . Let  $W_\infty[r] =: W_\infty(N)[r]$  be the set of  $x \in W_\infty$ ,  $x \in Z_1(Np)$  or  $x \in A_s$  for some  $s$  and  $v(T_s(x)) \leq rw_s$ .

If  $d \in \mathbf{Z}_p^*$  we have an operator  $\langle d \rangle$  in  $E_1(Np)/X_1(Np)$  and hence on  $\omega_{Np}$  and  $\omega$ .

If  $k$  is an integer, and  $s = (k, i)$  an overconvergent form  $F$  of **weight-character**  $\kappa_s$  are sections of  $\omega^k$  on  $Z_1(Np)$  which extend to  $W_\infty[r]$  for some  $r > 1$  and satisfy

$$\langle d \rangle F = \tau^i(d)F.$$

## Frobenius

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 16

## Weight-Characters

$X_1(Np) = W_0(N) \cup W_\infty(N)$ .  $W_\infty \cap W_0 = \bigcup_s A_s$ . Suppose  $T_s : A_s \cong A(p^{-w_s}, 1)$  such that  $|T_s(x)| \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow Z_1(Np)$ . Let  $W_\infty[r] =: W_\infty(N)[r]$  be the set of  $x \in W_\infty$ ,  $x \in Z_1(Np)$  or  $x \in A_s$  for some  $s$  and  $v(T_s(x)) \leq rw_s$ .

If  $d \in \mathbf{Z}_p^*$  we have an operator  $\langle d \rangle$  in  $E_1(Np)/X_1(Np)$  and hence on  $\omega_{Np}$  and  $\omega$ .

If  $k$  is an integer, and  $s = (k, i)$  an overconvergent form  $F$  of **weight-character**  $\kappa_s$  are sections of  $\omega^k$  on  $Z_1(Np)$  which extend to  $W_\infty[r]$  for some  $r > 1$  and satisfy

$$\langle d \rangle F = \tau^i(d)F.$$

In particular,  $E_{\kappa_s}$  has weight-character  $\kappa_s$ .

## Frobenius

Suppose  $N > 4$  and  $n \geq 1$  are integers such that  $(N, p) = 1$ . Let  $A = E^{p-1}$ .

Let  $E_1(N)(v)$  denote the pullback of  $E_1(Np)$  to  $X_1(N)(v)$ . Then, for  $v < 1/(p+1)$ . If  $E$  is an elliptic curve with a canonical subgroup, denote this subgroup  $K(E)$ .

**Theorem.** *There is a commutative diagram of rigid morphisms;*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1(N)(v) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & E_1(N)(pv) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_1(N)(v) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X_1(N)(pv) \end{array}$$

$$\phi(E, \iota_N, \alpha) = (\beta_E(E), \beta_E \circ \iota_N, \alpha')$$

where  $\beta_E: E \rightarrow \beta_E(E) =: E/K(E)$  and  $\alpha'(\zeta) = \beta_E(a)$  where  $pa = \alpha(\zeta)$  and  $\alpha'(\mu_p) \subset K(\beta_E(E))$ .

Call the above diagram  $\Phi/\phi$ , a morphism from

$$E_1(Nn)(v)/X_1(N)(v) \text{ to } E_1(N)(pv)/X_1(N)(pv).$$

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be the family of kernels of reduction and if  $r \in p^{\mathbf{Q}} < 1$ ,  $U[r]$  the subfamily of affinoid disks of radius  $r$ . If  $s < p/(p+1)$ , Frank has shown that there exists an  $r < 1$  such that

$$F_s = (E_1[N][p] \cap U[r])_{X_1(N)(s)}$$

is the family  $K_s$  of canonical subgroups over  $X_1(N)(s)$ .

**Lemma.**  $F_s$  is finite over  $X_1(N)(s)$ .

*Proof.* Frank showed that  $K(E)$  equals the zero locus of  $z^p - t_{can}(E)z$ . Using Weiersträss preparartion (Theorem 5.2..2/1) one sees that  $t_{can}$  is a locally analytic function on  $X_1(N)(s)$ .

Now use Stein factorization (Theorem 9.6.2/5 of [BGR]).

From this we get a morphism

$$\Gamma/\gamma: E_1(N)(v)/X_1(N)(v) \rightarrow E_0(N)(pv)/X_0(N)(pv).$$

We have a section of order  $p$ ,  $\sigma: X_1 \rightarrow E_1$ . Define  $\tau: X_1(pv) \rightarrow E_1(pv)$  by

$$\tau(X_1(pv)) = \Gamma(p^{-1}\sigma(X_1) \cap \Gamma^{-1}(K_0(pv)))$$

[BGR] Bosh, S., U. Guntzer and R. Remmert, *Non-Archimedean Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, (1984).

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 17

## Frobenius

If  $n \geq 0$  and  $v < p/p^n(p+1)$  and  $E$  corresponds to a point in  $X_1(N)(v)$ , there exists a unique cyclic subgroup of  $E$ ,  $K_n(E)$ , of order  $p^{n+1}$  such that

$$K_0(E) = K(E), {}_pK_n(E) = K_{n-1}(E) \text{ and } K_n(E)/K(E) = K_{n-1}(E/K(E)).$$

**Theorem.** Suppose  $N > 4$  and  $v < 1/(p+1)$ . There is a commutative diagram of rigid morphisms;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1(N)(v) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & E_1(N)(pv) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_1(N)(v) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X_1(N)(pv) \end{array}$$

$$\phi(E, \iota_N, \alpha) = (\beta_E(E), \beta_E \circ \iota_N, \alpha')$$

where  $\beta_E: E \rightarrow E/K(E) =: E$  and  $\alpha'(\zeta) = \beta_E(a)$  where  $a \in K_1(E)$  and  $pa = \alpha(\zeta)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be the family of kernels of reduction and if  $r \in p^{\mathbf{Q}} < 1$ ,  $U[r]$  the subfamily of affinoid disks of radius  $r$ . If  $s < p/(p+1)$ , there exists an  $r < 1$  such that

$$K_s = (E_1[N][p] \cap U[r])_{X_1(N)(s)}$$

is the family of canonical subgroups over  $X_1(N)(s)$ .

Is  $K_s$  finite over  $X_1(N)(s)$ ?

*Proof.* Frank showed that, after choosing a good parameter,  $z$ , on  $E$ ,  $K(E)$  equals the zero locus of  $z^p - t_{can}(E)z$ . For  $x$  a supersingular point,  $T_s$  our parameter on  $A_x$  and  $r \in \mathbf{Q}$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ , let  $C_x(N)(r)$  be the circle in  $A_x$  of points  $y$  such that  $v(T_x(y)) = rw_x$ . Using

**Weierstrass Preparation** ([BGR] Theorem 5.2.2/1). *Suppose*

$F(X, Y) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(X) Y^n \in K\langle X, Y \rangle$ ,  $a_d(X)$  is a unit and  $|a_d| \geq |a_n|$  for all  $n$  with strict inequality for  $n > d$ . Then there exists a unique monic polynomial of degree  $d$ ,  $P(X, Y)$ , in  $R\langle X \rangle[Y]$  and  $U(X, Y) \in K\langle X, Y \rangle^*$  such that  $F(X, Y) = P(X, Y)U(X, Y)$ .

one sees that  $t_{can}$  is analytic on every residue disk in  $X_1(N)(0)$  or  $C_x(N)(r)$  if  $0 < r < p/(p+1)$ .

**Theorem** (Proposition 6.3.2/1 of [BGR]). *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of reduced affinoids and  $\tilde{f}$  is finite, then  $f$  is finite.*

We get a (homo)morphism

$$\Gamma/\gamma: E_1(N)(v)/X_1(N)(v) \rightarrow E(N, p)(v)/X(N, p)(v).$$

Pick a  $p$ -th root of unity. Then we have a section of order  $p$ ,  $\sigma: X_1 \rightarrow E_1$ . Define  $\tau: X_1(pv) \rightarrow E_1(pv)$  by

$$\tau(X_1(pv)) = \Gamma(p^{-1}\sigma(X_1) \cap \Gamma^{-1}(K_0(pv))).$$

[BGR] Bosh, S., U. Guntzer and R. Remmert, *Non-Archimedean Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, (1984).

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 18

## Review and improvements

Let  $X(n, p)$  be the modular curve whose points correspond to triples  $(E, \iota, C)$  where  $\iota: \mu_N \rightarrow E$  is an embedding and  $C$  is a subgroup of order  $p$ . Then  $X(N, p) = W_0(N) \cup W_\infty(N)$ .  $W_\infty \cap W_0 = \bigcup_s A_s$ . Suppose  $T_s: A_s \cong A(p^{-w_s}, 1)$  such that  $|T_s(x)| \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow Z(N, p) =: Z(n, p)(0) =: W_\infty(N) - W_0(N)$ . For  $1 > v > 0$  let  $Z(N, p)(v)$  be the set of  $x \in W_\infty$ ,  $x \in Z(N, p)$  or  $x \in A_s$  for some  $s$  and  $v(T_s(x)) \leq rw_s$ . We can also well define  $Z_1(N)(v)$  for  $0 \leq v < 1$ ,

If  $n \geq 0$  and  $v < p/p^n(p+1)$  and  $E$  corresponds to a point in  $X(N, p)(v)$ , there exists a unique cyclic subgroup of  $E$ ,  $K_n(E)$ , of order  $p^{n+1}$  such that  $K_0(E) = K(E)$ ,  $pK_n(E) = K_{n-1}(E)$  and  $K_n(E)/K(E) = K_{n-1}(E/K(E))$ .

## Moduli problems

See Katz–Mazur

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the category of elliptic curves over rigid spaces. A moduli problem  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  is a functor from  $\mathcal{E}$  to sets.  $\mathcal{P}$  is said to be representible if there is an object  $E(\mathcal{P})/M(\mathcal{P})$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  such that for every  $E/S \in \mathcal{E}$

$$\mathcal{P}(E/S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(E/S, E(\mathcal{P})/M(\mathcal{P})).$$

If  $N > 4$  and  $(N, p) = 1$ , the moduli problem  $E/S$  goes to pairs  $(\iota, C)$  where  $\iota: S \times \mu_N \rightarrow E/S$  is an embedding  $C$  is a subgroup of  $E/S$  flat over  $S$  of rank  $p$  is representible by a pair  $E(N, p)/X(N, p)$ .



## Frobenius

**Theorem.** Suppose  $N > 4$  and  $v < 1/(p+1)$ . There is a commutative diagram of rigid morphisms;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E(N, p)(v) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & E(N, p)(pv) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X(N, p)(v) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X(N, p)(pv) \end{array}$$

$$\phi(E, \iota, C) = (E/C, \beta_E \circ \iota, C')$$

where  $\beta_E: E \rightarrow E/K(E)$  and  $C' = K(E/C)$  (which exists).

**Lemma.** If  $v < p/(p+1)$  there exists a unique section of  $X(N, p) \rightarrow X_1(N)$ . Moreover,  $s(X_1(N))(v) = X(N, p)(v)$ .

*Proof.*

Let  $V$  be the family of subgroups of order  $p$  of  $E(N, p)/X(N, p)$ .

