# Generalizing the Ramsey Problem through Diameter

Dhruv Mubayi\*

Submitted: January 8, 2001; Accepted: November 13, 2001. MR Subject Classifications: 05C12, 05C15, 05C35, 05C55

#### Abstract

Given a graph G and positive integers d, k, let  $f_d^k(G)$  be the maximum t such that every k-coloring of E(G) yields a monochromatic subgraph with diameter at most d on at least t vertices. Determining  $f_1^k(K_n)$  is equivalent to determining classical Ramsey numbers for multicolorings. Our results include

• determining  $f_d^k(K_{a,b})$  within 1 for all d, k, a, b

• for  $d \ge 4$ ,  $f_d^3(K_n) = \lceil n/2 \rceil + 1$  or  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$  depending on whether  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  or not

•  $f_3^k(K_n) > \frac{n}{k-1+1/k}$ 

The third result is almost sharp, since a construction due to Calkin implies that  $f_3^k(K_n) \leq \frac{n}{k-1} + k - 1$  when k - 1 is a prime power. The asymptotics for  $f_d^k(K_n)$  remain open when d = k = 3 and when  $d \geq 3, k \geq 4$  are fixed.

### 1 Introduction

The Ramsey problem for multicolorings asks for the minimum n such that every k-coloring of the edges of  $K_n$  yields a monochromatic  $K_p$ . This problem has been generalized in many ways (see, e.g., [2, 6, 7, 9, 12, 13, 14]). We begin with the following generalization due to Paul Erdős [8] (see also [11]):

**Problem 1** What is the maximum t with the property that every k-coloring of  $E(K_n)$  yields a monochromatic subgraph of diameter at most two on at least t vertices?

A related problem is investigated in [14], where the existence of the Ramsey number is proven when the host graph is not necessarily a clique. Call a subgraph of diameter at most d a d-subgraph.

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science, University of Illinois at Chicago, 851 S. Morgan Street, Chicago, IL 60607-7045, *mubayi@math.uic.edu* 

Keywords: diameter, generalized ramsey theory

**Theorem 2** (Tonoyan [14]) Let  $D, k \ge 1$ ,  $d \ge D$ ,  $n \ge 2$ . Then there is a smallest integer  $t = R_{D,k}(n,d)$  such that every graph G with diameter D on at least t vertices has the following property: every k-coloring of E(G) yields a monochromatic d-subgraph on at least n vertices.

We study a problem closely related to Tonoyan's result that also generalizes Problem 1 to larger diameter.

**Definition 3** Let G be a graph and d, k be positive integers. Then  $f_d^k(G)$  is the maximum t with the property that every k-coloring of E(G) yields a monochromatic d-subgraph on at least t vertices.

The asymptotics for  $f_d^k(G)$  when  $G = K_n$  and d = 2 (Erdős' problem) were determined in [10].

**Theorem 4** (Fowler [10])  $f_2^2(K_n) = \lceil 3n/4 \rceil$  and if  $k \ge 3$ , then  $f_2^k(K_n) \sim n/k$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

In this paper, we study  $f_d^k(G)$  when G is a complete graph or a complete bipartite graph. In the latter case, we determine its value within 1.

**Theorem 5** (Section 3) Let  $k, a, b \ge 2$ . Then  $f_2^k(K_{a,b}) = 1 + \lceil \max\{a, b\}/k \rceil$ , and for  $d \ge 3$ ,  $\left\lceil \frac{1}{ab} \left( \left\lceil \frac{ab^2}{k} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{a^2b}{k} \right\rceil \right) \right\rceil \le f_d^k(K_{a,b}) \le \left\lceil \frac{a}{k} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{b}{k} \right\rceil.$ 

Determining  $f_d^k(K_n)$  (for  $d \ge 3$ ) seems more difficult. We succeed in doing this only when d > k = 3.

**Theorem 6** (Section 4) Let  $d \ge 4$ . Then

$$f_d^3(K_n) = \begin{cases} n/2 + 1 & n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ \lceil n/2 \rceil & otherwise \end{cases}$$

When d = 3 we are able to obtain bounds for  $f_d^k(K_n)$ .

