MthT 430 Projects Chap 8b – Intermediate Value Property Remarks

- 1. Let f be a continuous function on [0, 1] such that
 - f(0) > 0,
 - f(1) < 1.

Draw a graph of several (not too complicated) continuous functions, f, satisfying the two properties to decide whether there is always an x, 0 < x < 1, such that f(x) = x.

Now prove that there is an x, 0 < x < 1, such that f(x) = x.

See also Spivak, Chapter 7, Problem 11.

Remark: Apply the Intermediate Value Theorem to the function F(x) = f(x) - x.

- 2. Suppose that
 - f and g are continuous functions on [0, 1]
 - f(0) > g(0),
 - f(1) < g(1).

Draw graphs of several pairs of continuous functions, f, g, satisfying the three properties to decide whether there is always an x, 0 < x < 1, such that f(x) = g(x).

Now prove that if f, g, are continuous there is an x, 0 < x < 1, such that f(x) = g(x).

Remark: Apply the Intermediate Value Theorem to the function F(x) = f(x) - g(x).

3. Suppose we are working with a **number system** (such as the rational numbers \mathbf{Q}) which satisfies (P1 – P12), but does **not** satisfy (P13–LUB); *id est*, there is a non empty set A of numbers, A is bounded above, but A does not have a least upper bound. For this A, let

$$B_A \equiv \{b \mid b \text{ is an upper bound for } A.\}$$

Define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in B_A, \\ -1, & x \notin B_A. \end{cases}$$

Show that f is continuous at all x, but does **not** satisfy the Intermediate Value Property (IVP).

Remark: To show that f is continuous everywhere, consider two cases:

• $b \in B_A$: f(b) = 1. Since b is not the least upper bound, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $b - \delta \in B_A$. Then $f \equiv 1$ on $(b - \delta, \infty)$.

• $b \notin B_A$: Since b is not an upper bound for A, There is a $\delta > 0$, such that $b + \delta \in A$. Then $f \equiv -1$ on $(-\infty, b + \delta)$.

Thus NOT (P13–LUB) implies NOT (P13–CFIVP). This shows that (P13–CFIVP) implies (P13–LUB) as stated in chap8b.tex.

See http://www.math.uic.edu/~lewis/mtht430/chap8b.pdf#CFIVP

Remark: If A, B_A , are the sets described in the previous problem, assume that A is a subset of [0, 1/2). We can use a *folding string* argument to construct a nondecreasing sequence of finite binary expansions $s_n = \cdot_{\text{bin}} c_1 \dots c_n$ such that, for every n, s_n is not an upper bound for A, but $s_n + (1/2^n)$ is an upper bound for A. To do this, at each step select the left interval $[a_n, m_n)$ iff the midpoint m_n is an upper bound for A.

It follows that B_A is exactly the set of upper bounds for the sequence $\{s_n\}$. Now conclude that the $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n$ does not exist in the number system where B lives!

Thus NOT (P13–LUB) implies NOT (P13–BIN) and NOT (P13–BISHL). Either (P13–BIN) or (P13–BISHL) implies (P13–LUB).

There are other arguments to show the *equivalence* of (P13–LUB), (P13–CFIVP), (P13–BIN), and (P13–BISHL).