

Turán problems in pseudorandom graphs

Xizhi Liu^{*} Dhruv Mubayi[†] David Munhá Correia[‡]

December 21, 2022

Abstract

Given a graph F , we consider the problem of determining the densest possible pseudorandom graph that contains no copy of F . We provide an embedding procedure that improves a general result of Conlon, Fox, and Zhao which gives an upper bound on the density. In particular, our result implies that optimally pseudorandom graphs with density greater than $n^{-1/3}$ must contain a copy of the Peterson graph, while the previous best result gives the bound $n^{-1/4}$. Moreover, we conjecture that the exponent $1/3$ in our bound is tight. We also construct the densest known pseudorandom $K_{2,3}$ -free graphs that are also triangle-free. Finally, we obtain the densest known construction of clique-free pseudorandom graphs due to Bishnoi, Ihringer and Pepe in a novel way and give a different proof that they have no large clique.

1 Introduction

Given a family \mathcal{F} of graphs we say a graph G is \mathcal{F} -free if it does not contain any member in \mathcal{F} as a subgraph. A fundamental problem in extremal graph theory is to determine the maximum number $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})$ of edges in an \mathcal{F} -free graph on n vertices. Here $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})$ is called the *Turán number* of \mathcal{F} , and the limit $\pi(\mathcal{F}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F}) / \binom{n}{2}$, whose existence was proved by Katona, Nemetz, and Simonovits [20], is called the *Turán density* of \mathcal{F} .

For a graph G we use $V(G)$ to denote the vertex set of G , and use $v(G)$ and $e(G)$ to denote the number of vertices and edges in G , respectively. For a set $S \subset V(G)$ we use $e_G(S)$ to denote the number of edges in the induced subgraph $G[S]$. Given two vertex sets $X, Y \subset V(G)$ we use $e_G(X, Y)$ to denote the number of edges in G that have one vertex in X and one vertex in Y (here edges with both vertices in $X \cap Y$ are counted twice, hence $e_G(X, X) = 2e_G(X)$). We will omit the subscript G if it is clear from the context.

Informally, we say that a graph is pseudorandom if its edge distribution behaves like a random graph. In this note we use the following notation, which was firstly introduced by Thomason in his fundamental papers [33, 34], to quantify the randomness of a graph.

For two real numbers $p \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha \geq 0$, we say a graph G is (p, α) -jumbled if it satisfies

$$|e(X, Y) - p|X||Y|| \leq \alpha \sqrt{|X||Y|} \quad (1)$$

^{*}Mathematics Institute and DIMAP, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK. Email: xizhi.liu@warwick.ac.uk. Research was supported by ERC Advanced Grant 101020255.

[†]Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science, University of Illinois, Chicago, IL, 60607 USA. Email: mubayi@uic.edu. Research partially supported by NSF awards DMS-1763317, 1952767, 2153576 and a Humboldt Research Award.

[‡]Department of Mathematics, ETH, Zürich. Email: david.munhacanascorreia@math.ethz.ch.

for all $X, Y \subset V(G)$.

A special family of (p, α) -jumbled graphs are the well-known (n, d, λ) -graphs. A graph G is an (n, d, λ) -graph if it is a d -regular graph on n vertices and the second largest eigenvalue in absolute value of its adjacency matrix is λ . The well-known Expander mixing lemma (e.g. see [24, Theorem 2.11]) implies that an (n, d, λ) -graph is $(d/n, \lambda)$ -jumbled. Conversely, Bilu and Linial [8] proved that an n -vertex d -regular (p, α) -jumbled graph is an (n, d, λ) -graph with $\lambda = O(\alpha \log(d/\alpha))$.

It is known that a random graph $G(n, p)$ is almost surely a (p, α) -jumbled graph with $\alpha = O(\sqrt{np})$ (see e.g. [24, Corollary 2.3]). The proof of Erdős and Spencer in [18] can be extended to show that every (p, α) -jumbled graph on n vertices satisfies that $\alpha = \Omega(\sqrt{np})$ (see e.g. [11, 24]), and, in particular, $\lambda = \Omega(\sqrt{d})$ for an (n, d, λ) -graph. Therefore, an n -vertex (p, α) -jumbled graph with $\alpha = \Theta(\sqrt{np})$ can be viewed as optimally pseudorandom. The tightness of the bound $\lambda = \Omega(\sqrt{d})$ in general is also witnessed by many well-known explicit constructions. For example, the well-known triangle-free (n, d, λ) -graph constructed by Alon [2] satisfies $d = \Theta(n^{2/3})$ and $\lambda = O(\sqrt{d})$.

Constructions of dense pseudorandom graphs that avoid a certain graph as a subgraph are extremely useful for many problems. In particular, the second author and Verstaëte [28] recently showed that for every fixed integer $t \geq 3$, the existence of K_t -free (n, d, λ) -graphs with $d = \Omega(n^{1-\frac{1}{2t-3}})$ and $\lambda = O(\sqrt{d})$ implies the lower bound $R(t, n) = \Omega(n^{t-1})$ for the off-diagonal Ramsey numbers, and this matches the best known upper bound in exponent. More generally, [28] shows that the existence of dense F -free pseudorandom graphs implies a good lower bound for the Ramsey number $R(F, n)$. This motivates us to consider the following pseudorandom version of the Turán problem.

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs and $C > 0$ be a real number. Let $\text{ex}_{\text{rand}}(n, C, \mathcal{F})$ be the maximum number of edges in an n -vertex (p, α) -jumbled \mathcal{F} -free graph with $\alpha \leq C\sqrt{np}$. Note that in the definition of $\text{ex}_{\text{rand}}(n, C, \mathcal{F})$ we do not have any restriction on p .

In many applications, it suffices to know the exponent of $\text{ex}_{\text{rand}}(n, C, \mathcal{F})$. So we let

$$\text{exp}(\mathcal{F}) = \lim_{C \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \text{ex}_{\text{rand}}(n, C, \mathcal{F})}{\log n}.$$

In other words, $\text{exp}(\mathcal{F})$ is the supremum of β such that there exist a constant C and a sequence $(G_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of \mathcal{F} -free (p_n, α_n) -jumbled graphs with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v(G_n) = \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(p_n v(G_n))}{\log v(G_n)} \geq \beta, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_n \leq C\sqrt{p_n v(G_n)}.$$

Using the Expander mixing lemma one can prove that for every integer $t \geq 3$ we have $\text{exp}(K_t) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2t-3}$. Alon's construction [2] shows that this bound is tight for $t = 3$, that is, $\text{exp}(K_3) = \frac{2}{3}$. It is a major open problem to determine $\text{exp}(K_t)$ in general. Alon and Krivelevich proved in [4] that $\text{exp}(K_t) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{t}$. Recently, Bishnoi, Ihringer, and Pepe [9] improved their bound and proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1 (Bishnoi–Ihringer–Pepe [9]). *Suppose that $t \geq 4$ is an integer. Then $\text{exp}(K_t) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{t-1}$.*

Mattheus and Pavese [27] give a different construction of K_t -free pseudorandom graphs which also matches the bound in Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we will present a construction

that is isomorphic to the construction of Bishnoi, Ihringer, and Pepe [9], and give a new proof to Theorem 1.1.

