

Lecture 3 (January 16, 2009) -

Example. Let K be a field, V a 1-dimensional K -vector-space, and W a 2-dimensional K -vector space. Take

$$(\text{id}_K, \text{embedding of v.s.}), (K, V) \rightarrow (K, W).$$

As we will see, $(K, V) \models \theta$ and $(K, W) \models \theta$. Consider the formula $\varphi(v)$, which will say

$$\varphi(v) = \forall w \exists \lambda \lambda \star v \approx w.$$

Then $\varphi(v)^{(K,V)} = \{a \in V \mid a \neq 0_V\}$, since all non-zero elements of a 1-dimensional vector space span that vector space. On the other hand, $\varphi(v)^{(K,W)} = \emptyset$, since there is no single basis element for a 2-dimensional vector space.

Definition. We say that $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is an elementary substructure (written $\mathcal{N} \preceq \mathcal{M}$) if for all L -formulae $\varphi(\bar{x})$, $\varphi^{\mathcal{M}} \cap \mathcal{N} = \varphi^{\mathcal{N}}$. [Familiarize yourself with this last part.]

Definition. An embedding $\mathcal{N} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is elementary if $f(\mathcal{N}) \preceq \mathcal{M}$.

We now claim that if $f : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a bijection, then f is an embedding if and only if f is an elementary embedding.

Definition. We say \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} are fundamentally equivalent if $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M}) = \text{Th}(\mathcal{N})$ and we write $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathcal{N}$.

Remarks. The above definition is a weaker version of isomorphism.

Exercise. If $\mathcal{N} \preceq \mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{N} \equiv \mathcal{M}$.

Example. There are $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ substructures such that $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N}$ but $\mathcal{M} \not\preceq \mathcal{N}$. Take a 1-sorted language, with one binary relation symbol R , and \mathcal{M} 's universe to be the natural numbers \mathbb{N} , with $R^{\mathcal{M}} := <$ (less than).

Compactness: If T is a set of sentences and if $\forall T_0 \subseteq_{\text{fin}} T$ there is $\mathcal{M}_{T_0} \models T_0$, then $\exists \mathcal{M} \models T$. ($\mathcal{M}_{T_0} \models T_0$ means $\forall \theta \in T_0, \mathcal{M}_{T_0} \models \theta$).

Upward Löwenheim-Skolem: If T has an infinite model \mathcal{M} , then $\forall \kappa > |\mathcal{M}|$, $\exists \mathcal{N} \succeq \mathcal{M}$ such that $|\mathcal{N}| = \kappa$.

Proof. " \mathcal{N} is huge": define $L^+ := L \cup \{C_i \mid i \in \kappa\}$ (L with κ many constant symbols), and $T_1 = \{C_i \neq C_j \mid i \neq j\}$. We also need " $\mathcal{M} \preceq \mathcal{N}$ ". So, we grow our language again:

$$L^{++} := L^+ \cup \{C_m \mid m \in \mathcal{M}\}.$$

Let $T_2 := \left\{ \varphi(C_{m_1}, \dots, C_{m_n}) \mid \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{\mathcal{M}} \ni (m_1, \dots, m_n) \right\}$. Then let $T := T_1 \cup T_2$.

This completes the proof. \square [**Homework.** Understand this...]

Downward Löwenheim-Skolem. If $A \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, then there is $\mathcal{N} \preceq \mathcal{M}, A \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, $|A| = |\mathcal{N}|$.

Proof. **Exercise.** (to look it up) It involves Skolem functions. \square

We now claim if $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathcal{N}$, then there is $\mathcal{U} \succeq \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{U} \succeq \mathcal{N}$.

Proof. $\text{Eldiag}(\mathcal{M}) \cap \text{Eldiag}(\mathcal{N})$. Assume $\text{Eldiag}(\mathcal{M}) \supseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} := \bigwedge F_{\mathcal{M}}$ and similarly for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}}$.

Lecture 5 (January 23, 2009) -

Last time, we showed that given a theory T , then the following are equivalent:

- T is model-complete.
- All models of R are existentially closed (e.c.) models of T .
- Every existential is equivalent to a universal.

Every formula is equivalent to an existential and to an universal.

What fields are e.c. fields?

Axioms of Fields

The language we will use will be $\mathcal{L}_{\text{fields}} = \{ +, \cdot, 0, 1 \}$. We will use

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z \ x + (y + z) \approx (x + y) + z \wedge x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z \wedge x \cdot (y + 1) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$$

$$\forall x \forall y \ (x + y = y + x \wedge x \cdot y = y \cdot x)$$

$$\forall x \ (x + 0 = x \wedge x \cdot 1 = x)$$

$$\forall x \exists y \ (x + y = 0)$$

$$\forall x \exists y \ (x = 0 \vee x \cdot y = 1).$$

The theory of fields is an $\forall\exists$ -theory.