**Theorem 7** (Section 5) Let  $k \ge 2$ . Then  $f_3^k(K_n) > n/(k - 1 + 1/k)$ .

In section 5 we also describe an unpublished construction of Calkin which implies that  $f_3^k(K_n) \leq n/(k-1) + k - 1$  when k-1 is a prime power. This shows that the bound in Theorem 7 is not far off from being best possible. In section 6 we summarize the known results for  $f_d^k(K_n)$ . Our main tool for Theorems 5 and 7 is developed in Section 2.

The electronic journal of combinatorics 9 (2002), #R00

### 2 The Main Lemma

In this section we prove a statement about 3-subgraphs in colorings of bipartite graphs. Although this is later used in the proofs of Theorems 5 and 7, we feel it is of independent interest.

Suppose that G is a graph and  $c : E(G) \to [k]$  is a k-coloring of its edges. For each  $i \in [k]$  and  $x \in V(G)$ , let  $N_i(x) = \{y \in N(x) : c(xy) = i\}$  and  $d_i(x) = |N_i(x)|$ . For  $uv \in E(G)$ , let the weight of uv be

$$w(uv) = d_{c(uv)}(u) + d_{c(uv)}(v).$$

**Lemma 8** Let G be a subgraph of  $K_{a,b}$  with e edges and  $d \ge 3$ . Then

$$f_d^k(G) \ge \left\lceil \frac{1}{e} \left( \left\lceil \frac{e^2}{ak} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{e^2}{bk} \right\rceil \right) \right\rceil \ge \left\lceil \frac{e}{ak} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{e}{bk} \right\rceil - 1.$$

**Proof:** Suppose that  $K_{a,b}$  has bipartition A, B with  $X = V(G) \cap A$ , and  $Y = V(G) \cap B$ . Let  $c : E(G) \to [k]$  be a k-coloring. Observe that an edge with weight w gives rise to a 3-subgraph on w vertices. We prove the stronger statement that G has an edge with weight at least

$$\left\lceil \frac{1}{e} \left( \left\lceil \frac{e^2}{ak} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{e^2}{bk} \right\rceil \right) \right\rceil.$$

We obtain a lower bound on the sum of all the edge-weights.

$$\sum_{uv \in E(G)} w(uv) = \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{i \in [k]} \sum_{y \in N_i(x)} w(xy)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{i \in [k]} \sum_{y \in N_i(x)} d_i(x) + d_i(y)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{i \in [k]} [d_i(x)]^2 + \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{i \in [k]} [d_i(y)]^2$$

$$\ge \left\lceil \frac{e^2}{ak} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{e^2}{bk} \right\rceil,$$
(1)

where (1) follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality applied to each double sum. Since there is an edge with weight at least as large as the average, we have

$$f_d^k(G) \ge \left\lceil \frac{1}{e} \left( \left\lceil \frac{e^2}{ak} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{e^2}{bk} \right\rceil \right) \right\rceil \ge \left\lceil \frac{e}{ak} + \frac{e}{bk} \right\rceil \ge \left\lceil \frac{e}{ak} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{e}{bk} \right\rceil - 1.$$

A slight variation of the proof of Lemma 8 also yields the following more general result.

**Lemma 9** Suppose that G is a graph with n vertices and e edges. Let  $c : E(G) \to [k]$  be a k-coloring of E(G) such that every color class is triangle-free. Then G contains a monochromatic 3-subgraph on at least 4e/(nk) vertices.

The electronic journal of combinatorics  ${\bf 9}$  (2002),  $\#{\rm R00}$ 

### **3** Bipartite Graphs

**Proof of Theorem 5:** Let  $c : E(K_{a,b}) \to [k]$  be a k-coloring. The lower bound for the case d = 2 is obtained by considering a pair (v, i) for which  $d_i(v)$  is maximized. The set  $v \cup N_i(v)$  induces a monochromatic 2-subgraph. The lower bound when  $d \ge 3$  follows from Lemma 8. For the upper bounds we provide the following constructions.