For bipartite graphs, the pseudorandom version of the Turán problem does not appear to differ much from the ordinary Turán problem since many constructions for the lower bound are pseudorandom. For example, for complete bipartite graphs, the projective norm graphs (see [22, 5]) are optimally pseudorandom (see [31]) and do not contain $K_{s,t}$ with $t \geq (s-1)! + 1$. Therefore, together with the well known Kővari–Sós–Turán Theorem [23], we know that $\exp(K_{s,t}) = 2 - \frac{1}{s}$ for all positive integers s, t with $t \geq (s-1)! + 1$. For even cycles, constructions from generalized polygons [25] and an old result of Bondy and Simonovits [12] imply that $\exp(C_6) = \frac{4}{3}$ and $\exp(C_{10}) = \frac{6}{5}$. The value of $\exp(C_{2k})$ for $k \neq 2, 3, 5$ are still unknown due to the lack of constructions. For non-bipartite graphs, $\exp(F)$ is completely different from the ordinary Turán problem (indeed, $\exp(F) < 2$ while $\pi(F) > 0$) and there are very graphs F for which $\exp(F)$ is known. For example, for odd cycles, a construction due to Alon and Kahale [3] together with Proposition 4.12 in [24] implies that $\exp(C_\ell) = \frac{2}{\ell}$ for all odd integers $\ell \geq 3$.

The first general upper bound on $\exp(F)$, is due to Kohayakawa Rödl, Schacht, Sissokho, and Skokan [21]. They prove that $\exp(F) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2\nu(F)-1}$ for every triangle-free graph F . Here $\nu(F) = \frac{1}{2}(d(F) + D(F) + 1)$, where $D(F) = \min\{2d(F), \Delta(F)\}$ and $d(F)$ is the degeneracy of F . This was improved via the following result of Conlon, Fox, and Zhao in [14].

Theorem 1.2 (Conlon–Fox–Zhao [14]). *For every graph F , we have $\exp(F) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2d_2(F)+1}$, where $d_2(F)$ is the minimum real number d such that there is an ordering of the vertices v_1, \dots, v_m of F so that $N_{<i}(v_i) + N_{<i}(v_j) \leq 2d$ for all edges $v_i v_j \in F$. Here $N_{<i}(v)$ is the number of neighbors of v in $\{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\}$.*

In some cases the bound provided by Theorem 1.2 is sharp (e.g. it is conjectured to be sharp for cliques), but we speculate that for most graphs F it can be improved. Below we give an improvement that holds for many graphs.

Theorem 1.3. *Let F be a fixed graph and d be such that the following holds. There exists an ordering v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m of the vertices of F and a $1 \leq k \leq m$ such that:*

- $F[\{v_k, \dots, v_m\}]$ is a forest,
- for all edges $v_i v_j \in F$ with $i < j$, $i < k$, we have $N_{<i}(v_i) + N_{<i}(v_j) \leq 2d$, and
- for all edges $v_i v_j \in F$ with $k \leq i < j$, we have $N_{<k}(v_i) + N_{<k}(v_j) \leq 2d$.

Then, $\exp(F) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2d+1}$.

Remark. Roughly speaking, Theorem 1.3 says that if there exists an induced forest on an interval of some ordering of $V(F)$, then it is possible to improve the bound of Theorem 1.2 by treating this forest as one edge. In fact, we will see later that the proof of Theorem 1.3 can be extended easily to get a more general result.

As an application of Theorem 1.3, we study $\exp(\mathbf{P})$, where \mathbf{P} is the Petersen graph. The Petersen graph was considered by several researchers in related contexts. For example, Tait and Timmons [32] proved that the Erdős–Rényi orthogonal polarity graphs [17] (henceforth

the Erdős–Rényi graph), which are optimally pseudorandom C_4 -free graphs, contain the Petersen graph as a subgraph. Conlon, Fox, Sudakov, and Zhao asked in [13] whether there is a counting lemma for the Petersen graph in an n -vertex C_4 -free graph with $\Omega(n^{3/2})$ edges. We know very little about $\exp(\mathbf{P})$, for example, it is not known whether $\exp(\mathbf{P}) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. The only lower bound we have is $\exp(\mathbf{P}) \geq \exp(C_5) = \frac{2}{5}$. In the other direction, the previous best upper bound is $\exp(\mathbf{P}) \leq \frac{3}{4}$ that follows from [14] (it is not too difficult to prove that $d_2(\mathbf{P}) = \frac{3}{2}$).




Figure 1: The Petersen graph in two different drawings.

Theorem 1.4. *We have $\exp(\mathbf{P}) \leq \frac{2}{3}$.*

We conjecture that $\exp(\mathbf{P}) = \frac{2}{3}$. We think that the construction of K_3 -free pseudorandom graphs due to Kopparty does not contain the Petersen graph as a subgraph. If this is true, then it will prove the lower bound $\exp(\mathbf{P}) \geq \frac{2}{3}$. For completeness, we include his construction here.

Let $p \neq 3$ be a prime, and let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with where $q = p^h$ for some integer $h \geq 1$. Recall that the *absolute trace function* $\text{Tr}: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ is defined as $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = \alpha + \alpha^p + \dots + \alpha^{p^{h-1}}$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Let $V = \mathbb{F}_q^3$, $T = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q : \text{Tr}(x) = 1\}$, and $S \subset \mathbb{F}_q^3$ be a subset defined as

$$S = \{(xy, xy^2, xy^3) : x \in T, y \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Kopparty's construction is the graph G on V in which two vertices $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$ are adjacent iff $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} \in S$. Using some simple linear algebra one can show that G is triangle-free, and using some results about finite fields and abelian groups one can prove that G is an (n, d, λ) -graph with $n = q^3$, $d = \Theta(\frac{q^2}{p})$, and $\lambda = \Theta(\frac{q}{p})$.

Our next result about $K_{2,3}$ was motivated by an old problem of Erdős [16], which asks if

$$\text{ex}(n, \{K_3, C_4\}) = \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} + o(1) \right) n^{3/2}$$

is true. A construction due to Parsons [29] for the lower bound comes from the Erdős–Rényi graph by removing half of its vertices. Since the Erdős–Rényi graph is optimally pseudorandom, Parsons' construction also implies that $\text{ex}_{\text{rand}}(n, C, \{K_3, C_4\}) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} + o(1) \right) n^{3/2}$ for some absolute constant C . In [1], Allen, Keevash, Sudakov, and Verstraëte proved that the extremal constructions for $\text{ex}(n, \{K_3, K_{2,t}\})$ cannot be bipartite for every $t \geq 3$ by constructing a $\{K_3, K_{2,t}\}$ -free graph whose number of edges is

greater than the maximum number of edges in a $\{K_3, K_{2,t}\}$ -free bipartite graph. However, their construction is $(t-1)$ -partite, and therefore it does not give a lower bound for $\text{ex}_{\text{rand}}(n, C, \{K_3, K_{2,3}\})$. The previous best lower bound is

$$\text{ex}_{\text{rand}}(n, C, \{K_3, K_{2,3}\}) \geq \text{ex}_{\text{rand}}(n, C, \{K_3, C_4\}) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} - o(1)\right) n^{3/2}$$

that follows from Parsons' construction. We improve this and present a construction of the densest known $\{K_3, K_{2,3}\}$ -free pseudorandom graphs.