Let  $U$  be the family of kernels of reduction in  $E_1(N)$  and if  $r \in p^{\mathbf{Q}} < 1$ ,  $U[r]$  the subfamily of affinoid disks of radius  $r$ . If  $v < p/(p+1)$ , there exists an  $r < r' < 1$  such that

$$K_v = (E_1[N][p] \cap U[t])_{X_1(N)(v)}$$

with  $t = r$  or  $r'$  is the family of canonical subgroups over  $X_1(N)(v)$ . In particular  $(K_v)_{\infty} = \mu_p$ .

**Proposition.**  $s^*V = K_v$ .

*Proof.* Claim:  $K_v|_{Z_1(0)}/Z_1(0)$  is finite.

Let  $\pi_2: X(N, p) \rightarrow X_1(N)$  be  $(E, \iota, C) \mapsto (E/C, \iota \bmod C)$ . Now we can define  $\phi$  as  $s \circ \pi_2 \circ s$ .

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 19

## Notation

In  $X(n, p)$  for  $0 \leq v < 1$ , we have subspaces  $Z(N, p)(v)$  defined as follows:  $Z(N, p) =: Z(n, p)(0) =: W_\infty(N) - W_0(N)$ . Suppose  $T_s : A_s \cong A(p^{-w_s}, 1)$  such that  $|T_s(x)| \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow$ . Then if  $1 > v > 0$ ,  $Z(N, p)(v)$  be the set of  $x \in W_\infty$ ,  $x \in Z(N, p)$  or  $x \in A_s$  for some  $s$  and  $v(T_s(x)) \leq rw_s$ . We can also well define  $Z_1(N)(v)$  for  $0 \leq v < 1$ ,

## Frobenius

**Theorem.** Suppose  $N > 4$  and  $v < 1/(p+1)$ . There is a commutative diagram of rigid morphisms;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E(N, p)(v) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & E(N, p)(pv) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X(N, p)(v) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X(N, p)(pv) \\ \phi(E, \iota, C) = (E/C, \beta_E \circ \iota, C') \end{array}$$

where  $\beta_E: E \rightarrow E/K(E)$  and  $C' = K(E/C)$  (which exists).

*Proof.*

**Proposition.** There exists a section  $t$  of  $X(N, p) \rightarrow X_1(N)$  over  $Z_1(N)(v)$  if  $v < p/(p+1)$ . Moreover, in this case,  $t(Z_1(N)(v)) = Z(N, p)(v)$ .

We will use

**Lemma.** If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of reduced curves over  $K$  and  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset Y$  are affinoid subdomains such that  $f(U) \subseteq V$  and  $\bar{f}: \bar{U} \rightarrow \bar{V}$  is an isomorphism. Then there exists a strict neighborhood  $Z$  of  $V$  in  $Y$  and a section  $Z \rightarrow X$  of  $F$ .

and

**Lemma.** *If  $f: A(p^{-1}, 1) \rightarrow B(0, 1)$  is a finite morphism of degree  $p + 1$  and  $\deg_{A[r]} f = 1$  for  $r$  near 1, then there exist a section of  $f$  on  $A(p^{-\frac{p}{p+1}}, 1)$*

*Proof of proposition*

Our  $\phi$  will be  $t \circ \pi_2$  where All we have to show is that  $t(A, \alpha) = (A, \alpha, K(A))$

Let  $V$  be the family of subgroups of order  $p$  of  $E(N, p)/X(N, p)$ .

Let  $U$  be the family of kernels of reduction in  $E_1(N)$  and if  $r \in p^{\mathbf{Q}} < 1$ ,  $U[r]$  the subfamily of affinoid disks of radius  $r$ . If  $v < p/(p + 1)$ , there exists  $r < r' < 1$  such that

$$K_v = (E_1[N][p] \cap U[t])_{X_1(N)(v)}$$

with  $t = r$  or  $r'$  is the family of canonical subgroups over  $X_1(N)(v)$ . In particular  $(K_v)_\infty = \mu_p$ .

**Proposition.**  $s^*V = K_v$ .

*Proof.* Claim:  $K_v|_{Z_1(0)}/Z_1(0)$  is finite.

## A (little) higher level

Let  $X_1(Np)(v)$  be the inverse image of  $X(N, p)(v)$  under the forgetful map  $f$ .

**Theorem.** *Suppose  $N > 4$  and  $v < 1/(p + 1)$ . There is a commutative diagram of rigid morphisms;*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1(Np)(v) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & E_1(Np)(pv) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_1(Np)(v) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X_1(Np)(pv) \end{array}$$

$$\phi(E, \iota, \alpha) = (\beta_E(E), \beta_E \circ \iota, \alpha')$$

where  $\beta_E: E \rightarrow E/K(E) =: E/K(E)$  and  $\alpha'(\zeta) = \beta_E(a)$  where  $a \in K_1(E)$  and  $pa = \alpha(\zeta)$ .

*Proof.* We have, 
$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1(Np)(v) & \xrightarrow{\Phi \circ F} & E(N, p)(pv) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_1(Np)(v) & \xrightarrow{\phi \circ f} & X(N, p)(pv) \end{array}$$
 so all we need is a rigid map  $\beta: X_1(Np)(pv) \rightarrow K_{pv}$  compatible with the other maps, of order  $p$ .

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 20

## Frobenius “finished”

Last time we proved,

**Proposition.** *There exists a unique section  $t$  of  $X(N, p) \rightarrow X_1(N)$  over  $Z_1(N)(v)$  if  $v < p/(p+1)$ . Moreover, in this case,  $t(Z_1(N)(v)) = Z(N, p)(v)$ .*

Also,

**Lemma.** *If  $\pi_2: X(N, p) \rightarrow X_1(N)$  is the map  $(E, \iota, C) \rightarrow (E/C, \iota \bmod C)$  then  $\pi_2(Z(N, p)(v)) = Z_1(N)(pv)$ .*

*Proof.*

Our  $\phi$  will be  $t \circ \pi_2$ . All we have to show is that  $t(A, \alpha) = (A, \alpha, K(A))$ .

Let  $V$  be the family of subgroups of order  $p$  of  $E(N, p)/X(N, p)$  and  $U$  the family of kernels of reduction in  $E_1(N)$  and if  $r \in p^{\mathbf{Q}} < 1$ ,  $U[r]$  the subfamily of affinoid disks of radius  $r$ . If  $v < p/(p+1)$ , there exists  $r < r' < 1$  such that

$$K_v = (E_1[N][p] \cap U[t])_{X_1(N)(v)}$$

with  $t = r$  or  $r'$  is the family of canonical subgroups over  $X_1(N)(v)$ . In particular  $(K_v)_\infty = \mu_p$ .

**Proposition.**  $t^*V = K_v$ .

*Proof.* Claim:  $K_v|_{Z_1(N)}/Z_1(N)$  is finite. Fix a residue class  $U$ . Using what Frank showed  $K_U$  equals the zero locus of  $z^p - t_{can}z$  for a some good family of parameters  $z$  at the origin on  $E_1(N)_U$  and some invertible function  $t_{can}$  on  $U$ .

I am leaving the details of  $\Phi/\phi$  on  $E_1(Np)/X_1(Np)$  as an exercise. One can also deal with  $N \leq 4$ .

### The $U$ operator

For  $v \geq 0$ , let  $M_k(N, v) = \omega^k(X_1(N)[v])$ . Now,  $M_k(N, v)$  has a natural structure as a Banach space over  $K$  and when  $0 \leq v < \frac{p}{p+1}$  there is an operator on this space,  $U_{(k)}$ . Let  $F \in M_k(N, v)$ ,  $v < \frac{p}{p+1}$ . Suppose  $x \in X_1(N)[v]$  corresponds to  $(E, \iota_n, \alpha)$ . Then, pointwise,

$$U_{(k)}(F)(x) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\phi(y)=x} \check{\beta}_y^* F(y).$$

$$\sum a_n q^n \rightarrow \frac{1}{p} \sum a_{np} q^n.$$

Why is this analytic?

First,  $U_{(0)} = \frac{1}{p} \text{Tr } \phi$ . Now recall, we have a weight one Eisenstein series  $E$  on  $X_1(p)$  which we can consider as an element of  $M_1(N, v)$ . Considered as a form  $\nu_E$  on  $E_1(N, p)(v)$ , on  $(\mathbf{G}_m/q^{\mathbf{Z}}, \iota_{Np})$  it is

$$E(q) \frac{dT}{T}.$$

Now  $\Phi^* \nu_E$  has  $q$ -expansion  $pE(q^p) \frac{dT}{T}$ . Let  $E^\phi$  be the section of  $M_1(N, v)$ ,  $v < 1/(p+1)$ , with  $q$ -expansion  $E(q^p)$ . For  $v$  close enough to 1,  $1/E^\phi \in M_{-1}(N, v)$ . Then,

$$U_{(k)} F = E^k U_0(F/(E^\phi)^k).$$

$U_{(k)}$  is compact.

*Proof.*

$N \leq 4$

Suppose  $A, B \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $A, B > 4$ ,  $(AB, p) = 1$  and  $(A, B) = N$ , we define  $M_{N,k}(v)$  with the intersection of the images via the forgetful maps of  $M_{A,k}(v)$  and  $M_{B,k}(v)$  in  $M_{LCM(A,B),k}(v)$ . One has to show that these are all canonically isomorphic.

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 21

## “Continuity” explained

Suppose  $v < p/(p+1)$ . First  $W = t^*V$  is finite over  $X_1(N)(v)$  as is each connected component. Finally, if  $r < r' < 1$  are such that

$$K_v = (E_1[N][p] \cap U[t])_{X_1(N)(v)}$$

with  $t = r$  or  $r'$ ,  $U[r]$  and  $E_1(N) - U[r']$  are disconnected and

$$W = (U[r] \cap W) \cup ((E_1(N) - U[r']) \cap W).$$

## The $U$ operator

For  $v \geq 0$ , let  $Z_1(Np)(v) = \pi^{-1}(Z(N, p)(v))$  and let  $M_k(N, v) = \omega^k(Z_1(Np))$ . Now,  $M_k(N, v)$  has a natural structure as a Banach space over  $K$  and when  $0 \leq v < \frac{p}{p+1}$  there is an operator on this space,  $U_{(k)}$ . Let  $F \in M_k(N, v)$ ,  $v < \frac{p}{p+1}$ . Suppose  $x \in Z_1(Np)(v)$  corresponds to  $(E, \iota, \alpha)$ . Then, pointwise,

$$U_{(k)}(F)(x) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\phi(y)=x} \check{\beta}_y^* F(y),$$

where  $\beta_y: E_y \rightarrow E_y/K(E_y) = E$ . Also, if  $E = \mathbf{G}_m/q^{\mathbf{Z}}$  and  $\alpha$  is the natural embeddings and  $F(x) = (\sum a_n(\iota)q^n)(\frac{dT}{T})^k$  then

$$U_{(k)}(F)(x) = (\sum a_{np}(\iota^{p^{-1}})q^n)(\frac{dT}{T})^k.$$

*Why is this analytic?*

First,  $M_0((N, v)) = A(Z_1(Np)(v))$  and  $U_{(0)}$  is

$$\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{Tr}_{\phi|_{Z_1(Np)(v)}^{Z_1(Np)(\frac{v}{p})}} \circ \operatorname{Res}_{Z_1(Np)(\frac{v}{p})}^{Z_1(Np)(v)}.$$

Now recall, we have a weight one Eisenstein series  $E$  on  $X_1(p)$ ,

$$E(q) = 1 + \frac{2}{L_p(0, 1)} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ (d, p)=1}} \tau^{-1}(d) \right) q^n,$$

which we can consider as an element  $\nu_E$  of  $M_1(N, v)$ . Now  $\Phi^* \nu_E$  has  $q$ -expansion  $pE(q^p)^{\frac{dT}{T}}$ . Let  $E^\phi$  be the section of  $M_1(N, v)$ ,  $v < 1/(p+1)$ , with  $q$ -expansion  $E(q^p)$ . For  $v$  close enough to 0, we showed  $1/E^\phi \in M_{-1}(N, v)$  (in fact,  $v < 1/(p+1)$  is enough). Then,

$$U_{(k)} F = E^k U_0(F/(E^\phi)^k).$$

$U_{(k)}$  **is compact.**

*Proof.*

w  $M_k(N, v)$  is pretty big and one can show  $\det(1 - TU_{(k)})$  has infinitely many zeroes. However,

**Theorem.** *If  $F \in M_k(N, v)$  is an eigenvector of  $U_{(k)}$  with eigenvalue  $\alpha$  and  $v(\alpha) < k - 1$  then  $F$  is classical.*

(The proof is now on the web.)