Lemma. If T is an $\forall\exists$ -theory, then $A_i \models T \ \forall i \in I$ ordered, $\forall i < j, A_i \leq A_j$, then

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \models T.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove this for $T = \{\theta\}$.

Note. If $(I, <)$, $A_i \leq A_j \ \forall i < j$, then $\forall i \ A_i \leq \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$.

Definition. Given A_i for $i \in (I, c)$, define

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i : \text{universe : union of universes (sortwise)}$$

For example, if f is an n -ary function symbol and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ of the right sort,

$$f^{\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i}(a_1, \dots, a_n) := f^{A_j}(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

Let $j : \forall i \ a_i \in A_j$. If $j' : \forall i \ a_i \in A_{j'}$, then

$$f^{A_j}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = f^{A_{j'}}(a_1, \dots, a_n),$$

where $j < j'$, $A_j \leq A_{j'}$ implies the above.

We claim that an e.c. field is algebraically closed:

Proof. If F is not algebraically closed, there is a polynomial $p(x) \in F(x)$ such that $F \not\models \exists x p(x) = 0$ but $\overline{F} \geq F$, $\overline{F} \models \exists x p(x) = 0$. \square

Exercise. Find a T which has no e.c. models of any size.

Exercise. Find a theory T , \mathcal{M} an e.c. model of T , $\mathcal{M} \preceq \mathcal{N}$ which is not an e.c. model of T .

Proposition. Suppose that T is an $\forall\exists$ -theory, $A \models T$, $\lambda \geq |A|$, $\lambda \geq |L|$, then $\exists \mathcal{M}$ is an e.c. model of T such that $A \leq \mathcal{M}$ and $|\mathcal{M}| = \lambda$.

Proof. We have a chain $A = \mathcal{M}_0 \leq \mathcal{M}_1 \leq \mathcal{M}_2 \leq \dots$. Our induction hypothesis will be $|\mathcal{M}_i| \leq \lambda$. The base case $\mathcal{M}_0 = A$ is trivial. We want to list

$$\left\{ \left(\varphi^j(x_1, \dots, x_n); (m^j, \dots, m_{n_j}^j) \right) \mid j \in \lambda \right\},$$

the pairs of L -formula, tuples of elements for \mathcal{M} . For the limit cardinal case we have

$$\alpha : \mathcal{M}_\alpha = \bigcup_{i \in \alpha} \mathcal{M}_i.$$

The successor case: Given \mathcal{M}_i , we look at $\varphi^i, (\overline{m}^i) \in \mathcal{M}_i$. If $\mathcal{M}_i \models \varphi^i(\overline{m}^i)$, then $\mathcal{M}_{i+1} = \mathcal{M}_i$. Otherwise, if there is $\mathcal{N} \models T, \mathcal{M}_i \leq \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N} \models \varphi^i(\overline{m}^i) = \exists y \varphi(\overline{m}^i, y)$. So we need to find $\alpha \in \mathcal{N}$ s.t. $\mathcal{N} \models \varphi(\overline{m}, y)$.

We get \mathcal{N}_2 from \mathcal{N}_1 like \mathcal{N}_1 from \mathcal{N}_0 . We claim that \mathcal{M} is an e.c. model of T (T is $\forall\exists$). Suppose not. If there is $\mathcal{N} \models T, \mathcal{M} \preceq \mathcal{N}$, then this is existential $\varphi(x), \overline{m} \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{N} \models \varphi(\overline{m}), \mathcal{M} \not\models \varphi(\overline{m}), \overline{m} \in \mathcal{N}_i, (\varphi, \overline{m}) = (\varphi^j, \overline{m}^j)$ for some $j \leq \lambda$ in the construction of \mathcal{N}_{i+1} , and this was fixed.

E.c. fields

- Are algebraically closed
- For any characteristic p , for any $\lambda \geq \aleph_0$, there is an e.c. field of char p , of size λ .
- For $\lambda > \aleph_0$, for each char p , there is a unique algebraically closed field of size λ , char p (transcendence basis)

Therefore, for uncountable field k , k is an e.c. field if and only if k is algebraically closed.