Theorem 1.5. *We have $\text{ex}_{\text{rand}}(n, 2, \{K_3, K_{2,3}\}) \geq (\frac{1}{2} - o(1)) n^{3/2}$.*

Remark. Thang Pham pointed out to us that the following construction also provides a lower bound for $\text{ex}_{\text{rand}}(n, 2, \{K_3, K_{2,3}\})$. Let q be an odd prime power. The distance graph D on \mathbb{F}_q^2 is a graph whose vertex set is \mathbb{F}_q^2 , and two points $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)$ are adjacent iff $(x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2 = 1$. The $K_{2,3}$ -freeness of D follows from the fact that any two cycles have at most two points in the intersection. The K_3 -freeness of D follows from results in [7]. The pseudorandomness of D follows from results in [19].

In Section 2, we prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.5. In Section 4 we present a new proof of Theorem 1.1. Throughout the paper we will omit the use of floors and ceilings to make the presentation cleaner.

2 Upper bound for the Petersen graph

2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.4

We prove Theorem 1.4 in this section. In the next section, we will show that Theorem 1.4 follows immediately from the more general Theorem 1.3, but we think it is instructive to see an independent proof of Theorem 1.4 first.

Let us present first two standard lemmas. We start with the following direct consequence of the definition of a jumbled graph.

Lemma 2.1. *Fix a real number $q > 1$. Let G be a (p, α) -jumbled graph on n vertices and let $X, Y_1, \dots, Y_m \subseteq V(G)$ be pairwise disjoint subsets. If $|X||Y_i| \geq q^2 m \left(\frac{\alpha}{p}\right)^2$ for all $i \in [m]$, then there exists a vertex $x \in X$ with at least $\frac{q-1}{q} p |Y_i|$ neighbours in Y_i for all $i \in [m]$. In particular, $e(X, Y_i) > 0$ for all $i \in [m]$.*

Proof. Define $X_i := \{v \in X : |N_G(v) \cap Y_i| < \frac{q-1}{q} p |Y_i|\}$ for $i \in [m]$. It suffices to prove that $|X_i| < \frac{|X|}{m}$ for all $i \in [m]$. Suppose to the contrary that $|X_i| \geq \frac{|X|}{m}$ for some $i \in [m]$. By the definition of jumbledness, we get

$$e(X_i, Y_i) \geq p |X_i| |Y_i| - \alpha \sqrt{|X_i| |Y_i|} = \left(p - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{|X_i| |Y_i|}}\right) |X_i| |Y_i|.$$

It follows from $|X||Y_i| \geq q^2 m \left(\frac{\alpha}{p}\right)^2$ that $\alpha \leq \frac{p}{q} \sqrt{\frac{|X||Y_i|}{m}} \leq \frac{p}{q} \sqrt{|X_i| |Y_i|}$. Therefore, it follows from the inequality above that

$$e(X_i, Y_i) \geq \frac{q-1}{q} p |X_i| |Y_i|,$$

but our definition of X_i yields $e(X_i, Y_i) < \frac{q-1}{q} p |X_i| |Y_i|$, a contradiction. \blacksquare

The next lemma is a simple cleaning procedure which is useful in problems concerning (p, α) -jumbled graphs.

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a (p, α) -jumbled graph on n vertices. Then, for all sets $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$ such that $|X||Y| \geq 100(\alpha/p)^2$ the following holds. There exist subsets $X' \subseteq X, Y' \subseteq Y$ respectively of size at least $9|X|/10$ and $9|Y|/10$ such that all $v \in X'$ have $d(v, Y') \geq p|Y'|/10$ and all $u \in Y'$ have $d(u, X') \geq p|X'|/10$.*

Proof. Consider the following process. Start with $X_0 := X, Y_0 := Y$ and at step $i \geq 0$, do the following. Take $G_i := G[X_i, Y_i]$ and if there exists a vertex $v \in X_i$ such that $d(v, Y_i) < p|Y_i|/10$ or a vertex $v \in Y_i$ such that $d(v, X_i) < p|X_i|/10$, remove it from X_i, Y_i respectively, giving new X_{i+1}, Y_{i+1} . We claim that this process stops before $|X_i| \leq 9|X|/10$ or $|Y_i| \leq 9|Y|/10$, which would imply that we are done. Indeed, if it did not stop before that, consider the step at which, w.l.o.g., $|X_i| = 9|X|/10$ and $|Y_i| \geq 9|Y|/10$. By construction, every vertex in $X \setminus X_i$ has less than $p|Y|/10 \leq p|Y_i|/9$ neighbours in Y_i . So,

$$e(X \setminus X_i, Y_i) \leq p|Y_i||X \setminus X_i|/9.$$

On the other hand, the definition of a (p, α) -jumbled graph implies that

$$e(X \setminus X_i, Y_i) \geq p|Y_i||X \setminus X_i| - \alpha\sqrt{|Y_i||X \setminus X_i|}$$

which is a contradiction since $|X \setminus X_i||Y_i| \geq \frac{1}{10} \cdot \frac{9}{10} \cdot |X||Y| \geq 4 \left(\frac{\alpha}{p}\right)^2$. ■




Figure 2: An auxiliary picture for the proof of Theorem 2.3.

To prove Theorem 1.4, it suffices to show that for every $C_1 > 0$ there exist $C_2 > 0$ and $n_0 > 0$ such that if $n > n_0$ and G is an n -vertex graph that is (p, α) -jumbled with $\alpha \leq C_1\sqrt{pn}$ and $pn \geq C_2n^{2/3}$, then G contains the Petersen graph. This follows from the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *Let G be a (p, α) -jumbled graph on n vertices such that $\alpha \leq p^2n/200$ and $p > 10n^{-1/3}$. If n is sufficiently large, then G contains the Petersen graph.*

Remark. Theorem 2.3 and some simple calculations show that for every $C > 0$ if n is sufficiently large and G is an n -vertex (p, α) -jumbled graph with $\alpha \leq C\sqrt{pn}$ and $pn \geq (200C + 10)^{2/3} n^{2/3}$, then G contains the Petersen graph as a subgraph.

Proof. Let G be a (p, α) -jumbled graph on n vertices such that $\alpha \leq p^2n/200$, so that $p^2n^2/5000 \geq 4(\alpha/p)^2$. We will find an embedding of the Petersen graph with vertices v_1, \dots, v_{10} which correspond to the labelling in the right drawing of Figure 1. First, let v_1 be a vertex of G of degree at least $pn/2$ (which is guaranteed by Lemma 2.1 with $q = 2$) and let X denote a set of $pn/2$ of its neighbours. Let also Y denote the rest of the vertices, that is, $Y := V \setminus (\{v_1\} \cup X)$, which is of size at least $n/2$. By Lemma 2.2, there exist subsets $X' \subseteq X, Y' \subseteq Y$ of size at least $9pn/20$ and $9n/20$ respectively, with the properties as in the statement. Let $v_3 \in X'$ and consider its neighbourhood in Y' , which is guaranteed to be of size at least $9pn/200$, and take a subset $Z_{7,8} \subseteq Y'$ of it of size precisely $9pn/200$. Now, again applying Lemma 2.2 with $Z_{7,8}$ and $Y' \setminus Z_{7,8}$ we have that there are at least $\frac{9}{10}|Y' \setminus Z_{7,8}| \geq \frac{9}{10}(\frac{9}{20}n - \frac{9}{200}pn) \geq \frac{2}{5}n$ vertices in $Y' \setminus Z_{7,8}$ with at least $9p|Z_{7,8}|/200 \geq 81p^2n/40000 > p^2n/500$ neighbours in $Z_{7,8}$. Let v_6v_9 be an edge contained in these $2n/5$ vertices, which is guaranteed by Lemma 2.1, so that both v_6, v_9 have at least $p^2n/500$ neighbours in $Z_{7,8}$. Let Z_7 be a set of $p^2n/1000$ such neighbours of v_9 and Z_8 be a set of $p^2n/1000$ such neighbours of v_6 so that $Z_7 \cap Z_8 = \emptyset$.