$N \leq 4$

Suppose  $A, B \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $A, B > 4$ ,  $(AB, p) = 1$  and  $(A, B) = N$ , we define  $M_k(N, v)$  to be the intersection of the images of  $M_{A,k}(v)$  and  $M_{B,k}(v)$  in  $M_{AB,k}(v)$ . One has to show that these are all canonically isomorphic.



# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 22

## “Another” definition of $U$

First,  $M_0((N, v)) = A(Z_1(Np)(v))$  and  $U_{(0)}$  is

$$\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{Tr} \phi|_{Z_1(Np)(v)}^{\frac{Z_1(Np)(\frac{v}{p})}{Z_1(Np)(v)}} \circ \operatorname{Res}_{Z_1(Np)(\frac{v}{p})}^{Z_1(Np)(v)}.$$

Recall, we have a weight one Eisenstein series  $E$  on  $X_1(p)$ ,

$$E(q) = 1 + \frac{2}{L_p(0, \mathbf{1})} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ (d, p)=1}} \tau^{-1}(d) \right) q^n,$$

which we can consider as an element  $\nu_E$  of  $M_1(N, v)$ . Now  $\Phi^* \nu_E$  has  $q$ -expansion  $pE(q^p) \frac{dT}{T}$ . Let  $E^\phi$  be the section of  $M_1(N, v)$ ,  $v < 1/(p+1)$ , with  $q$ -expansion  $E(q^p)$ . For  $v$  close enough to 0, we showed  $1/E^\phi \in M_{-1}(N, v)$  (in fact,  $v < 1/(p+1)$  is enough). Then, define

$$U_{(k)} F = E^k U_0(F/(E^\phi)^k).$$

$U_{(k)}$  is compact.

*Proof.*

Now  $M_k(N, v)$  is pretty big and one can show  $\det(1 - TU_{(k)})$  has infinitely many zeroes. However,

**Theorem.** *If  $F \in M_k(N, v)$  is an eigenvector of  $U_{(k)}$  with eigenvalue  $\alpha$  and  $v(\alpha) < k - 1$  then  $F$  is classical.*

(The proof is “Classical and Overconvergent Forms” which is now on the web.)

### The $U$ operator in families

We defined  $U_{(k)}(F) = E^k U_0(F/(E^\phi)^k)$ . Let  $\mathcal{E} = E/E^\phi$ . This is a function close to 1 on  $Z_1(N)(v)$  for  $v$  small. In fact, for  $v < 1/(p+1)$ ,

$$|\mathcal{E} - 1| \leq p^{(p+1)v-1}.$$

So if  $u_k$  is the operator on  $M_{(0)}(N, v)$ ,  $G \mapsto U_{(0)}(G \cdot \mathcal{E}^k)$ ,

$$E^{-k} U_{(k)}(F) = u_k(F/E^k),$$

but since  $\mathcal{E}$  is close to 1,  $u_k$  makes sense for any  $k \in \mathbf{C}_p$  which is not too big. Suppose  $|s| < |\pi/p|$  then  $\exists v$  such that

$$|\mathcal{E} - 1| < |\pi/s|$$

on  $Z_1(Np)(v)$  this means

$$\mathcal{E}^s = 1 + (\mathcal{E} - 1) + \cdots + \binom{s}{n} (\mathcal{E} - 1)^n + \cdots$$

converges on  $Z_1(Np)(v)$ . Thus, if  $r \in p^{\mathbf{Q}} < |\pi/p|$  and  $|\mathcal{E} - 1| < |\pi|/r$  on  $Z_1(Np)(v)$ , we get an operator  $\mathcal{U}_{r,v}$  over  $A(B[0, r])$  on  $M(r, v) =: A(B[0, r] \times Z_1(Np)(v))$  which is

$$(U_{(0)} \otimes 1) \circ m_{\mathcal{E}^s}.$$

We know this operator is compact. Thus we get characteristic series  $P_{r,v}(T)$  for every  $(r, v)$ , as above. But they are all “the same.”

**Theorem.** *There is a unique rigid analytic function  $P(s, T) = P_N(s, T)$  on  $\mathcal{B}^* \times \mathbf{C}_p$  defined over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , i.e.  $P(s, T)$  is a power series over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  in  $s$  and  $T$ , which converges for  $|s| < |\pi/p|$ , such that for  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $v \in \mathbf{Q}$  such that  $0 < v < p/(p+1)$ ,*

$$P(k, T) = \det(1 - T U_{(k)} | M_k(v)).$$

$N \leq 4$

Suppose  $A, B \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $A, B > 4$ ,  $(AB, p) = 1$  and  $(A, B) = N$ , we define  $M_k(N, v)$  to be the intersection of the images of  $M_{A,k}(v)$  and  $M_{B,k}(v)$  in  $M_{AB,k}(v)$ . One has to show that these are all canonically isomorphic.

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 23

## Classical forms

Suppose  $F(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n q^n$  is the  $q$ -expansion of a normalized weight  $k$  eigenform on  $X_1(N)$  of character  $\chi$ . Associated to  $F$  there are (at most) two eigenforms (oldforms) on  $X(N, p)$  whose  $U_p$  eigenvalues are the roots of

$$X^2 - a_p X + \chi(p)p^{k-1}$$

## The Spectral Curve

**Theorem.** *There is a unique rigid analytic function  $P(s, T) = P_N(s, T)$  on  $\mathcal{B}^* \times \mathbf{C}_p$  defined over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , i.e.  $P(s, T)$  is a power series over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  in  $s$  and  $T$ , which converges for  $|s| < |\pi/p|$ , such that for  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $v \in \mathbf{Q}$  such that  $0 < v < p/(p+1)$ ,*

$$P(k, T) = \det(1 - TU_{(k)} | M_k(v)).$$

*Proof.* Because “If  $\phi: A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism of Banach algebras then  $\phi^* E =: E \otimes_A B$  is orthonormalizable over  $B$  and

$$P_{\phi^* L}(T) = \phi(P_L(T)).”$$

our  $P_{r,v}(T)$  is “independent of  $r$ .” Now because  $\phi$  is finite, if  $p/(p+1) > v \geq v' \geq v/p$ ,

$$T_{v'}^{v'/p} \circ R_{v'/p}^{v'} = R_{v'}^v \circ T_v^{v/p} \circ R_{v/p}^{v'},$$

where  $T = \text{Tr}$  and  $R = \text{Res}$ . As

$$(T_v^{v/p} \circ R_{v/p}^{v'} \circ m_{\mathcal{E}^s}) \circ R_{v'}^v = T_v^{v/p} \circ R_{v/p}^v \circ m_{\mathcal{E}^s} = U_{r,v},$$

the “independence” of  $v$  follows from: “Suppose  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are orthonormizable Banach modules over  $A$ . Suppose  $u$  is a compact homomorphism from  $E_1$  to  $E_2$  and  $v: E_2 \rightarrow E_1$  is a continuous homomorphism. Then  $P_{u \circ v}(T) = P_{v \circ u}(T)$ .”

Now  $D =: (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*$  acts on  $Z(N, p)(v)$  and

$$M(t, v) = \bigoplus_{\epsilon \in \hat{D}} M(t, v, \epsilon)$$

and

$$P(s, T) = \prod_{\epsilon \in \hat{D}} P_\epsilon(s, T)$$

where

$$P_\epsilon(s, T)|_{B[0, t] \times \mathbf{C}_p} = \det(1 - T\mathcal{U}_{t, v}|M(t, v, \epsilon)).$$

Thus we get an entire function on  $\mathcal{W}^* \times \mathbf{C}_p$ . Its zero locus is the fiber of the **spectral curve** of  $U$  over  $\mathcal{W}^*$ .

## A Formula

**Theorem.** *Suppose  $N \geq 4$ . Then*

$$T \frac{d}{dT} P_N(T) / P_N(T) = \sum_{m \geq 1} A_m T^m$$

where  $A_m$  is the element of  $\mathbf{Z}_p[[\mathbf{Z}_p]] \subset A(\mathcal{W}^*)$ , expressed by the finite sum,

$$A_m = \sum_{\gamma \in W_{p, m}} \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}_\gamma} h(\mathcal{O}) B_N(\mathcal{O}, \gamma) \cdot \frac{[\gamma]}{\gamma^2 - p^m}$$

where  $B_N(\mathcal{O}, \gamma)$  is the number of elements of  $\mathcal{O}/N\mathcal{O}$  of order  $N$  fixed under multiplication by  $\overline{\gamma}$ .

For an order  $\mathcal{O}$  in a number field, let  $h(\mathcal{O})$  denote the class number of  $\mathcal{O}$ . If  $\gamma$  is an algebraic integer, let  $\mathcal{O}_\gamma$  be the set of orders in  $\mathbf{Q}(\gamma)$  containing  $\gamma$ . Finally, for  $m$  an integer, let  $W_{p, m}$  denote the finite set of  $\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}_p$  such that  $\mathbf{Q}(\gamma)$  is an imaginary quadratic field,  $\gamma$  is an algebraic integer,  $\text{Norm}_{\mathbf{Q}(\gamma)}(\gamma) = p^m$  and  $v(\gamma) = 0$ .

