

## Solutions to CS/MCS 401 Week #8–9 Exercises (Fall 2007)

**Exercise J.** Consider first the general case in which the pivot is chosen as the median of  $k$  elements, where  $k$  is odd and  $k > 1$ . We also assume  $n \gg k^2$ , so we may approximate choice of  $k$  distinct elements by choice of  $k$  elements with repetition allowed..

Let  $A_S = \{a[i] \mid a[i] < n/4^{\text{th}} \text{ smallest element of } a\}$ , and let  $A_L = \{a[i] \mid a[i] > n/4^{\text{th}} \text{ largest element of } a\}$ . In general, the probability that among  $k$  randomly chosen elements of  $a$  exactly  $i$  lie in an  $n/4$  element subset of  $a$  is very close to  $C(k, i)(1/4)^i(3/4)^{k-i}$ , since  $n$  is large. In order to obtain a bad split, at least  $(k+1)/2$  elements from our  $k$ -element subset must lie in  $A_S$ , or at least  $(k+1)/2$  must lie in  $A_L$ . Each of these alternatives occurs with probability

$$\sum_{i=(k+1)/2}^k C(k, i)(1/4)^i(3/4)^{k-i},$$

so the probability of a bad split is twice this, or

$$\sum_{i=(k+1)/2}^k 2C(k, i)(1/4)^i(3/4)^{k-i},$$

For  $k = 1, 3, 5$ , and  $7$ , this evaluates as follows:

$$k = 1: \quad 1/2 = \mathbf{0.500}$$

$$k = 3: \quad 2 \cdot 3(1/16)(3/4) + 2 \cdot 1(1/64) = 20/64 = \mathbf{0.312}$$

$$k = 5: \quad 2 \cdot 10(1/64)(9/16) + 2 \cdot 5(1/256)(3/4) + 2 \cdot 1 \cdot (1/1024) = 212/1024 = \mathbf{0.207}$$

$$k = 7: \quad 2 \cdot 35(1/256)(27/64) + 2 \cdot 21(1/1024)(9/16) + 2 \cdot 7(1/4096)(3/4) + 2 \cdot 1(1/16384) = \mathbf{0.139}.$$

**Exercise 9.3-1.** Let us consider the general case where the input elements are divided into groups of  $q$  elements each, where  $q$  is odd. For simplicity, assume  $n$  is a multiple of  $q$ , so the number of groups is  $n/q$ . Let  $T_q(n)$  be the time to find  $k^{\text{th}}$  smallest element. In class and in the text,  $q = 5$  was used. For a fixed  $q$ , the *Select()* algorithm uses constant time to sort (or at least to find the median of) each group of  $q$  elements, and hence time linear in  $n$  to sort all  $q$ -element groups. (However, the constant multiplying  $n$  does increase as  $q$  increases.) Then *Select()* invokes itself recursively to find the median of the  $n/q$  medians, requiring time  $T(n/q)$ . Next *Select()* invokes *partition()*, modified to use the median of the  $n/q$  medians as the pivot. This takes linear time, and produces a split in which at least  $(q+1)/2 \cdot n/2q - 1$  of the  $n$  elements are less than the pivot, and at least this number are greater. Ignoring the  $-1$ , the fraction of elements on either side of the pivot is at least  $(q+1)/(4q)$ , meaning that the fraction on either side of the pivot can be at most  $1 - (q+1)/(4q) = (3q-1)/(4q)$ . The time for the recursive call to *Select()* on the left or right subarray is at most  $T((3q-1)/(4q) \cdot n)$ . So our recurrence for the running time is

$$T(n) = T(n/q) + T((3q-1)/(4q) \cdot n) + \Theta(n).$$

The sum of the subproblem sizes is  $n/q + (3q-1)/(4q) \cdot n + \Theta(n) = 3(q+1)/4q \cdot n$ . We showed in class that the solution was  $\Theta(n)$  when  $q = 5$ ; the proof relied only on the fact that the sum of the subproblem sizes was at most  $cn$  for some constant  $c$  less than 1. On the other

hand, if the subproblems have size  $\alpha n$  and  $\beta n$  with  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ , the solution is  $\Theta(n \lg(n))$ . (We proved this in class for  $\alpha = 2/3$ ,  $\beta = 1/3$ , but the proof works for any  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with  $\alpha < 1$ ,  $\beta < 1$ , and  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ . So for *Select()* to run in linear time in the worst case, we need  $3(q+1)/4q < 1$ , or  $3(q+1) < 4q$ , or  $q > 3$ .

Thus, with groups of 3, the worst-case running time of *Select()* is not linear, but with groups of 5, 7, or any other odd integer it is linear.

**Exercise 9.3-8.** First note: Let  $S$  be any set. If, for some  $q$  with  $q < |S|/2$ , we remove from  $S$  both  $q$  elements less than or equal to the (old) median of  $S$  and  $q$  elements greater than or equal to the median, then the median of  $S$  remains unchanged.

To keep things simple, let us assume  $n = 2^k - 1$  for some  $k$ .

If  $k = 1$ , then we are finding the median of two elements; simply choose the smaller (for the lower median).

If  $k > 1$ , then  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $m = (n+1)/2 = 2^{k-1}$ , the middle position of  $X$  and  $Y$ . Since  $X$  and  $Y$  are sorted, the medians of  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $X[m]$  and  $Y[m]$ , respectively. If  $X[m] = Y[m]$ , we are done;  $X[m]$  is the median of the two arrays combined. If  $X[m] < Y[m]$ , then

$$X[m] \leq (\text{combined median}) \leq Y[m].$$

We may discard  $X[1..m]$  ( $m$  elements  $\leq$  combined median) and  $Y[m..n]$  ( $m$  elements  $\geq$  the combined median). This leaves two sorted subarrays,  $X[m+1..n]$  and  $Y[1..m-1]$  with  $2^{k-1} - 1$  each, which have the same combined median as the two original arrays, so we can invoke our algorithm recursively to find the median of these two subarrays. Similarly, if  $X[m] > Y[m]$ , simply reverse the roles of  $X$  and  $Y$  in the previous argument.

```
// Invoke initially as median( X, 1, n, Y, 1, n ). T is the element type of X and Y. Note
// this code assumes for simplicity that n is one less than a power of 2. Note at all times
// xRight-xLeft = yRight-yLeft.

T median( T[] X, int xLeft, int xRight, T[] Y, int yLeft, int yRight)
    if ( xLeft == xRight )
        return min( X[xLeft], Y[yLeft] );
    xMid = (xLeft + xRight) / 2;
    yMid = (yLeft + yRight) / 2;
    if ( X[xMid] < Y[yMid] )
        return median( X, xMid+1, xRight, Y, yLeft, yMid-1 );
    else if ( X[xMid] > Y[yMid] )
        return median( X, xLeft, xMid-1, Y, yMid+1, yRight );
    else
        return X[xMid];
```