Now, recall that since $v_6, v_9 \in Y'$, both of them have at least $p|X'|/10 \geq 9p^2n/200 \geq p^2n/25$ neighbours in X' and so, let $Z_2 \subseteq X'$ be a set of $p^2n/50$ such neighbours of v_6 and Z_4 be a set of $p^2n/50$ such neighbours of v_9 so that $Z_2 \cap Z_4 = \emptyset$. Let $Y'' = Y' \setminus (Z_{7,8} \cup \{v_6, v_9\})$. By Lemma 2.2 applied to Z_2, Y'' and Z_4, Y'' there are disjoint subsets $Z_5, Z_{10} \subseteq Y''$ of size at least $\frac{1}{2} \frac{9}{10}|Y''| \geq \frac{9}{20}(\frac{9}{20}n - \frac{9}{200}pn - 2) \geq \frac{n}{5}$ such that every vertex in Z_5 has at least $\frac{9p}{100} \frac{p^2n}{50} \geq 1$ neighbours in Z_2 and every vertex in Z_{10} has at least $\frac{9p}{100} \frac{p^2n}{50} \geq 1$ neighbours in Z_4 . Here we used that $p \geq 10n^{-1/3}$.

Furthermore, apply Lemma 2.1 to Z_7, Z_5 and Z_8, Z_{10} to find a vertex $v_7 \in Z_7$ with at least $p|Z_5|/2 \geq pn/10$ neighbours in Z_5 - let $Z'_5 \subseteq Z_5$ denote the set of neighbors of v_7 in Z_5 ; and a vertex $v_8 \in Z_8$ with at least $p|Z_{10}|/2 \geq pn/10$ neighbours in Z_{10} - let $Z'_{10} \subseteq Z_{10}$ denote the set of neighbors of v_8 in Z_{10} . Recall now that $v_1v_3, v_7v_3, v_8v_3, v_7v_9, v_8v_6, v_6v_9$ are all edges. To finish, we note that if there exists an edge in $E[Z'_5, Z'_{10}]$, then the Petersen graph can be embedded. Indeed let v_5v_{10} be such an edge with $v_5 \in Z'_5$ and $v_{10} \in Z'_{10}$. In particular, we have that $v_5v_7, v_{10}v_8$ are edges. Further, by the definition of Z_5, Z_{10} , there exist $v_2 \in Z_2, v_4 \in Z_4$ such that v_2v_5 and v_4v_{10} are edges. Furthermore, by definition, we also have that $v_2v_6, v_2v_1, v_4v_9, v_4v_1$ are edges and thus, one can check that all the edges in the Petersen graph are present. To conclude then, note that there exists an edge in $E[Z'_5, Z'_{10}]$ by Lemma 2.1 since $|Z'_5||Z'_{10}| \geq p^2n^2/100 > 4(\alpha/p)^2$. \blacksquare

2.2 Upper bound for general graphs

In this section, we formalize our strategy used in the proof of Theorem 1.4 by proving the more general Theorem 1.3. Before proceeding with the proof, we note that Theorem 1.4 was proved by embedding the vertices of \mathbf{P} in the order $(1, 3, 6, 9, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10)$. In fact, Theorem 1.4 follows from Theorem 1.3 by letting the ordering of $V(\mathbf{P})$ be $(v_1, \dots, v_{10}) = (1, 3, 6, 9, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10)$ and choosing $k = 5$.

Let us prove the following embedding lemma for forests first.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that T is a forest on $[m]$ and G is an n -vertex (p, α) -jumbled graph.*

Let $X_1, \dots, X_m \subset V(G)$ be nonempty pairwise disjoint subsets of $V(G)$ that satisfy

$$|X_i||X_j| \geq 2^m \left(\frac{\alpha}{p}\right)^2$$

for all edges ij in T . Then there exists an embedding of $f: T \rightarrow G$ such that $f(i) \in X_i$ for all $i \in [m]$.

Proof. We prove this lemma by induction on m . The base case $m = 1$ is clear since X_1 is nonempty. So we may assume that $m \geq 2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the vertex m is a leaf of T and the vertex $m-1$ is its neighbor in T . Let $T' := T - m$. Let

$$X'_{m-1} := \{v \in X_{m-1} : |N_G(v) \cap X_m| \geq 1\}$$

We claim that $|X'_{m-1}| \geq |X_{m-1}|/2$. Indeed, suppose to the contrary that $|X'_{m-1}| < |X_{m-1}|/2$. Then we would have $|X_m||X_{m-1} \setminus X'_{m-1}| \geq |X_m||X_{m-1}|/2 \geq 2^{m-1}(\alpha/p)^2 \geq 2(\alpha/p)^2$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 (with $q = \sqrt{2} > 1$) that $e(X_m, X_{m-1} \setminus X'_{m-1}) > 0$. This contradicts the fact that $e(X_m, X_{m-1} \setminus X'_{m-1}) = 0$. Hence, $|X'_{m-1}| \geq |X_{m-1}|/2$.

Now apply the induction hypothesis to the sets $X_1, \dots, X_{m-2}, X'_{m-1}$, we obtain an embedding $f: T' \rightarrow G$ such that $f(i) \in X_i$ for $i \in [m-2]$ and $f(m-1) \in X'_{m-1}$. By the definition of X'_{m-1} , there exists $v \in X_m$ such that $\{f(m-1), v\} \in G$. Hence we can extend f to get an embedding of T to G by setting $f(m) = v$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.4. \blacksquare

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let G be a (p, α) -jumbled graph with $\alpha < \frac{p^{d+1}n}{C}$ for an arbitrarily large constant $C > m4^m$. We will show that G contains a copy of F . This implies that $\exp(F) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2d+1}$. Indeed, if $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 > (C_1 C)^{\frac{2}{d+1}}$ and G is (p, α) -jumbled with $p > C_2 n^{-\frac{1}{2d+1}}$ and $\alpha < C_1 \sqrt{pn}$, then a short calculation shows that $\alpha < \frac{p^{d+1}n}{C}$ and our result will imply the theorem.

Consider an ordering v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m of the vertices of F and a $1 \leq k \leq m$ such that:

- (a) $F[\{v_k, \dots, v_m\}]$ is a forest,
- (b) for all edges $v_i v_j \in F$ with $i < j$, $i < k$, we have $N_{<i}(v_i) + N_{<i}(v_j) \leq 2d$, and
- (c) for all edges $v_i v_j \in F$ with $k \leq i < j$, we have $N_{<k}(v_i) + N_{<k}(v_j) \leq 2d$.

Let us denote $F[\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}]$ by F_1 and $F[\{v_k, \dots, v_m\}]$ by F_2 . We will first embed a copy of F_1 using (b). At the same time, we will also ensure by (c), that the candidate sets for the vertices v_k, \dots, v_m are still large enough so that the forest F_2 can be embedded in them, thus giving an embedding of F .