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 24

## “Review”

As always  $(N, p) = 1$ .  $\mathcal{W}(N)$  is the rigid analytic space whose  $\mathbf{C}_p$  points are continuous characters from  $(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^* \times \mathbf{Z}_p^*$  into  $\mathbf{C}_p^*$ .  $\mathcal{W}^*(N)$  is the open subspace of characters of the form  $\chi \cdot \langle \langle \rangle \rangle^s$  where  $\chi$  is a character on  $(\mathbf{Z}/Np\mathbf{Z})^*$  and  $|s| < |\pi/p|$ . We call the corresponding spaces of character on  $1 + p\mathbf{Z}_p$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}^*$ . If  $D(M) = \text{Hom}((\mathbf{Z}/M\mathbf{Z})^*, \mathbf{C}_p^*)$ ,

$$\mathcal{W}(N) = D(N) \times \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \mathcal{W}^*(N) = D(N) \times \mathcal{B}^*.$$

Also  $\mathcal{B} \cong B(1, 1)$  and  $\mathcal{B}^* \cong B(0, p^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}})$ . Now  $(\mathbf{Z}/Np\mathbf{Z})^*$  acts on  $Z_1(Np)(v)$  (by “diamond operators”). For each  $v > 0$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $\chi \in D(Np)$  let  $M(v, \chi)$  and  $M(v, t, \chi)$  be the spaces of rigid analytic functions on  $Z_1(Np)(v)$  and  $B(0, t) \times Z_1(Np)(v)$  with character  $\chi$ . These spaces are affinoids if  $v \in \mathbf{Q}$  and  $t \in p^{\mathbf{Q}}$ . We have a compact  $U$ -operator on all these spaces  $(U_{(0)} \otimes 1) \circ m_{\mathcal{E}^t}$  if  $v$  is sufficiently small ( $< p/(p+1)$ ) and  $t < p^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}$ .

**Theorem.** *There are unique rigid analytic functions  $P_\chi(s, T)$  on  $\mathcal{B}^* \times \mathbf{C}_p$  defined over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , such that for  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $v \in \mathbf{Q}$  such that  $0 < v < p/(p+1)$ ,*

$$P_\chi(k, T) = \det(1 - TU_{(k)} | M_k(v, \chi)).$$

Let  $Q$  be the rigid function on  $\mathcal{W}^*(N) \times \mathbf{C}_p$  defined by  $Q(\chi, s, z) = P_\chi(s, z)$ , for  $\chi \in D(Np)$ ,  $s \in \mathcal{B}^*$  and  $z \in \mathbf{C}_p$ .

**Theorem.**  *$Q$  extends analytically to a function on  $\mathcal{W}(N) \times \mathbf{C}_p$ .*

See “On the coefficients of the characteristic series of the  $U$ -operator,” which is now on the course webpage.

The key object(s) to consider is the  $q$ -expansion  $\mathbf{E}(q)$  which at  $\kappa \in \mathcal{B}$  is

$$E_\kappa(q) = 1 + \frac{2}{\zeta^*(\kappa)} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_\kappa^*(n) q^n.$$

**Proposition.** *There is an analytic function  $\mathbf{E}_p$  on a “strict” neighborhood of  $Z_{\mathcal{B}} =: \mathcal{B} \times Z_1(p)$  in  $\mathcal{B} \times X_1(p)$  with  $q$ -expansion at  $\kappa$   $E_\kappa(q)/E_\kappa(q^p)$  bounded by 1 on  $Z_{\mathcal{B}}$ .*

We may now use the operator  $U =: (U_{(0)} \otimes 1) \circ m_{\mathbf{E}_p}$  on  $M^\dagger(N)$ , the space of  $q$ -expansions  $F(q)$  with coefficients in  $A(\mathcal{B})$  such that  $F(q)/\mathbf{E}(q)$  is the  $q$ -expansion of an analytic function which converges on a “strict” neighborhood of  $Z_{\mathcal{B}} =: \mathcal{B} \times Z_1(pN)$  in  $\mathcal{B} \times X_1(pN)$ .

We also get to define: A series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n q^n$ ,  $a_n \in K$  is “the  $q$ -expansion of an OC form of **type**  $\alpha = \chi \cdot \kappa$ ” if  $F(q)/E_\kappa(q)$  is the  $q$ -expansion of an OC function on  $Z_1(Np)$  with character  $\chi$ . When  $\kappa(a) = a^k$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $F(q)$  will be the  $q$ -expansion of an OC form of weight  $k$  and character  $\chi \cdot \omega^{-k}$ .

## Hecke Operators

First, if  $l \in (\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^* \times \mathbf{Z}_p^*$ ,  $\kappa \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$(F|\langle l \rangle^*(q))|_\kappa = \kappa(\langle \langle l \rangle \rangle) E_\kappa(q) \left( \frac{F|_\kappa}{E_\kappa} | \langle l \rangle \right)(q).$$

When  $\kappa(a) = a^j$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,

$$(F|\langle l \rangle^*)_k = l^k F|_k \langle l \rangle.$$

For prime  $\ell$ , let  $\psi_\ell$  be the operator on  $A(\mathcal{B})[[q]]$

$$\psi_\ell \left( \sum_n a_n q^n \right) = \sum_n a_{n\ell} q^n.$$

**Proposition.** *For each prime number  $l$  there is a unique continuous operator  $T(\ell)$  on  $M^\dagger(N)$  such that, for  $F \in M^\dagger(N)$ , when  $\ell = p$ ,*

$$(F|T(p))|_\kappa = E_\kappa \cdot U \left( \frac{F|_\kappa}{E_\kappa} \right), \quad \text{when } l|N \quad F|T(\ell)(q) = \psi_\ell(F(q))$$

and when  $l \nmid Np$

$$(F|T(l))(q) = \psi_\ell(F(q)) + \ell^{-1} (F|\langle \ell \rangle^*)(q^\ell).$$

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 25

## Hecke operators

We now have the operator  $\mathbf{T}(p)$  on  $M^\dagger(N)$  which is

$$F(q) \mapsto \mathbf{E}(q)(U_0 \otimes 1) \left( \frac{F(q)}{\mathbf{E}(q^p)} \right).$$

If  $\kappa$  is arithmetic,  $\kappa = \psi \cdot \langle \rangle^k$  where  $\psi$  is a character on  $1 + p\mathbf{Z}_p$  of finite order and  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $F_\kappa(q)$  is the  $q$ -expansion of weight  $k$  modular form  $G$ , of tame level  $N$ , and

$$(F(q)|\mathbf{T}(p))_\kappa = (G|T(p))(q).$$

If  $\ell \in (\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^* \times \mathbf{Z}_p^*$ ,  $\kappa \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$(F|\langle l \rangle^*(q))|_\kappa = \kappa(\ell) E_\kappa(q) \left( \frac{F_\kappa}{E_\kappa} | \langle \ell \rangle \right)(q).$$

When  $\kappa$  is arithmetic, as above,

$$(F(q)|\langle \ell \rangle^*)_\kappa = \ell^k F|_\kappa \langle \ell \rangle(q).$$

For prime  $\ell$ , let  $\psi_\ell$  be the operator on  $A(\mathcal{B})[[q]]$

$$\psi_\ell \left( \sum_n a_n q^n \right) = \sum_n a_{n\ell} q^n.$$

**Proposition.** *For each prime number  $\ell$  there is a unique continuous operator  $\mathbf{T}(\ell)$  on  $M^\dagger(N)$  such that, for  $F \in M^\dagger(N)$ , when  $\ell|Np$*

$$F|T(\ell)(q) = \psi_\ell(F(q))$$

*and when  $\ell \nmid Np$*

$$(F|T(\ell))(q) = \psi_\ell(F(q)) + \ell^{-1} (F|\langle \ell \rangle^*)(q^\ell).$$

Suppose  $\ell \neq p$ . For any prime  $\ell$  we have a function  $\mathbf{E}_\ell$  on a strict neighborhood of  $\mathcal{W} \times Z(\ell)$  with  $q$ -expansion  $\mathbf{E}(q)/\mathbf{E}(q^\ell)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M = Np$ . We first look at  $X(M; \ell)$  the modular curve which classifies triples  $(E, \alpha_M, C)$  where  $|C| = \ell$  and  $\text{Image}(\alpha_M) \cap C = 0$  and define  $Z(M; \ell)(v)$ . We have

$$g_1, g_2: Z(M; \ell)(v) \rightarrow Z_1(M)(v)$$

and

$$\ell^{-1} \text{Tr}_{g_1} \circ g_2^*$$

on  $A^\dagger(M)$  which on  $q$ -expansions if  $\ell|N$  is  $\psi_\ell$ . Now

$$\psi_\ell(F(q)) = \mathbf{E}(q) \psi_\ell \left( \frac{F(q)}{\mathbf{E}(q)} \cdot \mathbf{E}_\ell(q) \right).$$

We define  $\mathbf{T}(n)$ , for positive integers  $n$  by:

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\mathbf{T}(n)}{n^t} = \prod_{l|Np} (1 - \mathbf{T}(\ell)\ell^{-t})^{-1} \prod_{(\ell, Np)=1} (1 - \mathbf{T}(\ell)\ell^{-t} + \langle \ell \rangle^* \ell^{-1-2t})^{-1},$$

where the products are over primes  $\ell$ .

Let  $\mathbf{T}$  be the ring generated by the operators  $\mathbf{T}(\ell)$  and  $\langle d \rangle^*$ ,  $(d, Np) = 1$ .

We will use  $Q$ ,  $\mathbf{T}$  and Riesz theory to build the eigencurve.



# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 26

## Building the Eigencurve

We now have a space  $M^\dagger(N)$  of “families of  $q$ -expansions of overconvergent forms of tame level  $N$ .” It is a module over  $A(\mathcal{B})$  and we have an  $A(\mathcal{B})$  algebra  $\mathbf{T} =: \mathbf{T}(N)$  generated by operators  $\langle d \rangle^*$ ,  $d \in (\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^* \times \mathbf{Z}_p^*$ , and  $\mathbf{T}(n)$ ,  $n_{>0} \in \mathbf{Z}$ . If  $X$  is any affinoid in  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $M_X =: M^\dagger(N) \hat{\otimes} A(X)$  is the direct limit of Banach submodules  $N_n$  on which  $\mathbf{T}(p)$  acts compactly. In fact, for each character  $\chi \in D(Np) = ((\mathbf{Z}/Np\mathbf{Z})^*)^\wedge$  there is an power series  $P_\chi(s, T) \in A(\mathcal{B})[[T]]$  whose restriction to  $X$  is  $\det(1 - \mathbf{T}(p)|N_n(\chi))$ .

Let

$$S_\chi = \{(b, z) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathbf{C}_p : P_\chi(s, z) = 0\}.$$

Fix  $\chi$  and let  $S = S_\chi$  and  $P = P_\chi$ .

**Lemma.** *Suppose  $X \subset \mathcal{B}$  and  $Y \subset S_X$  are affinoid subdomains,  $Y$  and  $S - Y$  are disconnected and  $Y$  is finite over  $X$ . Then there exists  $R(T) \in A(X)[T]$  and  $Q(T) \in A(X)[[T]]$  such that  $R$  is monic  $Q$  is entire,  $R(0)$  is a unit,  $Q(0) = 1$ ,  $(R^*(T), Q(T)) = 1$ ,*

$$P(T) = R^*(T)Q(T)$$

*and  $Y$  is the zero locus of  $R$ . ( $A(Y) = A(X)[T]/R(T)$ .)*

Riesz theory tells us

$$M_X = N(Y) \oplus F(Y),$$

where  $N(Y)$  is projective of rank  $d_Y =: \deg R$ ,  $R^*(\mathbf{T}(p))$  annihilates  $N(Y)$  and is invertible on  $F(Y)$ . In particular,  $\mathbf{T}$  acts on  $N(Y)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{T}_Y =: \mathbf{T}_Y(N)$  denote the image of  $\mathbf{T}$  in  $\text{End}_{A(X)}(N(Y))$ .

