

Sparse Equidistribution, Birkhoff's Theorem and Unipotent Dynamics

Osama Khalil

Ohio State University

Penn State, October 2017

What we will talk about

- **Homogeneous Spaces:** $X = G/\Gamma$, G a Lie group, Γ a lattice.
Ex: $SL(2, \mathbb{R})/SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.
- **Transformations:** elements g_n of G acting by left multiplication.
- **Measures:** μ the Haar measure on X .
- **Central question:** when equidistribution of sequences of the form $g_n x$ for some $x \in X$ holds i.e.

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{g_n x} \xrightarrow{\text{weak-}^*} \mu$$

A motivating question

$G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, $\Gamma < G$ a lattice, $p : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ an increasing sequence,

$$u(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Conjecture (Shah '94)

If $p(n)$ is a polynomial, then for **every** $x \in G/\Gamma$ which is not $u(t)$ -periodic,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{u(p(n))x} = \mu_{G/\Gamma}$$

Equidistribution of exponential sequences

$G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, $\Gamma \subset G$ a lattice, $H = SO(2)$. Let $\lambda, \varepsilon > 0$ and let

$$g_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{\lambda n^\varepsilon} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, k_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem (K.'17)

For every $x \in G/\Gamma$ and for almost every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, there exists a sequence $A(\theta) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ of full upper density such that

$$\lim_{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ N \in A(\theta)}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{g_n k_\theta x} = \mu_{G/\Gamma}$$

Moreover, if G/Γ is compact then $A(\theta) = \mathbb{N}$.

- **Dani-Smilie '84, Ratner '91:** The only $u(t)$ invariant measures are $\mu_{G/\Gamma}$ and measures supported on periodic orbits.
- **Bourgain 1986:** The conjecture holds for $\mu_{G/\Gamma}$ -**almost every** x .
- **Venkatesh 2010:** $p(n) = n^{1+\varepsilon}$, compact quotients of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.
Improvements: Flaminio, Forni, Tanis, Vishe, Zheng.
- **Sarnak-Ubis 2011:** $p(n) = n^{\text{th}}$ prime, $SL(2, \mathbb{R})/SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, absolutely continuous weak limits with mass at least $1/10$.

A middle ground

Question

Does the conjecture hold ν -almost everywhere where ν is singular w.r.t. $\mu_{G/\Gamma}$ but satisfies

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (u(p(n)))_* \nu \rightarrow \mu_{G/\Gamma}$$

Question (More generally)

If ν is a probability measure on G/Γ satisfying $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (g_n)_* \nu \rightarrow \mu_{G/\Gamma}$ for a sequence g_n of elements G . When does equidistribution of $\{g_n x : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ hold for ν -almost every x ?

A middle ground

Question

Does the conjecture hold ν -almost everywhere where ν is singular w.r.t. $\mu_{G/\Gamma}$ but satisfies

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (u(p(n)))_* \nu \rightarrow \mu_{G/\Gamma}$$

Question (More generally)

If ν is a probability measure on G/Γ satisfying $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (g_n)_* \nu \rightarrow \mu_{G/\Gamma}$ for a sequence g_n of elements G . When does equidistribution of $\{g_n x : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ hold for ν -almost every x ?

Examples of ν

Author(s)	ν	Pushforward by
Eskin-McMullen '93	Closed orbits of a symmetric subgroup H of G	$g_n \rightarrow \infty$ in G/H
Shah '09, '10	Curves on horospherical subgroups of G	diagonal elements
Eskin-Mirzakhani-Mohammadi '13	$SO(2)$ orbits on a stratum of abelian differentials	geodesic flow

- Many others: Eskin-Mozes-Shah, Kleinbock-Weiss, ...

Abstract Setup

- (X, \mathfrak{B}, μ) a standard Borel space.
- $T_n : X \rightarrow X$ a sequence of continuous transformations.
- $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (T_n)_* \nu \rightarrow \mu$$

What can we prove with abstract ergodic theoretic tools?