Lecture 6 (January 26, 2009) - Algebraically Closed Fields

Definition. $\text{ACF} = \text{Th}(\text{fields}) \cup \{\forall \overline{y} \text{ if } y = 0\}$

$\text{ACF}_p = \text{ACF} \cup \{\theta_p : 1 + 1 + \dots + 1 = 0\}$

$\text{ACF}_0 = \text{ACF} \cup \{\exists \theta_p : p \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Facts about algebraically closed fields. (1) Infinite.

(2) [Can't read board at that angle from here, copy Ramin's notes again... :(]

Proposition. *Given an $\forall\exists$ theory T with no finite models, which is λ -categorical for some $\lambda \geq |L|$, then T is model-complete.*

Proof. Suppose $A \models T$ is not an existentially closed model of T , i.e., $\exists B \models T, a \in A$ existential $\varphi(x)$ such that $A \leq B, A \not\models \varphi(a), B \models \varphi(a)$. Then $L^+ = L \cup \{P, C\}$. Consider an L^+ -structure \mathcal{M} with $\mathcal{M}|_L := B, P^{\mathcal{M}} = A, C^{\mathcal{M}} := a$. $\text{Th}_{L^+}(\mathcal{M}) \supseteq T$ with " $P \models T$ " (relativization). Now we find $\mathcal{N} \models T^+$ s.t. $|P(\mathcal{N})| = \lambda$. By compactness, $\geq \lambda$. Then by the down-ward Löwenheim-Skolem this $= \lambda$. Now $P(\mathcal{N})|_L \models T$, size λ , not c. but since T is $\forall\exists$ and $\lambda \geq |L|$, there is some e.c. closed model of T of size L . But T is λ -categorical. \square

Corollary. *If $K \models \text{ACF}$ and Σ is a system of polynomials over K and Σ has a solution in some field $F \geq K, F \models \text{ACF}$ then Σ has a solution in K (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz).*

Definition. *T eliminates quantifiers (has quantifier elimination) if $\forall \varphi(x), \exists a$ q.f. $\psi(x)$ s.t. $\varphi(x) \Leftrightarrow \psi(x)$.*

Proposition. *Suppose T is model-complete, and $\{\text{substructures of models of } T\}$ has the amalgamation property (A.P.) then T has q.e.*

Proof. (1) It suffices to show q.e. for existential $\varphi(x)$ (induction). Fix existential φ . Take

$$S_\varphi := \{(A, a) \mid A \models T, A \models \varphi(a)\}$$

$$F_{(A,a)} = \{\psi(x) \mid \psi \text{ is q.f. and } A \models \psi(a)\}.$$

We claim if $(B, b) \models T \cup F_{(A,a)}$ then $B \models \varphi(b)$. For a q.f. type of b in B and q.f. type of a in A , then $\langle b \rangle_B \cong \langle a \rangle_A = D$ so there is some $C_0 \subseteq C \models T$ s.t. $D \cong \langle a \rangle_A \hookrightarrow A$ and $D \cong \langle b \rangle_B \hookrightarrow B$ with $A \hookrightarrow C_0$ and $B \hookrightarrow C_0$ with $C_0 \hookrightarrow C \models T$. Now, $A \models \varphi(a)$ so (since φ is existential), $C \models \varphi(a), C \models \varphi(b)$. Since T is model-complete, this implies $B \models \varphi(b)$.

Lecture 7 (January 28, 2009) -

Organizational:

- No class on Friday Feb 6th, 27th. (class 2-4 on Mon Feb 2nd, 23rd)
- Grad student logic conference in Ubrana on 18/19 April.
- ASL conference Notre Dame May 20-23 Apply for funding NOW.

Quantifier Elimination for A.C.F

Corollary. *If $\mathcal{M} \models \text{ACF}$, and $\varphi(x)$ is a formula with 1 free variable, then $\varphi(\mathcal{M})$ is finite or cofinite.*

Proof. If φ is quantifier-free, without loss of generality (d.n.f)

$$\varphi = \bigvee_{j=1}^{m_i} \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^{m_i} \varphi_{ij}(x) \right)$$

where φ_{ij} is a poly equation or inequality. It suffices to show that $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m_j} \varphi_{ij}(k)$ is finite/cofinite. Hence, it suffices to show $\varphi_{ij}(x)$ is finite/cofinite: finite if equal, cofinite if ineq. \square

Definition. A theory T is strongly minimal if the previous corollary holds for T in place of ACF.

Examples. (1) $L = \emptyset$, theory of infinite sets.

(2) Distinguishable, torsion-free abelian groups (\mathbb{Q} -vector space).

(3) For any prime p , \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces.

Zilber's Trichotomy Conjecture.

Counterexample: Read Hrushovski's article "A new strongly minimal set."

From this point, we let T be a strongly minimal theory.