**Proposition.**  $\mathbf{T}_Y$  is finite of degree  $d_Y$  over  $A(X)$ .

*Proof.* Define

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \mathbf{T}_Y \times N(Y) &\rightarrow A(X) \quad \text{by} \\ \langle h, F \rangle &= a_1(F|h). \end{aligned}$$

This pairing is perfect. The key point is that

$$\langle \mathbf{T}(n), F \rangle = a_n(F).$$

Thus we get an affinoid  $E_Y(N)$  finite over  $X$ ,  $\kappa: E_Y(N) \rightarrow X$ .

**Gouvêa-Mazur**

Suppose  $\chi \in D(Np)$ ,  $\rho \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $r_{<1} \in p^{\mathbf{Q}}$  and  $\alpha_{\geq 0} \in Q$ . First

$$Y =: \{(\tau, z) \in S : |\tau(1+p) - \rho(1+p)| \leq r, v(z) = \alpha\}$$

is an affinoid subdomain of  $S$  quasi-finite over  $X = B[\rho, r]$ . In fact, if  $r$  is small enough it is finite and  $Y$  is disconnected from  $S - Y$ .

**Proposition.** Suppose,  $\rho = \psi \cdot \langle \cdot \rangle^k$  where  $\psi$  has finite order,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\alpha < k - 1$ . Then the degree of  $E_Y(N)$  over  $X$  equals the number of classical eigenforms of tame level  $N$  and character  $\chi \cdot \psi \cdot \omega^{-k}$ . Moreover, if  $x \in E_Y(N)(L)$ ,  $\kappa(x) = \psi \cdot \langle \cdot \rangle^j \in X$ ,  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\alpha < j - 1$ .

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{T}(n)(x) q^n$$

is the  $q$ -expansion of a classical eigenform (minus its constant term), of tame level  $N$  of character  $\chi \cdot \psi \cdot \omega^{-j}$ .

**Conjecture.** One can take  $r = p^{-\alpha}$ .

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 27

## Comments on Last Time

First, inside  $M^\dagger(N)$  we have  $C^\dagger(N)$  which are the elements with constant term 0.  $\mathbf{T}$  acts on  $C^\dagger$ .

(Recall, we've fixed  $\chi$ .) Suppose  $X$  is an affinoid in  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $Y$  is a “clopen” affinoid in  $S_X$  finite of degree  $d$  over  $X$ . Then we got a projective module  $N(Y)$  of rank  $d$  in  $M_X$  and we defined  $\mathbf{T}_Y$  to be the image of  $\mathbf{T}$  in  $\text{End}_X(N(Y))$ . We started proving,

**Proposition.**  $\mathbf{T}_Y$  is finite of degree  $d$  over  $A(X)$ .

Let  $N^0(Y) = C_X \cap N(Y)$ . Then  $N^0(Y)$  is projective of rank  $d - \delta$  where  $\delta = 1$  or 0. Also let  $\mathbf{T}_Y^0$  the image of  $\mathbf{T}$  in  $\text{End}_X(N^0(Y))$ .

We proved,  $\mathbf{T}_Y^0$  is finite of degree  $d - \delta$  over  $A(X)$ .

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_Y \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_Y^0 \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } 0 \rightarrow N^0(Y) \rightarrow N(Y) \rightarrow J \rightarrow 0$$

and we have perfect pairing  $(i, j) \mapsto a_0(j|i)$ .

Also the conjecture stated in the last lecture is true by Hida when  $\alpha = 0$ .

## Glueing

For every  $Y \subset S = S_\chi$  such that  $Y$  is finite over  $X \subset \mathcal{B}$  and “clopen” in  $S_X$  we found an affinoid  $E_Y(N)$  which is finite over  $X$  and such that  $A(E_Y(N)) = \mathbf{T}_X(N)$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the collection of these  $Y$ .

**Proposition.**  $S$  is admissibly covered by  $\mathcal{C}$ .

This means if  $A(S) =: A(\mathcal{B})[[T]]^{\text{entire}}/P(T)$  and  $h: A(S) \rightarrow A$  is a continuous homomorphism into an affinoid algebra. There exists a finite collection  $Y_i \in \mathcal{C}$  such that if  $f \in A(S)$  vanishes on all the  $Y_i$ ,  $h(f) = 0$ .

**Proposition.** Suppose  $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ . (i)  $Y_3 =: Y_1 \cap Y_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ . (ii)  $E_{Y_3}(N)$  is naturally a subdomain of  $E_{Y_1}(N)$  and  $E_{Y_2}(N)$ .

*Proof.*

Now we make  $E_\chi$  is  $\coprod_{Y \in \mathcal{C}} E_Y(N)$  with the identifications  $\beta_1(x) = \beta_2(x)$  if  $x \in E_{Y_1 \cap Y_2}(N)$  and

$$\beta_i: E_{Y_1 \cap Y_2}(N) \rightarrow E_{Y_i}(N)$$

is the natural morphism. We can also make  $E_\chi^0 \subset E_\chi$ .

### Properties of the Eigencurve

I. We have a natural surjective morphism  $\kappa: E_\chi \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ .

II. There are analytic functions  $\langle d \rangle^*$ ,  $d \in (\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^* \times \mathbf{Z}_p^*$ , and  $\mathbf{T}(n)$ ,  $n_{>0} \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\mathbf{T}(p)$  is invertible.

III. If  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n q^n$  is the  $q$ -expansion of an overconvergent eigenform on  $X_1(Np^n)$  of weight  $k$  and character  $\chi \cdot \psi \cdot \omega^{-k}$  such that  $a_p \neq 0$  then there exists a point  $x \in E_\chi$  such that

$$a_n = \mathbf{T}(n)(x) \quad \text{for } n > 0.$$

IV. If  $x \in E_\chi$  and  $\kappa(x) = \psi \cdot \langle \rangle^k$ ,

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{T}(n)(x) q^n$$

is the  $q$ -expansion of an OC eigenform (minus its constant term), of tame level  $N$  and character  $\chi \cdot \psi \cdot \omega^{-k}$ .

V. The morphism  $x \rightarrow (\kappa(x), \mathbf{T}(p)(x))$  is a locally finite from  $E_\chi$  onto  $S_\chi$ .

VI. There exists a pseudo-representation  $\rho = (T, D): G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$  such that, if  $(\ell, Np) = 1$ ,

$$T(\text{Frob}_\ell) = \mathbf{T}(\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad D(\text{Frob}_\ell) = \langle \ell \rangle^* / \ell.$$

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 28

## Glueing

For every  $Y \subset S = S_\chi$  such that  $Y$  is finite over  $X \subset \mathcal{B}$  and “clopen” in  $S_X$  we found an affinoid  $E_Y(N)$  which is finite over  $X$  and such that  $A(E_Y(N)) = \mathbf{T}_X(N)$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the collection of these  $Y$ .

**Proposition.**  *$S$  is admissibly covered by  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

This means that the image of every morphism of an affinoid into  $S$  is covered by finitely many elements of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Proposition.** *Suppose  $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ . (i)  $Y_3 =: Y_1 \cap Y_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ . (ii)  $E_{Y_3}(N)$  is naturally a subdomain of  $E_{Y_1}(N)$  and  $E_{Y_2}(N)$ .*

*Proof.*

Now we make  $E_\chi$  is  $\coprod_{Y \in \mathcal{C}} E_Y(N)$  with the identifications  $\beta_1(x) = \beta_2(x)$  if  $x \in E_{Y_1 \cap Y_2}(N)$  and

$$\beta_i: E_{Y_1 \cap Y_2}(N) \rightarrow E_{Y_i}(N)$$

is the natural morphism. We can also make  $E_\chi^0 \subset E_\chi$ .

## Properties of the Eigencurve

I. We have a natural surjective morphism  $\kappa: E_\chi \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ .

II. There are analytic functions  $\langle d \rangle^*$ ,  $d \in (\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^* \times \mathbf{Z}_p^*$ , and  $\mathbf{T}(n)$ ,  $n_{>0} \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\mathbf{T}(p)$  is invertible.

III. If  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n q^n$  is the  $q$ -expansion of an overconvergent eigenform on  $X_1(Np^n)$  of weight  $k$  and character  $\chi \cdot \psi \cdot \omega^{-k}$  such that  $a_p \neq 0$  then there exists a point  $x \in E_\chi$  such that

$$a_n = \mathbf{T}(n)(x) \quad \text{for } n > 0.$$

IV. If  $x \in E_\chi$  and  $\kappa(x) = \psi \cdot \langle \rangle^k$ ,

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{T}(n)(x) q^n$$

is the  $q$ -expansion of an OC eigenform (minus its constant term), of tame level  $N$  and character  $\chi \cdot \psi \cdot \omega^{-k}$ .

V. The morphism  $x \rightarrow (\kappa(x), \mathbf{T}(p)(x))$  is a locally finite from  $E_\chi$  onto  $S_\chi$ .

VI. There exists a pseudo-representation  $\rho = (T, D): G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$  such that, if  $(\ell, Np) = 1$ ,

$$T(\text{Frob}_\ell) = \mathbf{T}(\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad D(\text{Frob}_\ell) = \langle \ell \rangle^* / \ell.$$

This requires,

**Theorem** (corrected) (Wiles). Suppose  $R$  is a topological  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -algebra and  $\{\mathfrak{p}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  are ideals such that  $R/\mathfrak{p}_i \in \mathcal{C}$  and

$$R = \varprojlim R / \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_i,$$

$\Sigma$  is a dense subset of  $G$ ,  $t, d$  are functions  $\Sigma \rightarrow R$  and  $p$ -rs  $T_i: G \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p}_i$  such that

$$(T_i(\sigma), D_i(\sigma)) \equiv (t(\sigma), d(\sigma)) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i}$$

for  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ . Then there exists a unique  $p$ -r  $T: G \rightarrow R$  such that  $T(\sigma) \equiv T_i(\sigma) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  for all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and all  $i$ .

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 29

## Properties of the Eigencurve

I. We have a natural morphism  $\kappa: \mathbf{E}_\chi \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ .

II. There are analytic functions  $\langle d \rangle^*$ ,  $d \in (\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^* \times \mathbf{Z}_p^*$ , and  $\mathbf{T}(n)$ ,  $n_{>0} \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\mathbf{T}(p)$  is invertible. Let  $\mathbf{T}_\chi$  be  $\varprojlim_{Y \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbf{T}_Y(N)$ .