Let  $K_{a,b}$  have bipartition  $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_a\}$  and  $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_b\}$ , and assume that  $a \leq b$ . Partition X into k sets  $X_1, \ldots, X_k$ , each of size  $\lceil a/k \rceil$  or  $\lfloor a/k \rfloor$ , and partition Y into k sets  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_k$ , each of size  $\lceil b/k \rceil$  or  $\lfloor b/k \rfloor$ . Furthermore, let both these partitions be "consecutive" in the sense that  $X_1 = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r\}$ ,  $X_2 = \{x_{r+1}, x_{r+2}, \ldots, x_{r+s}\}$ , etc. Finally, for each nonnegative integer t, let  $Y_i + t = \{y_{l+t} : y_l \in Y_i\}$ , where subscripts are taken modulo b.

When d = 2 and  $j \in [k]$ , let the  $j^{th}$  color class be all edges between  $x_i$  and  $Y_j + (i-1)$  for each  $i \in [n]$ . Because  $K_{a,b}$  is bipartite, the distance between a pair of nonadjacent vertices  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  in the subgraph formed by the edges in color j is at least three. Thus a 2-subgraph of  $K_{a,b}$  is a complete bipartite graph.

For  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , let  $\alpha_i$  be the smallest subscript of an element in  $Y_i$ . Thus  $\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i = |Y_i|$ since  $Y_i = \{y_{\alpha_i}, y_{\alpha_i+1}, \dots, y_{\alpha_{i+1}-1}\}$ . Fix  $l \in [k]$  and let H be a largest monochromatic complete bipartite graph in color l. Let  $A = V(H) \cap X$  and  $B = V(H) \cap Y$ . Let r be the smallest index such that  $x_r \in A$  and let s be the largest index such that  $x_s \in A$ . As  $N_H(x_r) = \{y_{\alpha_l+r-1}, y_{\alpha_l+r}, \dots, y_{\alpha_{l+1}+r-2}\}$  and  $N_H(x_s) = \{y_{\alpha_l+s-1}, y_{\alpha_l+s}, \dots, y_{\alpha_{l+1}+s-2}\}$ , we have  $N_H(x_r) \cap N_H(x_s) = \{y_{\alpha_l+s-1}, \dots, y_{\alpha_{l+1}+r-2}\}$ , where subscripts are taken modulo b. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} |V(H)| &\leq |\{x_r, \dots, x_s\}| + |\{y_{\alpha_l+s-1}, \dots, y_{\alpha_{l+1}+r-2}\}| \\ &= (s-r+1) + (\alpha_{l+1}+r-2 - (\alpha_l+s-1)+1) \\ &= 1 + \alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l \\ &= 1 + |Y_l| \\ &\leq 1 + \lceil b/k \rceil. \end{aligned}$$

When d > 2 and  $j \in [k]$ , let the  $j^{th}$  color class consist of the edges between  $X_i$  and  $Y_{i-1+j}$  (subscripts taken modulo k) for each  $i \in [k]$ . The maximum size of a connected monochromatic subgraph is  $\max_{i,i'}\{|X_i| + |Y_{i'}|\} = \lceil a/k \rceil + \lceil b/k \rceil$ .

Recall that the bipartite Ramsey number for multicolorings  $b_k(H)$  is the minimum n such that every k-coloring of  $E(K_{n,n})$  yields a monochromatic copy of H. Analogous to the case with the classical Ramsey numbers, determining these numbers is hard. Chvátal [5], and Bieneke-Schwenk [3] proved that when  $H = K_{p,q}$ , this number is at most  $(q - 1)k^p + O(k^{p-1})$ , and some exact results for the case  $H = K_{2,q}$  were also obtained in [3].

It is worth noting that the function  $f_2^k(K_{a,b})$  seems fundamentally different (and much easier to determine) from the numbers  $b_k(K_{p,q})$ , since we do not require our complete bipartite subgraphs to have a specified number of vertices in each partite set.

#### 4 Diameter at least four

In this section we consider  $f_d^k(K_n)$ . Since a 1-subgraph is a clique, the problem is hopeless if d = 1. The case d = 2 was settled in [10], where nontrivial constructions were obtained that matched the trivial lower bounds asymptotically. We investigate the problem for larger d. We include the following slight strengthening of a well-known (and easy) fact for completeness (see problem 2.1.34 of [15]).

