

Exercises for o-minimality Lectures I and II

MSRI Graduate Model Theory Workshop

Victoria Noquez

July 23, 2012

Real Closed Fields

Exercise 1

Let x and y be algebraically independent over \mathbb{R} .

- (a) Show that $\mathbb{R}(x, y)$ is formally real and that we can find orders $<_1$ and $<_2$ of $\mathbb{R}(x, y)$ such that $x <_1 y$ and $y <_2 x$.
- (b) Use (a) to show that the ordering $<$ is not quantifier-free definable in \mathbb{R} in the language of rings.

Solution:

- (a) Suppose $0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{f_i(x, y)}{g_i(x, y)}\right)^2$ where $f_i, g_i \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$, $g_i \neq 0$. So if we let $G_i(x, y) = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n, i \neq j} g_j(x, y)$, then $0 = \sum_{i=1}^n (G_i(x, y) f_i(x, y))^2$, contradicting that x, y are algebraically independent.

Note that $(x - y)$ is not a sum of squares in $\mathbb{R}(x, y)$, since we would get $x - y = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{f_i(x, y)}{g_i(x, y)}\right)^2$ where $f_i, g_i \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$, $g_i \neq 0$. So if $G_i(x, y) = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n, i \neq j} g_j(x, y)$, $0 = \sum_{i=1}^n G_i^2(x, y) f_i^2(x, y) - (x - y) \prod_{i=1}^n g_i^2(x, y)$, contradicting that x, y are algebraically independent. Similarly, $(y - x)$ is not a sum of squares in $\mathbb{R}(x, y)$.

Proposition 1. *If F is formally real and $a \in F$, $-a$ not a sum of squares in F , then there is an ordering of F where $a > 0$.*

Proof. Let $\sum F^2$ denote the sums of squares in F , and note that if $x \in \sum F^2$, then $x \geq 0$ in any ordering of F .

Also note that if $-a$ is not a sum of squares, $a \neq 0$.

If $\sqrt{a} \in F$, then $a \in F^2 \subset \sum F^2$, so in any ordering, $a > 0$.

We will show that $F(\sqrt{a})$ is formally real. Then, since in this field a is a square, any ordering will be such that $a > 0$, so we get the desired ordering of F by restricting this ordering to F .

Suppose $-1 = \sum (b_i + c_i \sqrt{a})^2$. Then $0 = \sum b_i^2 + 1 + \sum c_i^2 a + \sqrt{a} \sum 2b_i c_i$. So since $1, \sqrt{a}$ are a basis of $F(\sqrt{a})$, we must have $\sum b_i^2 + 1 + \sum c_i^2 a = 0$ and $\sum 2b_i c_i = 0$. In particular, $-a = \frac{\sum b_i^2 + 1}{\sum c_i^2} = \frac{\sum b_i^2 \sum c_i^2}{(\sum c_i^2)^2} + \frac{\sum c_i^2}{(\sum c_i^2)^2}$ which is the sum of squares $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$.

Thus, $F(\sqrt{a})$ is formally real, as required. □

Hence, we get an ordering $<_1$ in which $y - x >_1 0$ so $x <_1 y$ and an ordering $<_2$ in which $x - y >_2 0$, so $y <_2 x$.

- (b) Let ϕ be a quantifier free formula in the language of rings such that for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{R} \models \phi(a, b)$. So $(\mathbb{R}, <) \models \forall a, b \phi(a, b) \leftrightarrow a < b$. Let \mathcal{M}_i be the real algebraic closure of $(\mathbb{R}(x, y), <_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ (since $(\mathbb{R}(x, y), <_i)$ is formally real by part (a), and thus, has a real algebraic closure whose order extends $<_i$). Since $(\mathbb{R}, <) \subset \mathcal{M}_i$, by model completeness of RCF, $(\mathbb{R}, <) \preceq \mathcal{M}_i$. So $\mathcal{M}_i \models \forall a, b \phi(a, b) \leftrightarrow a <_i b$. So $\mathcal{M}_1 \models \phi(x, y)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 \models \neg \phi(x, y)$. Now consider \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 in the language of rings. $\mathbb{R}(x, y) \subset \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$, so since ϕ is quantifier free and in the language of rings, $\mathbb{R}(x, y) \models \phi(x, y)$ and $\mathbb{R}(x, y) \models \neg \phi(x, y)$. $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$

So $<$ is not quantifier free definable in \mathbb{R} in the language of rings.