Abstract Setup

- (X, \mathfrak{B}, μ) a standard Borel space.
- $T_n : X \rightarrow X$ a sequence of continuous transformations.
- $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (T_n)_* \nu \rightarrow \mu$$

What can we prove with abstract ergodic theoretic tools?

The Birkhoff Case: $T_n = T^n$

(X, \mathfrak{B}, μ) standard Borel space, $T : X \rightarrow X$ μ -ergodic, $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$,

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (T^n)_* \nu \rightarrow \mu$$

Theorem (K.'17)

For ν -almost every $x \in X$, there exists a sequence $A(x) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, of full upper density, such that

$$\lim_{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ N \in A(x)}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{T^n x} = \mu$$

- Does the limit exist?

The Birkhoff Case: $T_n = T^n$

(X, \mathfrak{B}, μ) standard Borel space, $T : X \rightarrow X$ μ -ergodic, $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$,

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (T^n)_* \nu \rightarrow \mu$$

Theorem (K.'17)

For ν -almost every $x \in X$, there exists a sequence $A(x) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, of full upper density, such that

$$\lim_{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ N \in A(x)}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{T^n x} = \mu$$

- Does the limit exist?

Example: Expanding Curves

$G = SL(d + 1, \mathbb{R})$, $\Gamma = SL(d + 1, \mathbb{Z})$, $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ an analytic curve.
 $\nu = \varphi_*(\text{Leb})$. For $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$u(v) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v^t \\ 0 & I_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad a(t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{dt} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} I_d \end{pmatrix}$$

By Shah'09, the pointwise theorem applies in this case and gives:

Corollary (K.'17)

If the image of φ is not contained in finitely many proper affine subspaces of \mathbb{R}^d , then for almost every $s \in [0, 1]$, there exists $A(s) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ of full upper density such that

$$\lim_{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ N \in A(s)}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{a(n)u(\varphi(s))x} = \mu_{G/\Gamma}$$

What about sequences of transformations?

Same setup but need two more conditions:

- 1 There exists $S : X \rightarrow X$ such that for ν -almost every x , all limit points of the sequence $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{T_n x}$ is S invariant.
- 2 μ is S ergodic and there exists a σ -compact μ -null set $Z \subset X$ on which all other S -ergodic measures live.

Theorem (K.'17)

For ν -almost every x , there exists a sequence $A(x) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, of full upper density, such that

$$\lim_{N \in A(x)} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{T_n x} = \mu$$

Unipotent invariance and Ratner's Theorem

H a symmetric subgroup, $\nu = \mu_H$ is H -invariant probability measure on a closed H -orbit.

Definition (Ratner Sequences)

We say a sequence g_n of elements of G is a **Ratner Sequence** for H if there exists a one parameter unipotent subgroup U such that for μ_H almost every $x \in G/\Gamma$, any limit point of the measures $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{g_n x}$ is invariant by U .

Existence of Ratner Sequences

Generalizing a technique due to J. Chaika and A. Eskin, we prove

Theorem (K.'17)

If the sequence g_n grows exponentially with bounded H component, then g_n contains a Ratner sequence as a subsequence.

- In most examples, the sequence $g_n = g^{p(n)}$ for some $g \in G$ and $p : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ increasing. No need to pass to a subsequence.

Existence of Ratner Sequences

Generalizing a technique due to J. Chaika and A. Eskin, we prove

Theorem (K.'17)

If the sequence g_n grows exponentially with bounded H component, then g_n contains a Ratner sequence as a subsequence.

- In most examples, the sequence $g_n = g^{p(n)}$ for some $g \in G$ and $p : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ increasing. No need to pass to a subsequence.

Proof of main theorem

- **Step 1:** the elements

$$g_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{\lambda n^\varepsilon} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

form a Ratner sequence for $H = SO(2)$.

- **Step 2:** Apply the ergodic theorem to conclude that for every $x \in G/\Gamma$ and for almost every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, there exists a sequence $A(\theta) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ of full upper density such that

$$\lim_{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ N \in A(\theta)}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{g_n k_\theta x} = \mu_{G/\Gamma}$$

Thanks!