**Proposition 10** Every 2-coloring of  $E(K_n)$  yields a monochromatic spanning 2-subgraph or a monochromatic spanning 3-subgraph in each color. Thus in particular,  $f_d^2(K_n) = n$  for  $d \ge 3$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that the coloring uses red and blue. We may assume that both the red subgraph and the blue subgraph have diameter at least three. Thus there exist vertices  $r_1, r_2$  (respectively,  $b_1, b_2$ ) with the shortest red  $r_1, r_2$ -path (respectively, blue  $b_1, b_2$ -path) having length at least three. We will show that the blue subgraph has diameter at most three.

Let u, v be arbitrary vertices in  $K_n$ . If  $\{u, v\} \cap \{r_1, r_2\} \neq \emptyset$ , then the fact that there is no red  $r_1, r_2$ -path of length at most two guarantees a blue u, v-path of length at most two. We may therefore assume that  $\{u, v\} \cap \{r_1, r_2\} = \emptyset$ .

At least one of  $ur_1, ur_2$  is blue, and at least one of  $vr_1, vr_2$  is blue. Together with the blue edge  $r_1r_2$ , these three blue edges contain a u, v-path of length at most three. Since u and v are arbitrary, the blue subgraph has diameter at most three. Similarly, the vertices  $b_1, b_2$  can be used to show that the red subgraph also has diameter at most three.  $\Box$ 

We now turn to the case when  $d, k \ge 3$ . The following k-coloring of  $K_n$  has the property that the largest connected monochromatic subgraph has order  $2\lceil n/(k+1)\rceil$  when k is odd and  $2\lceil n/k\rceil$  when k is even. As we will see below, this is sharp when k = 3, but not for any other value of k when k - 1 is a prime power [4].

This construction was suggested independently by Erdős. It uses the well-known fact that the edge-chromatic number of  $K_n$  is n if n is odd and n-1 if n is even.

**Construction 11** When k is odd, partition  $V(K_n)$  into k + 1 sets  $V_1, \ldots, V_{k+1}$ , each of size  $\lfloor n/(k+1) \rfloor$  or  $\lceil n/(k+1) \rceil$ . Contract each  $V_i$  to a single vertex  $v_i$ , and the edges between any pair  $V_i, V_j$  to a single edge  $v_i v_j$  to obtain  $K_{k+1}$ . Let  $c : E(K_{k+1}) \to [k]$  be a proper edge-coloring. Expand  $K_{k+1}$  back to the original  $K_n$ , coloring every edge between  $V_i$  and  $V_j$  with  $c(v_i v_j)$ . Color all edges within each  $V_i$  with color 1.

Because c is a proper edge-coloring, a monochromatic connected graph G can have  $V(G) \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$  for at most two distinct indices  $i \in [k]$ . Thus  $|V(G)| \leq 2\lceil n/(k+1)\rceil$ . In the case  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$ , only one  $V_i$  has size  $\lceil n/(k+1)\rceil$  and all the rest have size  $\lfloor n/(k+1) \rfloor$ , so  $|V(G)| \leq \lceil n/(k+1)\rceil + \lfloor n/(k+1) \rfloor$ .

When k is even, partition  $V(K_n)$  into k sets, color as described above with k-1 colors and change the color on any single edge to the kth color.

**Proof of Theorem 6:** For the upper bounds we use Construction 11. When  $n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $2\lceil n/4 \rceil = \lceil n/2 \rceil$ . When  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $2\lceil n/4 \rceil = n/2 + 1$ . When  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , the construction gives the improvement  $\lceil n/4 \rceil + \lfloor n/4 \rfloor$  which again equals the claimed bound  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ .

For the lower bound, consider a 3-coloring  $c : E(K_n) \to [3]$ . Pick any vertex v, and assume without loss of generality that  $\max\{d_i(v)\} = d_1(v)$ . Let  $N = v \cup N_1(v)$  and let  $N' = (\bigcup_{w \in N} N_1(w)) - N$ . The subgraph in color 1 induced by  $N \cup N'$  is a 4-subgraph, thus we are done unless  $|N| + |N'| \leq n/2$ , which we may henceforth assume.