Exercise 2

Let F be a real closed field. We say that a function $g : F^n \rightarrow F$ is *algebraic* if there is a nonzero polynomial $p(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y)$ over F such that for all $a \in F^n$, $p(a, g(a)) = 0$.

- (a) Use quantifier elimination to show that every semialgebraic function is algebraic.
- (b) Show that if $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is semialgebraic, then there are disjoint intervals I_1, \dots, I_m and a finite set X such that $\mathbb{R} = I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_m \cup X$ and f is analytic on each I_j . (Hint: Use the Implicit Function Theorem for \mathbb{R} .)

Solution:

- (a) Let $g : F^n \rightarrow F$ be semialgebraic. So $\{(\bar{x}, y) \mid g(\bar{x}) = y\} = \{(\bar{x}, y) \mid \bigvee_{i=1}^m \bigwedge_{j=1}^n p_{ij}(\bar{x}, y) = 0 \wedge q_{ij}(\bar{x}, y) > 0\}$ for some polynomials $p_{ij}, q_{ij} \in F[\bar{x}, y]$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Suppose for some i that $p_{ij} \equiv 0$ for all $j = 1..n$. Then $\{(\bar{x}, y) \mid \bigwedge_{j=1}^n q_{ij}(\bar{x}, y) > 0\} \subset \text{graph}(g)$. If this is empty, we can remove this whole disjunct for an equivalent defining formula. Otherwise, let (\bar{x}, y) be such that $\bigwedge_{j=1}^n q_{ij}(\bar{x}, y) > 0$. Then, since the q'_{ij} s are polynomials, they are continuous, so by choosing y' sufficiently close to but $\neq y$, we get

$q_{ij}(\bar{x}, y')$ between 0 and $q_{ij}(\bar{x}, y)$, so $\bigwedge_{j=1}^n q_{ij}(\bar{x}, y') > 0$. Thus, $(\bar{x}, y), (\bar{x}, y') \in \text{graph}(g)$,

contradicting that g is a function. So, let $p(\bar{x}, y) = \prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (p_{ij}(\bar{x}, y))^2$. Then for all \bar{x} ,

$p(\bar{x}, g(\bar{x})) = 0$, since for some i , $\bigwedge_{j=1}^n p_{ij}(\bar{x}, g(\bar{x})) = 0$, so $\sum_{j=1}^n (p_{ij}(\bar{x}, g(\bar{x})))^2 = 0$.

- (b) By part (a), there is a polynomial p such that $p(x, f(x)) = 0$ for all x . $p = p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_m$ where p_1, \dots, p_m are irreducible polynomials and wlog, are all distinct (since if we have a repeated root, if we divide by it, the result will still witness that f is algebraic). Thus, since they are irreducible, $p_i \nmid p_j$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$.

Let $X = \{a \in \mathbb{R} \mid \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(a, f(a)) = 0\}$. We will show that X is finite.

Note, if $a \in X$, then $(a, f(a)) \in p \cap \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}$, so it will be enough to show that this is finite.

$\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = \sum_{i=1}^m (\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq i} p_j) (\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial y})$. Since the degree of $\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial y}$ is strictly less than that of p_i ,

$p_i \nmid \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial y}$, and $\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial y} \nmid p_i$ since it is irreducible.

$p \cap \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m (p_i \cap \frac{\partial p}{\partial y})$, so it is enough to show that each of these are finite. $\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} =$

$\sum_{j \neq i} (\prod_{k \neq j} p_k) (\frac{\partial p_j}{\partial y}) + (\prod_{k \neq i} p_k) (\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial y})$. p_i divides the first summand, but not the second,

since $p_i \nmid p_k$ for $k \neq i$ and $p_i \nmid \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial y}$, and p_i is irreducible. $\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \nmid p_i$, since then it would divide p , which would give us a repeated factor.