Take a partition $V(G) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m$ such that $|V_i| \geq \frac{n}{2m}$ for all $i \in [m]$.

Claim 2.5. *Let $s \in [k-1]$. Then there exist vertices $u_j \in V_j$ for all $j \in [s]$ such that $G[\{u_1, \dots, u_s\}]$ contains a copy of $F[\{v_1, \dots, v_s\}]$ and*

$$V_{i,s} := V_i \cap \left(\bigcap_{j \leq s: v_i v_j \in F} N_G(u_j) \right)$$

satisfies the inequality

$$|V_{i,s}| \geq \frac{p^{|N_{\leq s}(v_i)}| |V_i|}{2^s} \geq \frac{p^{|N_{\leq s}(v_i)}| n}{m2^m}$$

for all $i \in [s+1, m]$.

Proof. For every $j \in [m]$ let $I_j := \{\ell \in [j+1, m] : v_j v_\ell \in F\}$. The proof is by induction on s . For the base case $s = 1$, first observe that

$$|V_1| |V_j| \geq \left(\frac{n}{2m}\right)^2 \geq 4m \left(\frac{\alpha}{p}\right)^2$$

for all $j \in I_1$. Hence we can apply Lemma 2.1 to V_1 and V_j for all $j \in I_1$ with $q = 2$ to obtain a vertex $u_1 \in V_1$ such that $d(u_1, V_j) \geq p|V_j|/2$ for all $j \in I_1$. Now suppose that $s \geq 2$. Apply the induction hypothesis to get $u_i \in V_i$ for $i \in [s-1]$ such that $G[\{u_1, \dots, u_{s-1}\}]$ contains a copy of $F[\{v_1, \dots, v_{s-1}\}]$ and for every $i \in [s, m]$ the set $U_i := V_{i,s-1}$ satisfies

$$|U_i| \geq \frac{p^{|N_{\leq s-1}(v_i)}| |V_i|}{2^{s-1}}. \quad (2)$$

Observe that for every $j \in I_s$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U_s| |U_j| &\geq \frac{p^{|N_{\leq s-1}(v_s)}| n}{m2^m} \cdot \frac{p^{|N_{\leq s-1}(v_j)}| n}{m2^m} = \frac{p^{|N_{\leq s-1}(v_s)| + |N_{\leq s-1}(v_j)|} n^2}{m^2 2^{2m}} \geq \frac{p^{2d} n^2}{m^2 2^{2m}} \\ &> 2^m \left(\frac{\alpha}{p}\right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In the second last inequality we used (b), and in the last inequality we used the assumption that $\alpha \leq p^{d+1}n/(m4^m)$. So we may apply Lemma 2.1 to U_s and U_j for all $j \in I_s$ with $q = 2$ and obtain $u_s \in U_s$ such that $d(u_s, U_j) \geq p|U_j|/2$ for all $j \in I_s$. Now by (2), for every $i \in I_s$ we have

$$|V_{i,s}| \geq |U_i \cap N(u_s)| \geq \frac{p p^{|N_{\leq s-1}(v_i)}| |V_i|}{2 \cdot 2^{s-1}} = \frac{p^{|N_{\leq s}(v_i)}| |V_i|}{2^s}.$$

On the other hand, by (2), for every $i \in [s+1, m] \setminus I_s$, we have

$$|V_{i,s}| = |U_i| \geq \frac{p^{|N_{\leq s-1}(v_i)}| |V_i|}{2^{s-1}} \geq \frac{p^{|N_{\leq s}(v_i)}| |V_i|}{2^s}.$$

Finally, it is clear that $G[\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s\}]$ contains a copy of $F[\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s\}]$, so the proof of the claim is complete. \blacksquare

Applying Claim 2.5 with $s = k-1$ we obtain $u_j \in V_j$ for $j \in [k-1]$ such that $G[\{u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}\}]$ contains a copy of F_1 and

$$|V_{i,k-1}| \geq \frac{p^{|N_{< k}(v_i)}| n}{m2^m}$$

for all $i \in [k, m]$. Now that the first portion of the graph has been embedded, it remains only to embed a forest on the given candidate sets $X_i := V_{i,k-1}$. If we find an embedding

$f: F_2 \rightarrow G$ with $f(v_i) \in X_i$ for all $i \in [k, m]$, then $G[\{u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, f(v_k), \dots, f(v_m)\}]$ contains a copy of F . Similar to (3), by (c) and Claim 2.5, for every $\{v_i, v_j\} \in F_2$ we have

$$|X_i||X_j| \geq \frac{p^{|N_{<k}(v_i)|_n}}{m2^m} \cdot \frac{p^{|N_{<k}(v_j)|_n}}{m2^m} = \frac{p^{|N_{<k}(v_i)|_n + |N_{<k}(v_j)|_n}}{m^2 2^{2m}} \geq \frac{p^{2d} n^2}{m^2 2^{2m}} > 2^m \left(\frac{\alpha}{p}\right)^2.$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 with $T = F_2$ and the sets X_k, \dots, X_m , we know that such an embedding f exists. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. \blacksquare

We remark that there are some graphs to which the precise statement of the above theorem cannot be applied in order to get a tight result - for example, odd cycles. However, the proof can be slightly adapted to deal with them. For odd cycles we take $k = 2$, so that $F[v_k, \dots, v_m]$ is a path; this F_2 can then be embedded in a different way than in the general theorem above, in particular, using also the expansion properties of (α, p) -jumbled graphs.

We now give a further generalization of Theorem 1.3 where instead of partitioning the graph into two parts which are dealt with separately, we partition the graph into several parts.

For every graph F on m vertices, let $\hat{d}_2(F)$ denote the smallest number d for which there exists an ordering v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m of $V(F)$ such that the following statements hold for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 = k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_\ell < k_{\ell+1} = m$:

- (a) $F[\{v_{k_s}, \dots, v_{k_{s+1}-1}\}]$ is a forest for all $s \in [\ell]$,
- (b) for all edges $v_i v_j \in F \setminus \left(\bigcup_{s=1}^{\ell} F[\{v_{k_s}, \dots, v_{k_{s+1}-1}\}]\right)$ with $i < j$, we have $N_{<i}(v_i) + N_{<i}(v_j) \leq 2d$, and
- (c) for all $s \in [\ell]$ and for all edges $v_i v_j \in F[\{v_{k_s}, \dots, v_{k_{s+1}-1}\}]$, we have $N_{<k_s}(v_i) + N_{<k_s}(v_j) \leq 2d$.

It is clear that $\hat{d}_2(F) \leq d_2(F)$ since in the definition of $d_2(F)$ we always let $\ell = m - 1$ and $k_i = i$ for all $i \in [m]$.

Theorem 2.6. *For every graph F we have $\exp(F) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2\hat{d}_2(F)+1}$.*

Remark. One can extend Theorem 2.6 to get a counting result for F in pseudorandom graphs that improves Theorem 1.14 in [14] (by replacing $d_2(F)$ there with $\hat{d}_2(F)$ here). This could result in some improvements for the corresponding Turán and Ramsey problems in pseudorandom graphs (see Theorems 1.4, 1.5, and 1.6 in [14]).

3 $\{K_{2,3}, K_3\}$ -free pseudorandom graphs

In this section we present a construction of $\{K_{2,3}, K_3\}$ -free pseudorandom graphs thereby proving Theorem 1.5.