Let  $M = V(K_n) - N - N'$ . Observe that color 1 is forbidden on edges between N and M. Since  $M \subseteq N_2(v) \cup N_3(v)$ , we may assume without loss of generality that the set  $S = N_2(v) \cap M$  satisfies  $|S| \ge |M|/2 \ge n/4$ .

If every  $x \in N$  has the property that there is a  $y \in S$  with c(xy) = 2, then the subgraph in color 2 induced by  $N \cup S$  is a 4-subgraph with at least (n+2)/3 + n/4 vertices, and we are done. We may therefore suppose that there is an  $x \in N$  such that c(xx') = 3 for every  $x' \in S$ . For i = 2, 3, let

$$A_i = \{ u \in N \cup N' \cup (M - S) : \text{ there is a } u' \in S \text{ with } c(uu') = i \}.$$

By the definitions of N, M, and  $A_i$ , we have  $A_2 \cup A_3 \supseteq N$ . We next strengthen this to  $A_2 \cup A_3 \supseteq N \cup N'$ . If there is a vertex  $z \in N'$  with c(zy) = 1 for every  $y \in S$ , then the subgraph in color 1 induced by  $S \cup N \cup \{z\}$  is a monochromatic 4-subgraph on at least  $n/4 + (n+2)/3 + 1 \ge n/2 + 1$  vertices. Therefore we assume the  $A_2 \cup A_3 \supseteq N \cup N'$ .

Because of v and x, each of the sets  $A_i \cup S$  induces a monochromatic 4-subgraph. Consequently, there is a monochromatic 4-subgraph of order at least  $|S| + \max_i \{|A_i|\}$ . By the previous observations, this is at least

$$|S| + \frac{|A_2| + |A_3|}{2} \ge \left\lceil \frac{|M|}{2} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{|A_2 \cup A_3|}{2} \right\rceil \ge$$
$$\ge \left\lceil \frac{|M|}{2} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{|N \cup N'|}{2} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{|M|}{2} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{n - |M|}{2} \right\rceil \ge \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$$

We now improve this bound by one when n = 4l+2. We obtain the improvement unless equality holds above, which forces |M| to be even, |S| = |M|/2, and  $A_2 \cup A_3 = N \cup N'$ . Recall that  $|N| + |N'| \le n/2$ , which implies that  $|M| \ge n/2 = 2l + 1$ . Because |M| is even, we obtain  $|M| \ge 2l + 2 = n/2 + 1$ .

Since  $A_2 \cup A_3 = N \cup N'$ , every vertex in M - S has no edge to S in color 2 or 3. Thus all edges between S and M - S are of color 1, and the complete bipartite graph B with parts S and M - S is monochromatic. Because |S| = |M|/2, both S and M - S are nonempty. This implies that B is a monochromatic 2-subgraph with  $|M| \ge n/2 + 1$  vertices.

The electronic journal of combinatorics  ${\bf 9}$  (2002),  $\#{\rm R00}$ 

### 5 Diameter three and infinity

In this section we prove Theorem 7 and also present an unpublished construction of Calkin which improves the bounds given by Construction 11 when k - 1 > 3 is a prime power.

**Proof of Theorem 7:** Given a k-coloring  $c : E(K_n) \to [k]$ , choose  $v \in V(K_n)$ , and assume that  $d_i(v)$  is maximized when i = 1. Consider the bipartite graph G with bipartition  $A = v \cup N_1(v)$  and  $B = V(K_n) - A$ ; set a = |A|. For  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ , let  $xy \in E(G)$  if  $c(xy) \neq 1$ . Let  $\Delta = \max_{w \in A} |N_1(w) \cap B|$ . Then  $E(G) \ge a(n - a - \Delta)$ .