Thus, by Bezout's Theorem, $p_i \cap \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}$ is finite for each $1 \leq i \leq m$. Hence, X is finite.

So $X = \{a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}\}$ with $a_1 < \dots < a_m$. Let $a_0 = -\infty$, $a_m = \infty$, and $I_j = (a_{j-1}, a_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. That is, $\mathbb{R} = I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_m \cup X$.

We will show, using the implicit function theorem, that f is analytic on each I_j .

Theorem 2 (Implicit Function Theorem). *Suppose $F : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is analytic, $W \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ open, $(a, b) \in W$ such that $F(a, b) = 0 \neq \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(a, b)$. Then there is a unique analytic function $\phi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $\mathcal{U} \subset \Pi_1(W)$ open such that $F(x, \phi(x)) = 0$ and $\phi(a) = b$.*

Let $W = I_j \times \mathbb{R}$. So W is open in \mathbb{R}^2 . Then $p : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is analytic since it is a polynomial. Then pick any $a \in I_j$, let $b = f(a)$, so $(a, b) \in W$, $p(a, b) = 0 \neq \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(a, b)$. Then there is a unique analytic function $\phi : I_j \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $p(x, \phi(x)) = 0$ and $\phi(a) = b$. Thus, $f \equiv \phi$ on I_j , so f must be analytic on I_j , as required.

Exercise 3*

(Real Nullstellensatz) Let F be a real closed field and let $J \subset F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be an ideal. We say that J is *real* if for any $p_1, \dots, p_m \in F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ such that $\sum p_i^2 \in J$, then $p_i \in J$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Show that $I(V(J)) = J$ if and only if J is real.

Solution:

\Rightarrow : Let $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i^2 \in J$. If $V(J) = \emptyset$, then $J = I(V(J)) = F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, so J is real. Otherwise, let $x \in V(J)$.

Lemma 3. *A field F is formally real if and only if for all $a_1, \dots, a_m \in F$, $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 = 0 \Rightarrow a_i = 0 \forall 1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Proof. \Leftarrow : If $m = 1$, then $a_1^2 = 0 \Rightarrow a_1 = 0$. Otherwise, suppose there is i such that $a_i^2 \neq 0$. Then $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq i} (\frac{a_j}{a_i})^2 = -1$. $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$

\Rightarrow : Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 = -1$ for some $a_1 \dots a_n \in F$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 + 1 = 0 \Rightarrow 1 = 0$. $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$

So F is formally real. □

Suppose for some $p_1, \dots, p_m, \sum_{i=1}^m p_i^2 \in J$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^m (p_i(x))^2 = 0$, so by the Lemma, $p_i(x) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Thus, $p_i \in I(V(J)) = J$.

\Leftarrow : We will need a few lemmas for the other direction.

Lemma 4. *If P is a real prime ideal of $F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, then if K is the field of fractions of $F[X_1, \dots, X_n]/P$, K is formally real.*

Proof. Let $\sum_{i=1}^m (\frac{a_i + P}{b_i + P})^2 = P$ where $a_i, b_i \in F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, $b_i \notin P$, (so a sum of squares in K

which is equal to 0). Let $c_i = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq i} b_j + P$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^m (c_i a_i + P)^2 = P$. So $\sum_{i=1}^m (c_i a_i)^2 \in P$, so $c_i a_i \in P$. We know that $c_i \notin P$, or else we would get some $b_j \in P$ since P is prime. So we must have $a_i \in P$. Thus, $a_i + P = P$, so $\frac{a_i + P}{b_i + P} = P$, that is, 0 in K .

