

Math 215 - Supplement on Finite and Infinite Sets by LK

We take as given (for this discussion) the natural numbers

$$N = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots\}$$

and we let $N_k = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, k\}$ be the set of the numbers from 1 to k .

It is assumed that the natural numbers are pairwise distinct from one another so that the sets N_k are all distinct from one another for different values of k . We let N_0 denote the empty set. We say that a set X has (finite) *cardinality* k if there is a bijection between X and N_k for some $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

We write $|X|$ for the cardinality of a set X .

We say that a set X is *finite* if X has cardinality k for some $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Thus X is finite if X is in bijective correspondence with N_k for some k .

Theorem 1. If X is a proper subset of N_n for some $n > 0$, then X has cardinality k for some $k < n$ with k greater than or equal to 0.

Proof. We prove this theorem by induction on n .

For the base of the induction: If $n = 1$, then the only proper subset of $N_1 = \{1\}$ is the empty set. Since the empty set has cardinality $0 < 1$, the theorem is true for $n=1$.

Now assume, as induction hypothesis, that the theorem is true for all n less than or equal to m . We wish to prove the theorem for $n = m + 1$. Let X be a subset of N_{m+1} . We consider two cases.

Case 1: $(m+1)$ is not a member of X . In this case X is in fact a subset of N_m , and by the induction hypothesis, X has cardinality k less than or equal to m (less if it is a proper subset and equal if X is equal to N_m). But since k is less than or equal to m , we have that $k < m+1$, and this proves the induction step for Case 1.

Case 2: $(m+1)$ is a member of X . Now let X' denote the set obtained from X by removing the element $(m+1)$. We have that the cardinality of X is 1 more than the cardinality of X' and X' is a *proper* subset of N_m . So by induction, the cardinality of X' is less than m . Therefore the cardinality of X (being one greater) is less than $m + 1$. This completes the induction step for Case 2.

This completes the proof of the Theorem. //

Theorem 2. If $f: N_k \rightarrow N_l$ is an injection, then k is less than or equal to l . If f is an injection, but not a surjection then $k < l$.

Proof. N_k is in bijection with a subset $f(N_k)$ of N_l . By Theorem 1, we know that the cardinality of $f(N_k)$ is less than or equal to l . Therefore the cardinality of N_k is less than or equal to l and less exactly when $f(N_k)$ is not equal to N_l . //

Theorem 3. No finite set X can be in bijective correspondence with a proper subset of itself.

Proof. This is a restatement of Theorem 1. If X is a proper subset of Y and Y is finite. Then there exists a bijection of $F : Y \rightarrow N_n$ for some n . This bijection takes X bijectively to a proper subset $F(X)$ of N_n . By Theorem 1, $F(X)$ has cardinality $k < n$. Hence X has cardinality $k < n$, while Y has cardinality n . Therefore X and Y have different cardinalities, and we have proved that no finite set can be put into bijective correspondence with a proper subset of itself. //

Theorem 4. The natural numbers N is an infinite set.

Proof. The mapping $F : N \rightarrow N$ defined by $F(n) = 2n$ is a bijection between N and E where E is the set of even natural numbers. Thus N is in bijective correspondence with the proper subset E . By Theorem 3, this proves that N is not finite. //

Theorem 5. If X is an infinite set, then there exists an injection $f : N \rightarrow X$.

Proof. We prove this result by constructing the mapping f by induction. To see, this first note that there is no problem mapping $N_1 \rightarrow X$ since certainly X is not empty. This is the beginning of the induction. Now suppose we have constructed an injective mapping $f_k : N_k \rightarrow X$. Then I claim that we can now construct an extension of f_k to $f_{k+1} : N_{k+1} \rightarrow X$ that is also an injection. By extension I mean that $f_{k+1}(i) = f_k(i)$ for $i=1,2,\dots,k$. It is only $f_{k+1}(k+1)$ that is a new value. It is possible to choose a new value for $f_{k+1}(k+1)$, distinct from $f_k(1),\dots,f_k(k)$. Otherwise f_k would be a surjective mapping from N_k to X , contradicting the assumption that X is infinite. We have proved that it is possible (by making a choice at each stage) to make an infinite collection of injective maps $f_k : N_k \rightarrow X$ so that each f_l with $l > k$ is an extension of f_k . We now define $f : N \rightarrow X$ by $f(i) = f_i(i)$ for each natural number i . It follows by our construction that f is the desired injection from N to X . //

Remark. Note that the injection constructed in Theorem 5 is not necessarily surjective and in some cases (where X is not countable) it can never be surjective.

Theorem 6. If X is an infinite set, then there exists a bijection between X and a proper subset of X . Hence a set is infinite if and only if it is in bijective correspondence with a proper subset of itself.

Proof. We leave this proof as an exercise!

Hint: Use Theorem 5. //

Remark. Sometimes treatments of infinite sets take the conclusion of Theorem 6 as the definition of being infinite. That is, one can take the property of being in bijective correspondence with a proper subset as the definition of an infinite set.