For any  $w \in A$  with  $|N_1(w) \cap B| = \Delta$ , the subgraph in color 1 induced by  $A \cup N_1(w)$ is a 3-subgraph with at least  $a + \Delta$  vertices. By definition, color 1 is absent in G and thus E(G) is (k-1)-colored. Lemma 8 applied to G yields a 3-subgraph on at least  $(n-a-\Delta)/(k-1) + a(n-a-\Delta)/((k-1)(n-a))$  vertices. Thus  $K_n$  contains a 3-subgraph of order at least

$$\min_{\substack{a, \Delta \\ a \ge 1+(n-1)/k \\ \Delta \le n-a}} \max\left\{a + \Delta, \ \frac{a(n-a-\Delta)}{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{n-a}\right)\right\}.$$

We let  $\Delta$  and *a* take on real values to obtain a lower bound on this minimum. Since one of these functions is increasing in  $\Delta$  and the other is decreasing in  $\Delta$ , the choice of  $\Delta$  that minimizes the maximum (for fixed *a*) is that for which the two quantities are equal. This choice is

$$\Delta = \frac{(n-a)(n-a(k-1))}{kn-a(k-1)} ,$$

and both functions become  $n^2/(kn - a(k - 1))$ . Since this is an increasing function for  $1 + (n - 1)/k \le a < kn/(k - 1)$ , and since we are assuming  $a \le n$ , the minimum is obtained at a = 1 + (n - 1)/k. This yields a lower bound of  $kn^2/((k^2 - k + 1)n - (k - 1)^2)$ .

**Definition 12** For a positive integer k, let  $f_{\infty}^{k}(G)$  be the maximum t with the property that every k-coloring of E(G) yields a monochromatic connected subgraph on at least t vertices.

Clearly  $f_d^k(G) \leq f_\infty^k(G)$  for each d, since a d-subgraph is connected. Construction 11 and Theorem 6 therefore immediately yield  $f_\infty^3(K_n) = n/2 + 1$  or  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$  depending on whether  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  or not (see also exercise 14 of Chapter 6 of [1]). For larger k, however, the following unpublished construction due to Calkin improves Construction 11

**Construction 13** (Calkin) Let q be a prime power and  $\mathbf{F}$  be a finite field on q elements. We exhibit a q + 1-coloring of  $E(K_{q^2})$ . Let  $V(K_{q^2}) = \mathbf{F} \times \mathbf{F}$ . Color the edge (i, j)(i', j') by the field element (j' - j)/(i' - i) if  $i \neq i'$ , and color all edges (i, j)(i, j') with a single new color. This coloring is well-defined since (j' - j)/(i' - i) = (j - j')/(i - i').  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 14** Construction 13 produces a q + 1-coloring of  $E(K_{q^2})$  such that the subgraph of any given color consists of q vertex disjoint copies of  $K_q$ .

**Proof:** This is certainly true of the color on edges of the form (i, j)(i, j'). Now fix a color  $l \in \mathbf{F}$ . Let  $(x, y) \sim (x', y')$  if the edge (x, y)(x', y') has color l. We will show that this relation is transitive.

Suppose that  $(i, j) \sim (i', j')$  and  $(i', j') \sim (i'', j'')$ . Then

$$(j'-j)/(i'-i) = l = (j''-j')/(i''-i').$$

Consequently,

$$(j''-j) = (j''-j') + (j'-j) = l(i''-i') + l(i'-i) = l(i''-i)$$

and therefore  $(i, j) \sim (i'', j'')$ .

Since this relation on  $V(K_{q^2}) \times V(K_{q^2})$  is an equivalence relation, the edges in color l form vertex disjoint complete graphs. For fixed i, j, l, there are exactly q - 1 distinct  $(x, y) \neq (i, j)$  for which  $(x, y) \sim (i, j)$ , because  $x \neq i$  uniquely determines y. This completes the proof.

Lemma 14 together with Theorem 5 allows us to easily obtain good bounds for  $f_{\infty}^k(K_n)$ . The author believes that the following theorem was also proved independently by Calkin. Our proof of the lower bound given below uses Theorem 5.

**Theorem 15** Let k-1 be a prime power. Then  $n/(k-1) \leq f_{\infty}^{k}(K_{n}) \leq n/(k-1)+k-1$ .

**Proof:** For the upper bound we use the idea of Construction 13. Let **F** be a finite field of q = k - 1 elements. Partition  $V(K_n)$  into  $(k - 1)^2$  sets  $V_{i,j}$  of size  $\lfloor n/(k - 1)^2 \rfloor$  or  $\lceil n/(k - 1)^2 \rceil$ , where  $i, j \in \mathbf{F}$ . Color all edges between  $V_{i,j}$  and  $V_{i',j'}$  by the field element (j' - j)/(i' - i) if  $i \neq i'$ , and by a new color if i = i'. Color all edges within each  $V_{i,j}$  by a single color in **F**.