III. If  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n q^n$  is the  $q$ -expansion of an overconvergent eigenform on  $X_1(Np^n)$  of weight  $k$  and character  $\chi \cdot \psi \cdot \omega^{-k}$  over  $K$  such that  $a_p \neq 0$  then there exists a point  $x \in \mathbf{E}_\chi(K)$  such that

$$\kappa(x) = \chi \cdot \psi \cdot \langle \rangle^* \quad \text{and} \quad a_n = \mathbf{T}(n)(x) \quad \text{for } n > 0.$$

IV. If  $x \in \mathbf{E}_\chi$  and  $\kappa(x) = \psi \cdot \langle \rangle^k$ ,

$$F_x(q) =: \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{T}(n)(x) q^n$$

is the  $q$ -expansion of an OC eigenform (minus its constant term), of tame level  $N$  and character  $\chi \cdot \psi \cdot \omega^{-k}$ .

V. The morphism  $x \rightarrow (\kappa(x), \mathbf{T}(p)(x))$  is a locally finite from  $E_\chi$  onto  $S_\chi$ .

VI. There exists a pseudo-representation  $(T, D): G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_\chi$  such that, if  $(\ell, Np) = 1$ ,

$$T(\text{Frob}_\ell) = \mathbf{T}(\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad D(\text{Frob}_\ell) = \langle \ell \rangle^* / \ell.$$

**Theorem** (corrected) (Wiles). Suppose  $R$  is a topological  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -algebra and  $\{\mathfrak{p}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  are ideals such that  $R/\mathfrak{p}_i$  is a local complete  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -algebra and  $R = \varprojlim R / \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_i$ ,  $\Sigma$  is a dense subset of  $G$ ,  $t, d$  are functions  $\Sigma \rightarrow R$  and  $p$ -rs  $(T_i, D_i): G \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p}_i$  such that

$$(T_i(\sigma), D_i(\sigma)) \equiv (t(\sigma), d(\sigma)) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i}$$

for  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ . Then there exists a unique p-r  $(T, D): G \rightarrow R$  such that  $(T(\sigma), D(\sigma)) \equiv (T_i(\sigma), D_i(\sigma)) \pmod{p^i}$  for all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and all  $i$ .

**Lemma.** If  $F(T) \in 1 + TA(\mathcal{B})[[T]]^{entire}$  and  $U$  is a connected component of the zero locus of  $F$  in  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathbf{C}_p$ , the complement of the image of  $U$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  is finite.

*Proof of VI.* Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the subset of  $Y \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\exists$  a p-r  $(T_Y, D_Y): \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_Y$ ,  $\mathbf{E}_Y = \mathbf{E}_Y(N)$ , such that

$$T_Y(\text{Frob}_\ell) = \mathbf{T}(\ell)|_{\mathbf{E}_Y} \quad \text{and} \quad D_Y(\text{Frob}_\ell) = \langle \ell \rangle^* / \ell|_{\mathbf{E}_Y}.$$

Now,  $\bigcup_{Y \in \mathcal{D}} Y$  is a union of connected components of  $S$ .

Suppose  $x \in \mathbf{E}_\chi$ ,  $\kappa(x) = \psi \cdot \langle \cdot \rangle^k$ ,  $k_{\geq 2} \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $v(\mathbf{T}(p)(x)) < k - 1$ . Then, by Deligne, there exists a rep  $\rho_x: \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p(x))$  such that if  $(\ell, Np) = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr } \rho_x(\text{Frob}_\ell) &= \mathbf{T}(\ell)(x) \quad \text{and} \\ \det \rho_x(\text{Frob}_\ell) &= \chi(\ell) \psi(\ell) \ell^{k-1} \\ &= \langle \ell \rangle^*(x) / \ell. \end{aligned}$$

**What about  $\mathbf{a}_p$ ?**

Suppose  $E$  is finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $x \in \mathbf{E}_\chi(E)$ . We define the **weight**  $k(x)$  of  $x$  to be  $1 + \frac{\log(\kappa(x)(1+p))}{\log(1+p)}$ . There is a subring  $B_{cris}^+$  of  $B_{DR}^+$  which contains  $W(R)$  and  $t = \log[\epsilon]$  on which  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  acts with a Frobenius endomorphism  $\phi$  which commutes with  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  such that

$$\phi(\alpha b) = \alpha^\sigma \phi(b) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi t = pt, \quad \alpha \in W(R).$$

and

**Theorem (Kisin).** Suppose  $a_p =: \mathbf{T}(p)(x)$ , and  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_E(V)$  is a representation attached to  $x$ , then there exists a non-zero  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -equivariant  $E$ -linear map

$$V \rightarrow (B_{cris}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)^{\phi = a_p}.$$



# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 30

## The Faemily of Pseudo-reps

**Theorem.** *There exists a pseudo-representation*

$$(T, D): G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{\chi}$$

such that, if  $(\ell, Np) = 1$ ,

$$T(\text{Frob}_{\ell}) = \mathbf{T}(\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad D(\text{Frob}_{\ell}) = \langle \ell \rangle^* / \ell.$$

*Ingrediants of the proof.*

**Theorem** (Wiles). *Suppose  $R$  is a topological  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -algebra and  $\{\mathfrak{p}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  are ideals such that  $R/\mathfrak{p}_i$  is a local complete  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -algebra and  $R = \varprojlim R / \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_i$ ,  $\Sigma$  is a dense subset of  $G$ ,  $t, d$  are functions  $\Sigma \rightarrow R$  and  $p$ -rs  $(T_i, D_i): G \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p}_i$  such that*

$$(T_i(\sigma), D_i(\sigma)) \equiv (t(\sigma), d(\sigma)) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i}$$

for  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ . Then there exists a unique  $p$ -r  $(T, D): G \rightarrow R$  such that  $(T(\sigma), D(\sigma)) \equiv (T_i(\sigma), D_i(\sigma)) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  for all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and all  $i$ .

Let  $\mathbf{T}_{\chi}^0$  be the subring of  $\mathbf{T}_{\chi}$  which is the completion of the ring generated over  $A_{\mathbf{Q}_p(\chi)}^0(\mathcal{B}) \cong R_{\chi}[[T]]$  by  $\langle d \rangle^*$ ,  $d \in (\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^* \times \mathbf{Z}_p^*$ , and  $\mathbf{T}(n)$ ,  $n_{>0} \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

**Proposition.**  $\mathbf{T}_{\chi}^0$  is compact.

This comes down to,

**Theorem** (after Hida). *If  $k_{\in \mathbf{Z}} \geq 2$  and  $h_k(Np^{\nu})$  is the Hecke algebra acting on weight  $k$  modular forms of level  $Np^{\nu}$  over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ .*

$$\bigoplus_{\chi} \mathbf{T}_{\chi}^0 \cong R_{\chi} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \varprojlim_{\nu} h_k(Np^{\nu})$$

Suppose  $x \in \mathbf{E}_\chi$ ,  $\kappa(x) = \psi \cdot \langle \ell \rangle^k$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z} \geq 2$ , and  $v(\mathbf{T}(p)(x)) < k - 1$ . Then, by Deligne, there exists a rep  $\rho_x: \mathbf{G}_\mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p(x))$  such that if  $(\ell, Np) = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr} \rho_x(\mathrm{Frob}_\ell) &= \mathbf{T}(\ell)(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \det \rho_x(\mathrm{Frob}_\ell) = \chi(\ell) \psi(\ell) \ell^{k-1} \\ &= \langle \ell \rangle^*(x) / \ell. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $x$  as above we get a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_x$  of  $\mathbf{T}_\chi^0$

**What about  $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$ ?**

Suppose  $E$  is finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $x \in \mathbf{E}_\chi(E)$ . We define the **weight**  $k(x)$  of  $x$  to be  $1 + \frac{\log(\kappa(x)(1+p))}{\log(1+p)}$ . There is a subring  $B_{cris}^+$  of  $B_{DR}^+$  which contains  $W(R)$  and  $t = \log[\epsilon]$  on which  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  acts with a Frobenius endomorphism  $\phi$  which commutes with  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  such that

$$\phi(\alpha b) = \alpha^\sigma \phi(b) \text{ and } \phi t = pt, \quad \alpha \in W(R).$$

and

**Theorem (Kisin).** *Suppose  $a_p =: \mathbf{T}(p)(x)$ , and  $\rho: G_\mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_E(V)$  is a representation attached to  $x$ , then there exists a non-zero  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -equivariant  $E$ -linear map*

$$V \rightarrow (B_{cris}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)^{\phi=a_p}.$$

**Preview of  $B_{cris}$  and  $B_{st}$ .**

Let  $B_{cris} = B_{cris}^+[1/t]$ . This embeds naturally in  $B_{DR}$ . Set  $\text{Fil}^i B_{cris} = B_{cris} \cap \text{Fil}^i B_{DR}$ .  
Also  $((B_{cris})^{G_K} = K_0$ .

We need to consider another ring  $B_{st}$  which is  $B_{st}^+[1/t]$  where

$$B_{st}^+ = B_{cris}^+[\{\ell(u): u \in \text{Frac}(R)^*\}],$$

where

$$\ell(wv) = \ell(w) + \ell(v) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell(u) = \log \frac{[u]}{u^{(0)}} + \log u^{(0)},$$

if  $v(u^{(0)} - 1) > 0$ . We extend  $\phi$  to  $B_{st}$  by setting  $\phi(\ell(u)) = p\ell(u)$  and let  $N$  be the unique derivation over  $B_{cris}$  on  $B_{st}$  such that

$$N 1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad N \ell(u) = v(u^{(0)}).$$

$N \circ \phi = p\phi \circ N$  and

$$0 \rightarrow B_{cris} \rightarrow B_{st} \xrightarrow{N} B_{st} \rightarrow 0$$

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 31

## Preview of $B_{st}$

We know  $B_{cris} = B_{cris}^+[1/t]$  and this embeds naturally in  $B_{DR}$ . Set

$$\mathrm{Fil}^i B_{cris} = B_{cris} \cap \mathrm{Fil}^i B_{DR}.$$

$$\mathrm{Gr} B_{cris} = B_{HT} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Gr} B_{cris}^+ = B_{HT}^+.$$

We need to consider another ring  $B_{st}$  which is  $B_{st}^+[1/t]$  where

$$B_{st}^+ = B_{cris}^+[\{\ell(u): u \in \mathrm{Frac}(R)^*\}],$$

and

$$\ell(wv) = \ell(w) + \ell(v) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell(u) = \log \frac{[u]}{u^{(0)}} + \log u^{(0)},$$

if  $v(u^{(0)} - 1) > 0$ . We extend  $F$  to  $B_{st}$  by setting  $F(\ell(u)) = p\ell(u)$  and let  $N$  be the unique derivation over  $B_{cris}$  on  $B_{st}$  such that

$$N 1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad N \ell(u) = v(u^{(0)}).$$

Then  $NF = pFN$  and

$$0 \rightarrow B_{cris} \rightarrow B_{st} \xrightarrow{N} B_{st} \rightarrow 0$$

## Periods of Classical Eigenforms

Suppose  $x \in E_\chi$ ,  $M =: \mathbf{Q}_p(x) \subset L$  and  $F_x(q)$  is classical eigenform of weight  $k$ . Let  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(V)$  be a representation “attached” to  $x$  where  $V$  is a two dimensional vector space over  $M$ . Then

**Theorem** (Faltings).  $V \otimes \mathbf{C}_p \cong \mathbf{C}_p \oplus \mathbf{C}_p(k-1)$ .

Suppose  $L$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . The **Weil group**,  $W_L$ , is the subgroup of  $G_L$  consisting of elements  $w$  whose restriction to  $L^{nr}$  is an integral power,  $\alpha(w)$ , of

absolute Frobenius. The **Weil-Deligne group** of  $L$  is a group scheme  $WD_L$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  which is the semi-direct product of the constant group scheme  $W_L$  and  $\mathbf{G}_a$  on which  $W_L$  acts by

$$wxw^{-1} = p^{\alpha(w)}x.$$

If  $M$  is a field, a representation of  $WD_L$  over  $M$  is an  $M$  vector space  $V$  with homomorphism of group schemes  $\psi: WD_L(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(V)$ . These are equivalent to representations  $\rho_0$  of  $W_L$  on an  $M$ -vector space  $\Delta$  together with an  $M$ -linear operator  $N$  on  $\Delta$  satisfying

$$N \circ \rho_0(w) = p^{-\alpha(w)} \rho_0(w) \circ N.$$

Indeed,  $\psi(x) = \exp(xN_L)$  for  $x \in \mathbf{G}_a$ .