Suppose that F is a finite group and $S \subset H$ is a symmetric subset, i.e. $S = S^{-1}$. Then the *Cayley graph* $\text{Cay}(H, S)$ is a graph on F with edge set

$$\{\{v, vs\} : v \in H \text{ and } s \in S\}.$$

The spectrum, i.e. the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix, of a Cayley graph can be represented by the characters of F (see e.g. [26, 6]). For our purpose, we only need the following result for the case that F is an abelian group.

Recall that an abelian group H can be represented as $H = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}$ for some integers k and n_1, \dots, n_k . For abelian groups we have a simple description of all the characters. For each $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}$ we have a character $\psi_{\mathbf{a}}: H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\psi_{\mathbf{a}}(h_1, \dots, h_k) = \prod_{i=1}^k \omega_{n_i}^{a_i h_i},$$

where $\omega_t = e^{2\pi i/t}$.

Lemma 3.1 (see e.g. [26, 6]). *Suppose that $H = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}$ is an abelian group. Then the spectrum of the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(H, S)$ is*

$$\left\{ \sum_{s \in S} \psi_{\mathbf{a}}(s) : \mathbf{a} \in H \right\}.$$

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that $p \neq 3$ is a prime number, $H = \mathbb{Z}_p^2$, and*

$$S = \{(x, x^3) : x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Then $\text{Cay}(H, S)$ is a $\{K_3, K_{2,3}\}$ -free (n, d, λ) -graph with $n = p^2$, $d = p - 1$, and $\lambda \leq 2\sqrt{p} + 1$.

We will use the following well known estimate of Weil in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Recall that the *order* of a character χ is the smallest positive integer d such that $\chi^d = \chi_0$, where χ_0 is the trivial character.

Theorem 3.3 (see e.g. [10, Theorem 13.3]). *Let χ be a character of order $d > 1$. Suppose that $f(X) \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ has precisely m distinct zeros and it is not a d th power, that is $f(X)$ is not the form $c(g(X))^d$, where $c \in \mathbb{F}$ and $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}[X]$. Then*

$$\left| \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}} \chi(f(x)) \right| \leq (m - 1)\sqrt{p}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $G = \text{Cay}(H, S)$. Let $n = p^2$. It is clear that the number of vertices in G is n , and it follows from the definition of Cayley graphs that G is $|S|$ -regular. Let $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix A_G of G . Since G is regular, we have $\lambda_1 = |S| = p - 1$.

First we prove that G is K_3 -free. Suppose to the contrary that there exist three vertices $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ that form a copy of K_3 in G . Assume that $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u} = (a, a^3)$, $\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v} = (b, b^3)$, and $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w} = (c, c^3)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a + b + c &= 0, \\ a^3 + b^3 + c^3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (a + b + c)(a^2 + b^2 + c^2) - (a^3 + b^3 + c^3) = ab(a + b) + ac(a + c) + bc(b + c) \\ &= -3abc. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p \neq 3$, we must have $0 \in \{a, b, c\}$, a contradiction.

Next we prove that G is $K_{2,3}$ -free. It is equivalent to show that every pair of vertices $\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ has at most two common neighbors. Let $a = \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{v}_1$ and $b = \mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{v}_2$. A common neighbor of \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} implies that there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} y - x &= a, \text{ and} \\ y^3 - x^3 &= b. \end{aligned}$$

These two equations imply that $(x+a)^3 = x^3 + b$, which simplifies to $3ax^2 + 3a^2x + a^3 - b = 0$. Since $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ and $p \neq 3$, this quadratic equation in x has at most two solutions in $\mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore, \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} have at most two common neighbors.

Finally, we prove that $|\lambda_i| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ for all $i \in [2, n]$. By Lemma 3.1, for every $i \in [n]$ there exists $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ such that

$$\lambda_i = \lambda_{(a_1, a_2)} = \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S} \varphi_{(a_1, a_2)}(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} \omega_p^{a_1x + a_2x^3}$$

If $(a_1, a_2) = (0, 0)$, then $\lambda_{(a_1, a_2)} = |S| = p - 1$, and this corresponds to λ_1 . So we may assume that $(a_1, a_2) \neq (0, 0)$.

First, it is easy to see that the character $\chi: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ defined by $\chi(\alpha) = \omega_p^\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ has order p . On the other hand, since $(a_1, a_2) \neq (0, 0)$ and $p \neq 3$, the polynomial $f(X) = a_1X + a_2X^3$ is not of the form $c(g(X))^p$ for any $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and for any polynomial $g(X)$. Therefore, it follows from Theorem 3.3 that

$$\left| \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} \omega_p^{a_1x + a_2x^3} \right| = \left| \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \omega_p^{a_1x + a_2x^3} - 1 \right| \leq \left| \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \omega_p^{a_1x + a_2x^3} \right| + 1 \leq 2\sqrt{p} + 1.$$

This implies that $|\lambda_i| \leq 2\sqrt{p} + 1$ for all $i \in [n] \setminus \{1\}$, and hence completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. \blacksquare

4 K_t -free pseudorandom graphs

In this section we present a construction that is isomorphic to the construction of Bishnoi, Ihringer, and Pepe [9], and give a new proof to Theorem 1.1.

Denote by $\text{PG}(t-1, q)$ the $(t-1)$ -dimensional projective space over \mathbb{F}_q , i.e. $\text{PG}(t-1, q) = \mathbb{F}_q^t / \sim$, where two vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^t$ are equivalent under \sim if there exists a non-zero element $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $\mathbf{x} = a\mathbf{y}$. For a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^t$ we use $[\mathbf{x}]$ to denote its equivalence class in \mathbb{F}_q^t / \sim . It is easy to see that the number of points in $\text{PG}(t-1, q)$ is $\frac{q^t - 1}{q - 1} = (1 + o(1))q^{t-1}$. Recall that the dot-product $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ of two vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^t$ is defined as $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^t x_i y_i$. A point $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_t) \in \mathbb{F}_q^t$ is called

- *absolute* if $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0$,

- *square* if $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} = a^2$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$,
- *non-square* if $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} \neq a^2$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$,

We use $X_0(t, q), X_{\square}(t, q), X_{\boxtimes}(t, q)$ to denote the collection of all absolute points, square points, and non-square points in \mathbb{F}_q^t , respectively. If t and q are clear from the context, we will omit them and use $X_0, X_{\square}, X_{\boxtimes}$ for simplicity. It is easy to see from the definition that if $\mathbf{x} \in X_0, \mathbf{x} \in X_{\square}$, or $\mathbf{x} \in X_{\boxtimes}$, then $[\mathbf{x}] \subset X_0, [\mathbf{x}] \subset X_{\square}$, or $[\mathbf{x}] \subset X_{\boxtimes}$, respectively.

Recall that a *character* of a group H is a homomorphism $\psi: H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, and the *quadratic character* $\chi(\cdot)$ of \mathbb{F}_q is defined as

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } x \text{ is a square,} \\ -1, & \text{if } x \text{ is a non-square.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\text{AK}(t-1, q)$ be the graph whose vertices are non-absolute points of $\text{PG}(t-1, q)$ and two vertices $[\mathbf{x}]$ and $[\mathbf{y}]$ are adjacent iff $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = 0$. Note that $\text{AK}(2, q)$ is just the Erdős–Renyi graph. In [4], Alon and Krivelevich proved that $\text{AK}(t-1, q)$ is a K_{t+1} -free (n, d, λ) -graph with $n = (1 + o(1))q^{t-1}$, $d = \Theta(n^{1-\frac{1}{t}})$, and $\lambda = \Theta(\sqrt{d})$.