Thus, by Lemma 3, K is formally real. □

Lemma 5. *If $J = \bigcap_{i=1}^m P_i$ where the P_i 's are prime and J is real, then each P_i is real.*

Proof. Let P_1, \dots, P_m be such that $J = \bigcap_{i=1}^m P_i$, and no $P_i \subset P_j$ for $i \neq j$. If $m = 1$, then $P_1 = J$ is real. If not, consider P_i and let $c_j \in P_j \setminus P_i$ for all $j \neq i$, $c = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq m, i \neq j} c_j$. Let

$\sum_{k=1}^q a_k^2 \in P_i$. Then $c^2 \sum_{k=1}^q a_k^2 = \sum_{k=1}^q (ca_k)^2$. Since, $\sum_{k=1}^q a_k^2 \in P_i$, this is in P_i , and since $c^2 \in P_j$

for all $j \neq i$, it is in P_j . Thus, $\sum_{k=1}^q (ca_k)^2 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m P_i = J$. So since J is real, $ca_k \in J$, and thus, $ca_k \in P_i$ for each $1 \leq k \leq q$. So since P_i is prime, $c \in P_i$ or $a_k \in P_i$. But if $c \in P_i$ then

$c_j \in P_i$ for some $j \neq i$. Thus, we must have $a_k \in P_i$ for all $1 \leq k \leq q$. Thus, each P_i is real. \square

Since J is real, it is radical: let $f \in \sqrt{J}$, and n be such that $f^n \in J$. Let m be such that $m + n = 2^k$ for some k . then $f^m f^n \in J$, so $f^{2^k} \in J$. Thus, since J is real, $f \in J$.

So by the primary decomposition theorem, $J = \bigcup_{i=1}^m P_i$ for some prime ideals $P_1, \dots, P_m \in F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. And by Lemma 5, each of these are real ideals.

Clearly, $J \subset I(V(J))$, so let $f \in I(V(J))$. To show that $f \in J$, we will show that $f \in P_i$ for each i . Since F is a field, $F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is Noetherian, so $P_i = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$. For any \bar{v} , if $g_1(\bar{v}) = \dots = g_k(\bar{v}) = 0$, then $\bar{v} \in V(J)$. So since $f \in I(V(J))$, $f(\bar{v}) = 0$. Thus, $F \models \forall \bar{v} (\bigwedge g_i(\bar{v}) = 0 \rightarrow f(\bar{v}) = 0)$. Let K be the field of fractions of $F[X_1, \dots, X_n]/P$. K is formally real by Lemma 4, so let L be its real algebraic closure. $F \subset L$, so by model completeness, $F \preceq L$, so $L \models \forall \bar{v} (\bigwedge g_i(\bar{v}) = 0 \rightarrow f(\bar{v}) = 0)$. $L \models \bigwedge g_i(X_1/P_i, \dots, X_n/P_i) = 0$ since $g_i \in P_i$, so $L \models f(X_1/P_i, \dots, X_n/P_i) = 0$. Thus, $f \in P_i$.

Note: the original exercise said: Let F be a real closed field, and let P be a prime ideal in $F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Then, there is $x \in F^n$ with $f(x) = 0$ for all $f \in P$ if and only if whenever $p_1, \dots, p_m \in F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and $\sum p_i^2 \in P$, then all the $p_i \in P$.

This has a counterexample: Consider the ideal $(x^2 + y^2) \subset \mathbb{R}[x, y]$. This is prime since $x^2 + y^2$ is irreducible over \mathbb{R} . $(0, 0) \in V((x^2 + y^2))$. But $x, y \notin (x^2 + y^2)$.

Exercise 4

Prove that for all n and d there are M and D such that if $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{g}{h}$ where g and h are real polynomials of degree at most d and f is positive semidefinite, then there are polynomials $g_1, \dots, g_M, h_1, \dots, h_M$ of degree at most D such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{g_i^2}{h_i^2}.$$

Solution:

Let $R \models RCF$ and suppose not. Then let n, d be such that for polynomials g, h in n variables of degree at most d such that $\frac{g}{h}$ is positive semidefinite, for all $M, D, g_1, h_1, \dots, g_M, h_M$ polynomials in n variables of degree at most D , there is \bar{x} such that $\frac{g(\bar{x})}{h(\bar{x})} \neq \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{(g_i(\bar{x}))^2}{(h_i(\bar{x}))^2}$.