Let  $V^* = \text{Hom}(V, L)$  and set

$$D_{pst}(V) = \bigcup_{L'/L} (B_{st} \otimes V^*)^{G'_M}$$

. Now  $WD_L$  operates on  $D_{pst}(V)$  which finite dimensional over  $K^{nr}$ . First  $W_L$  acts and second

$$N_L m = N m.$$

$N_L$  acts nilpotently on  $D_{pst}(V)$ . Let  $J(V)$  denote the the invriants by inertia in the kernel of  $N_L$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the inverse of relative Frobenius.

**Theorem** (Saito).  $(1 - a_p p^{-s})^{-1}$  divides  $\det(1 - \sigma p^{-s}|J)^{-1}$ .

Frank will prove,

**Theorem** . There exists a non-zero  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -equivariant  $E$ -linear map

$$V \rightarrow (B_{cris}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)^{\phi=a_p}.$$

After Faltings it is enough to prove, There exists a non-zero  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -equivariant  $E$ -linear map

$$V \rightarrow (B_{cris} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)^{\phi=a_p}.$$

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 32

## Clarification of Weil-Deligne

Suppose  $\rho_0$  of  $W_L$  on a finite dimensional  $M$ -vector space  $\Delta$  and  $N$  is an  $M$ -linear operator on  $\Delta$  satisfying

$$N \circ \rho_0(w) = p^{-\alpha(w)} \rho_0(w) \circ N.$$

Then  $N$  is nilpotent and  $\rho(x, w) = \exp(xN)\rho_0(w)$ ,  $(x, w) \in WD_L$  is a representation.

## Periods of Classical Eigenforms

Suppose  $x \in E_\chi(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p})$ ,  $M =: \mathbf{Q}_p(x)$ . Let  $\rho_x: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(V_x)$  be a representation “attached” to  $x$  where  $V_x$  is a two dimensional vector space over  $M$ . We want to prove,

**Theorem.** *Let  $a_p = \mathbf{T}(p)(x)$ . There exists a non-zero  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -equivariant  $M$ -linear map*

$$V_x \rightarrow (B_{cris}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} M)^{\phi=a_p}.$$

Frank explained why this is true when  $F_x(q)$  is classical. I will now explain its connection to Fontaine-Mazur.

## Fontaine-Mazur

Let  $\theta \sum a_q^n = \sum n a_n q^n$  and  $\chi: G_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^*$  be the cyclotomic character.

**Proposition.** *If  $F(q)$  is the  $q$ -expansion of a weight  $2 - k$  OC form where  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $k \geq 2$ ,  $\theta^{k-1} F(q)$  is the  $q$ -expansion of a weight  $k - 1$  OC form.*

A rep  $\rho: G_K \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(V)$  is called potentially semi-stable (pst) if

$$\dim_{K^{nr}} D_{pst}(V) = \dim_M V.$$

**Theorem (Kisin).** *Suppose  $V_x$  when viewed as a  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -rep is pst. Then,*

(i)  $k =: k(x) \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\alpha =: v(\mathbf{T}(p)(x)) \leq \max\{0, k - 1\}$ . (ii) *If  $k \geq 2$ , either  $F_x(q)$  is classical or  $\alpha = k - 1$  and  $\exists$  OC  $G$  of weight  $2 - k$  such that  $F_x = \theta^{k-1} G$  and  $V_x \cong \epsilon_1 \oplus \epsilon_2 \chi^{k-1}$ .*

**Corollary.** *If  $\rho_x$  is semi-stable and irreducible, then  $x$  is classical.*

*Proof of Theorem.* First PST implies HT

$$\dim_K (V \otimes B_{HT})^{G_K} = \dim_M V.$$

and Hodge-Tate reps have integral weight.

Suppose  $V =: V_x$  is ST over a finite Galois extension  $K$  of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and let

$$D =: D_{st}(V^*) = \text{Hom}_{G_K}(V_x, B_{st}) = (V_x^* \otimes B_{st})^{G_K}.$$

Claim:

$$D_{dr}(V^*) = (D_{st}(V^*) \otimes_{K_0} K)^{\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q}_p)}$$

This follows from the fact that

$$B_{st} \otimes_{K_0} K \hookrightarrow B_{dr}.$$

Thus  $D_{dr}(V^*)$  is a 2 dimensional  $M$ -space and it has an  $M$ -linear  $\phi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}$ -action.

Thus  $D = D_{dr}(V^*) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} K_0$  is a free  $M \otimes K_0$  module of rank 2 and its Newton polygon has at most two slopes of the same run  $[M, \mathbf{Q}_p]$ .

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 33

## Fontaine-Mazur

Assuming,

**Theorem.** *Let  $a_p = \mathbf{T}(p)(x)$ . There exists a non-zero  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -equivariant  $M$ -linear map*

$$V_x \rightarrow (B_{cris}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} M)^{\phi=a_p}.$$

we'll prove,

**Theorem.** *Suppose  $V_x$  when viewed as a  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -rep is pst. Then,  $k =: k(x) \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\alpha =: v(\mathbf{T}(p)(x)) \leq \max\{0, k-1\}$ .*

To simplify notation, I will assume  $\mathbf{Q}_p(x) = M = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and that  $V_x$  is semistable.

We already checked that  $k(x) \in \mathbf{Z}$ . One of the facts we used was that  $B_{st}$  embeds in  $B_{dr}$ . Recall,  $B_{st} = B_{st}^+[1/t]$  where

$$B_{st}^+ = B_{cris}^+[\{\ell(u): u \in \text{Frac}(R)^*\}],$$

$$\ell(wv) = \ell(w) + \ell(v) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell(u) = \log \frac{[u]}{u^{(0)}} + \log u^{(0)},$$

if  $v(u^{(0)} - 1) > 0$ . We already know how to embed  $B_{cris}$  into  $B_{dr}$ . Choose a branch of the logarithm  $\log$ . Then send  $\ell(u)$  to

$$\log \frac{[u]}{u^0} + \log u^{(0)}.$$

This makes sense since  $\theta([u]) = u^{(0)}$ .

Suppose  $D$  is a filtered  $(F, N)$ -module over  $K$ , i.e. a  $K_0$ -module  $D$  with a  $\sigma$ -linear isomorphism  $F$  and an endomorphism  $N$  such that  $NF = pFN$  as well as a decreasing, exhaustive, separated filtration on  $D_K$ ,  $D^i$ , like  $D(V^*) =: (V^* \otimes_M B_{st})^{G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}}$ . The Hodge numbers of  $D$  are

$$h_H(D, i) = \dim D^i / D^{i+1}$$



If  $D = D_K(V^*)$ ,  $h_H(D, i) = 1$  if  $i = 0$  or  $k - 1$  and is zero otherwise. For a rational number  $\alpha = r/s$  let  $D_{[\alpha]}$  be the  $K_0$ -subspace of  $\bar{K}_0 \otimes_{K_0} D$  spanned by the elements  $x$  such that  $(\sigma \otimes F)^s x = p^r x$ . The Newton numbers are

$$h_N(D, \alpha) = \dim_{K_0} D_{[\alpha]}.$$

Suppose  $\dim_{K_0} D < \infty$ . If  $D = D(V^*)$ ,  $h_N(D, [v(a_p)]) \geq 1$ . We also know  $h_N(D, k - 1 - [v(a_p)]) = h_N(D, [v(a_p)])$ .

Put,

$$t_H(D) = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} i h_H(D, i) \quad \text{and} \quad t_N(D, \alpha) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}} \alpha h_N(D, \alpha).$$

Then  $D$  is **weakly admissible** if  $t_H(D') \leq t_N(D')$  for all  $K^0$ -subspaces  $D'$  of  $D$  stable by  $F$  and  $N$  with equality when  $D' = D$ .

**Theorem.** *If  $W$  is PST then  $D_{pst}(W)$  is WA.*

Suppose  $D = D(V^*)$ . This is WA. Also the submodule  $\sum_{\beta \leq 0} D_{[\beta]}$  is  $(F, N)$ -stable and thus

$$0 \leq \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbf{Q} \\ \alpha \leq 0}} \alpha h_N(D, \alpha)$$

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 34

## Fontaine-Mazur

**Theorem.** *Let  $a_p = \mathbf{T}(p)(x)$ . There exists a non-zero  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -equivariant  $M$ -linear map*

$$V_x \rightarrow (B_{cris}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} M)^{\phi=a_p}.$$

Assuming this, and “continuity o Hodge-Tate-Sen” weights, we’ll prove,

**Theorem.** *Suppose  $V_x$  when viewed as a  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -rep is pst. Then,  $k =: k(x) \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\alpha =: v(\mathbf{T}(p)(x)) \leq \max\{0, k - 1\}$ .*

Suppose  $D$  is a finite dimensional filtered  $(F, N)$ -module over  $K$ , i.e., a  $K_0$ -module  $D$  with a  $\sigma$ -linear isomorphism  $F$  and an endomorphism  $N$  such that  $NF = pFN$  as well as a decreasing, exhaustive, separated filtration on  $D_K, D^i$ . The Hodge polygon of  $D$  is the lower convex hull of the vertices

$$(\sum_{i \leq j} \dim D^i / D^{i+1}, \sum_{i \leq j} i \dim D^i / D^{i+1})$$

For a rational number  $\alpha = r/s$  let  $D_{[\alpha]}$  be the  $K_0$ -subspace of  $\bar{K}_0 \otimes_{K_0} D$  spanned by the elements  $x$  such that  $(\sigma \otimes F)^s x = p^r x$ .

The Newton polygon of  $D$  is the lower convex hull of

$$(\sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \dim D_{[\beta]}, \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \beta \dim D_{[\beta]})$$

Then  $D$  is **weakly admissible** if the Newton polygon of  $D'$  lies above the Hodge polygon of  $D'$  for all  $(F, N)$ -submodules with induced filtration and these polygons have the same endpoints when  $D = D'$ .