Parsons [29] proved that for q odd, the induced subgraph of $\text{AK}(2, q)$ on X_{\boxtimes}/\sim is K_3 -free. Indeed, suppose to the contrary that there exist three distinct points $[\mathbf{x}_1], [\mathbf{x}_2], [\mathbf{x}_3] \in X_{\boxtimes}/\sim$ that induce a copy of K_3 in $\text{AK}(2, q)$. Then $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3$ are pairwise orthogonal, which means that there exists a non-zero element $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $\mathbf{x}_3 = a\mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{x}_2$, where $\mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{x}_2$ is the *cross-product* of \mathbf{x}_1 and \mathbf{x}_2 . Therefore, we have

$$\mathbf{x}_3 \cdot \mathbf{x}_3 = (a\mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{x}_2) \cdot (a\mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{x}_2) = a^2 \cdot (\mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_1) \cdot (\mathbf{x}_2 \cdot \mathbf{x}_2),$$

where in the last equality we used the fact that $\mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_2 = 0$. Applying the quadratic character $\chi(\cdot)$ to both sides of the equation above we obtain

$$-1 = \chi(\mathbf{x}_3 \cdot \mathbf{x}_3) = \chi(a^2) \cdot \chi(\mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_1) \cdot \chi(\mathbf{x}_2 \cdot \mathbf{x}_2) = 1 \cdot (-1) \cdot (-1) = 1,$$

a contradiction. Therefore, the induced subgraph of $\text{AK}(2, q)$ on X_{\boxtimes}/\sim is K_3 -free.

Our first aim in this section is to extend Parsons' proof to all odd integers $t \geq 4$.

First, the cross-product can be extended from 3-dimensional space to r -dimensional space for every $r \geq 4$. We refer the reader to [30] and [15] for the formal definition. Here we only recall some basic properties of the cross-product in r -dimensional space.

Fact 4.1 (see e.g. [15]). *Suppose that $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{t-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q^t$ are $t-1$ vectors. Then*

1. $\mathbf{x}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{x}_{t-1}$ is skew-symmetric and linear in each \mathbf{x}_i ,
2. $\mathbf{x}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{x}_{t-1}$ is a vector that is orthogonal to each of $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}$,
3. $\mathbf{x}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{x}_{t-1} = 0$ iff $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}$ are linearly dependent.

A proof of the following theorem can be found in [15].

Theorem 4.2 (see e.g. [15]). *Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{t-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q^t$. Then*

$$(\mathbf{x}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) \cdot (\mathbf{y}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{y}_{t-1}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{y}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{y}_{t-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_{t-1} \cdot \mathbf{y}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{x}_{t-1} \cdot \mathbf{y}_{t-1} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Our main result in this section is as follows.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that q is an odd prime power and $t \geq 3$ is an odd integer. Then the induced subgraph of $\text{AK}(t-1, q)$ on X_{\boxtimes}/\sim is a K_t -free (p, α) -jumbled graph with $p = \Theta\left(n^{-\frac{1}{t-1}}\right)$ and $\alpha = \Theta(\sqrt{np})$, where $n = |X_{\boxtimes}/\sim|$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a set S of t distinct points $[\mathbf{x}_1], \dots, [\mathbf{x}_t] \in X_{\boxtimes}/\sim$ such that the induced subgraph of $\text{AK}(t-1, q)$ on S is complete. Then it follows from Fact 4.1 that there exists a nonzero element $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $\mathbf{x}_t = a\mathbf{x}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{x}_{t-1}$. Therefore, by (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_t \cdot \mathbf{x}_t &= (a\mathbf{x}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) \cdot (a\mathbf{x}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) \\ &= a^2 \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_{t-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_{t-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{x}_{t-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} = a^2 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} (\mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}_i). \end{aligned}$$

In the last equality we used the fact that $\mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}_j = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. Applying the quadratic character $\chi(\cdot)$ to both sides of the equation above, we obtain

$$-1 = \chi(\mathbf{x}_t \cdot \mathbf{x}_t) = \chi\left(a^2 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} (\mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}_i)\right) = \chi(a^2) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \chi(\mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}_i) = 1 \cdot (-1)^{t-1} = 1,$$

a contradiction. ■

For the case that $t \in \mathbb{N}$ is even we use a different argument.

Proposition 4.4. *For every vertex $v \in \text{PG}(t, q)$ the induced subgraph of $\text{AK}(t, q)$ on $N(v)$ is isomorphic to $\text{AK}(t-1, q)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_t$ be the standard orthonormal basis of the t -dimensional space \mathbb{F}_q^t . Fix a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{t+1} \setminus X_0$ and let $\mathbf{e}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}'_t$ be an orthonormal basis of the t -dimensional space \mathbf{v}^\perp , where $\mathbf{v}^\perp = \{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{t+1} \in : \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0\}$. Define the map $\phi: \mathbb{F}_q^t \rightarrow \mathbf{v}^\perp$ by sending $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i \mathbf{e}_i$ to $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i \mathbf{e}'_i$. Clearly, the map ϕ is linear and induces a bijection between \mathbb{F}_q^t/\sim and \mathbf{v}^\perp/\sim . Moreover, ϕ sends absolute points to absolute points. Now suppose that $\mathbf{u}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i \mathbf{e}_i$ and $\mathbf{u}_2 = \sum_{i=1}^t b_i \mathbf{e}_i$ are two distinct points in \mathbb{F}_q^t . Then

$$\psi(\mathbf{u}_1) \cdot \psi(\mathbf{u}_2) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^t a_i \mathbf{e}'_i\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^t b_i \mathbf{e}'_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i b_i = \left(\sum_{i=1}^t a_i \mathbf{e}_i\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^t b_i \mathbf{e}_i\right) = \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2.$$

This implies that the map ϕ preserves the orthogonality of two vectors, and hence, it sends edges (resp. non-edges) in $\text{AK}(t, q)$ to an edge (resp. non-edge) in the induced subgraph of $\text{AK}(t, q)$ on \mathbf{v}^\perp . Therefore, ϕ induces an isomorphism between $\text{AK}(t-1, q)$ and the induced subgraph of $\text{AK}(t, q)$ on $N(\mathbf{v})$. ■

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is a constant and $V_1 \subset \text{PG}(t, q)$ is a subset of size $\alpha \cdot |\text{PG}(t, q)|$ in the graph $\text{AK}(t, q)$. Then there exists a vertex $v \in V_1$ such that*

$$\frac{|N(v) \cap V_1|}{|N(v)|} \geq (1 - o_q(1))\alpha.$$

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an absolute constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\frac{|N(v) \cap V_1|}{|N(v)|} \leq (1 - \epsilon)\alpha$ for all $v \in V_1$ and for all q . Choose q to be sufficiently large. Let $n = |\text{PG}(t, q)|$ be the number of vertices in $\text{AK}(t, q)$, and let d be the degree of $\text{AK}(t, q)$. Then, it follows from our assumption that

$$\begin{aligned} e(V_1) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V_1} |N(v) \cap V_1| \leq \frac{1}{2} (1 - \epsilon)\alpha |N(v)| |V_1| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (1 - \epsilon) \frac{d}{n} |V_1|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{n} |V_1|^2 - \frac{\epsilon d}{2n} |V_1|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{n} |V_1|^2 - \frac{\epsilon \alpha}{2} d |V_1|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\epsilon \alpha}{2} d \gg \sqrt{d}$, this contradicts the fact that $\text{AK}(t, q)$ is $(\frac{d}{n}, \Theta(\sqrt{d}))$ -jumbled. \blacksquare