For M, D , let $\Phi_{M,D}(\bar{a}, \bar{b})$ be a formula expressing the following: If \bar{a}, \bar{b} are coefficients of polynomials $a(\bar{x})$ and $b(\bar{x})$ in n variables of degree at most d such that for all \bar{x} , $\frac{a(\bar{x})}{b(\bar{x})} \geq 0$ then for all $\bar{c}_1, \dots, \bar{c}_M, \bar{d}_1, \dots, \bar{d}_M$ coefficients of polynomials $c_1(\bar{x}), \dots, c_M(\bar{x}), d_1(\bar{x}), \dots, d_M(\bar{x})$ in n variables of degree at most D , there exists x such that $\frac{a(\bar{x})}{b(\bar{x})} \neq \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{(c_i(\bar{x}))^2}{(d_i(\bar{x}))^2}$.

Then, by assumption, $\{\Phi_{M,D}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \mid M, D \geq 0\}$ is finitely satisfiable.

So let $S \succeq R$ have realizations \bar{a}, \bar{b} of this. Then, $S \models RCF$, and the corresponding $\frac{a(\bar{x})}{b(\bar{x})}$ is a positive semi-definite functions which cannot be expressed as a sum of squares. This contradicts Hilbert's 17th Problem.

Theorem 6 (Hilbert's 17th Problem). *If R is a real closed field, $\bar{x} \in R^n$, and $f \in R(\bar{x})$ is positive semidefinite, then there are $g_1, \dots, g_m \in R(\bar{x})$ such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^2$.*

Exercise 5

If K is a field, let $K[[t]]$ denote the field of formal power series over K in variable t , and let $K\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$ denote its fraction field, the field of formal Laurent series over K . Let

$$K\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} ((t^{\frac{1}{n}}))$$

be the field of formal *Puiseux series* over K . Series in $K\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$ are of the form $\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} a_i t^{\frac{i}{n}}$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n > 0$. An important theorem is that if K is algebraically closed, then $K\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$ is also algebraically closed. It follows that if R is real closed then $R\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$ is real closed.

- (a) Show that $R \prec R\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$, and t is a positive infinitesimal element of $R\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$.
- (b) Suppose that $r \in R$ and $f : (0, r) \rightarrow R$ is definable. Show that there is $\mu \in R\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$ such that $R\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle \models f(t) = \mu$. Suppose that $\mu = at^q +$ higher-degree terms. Show that f is asymptotic to ax^q at 0. In other words, show that

$$R \models \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 (0 < x < \delta \rightarrow \left| \frac{f(x)}{ax^q} - 1 \right| < \epsilon).$$

Solution:

- (a) Since $R, R\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle \models RCF$, by model completeness, since $R \subset R\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$, $R \prec R\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$.

We know that $t > 0$ in $R\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$ since $t = (t^{\frac{1}{2}})^2$, and $t \neq 0$. Let $r \in R$, $r \neq 0$ be given.

$$r^2 - t = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (r^{1-2n}) \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{n} \right) t^n \quad (\text{by taking the Taylor expansion of } \sqrt{r^2 - t}).$$

So since their difference is a non-zero square in $R\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$, $r^2 > t$.

- (b) Let $f : (0, r) \rightarrow R$ be defined by $\phi(a, b)$. That is, $f(a) = b \Leftrightarrow R \models \phi(a, b)$. So $R \models \forall a (0 < a < r \rightarrow \exists! b (\phi(a, b)))$, and by elementarity, $R\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle \models \forall a (0 < a < r \rightarrow \exists! b (\phi(a, b)))$. Thus, since $R\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle \models 0 < t < r$ by part (a), $R\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle \models \exists! \mu (f(t) = \mu)$.

Then, note that $\frac{\mu}{ax^q} = 1 + g(x)$ where each power of x is strictly positive, since every term after ax^q in μ has degree strictly greater than q . Thus, as $x \rightarrow 0$, $g(x) \rightarrow 0$. So for any $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < x < \delta \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\mu}{at^q} - 1 \right| < \epsilon$. So, since $R\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle \models \mu = f(t)$, $R\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle \models \left| \frac{f(t)}{at^q} - 1 \right| < \epsilon$. Thus, since $R \preceq R\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$, and $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, $R \models \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 (0 < x < \delta \rightarrow \left| \frac{f(x)}{ax^q} - 1 \right| < \epsilon)$.