Lemma 14 implies that the order of the largest monochromatic connected subgraph is at most  $\lceil n/(k-1)^2 \rceil (k-1) \le n/(k-1) + k - 1$ .

For the lower bound, consider a k-coloring of  $E(K_n)$ . We may assume that the subgraph H in some color l is not a connected spanning subgraph. This yields a partition  $X \cup Y$  of  $V(K_n)$  such that no edge between X and Y has color l (let X be a component of H). The bipartite graph B formed by the X, Y edges is colored with k-1 colors. Applying Theorem 5 to B yields a 3-subgraph of order at least |X|/(k-1)+|Y|/(k-1)=n/(k-1).  $\Box$ 

# 6 Table of Results

Table of Results	for	$f_d^k(K_n)$
------------------	-----	--------------

	2	3	4	5			
1	Equivalent to classical Ramsey numbers						
2	$\left\lceil \frac{3n}{4} \right\rceil,  [10] \qquad \qquad \sim \frac{n}{k} \;,  [10]$						
		$\leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1 ,$	$\leq \frac{n}{3} + 2 \; ,$	$\leq \frac{n}{k-1} + k - 1$	, $k-1$ prime		
3	n,	Construction 11	Construction 13	power, Construction 13			
	Proposition 10	$> \frac{3n}{7}$ , Theorem 7	$> \frac{4n}{13}$ , Theorem 7	$> \frac{n}{k-1+1/k}$ , Theorem 7			
4		$\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$ or $\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1$	$\leq \frac{n}{3} + 3 \; ,$	$\leq \frac{n}{4} + 4 \; ,$			
:		Construction 11 Theorem 6	Construction 13	Construction 13			

# 7 Acknowledgments

The author thanks Tom Fowler for informing him about [10], and an anonymous referee for informing him about [4]. Thanks also to Annette Rohrs for help with typesetting the article.

## References

- [1] B. Bollobás, Modern Graph Theory, Springer-Verlag (1998).
- [2] S. Burr, P. Erdős, Generalizations of a Ramsey-theoretic result of Chvátal, J. Graph Theory 7 (1983), no. 1, 39–51.
- [3] L. W. Beineke, A. J. Schwenk, On a bipartite form of the Ramsey problem, Proceedings of the Fifth British Combinatorial Conference (Univ. Aberdeen, Aberdeen, 1975), pp. 17–22. Congressus Numerantium, No. XV, Utilitas Math., Winnipeg, Man., 1976.
- [4] N. Calkin, unpublished.

- [5] V. Chvátal, On finite polarized partition relations, Canad. Math. Bul., 12, (1969), 321–326.
- [6] M. K. Chung, C. L. Liu, A generalization of Ramsey theory for graphs, *Discrete Math.* 21 (1978), no. 2, 117–127.
- [7] G. Chen, R. H. Schelp, Ramsey problems with bounded degree spread, Combin. Probab. Comput. 2 (1993), no. 3, 263–269.
- [8] P. Erdős, personal communication with T. Fowler.
- [9] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal, J. Pach, On a metric generalization of Ramsey's theorem, *Israel J. Math.* 102 (1997), 283–295.
- [10] T. Fowler, Finding large monochromatic diameter two subgraphs, to appear.
- [11] A. Gyárfás, Fruit salad, Electron. J. Combin. 4 (1997), no. 1, Research Paper 8, 8 pp. (electronic).
- [12] M. S. Jacobson, On a generalization of Ramsey theory, Discrete Math. 38 (1982), no. 2-3, 191–195.
- [13] J. Nešetřil, V. Rödl A structural generalization of the Ramsey theorem, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1977), no. 1, 127–128.
- [14] R. N. Tonoyan, An analogue of Ramsey's theorem, Applied mathematics, No. 1 (Russian), 61–66, 92–93, Erevan. Univ., Erevan, 1981.
- [15] D. B. West, Introduction to Graph Theory, Prentice Hall, Inc., (1996).