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1 for even t . Our construction will be an induced subgraph of $\text{AK}(t, q)$ on a subset of the neighborhood of a vertex.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 for even t . Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ be an even number. Let V denote the vertex set of $\text{AK}(t, q)$. Let $V_1 = X_{\boxtimes} / \sim$. Since $|V_1| = (1/2 + o(1))|\text{PG}(t, q)|$, by Lemma 4.5, there exists a vertex $[\mathbf{v}] \in V_1$ such that $|N([\mathbf{v}]) \cap V_1| \geq (\frac{1}{2} - o(1)) |N([\mathbf{v}])|$. Let $U = N([\mathbf{v}]) \cap V_1$. By Proposition 4.4, the induced subgraph of $\text{AK}(t, q)$ on the set $N([\mathbf{v}])$ is isomorphic to $\text{AK}(t - 1, q)$, which is (p, α) -jumbled with $p = \Theta(m^{-\frac{1}{t-1}})$ and $\alpha = \Theta(\sqrt{mp})$, where $m = |\text{PG}(t - 1, q)|$. On the other hand, by Theorem 4.3, the induced subgraph of $\text{AK}(t, q)$ on the set X_{\boxtimes} / \sim is K_{t+1} -free. Therefore, the induced subgraph of $\text{AK}(t, q)$ on the set U is K_t -free. This proves Theorem 1.1 for even t . \blacksquare

5 Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Anurag Bishnoi, Ferdinand Ihringer, and Thang Pham for their insightful comments.

References

- [1] P. Allen, P. Keevash, B. Sudakov, and J. Verstraëte. Turán numbers of bipartite graphs plus an odd cycle. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 106:134–162, 2014.
- [2] N. Alon. Explicit Ramsey graphs and orthonormal labelings. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 1:Research Paper 12, approx. 8, 1994.
- [3] N. Alon and N. Kahale. Approximating the independence number via the θ -function. *Math. Programming*, 80(3, Ser. A):253–264, 1998.
- [4] N. Alon and M. Krivelevich. Constructive bounds for a Ramsey-type problem. *Graphs Combin.*, 13(3):217–225, 1997.
- [5] N. Alon, L. Rónyai, and T. Szabó. Norm-graphs: variations and applications. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 76(2):280–290, 1999.
- [6] L. Babai. Spectra of Cayley graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 27(2):180–189, 1979.

- [7] M. Bennett, A. Iosevich, and J. Pakianathan. Three-point configurations determined by subsets of \mathbb{F}_q^2 via the Elekes-Sharir paradigm. *Combinatorica*, 34(6):689–706, 2014.
- [8] Y. Bilu and N. Linial. Lifts, discrepancy and nearly optimal spectral gap. *Combinatorica*, 26(5):495–519, 2006.
- [9] A. Bishnoi, F. Ihringer, and V. Pepe. A construction for clique-free pseudorandom graphs. *Combinatorica*, 40(3):307–314, 2020.
- [10] B. Bollobás. *Random graphs*, volume 73 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2001.
- [11] B. Bollobás and A. D. Scott. Discrepancy in graphs and hypergraphs. In *More sets, graphs and numbers*, volume 15 of *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.*, pages 33–56. Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [12] J. A. Bondy and M. Simonovits. Cycles of even length in graphs. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B*, 16:97–105, 1974.
- [13] D. Conlon, J. Fox, B. Sudakov, and Y. Zhao. Which graphs can be counted in C_4 -free graphs? *Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly*, *arXiv:2106.03261*, accepted.
- [14] D. Conlon, J. Fox, and Y. Zhao. Extremal results in sparse pseudorandom graphs. *Adv. Math.*, 256:206–290, 2014.
- [15] A. Dittmer. Cross product identities in arbitrary dimension. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 101(9):887–891, 1994.
- [16] P. Erdős. Some recent progress on extremal problems in graph theory. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing (Florida Atlantic Univ., Boca Raton, Fla., 1975)*, Congressus Numerantium, No. XIV, pages 3–14. Utilitas Math., Winnipeg, Man., 1975.
- [17] P. Erdős and A. Rényi. On a problem in the theory of graphs. *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl.*, 7:623–641 (1963), 1962.
- [18] P. Erdős and J. Spencer. Imbalances in k -colorations. *Networks*, 1:379–385, 1971/72.
- [19] A. Iosevich and M. Rudnev. Erdős distance problem in vector spaces over finite fields. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(12):6127–6142, 2007.
- [20] G. Katona, T. Nemetz, and M. Simonovits. On a problem of Turán in the theory of graphs. *Mat. Lapok*, 15:228–238, 1964.
- [21] Y. Kohayakawa, V. Rödl, M. Schacht, P. Sissokho, and J. Skokan. Turán’s theorem for pseudo-random graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 114(4):631–657, 2007.
- [22] J. Kollár, L. Rónyai, and T. Szabó. Norm-graphs and bipartite Turán numbers. *Combinatorica*, 16(3):399–406, 1996.
- [23] T. Kövari, V. T. Sós, and P. Turán. On a problem of K. Zarankiewicz. *Colloq. Math.*, 3:50–57, 1954.
- [24] M. Krivelevich and B. Sudakov. Pseudo-random graphs. In *More sets, graphs and numbers*, volume 15 of *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.*, pages 199–262. Springer, Berlin, 2006.

- [25] F. Lazebnik, V. A. Ustimenko, and A. J. Woldar. Polarities and $2k$ -cycle-free graphs. volume 197/198, pages 503–513. 1999. 16th British Combinatorial Conference (London, 1997).
- [26] L. Lovász. Spectra of graphs with transitive groups. *Period. Math. Hungar.*, 6(2):191–195, 1975.
- [27] S. Mattheus and F. Pavese. A clique-free pseudorandom subgraph of the pseudo polarity graph. *Discrete Math.*, 345(7):Paper No. 112871, 6, 2022.
- [28] D. Mubayi and J. Verstraëte. A note on pseudorandom Ramsey graphs. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, accepted.
- [29] T. D. Parsons. Graphs from projective planes. *Aequationes Math.*, 14(1-2):167–189, 1976.
- [30] M. Spivak. *Calculus on manifolds. A modern approach to classical theorems of advanced calculus*. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1965.
- [31] T. Szabó. On the spectrum of projective norm-graphs. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 86(2):71–74, 2003.
- [32] M. Tait and C. Timmons. Orthogonal polarity graphs and Sidon sets. *J. Graph Theory*, 82(1):103–116, 2016.
- [33] A. Thomason. Pseudorandom graphs. In *Random graphs '85 (Poznań, 1985)*, volume 144 of *North-Holland Math. Stud.*, pages 307–331. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [34] A. Thomason. Random graphs, strongly regular graphs and pseudorandom graphs. In *Surveys in combinatorics 1987 (New Cross, 1987)*, volume 123 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 173–195. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987.