Basic o-minimality

Exercise 15*

Suppose $(M, <, \dots)$ is o-minimal, $a < b \in M$ and $f : (a, b) \rightarrow M$ is strictly increasing. Prove that $f|I$ is continuous for some interval $I \subset (a, b)$.

Solution:

Since f is injective and (a, b) is infinite, $f((a, b))$ is infinite, so let $(r, s) \subset f((a, b))$ be an interval. Again, since f is injective, there are unique $c, d \in (a, b)$ such that $f(c) = r$ and $f(d) = s$. So, since f is strictly increasing, $c < d$ and $f((c, d)) = (r, s)$. So let $(u, v) \subset (r, s)$ be an interval. Then there must be e, g with $c < e < g < d$ such that $f(e) = u$ and $f(g) = v$. For any $t \in (u, v)$, $f(e) < t < f(g)$, so for (the unique) $h \in (a, b)$ such that $f(h) = t$, $e < h < g$. So $f^{-1}((u, v)) = (e, g)$ is open. Thus, f is continuous on (r, s) .

The problem originally said to prove that f is continuous on (a, b) , which is not always true. This is Lemma 3 in Chapter 3 of van den Dries. It is also the exercise from the o-minimality lecture on Tuesday 7/24.

However, we can further prove that the number of points at which f is not continuous is finite.

Let $\phi(x)$ be $\forall c, d(c < f(x) < d \rightarrow \exists r, s(r < x < s \wedge \forall v(r < v < s \rightarrow c < f(v) < d))$. Let $X = \{x \in (a, b) | f \text{ is not continuous}\} = \{x | \neg \phi(x)\}$. X is definable, so by o-minimality, if X is infinite, then there is some interval $J \subset X$. But then $f : J \rightarrow M$ is strictly increasing, so by the above argument, there is an interval $I \subset J$ on which f is continuous $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$, since $I \subset X$. So X must be finite.

Definable Closure and Exchange

Exercise 18

[Exchange] Suppose $c \in dcl(A \cup \{b\})$. Then $c \in dcl(A)$ or $b \in dcl(A \cup \{c\})$.

Solution:

Let $c \in dcl(A \cup \{b\})$. Let $\phi(\bar{x}, y, z)$ be such that $\{c\} = \{x | \phi(\bar{a}, b, x)\}$ for some $\bar{a} \in A$. Let $B = \{y | \phi(\bar{a}, y, c) \wedge \exists! x \phi(\bar{a}, y, x)\}$.

Lemma 7. *If a set D is definable over some C and $x \in \partial D$ (the boundary of D), then $x \in dcl(C)$.*

Proof. Since D is definable, by o-minimality, $D = I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_k \cup X$ where I_1, \dots, I_k are open intervals and X is finite. So $\partial D = X \cup$ the set of (non-infinite) endpoints of I_1, \dots, I_k . Let $\partial D = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ with $b_1 < \dots < b_n$. Let $x = b_i$, and $\psi(y) = \exists b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_n (b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_{i-1} < y < b_{i+1} < \dots < b_n \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq i} b_j \in \partial D \wedge y \in \partial D)$. Then $\mathcal{M} \models \psi(y) \leftrightarrow y = x$.

So $x \in dcl(C)$. □

So if $b \in \partial B$, then $b \in dcl(A \cup \{c\})$.

If b is not on the boundary of B , then $b \in I \subset B$ for some interval I . Let $\theta(y) = \exists! x \phi(\bar{a}, y, x)$, and let Y be the set defined by θ . Note that $I \subset B \subset Y$.

Define $f : Y \rightarrow M$ by $f(y) = x$ (the unique x guaranteed to exist by θ). f is an A -definable function on I (since $I \subset Y$), and $f \equiv c$ on I , since $I \subset B$, so for $y \in I$, $\phi(\bar{a}, y, c)$.