**Theorem.** *If  $W$  is PST then  $D_{pst}(W)$  is WA.*

*Proof of Kisin's Theorem*

To simplify notation, I will assume  $\mathbf{Q}_p(x) = M = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and that  $V_x$  is semistable.

Suppose  $D = D(V_x^*)$ . This is WA. Using Sen theory (which I'll discuss next week), we know when  $k \neq 1$ ,  $D_{HT}(V) \cong \mathbf{C}_p(0) \oplus \mathbf{C}_p(k-1)$ . Suppose  $a \leq b$ . Then the Hodge polygon of  $D$  is

### F and N for Tate Elliptic curves

Suppose  $E = \mathbf{C}_p^*/q^{2\mathbf{Z}}$ , where  $q \neq 0 \in p\mathbf{Z}_p$ . Then  $E = U \cup V$  and  $U \cap V = A \cup B$ . We have

$$H_{DR}^0(A) \oplus H_{DR}^0(B) \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(E) \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(U) \oplus H_{DR}^1(V) \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(A) \oplus H_{DR}^1(B)$$

Then  $N$  is

$$H_{DR}^1(E) \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(A) \oplus H_{DR}^1(B) \xrightarrow{Res} H_{DR}^0(A) \oplus H_{DR}^0(B) \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(E)$$

To get  $F$  all we have to do is “split”

$$H_{DR}^0(A) \oplus H_{DR}^0(B) \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(E).$$

Suppose  $(\{\omega_U, \omega_V\}, \{f_A, f_B\})$  is a 1-cocycle ( $\omega_U - \omega_V = df$ ). If we choose a branch of  $\log$  we can solve

$$dF_U = \omega_U \quad \text{and} \quad dF_V = \omega_V$$

Let

$$c_A = (F_U - F_V)|_A - f_A \quad \text{and} \quad c_B = (F_U - F_V)|_B - f_B.$$

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 35

## Sen-Polynomials

Let  $\chi: \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^*$  be the cyclotomic character and  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbf{Q}_p)$ . Suppose  $E$  a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  contained in  $K$ . Finally, let  $\Gamma(K) = \text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$  where  $K_\infty = K(\mu_{p^\infty})$ . Sen's proves in Continuous Cohomology and  $p$ -adic Galois representations, (Invent. Math. **62** (1980)),

**Theorem.** *Suppose  $V$  is a finite dimensional vector space over  $E$  and  $\rho: G_K \rightarrow GL_E(V)$  is a continuous representation. There exists a finite extension  $L$  of  $K$  in  $K_\infty$  and an  $M \in GL_{\mathbf{C}_p}(\mathbf{C}_p \otimes_E V)$  such that  $\sigma \mapsto \tau(\sigma) =: M^{-1}\rho(\sigma)M$  is a representation of  $G_L$  into  $GL_L(L \otimes_E V)$  which factors through  $\Gamma(L)$ . Moreover, if  $\sigma \in G_L$  and its image in  $\Gamma$  is non-trivial*

$$S_\rho(T) =: \det \left( T - \frac{\log \tau(\sigma)}{\log \chi(\sigma)} \right)$$

*is independent of the choices of  $L$  and  $\sigma$  and lies in  $K[T]$ . In fact, this polynomial is independent of  $K$  or  $E$ .*

Eg. (i) (CFT) Suppose  $n = 1$  and  $K = E = \mathbf{Q}_p$ . Then if  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  sufficiently close to 1,

$$\tau(\gamma) = \rho(\sigma), \quad \text{if } \sigma \mapsto \gamma \text{ and } T - e(\rho) =: S_\rho(T).$$

(ii) (Hodge-Tate) Suppose  $A$  is an Abelian variety of dimension  $g$  over  $K$  and  $\rho: G_K \rightarrow GL_{2g}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  coming from the  $p$ -Tate module of  $A$ . Then

$$S_\rho(T) = T^g(T - 1)^g.$$

(iii) (Faltings) Suppose  $\rho$  is the restriction to a decomposition group above  $p$  of a representation coming from a weight  $k$  modular form. Then,

$$S_\rho(T) = T(T - (k - 1)).$$

(iv) Suppose  $V \otimes_E \mathbf{C}_p \cong \mathbf{C}_p(a_1) \oplus \cdots \mathbf{C}_p(a_n)$ . Then,

$$S_\rho(T) = (T - a_1) \cdots (T - a_n).$$

**Variation.**

Let  $C$  be a topologically finitely generated complete local ring over  $R =: \mathcal{O}_E$  whose residue field is a finite extension of  $k = R/\pi_E R$ ,  $C = R[[T_1, \dots, T_n]]/I$ . Let  $\langle C \rangle$  be the rigid space associated to  $C$ .

Suppose  $\rho: G_K \rightarrow GL_n(C)$  is a continuous representation.

Eg. Suppose  $k$  is a finite field of characteristic  $p$  and  $\alpha: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(k)$  is a representation. Then, Mazur has shown there exists a topologically finitely generated complete local ring  $M_\alpha$  over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  and a versal deformation of  $\alpha$

$$\tilde{\alpha}: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(M_\alpha)$$

(which is universal when  $\alpha$  is absolutely irreducible). When  $\alpha$  is odd,  $\langle M_\alpha \rangle$  is conjectured to have dimension 3.

A slight improvement of Sen's result in The Analytic Variation of  $p$ -adic Hodge Structure (Ann. Math. **127** (1988)) is,

**Theorem.** *There is a unique monic polynomial,  $f_\rho(T)$ , whose coefficients are analytic functions on the nilreduction of  $\langle C \rangle_K$  and whose specialization to  $x \in \langle C \rangle(\bar{E})$  is  $S_{\rho_x}(T)$ .*

**Corollary.** *If  $\alpha$  is modular and  $x \in \langle M_\alpha \rangle$ ,*

$$S_{\tilde{\alpha}_x}(T) = T(T - e(\det \tilde{\alpha}_x)).$$

Let  $E_\alpha$  be the component of the eigencurve such that for  $x \in E_\alpha(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ ,  $\rho_x$  is a deformation of  $\alpha$ .

**Corollary.** *If  $V_x$  is p-st  $k(x) \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $V_x \otimes \mathbf{C}_p \cong \mathbf{C}_p \oplus \mathbf{C}_p(k(x) - 1)$ .*

# The Eigencurve and the Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

Robert F. Coleman

Lecture 36

## Application of Sen's Theory

For a representation  $\rho: G_K \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(V)$  where  $V$  is vector space over a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  let  $S_\rho(T)$  be the Sen polynomial. We know if  $\rho$  is attached to a weight  $k$  modular form  $S_\rho(T) = T(T - (k - 1))$ . Also if  $V \otimes_E \mathbf{C}_p \cong \mathbf{C}_p(a_1) \oplus \cdots \mathbf{C}_p(a_n)$ . Then,  $S_\rho(T) = (T - a_1) \cdots (T - a_n)$ .

**Proposition.** *If  $x$  is a point on an eigencurve and  $V_x$  is pst  $k(x) \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $V_x \otimes \mathbf{C}_p \cong \mathbf{C}_p \oplus \mathbf{C}_p(k(x) - 1)$ .*

## Variation.

Suppose  $C \cong \mathcal{O}_E[[T_1, \dots, T_n]]/I$ . Let  $\langle C \rangle$  be the rigid space associated to  $C$ . Suppose  $\rho: G_K \rightarrow GL_n(C)$  is a continuous representation.

Eg. Suppose  $k$  is a finite field of characteristic  $p$  and  $\alpha: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(k)$  is a representation. Then, Mazur has shown there exists a topologically finitely generated complete local ring  $M_\alpha$  over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  and a versal deformation of  $\alpha$

$$\tilde{\alpha}: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(M_\alpha).$$

**Theorem.** *There is a unique monic polynomial,  $f_\rho(T)$ , whose coefficients are analytic functions on the nilreduction of  $\langle C \rangle_K$  and whose specialization to  $x \in \langle C \rangle(\bar{E})$  is  $S_{\rho_x}(T)$ .*

Suppose the above  $\alpha$  is modular of level  $N$  and let  $E_\alpha$  be the component of the eigencurve  $E_N$  such that for  $x \in E_\alpha(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ ,  $\rho_x$  is a deformation of  $\alpha$ .

## The Galois interpretation of $a_p$

**Theorem.** Suppose  $\psi \in \text{Hom}((\mathbf{Z}/Np\mathbf{Z})^*, \mathbf{C}_p^*)$ ,  $x \in E_\psi$ . Let  $a_p = \mathbf{T}(p)(x)$  and  $M = \mathbf{Q}_p(x)$ . There exists a non-zero  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -equivariant  $M$ -linear map

$$V_x \rightarrow (B_{\text{cris}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} M)^{\phi=a_p}.$$

(Also, see forthcoming paper of Stevens and Iovita.)

Suppose  $y \in E_\psi(K)$ ,  $k(y) \in \mathbf{Z}$ . We'll the following simplifying assumption: There exists an affinoid  $X$  in  $E_\psi$  defined over  $K$  containing  $y$  which is isomorphic via  $\kappa$  to a closed disk in  $\mathcal{W}$ , and a free rank 2 module  $\mathbf{V}$  over  $R =: A(X)$  with an action of Galois whose restriction  $\mathbf{V}_x$ ,  $x \in X$  to  $k(x) \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $k(x) \gg 0$ , is classical and crystalline.

**Lemma.** After removing the weight one points (if they exist) from  $X$ ,

$$\mathbf{V}^* \hat{\otimes}_K \mathbf{C}_p \cong (R \hat{\otimes}_K \mathbf{C}_p) \oplus ((R \hat{\otimes}_K \mathbf{C}_p)(\chi/\kappa)$$

as  $G_K$ -modules where  $\chi$  is the cyclotomic character.

Indeed,  $W_\infty = (\mathbf{V}^* \hat{\otimes}_K \mathbf{C}_p)^{H_K}$  has a basis over a finite extension  $L$  of  $K$  such that the  $R_L$ -module  $W_L$  spanned by this basis is Galois stable and if  $\gamma_{\neq 1} \in \Gamma(L)$  the linear operator on  $W_L$

$$\Phi = \frac{\log \gamma}{\log \chi(\gamma)}$$

has characteristic polynomial  $T(T + (k(x) - 1))$ .

**Lemma.** Suppose  $j > 0$ . After removing a finite set of points  $S_j$  from  $X$ ,  $(\mathbf{V}^* \otimes \hat{B}_{dr}^+ / B_{dr}^j)^{G_K}$  is a free  $R_J =: A(X - S_j)$ -module of rank 1.

**Corollary.** Suppose  $j > 0$  and  $x \in X - S_j$ . There exists a non-zero  $G_K$ -equivariant map  $\alpha_x: V_x \rightarrow B_{dr}^+ / B_{dr}^j$ .

*Proof of Lemma.* We need Tate's Theorem  $\mathbf{C}_p(k)^{G_K} = 0$  ( $p$ -Divisible Groups, in Proceedings of a Conference on Local Fields, Driebergen 1966, pp 158-183, Springer (1967).)) unless  $k = 0$ . Suppose  $j = 1$ .