Let $\psi(x) = \exists u < v \forall u < y < v f(y) = x$. That is, there is an interval on which f has the constant value x .

Claim 1. $\psi(Y)$ is finite.

Proof. By the Monotonicity theorem, $Y = I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_m \cup X$ where X is finite, I_i 's are intervals, and f is either strictly monotone or constant on each interval. So if x is such that f is constantly x on some interval J , it must be on the whole I_i in which J is contained. Thus, there can only be m many such x 's, so $\psi(Y)$ is finite. \square

So let $\psi(Y) = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$. So since $c \in \psi(Y)$, $c = a_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$.

By Lemma 7, since $c \in \partial(\psi(Y))$ which is definable over A , $c \in \text{dcl}(A)$

Consequences of Cell Decomposition

Exercise 21

Suppose \mathcal{M} is o-minimal and \mathcal{N} is elementarily equivalent to \mathcal{M} . Prove that \mathcal{N} is o-minimal.

Solution:

Let $S \subset \mathcal{N}$ be definable and let $\phi(\bar{x}, y)$ and $\bar{a} \in N^m$ be such that $S = \{y \in N \mid \mathcal{N} \models \phi(\bar{a}, y)\}$. We want to show that S is a finite union of intervals and points.

Let $A = \{(\bar{r}, y) \mid \phi(\bar{r}, y)\}$. So for each $\bar{r} \in M^m$, $A_{\bar{r}}$ is definable.

Let $B = \{(\bar{r}, c, d) \mid (c, d) \subset A_{\bar{r}} \wedge \forall (e, f) \supset (c, d), (e, f) \not\subset A_{\bar{r}}\}$. (We can express this in a first order way.)

So $B_{\bar{r}}$ is the set of (c, d) which are disjoint intervals contained in $A_{\bar{r}}$. By o-minimality, $B_{\bar{r}}$ is finite for all $\bar{r} \in M^m$. So by Uniform Bounding, there is N such that $|B_{\bar{r}}| < N$ for all $\bar{r} \in M^m$.

Similarly, let $C = \{(\bar{r}, c) \mid c \in A_{\bar{r}} \wedge \forall (e, f) \ni c, (e, f) \not\subset A_{\bar{r}}\}$. Again, by o-minimality, since $C_{\bar{r}}$ is the set of isolated points in $A_{\bar{r}}$, $C_{\bar{r}}$ is finite, so by Uniform Bounding, there is M such that $|C_{\bar{r}}| < M$ for all $\bar{r} \in M^m$.

Let $\theta_1(\bar{r}, c)$ be $(-\infty, c) \subset A_{\bar{r}} \wedge \forall d > c, (-\infty, d) \not\subset A_{\bar{r}}$ and $\theta_2(\bar{r}, d)$ be $(d, \infty) \subset A_{\bar{r}} \wedge \forall c < d, (c, \infty) \not\subset A_{\bar{r}}$. So

$\mathcal{M} \models \forall \bar{r} \exists c_1 < d_1 < \dots < c_N < d_N \exists x_1, \dots, x_M$

$(A_{\bar{r}} = (c_1, d_1) \cup \dots \cup (c_N, d_N) \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_M\})$

$\vee (\exists c \theta_1(c) \wedge A_{\bar{r}} = (-\infty, c) \cup (c_1, d_1) \cup \dots \cup (c_N, d_N) \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_M\})$

$\vee (\exists d \theta_2(d) \wedge A_{\bar{r}} = (c_1, d_1) \cup \dots \cup (c_N, d_N) \cup (d, \infty) \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_M\})$

$\vee (\exists c, d \theta_1(c) \wedge \theta_2(d) \wedge A_{\bar{r}} = (-\infty, c) \cup (c_1, d_1) \cup \dots \cup (c_N, d_N) \cup (d, \infty) \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_M\})$.

Since $\mathcal{N} \equiv \mathcal{M}$, \mathcal{N} satisfies this as well. Thus, since $S = A_{\bar{a}}$, S is a finite union of points and intervals (c, d) with $c, d \in N \cup \{\pm\infty\}$.