Lemma. (about uniform finiteness/cofiniteness) Take $\varphi(x; y)$ with x, y single variables. Then $\exists n$ such that for all but finitely many $b \in \mathcal{M}$, $|\varphi(\mathcal{M}; b)| = n$ (finiteness), or for all but finitely many $b \in \mathcal{M}$, $|\mathcal{M} \setminus \varphi(\mathcal{M}; b)| = n$ (cofiniteness).

Proof. Note that for each n , " $|\varphi(\mathcal{M}, y)| = n$ " is 1-st order, call it $f_n(y)$ (finite), and " $|\varphi(\mathcal{M}, y)| \neq n$ " is 1-st order, call it $c_n(y)$ (cofinite). Then

$$\mathcal{M} = \left(\bigsqcup_n f_n(\mathcal{M}) \right) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_n c_n(\mathcal{M}) \right).$$

We claim that not all f_n, c_n are finite. Further, we claim that $\forall i \neq j, f_i \cap f_j, f_i \cap c_i, f_i \cap c_j, c_i \cap c_j = \emptyset$. We claim there are infinitely many non-empty f_i or infinitely many non-empty c_i . Take $\Sigma = T \cup \{\varphi(x, C) \text{ is infinite, co-infinite}\}$. By strong minimality of T , Σ is inconsistent. So a finite part of Σ is inconsistent.

$$\Sigma = \{\exists_{\geq n} x \varphi(x, C) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\exists_{\geq n} x \neg \varphi(x, C) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

(we are adding one constant C to the language).

Lemma. Suppose $b, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{M}$. Suppose $b \in \text{acl}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \setminus \text{acl}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$. Then $a_n \in \text{acl}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b)$. (Steinitz exchange)

Proof. Say $\psi(x; y_1, \dots, y_n)$ witnesses $b \in \text{acl}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, i.e., $\psi(x; \bar{a})$ is finite ($b \in \bar{a}$). Define $\psi(x, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, y_n)$ as $\tilde{\psi}(x, y_n)$. Then for all but finitely many $c \in \mathcal{M}$, $\tilde{\psi}(x; c)$ has the same finite size, or the same cofinite size (see previous lemma). Similarly for $c \notin \text{acl}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ $\tilde{\psi}$ has the same finite size as $\varphi(x; a_n)$. Also, all vertical slices $\tilde{\psi}(d; y_n)$ have the same size as $\tilde{\psi}(b, y_n)$. If almost all vertical slices are cofinite, then any N of them intersect.

Lecture 9 (February 2, 2009) -

(1) Given $A \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, and given $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a unique n -type of dimension n .

Proof. Induct on n . If $n = 1$, the generic type of \mathcal{M} over A will be

$\forall \varphi(x)$ w/ parameters from A , $\varphi(\mathcal{M})$ finite) or $\varphi(\mathcal{M})$ cofinite.

Now for the induction case (n to $n + 1$), we need to show if $(\bar{b}, c), (\bar{d}, e)$ are $(n + 1)$ -tuples then $\dim_A = n + 1$ (they have the same order over A). We need $bc \equiv_A de$, that is,

$$\text{type}(bc/A) = \text{type}(de/A).$$

First, note that b, d both satisfy the unique (by induction) n -type over A of dimension n .

$$p(x) = \{\varphi(\bar{x}, y) \mid \models \varphi(\bar{b}, c) \text{ w/ param from } A\},$$

$\exists y, \varphi(\bar{x}, y) \in \text{type}(\bar{b}/A) = \text{type}(\bar{d}/A)$. Then $p(y) = p(d, y)$ is a consistent type. Alice waves her hands and says "I refer you to Monster model." (**What is Monster model?**)

Now we just need to show e and e' have the same type over $A\bar{d}$.

If \bar{b} models the generic n -type over A , then \bar{b} is a generic point of A^n (not the same as in algebraic geometry).

(2) $RM(p) = \dim(p)$. Take $\bar{a} \models p$, reorder s.t. $\bar{a} = \bar{b}\bar{c}$ with \bar{b} a transcendence basis for \bar{a} , and for each c_i , take $\psi_i(x, y)$ that witnesses that c_i is algebraic over \bar{b} ($\subset \text{acl}(\bar{b})$). We claim there exists $\varphi_i(x, y) \in \text{generic length}(\bar{x})$ -type, least possible n_i . Take

$$\Theta(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \bigwedge_i [\psi_i(\bar{x}, y) \wedge \exists_{=n_i, y} \psi_i(\bar{x}, y)].$$

Then any $q \ni \Theta$ has $RM(q) \leq r$, and $Rm(p) = r$ implies $q = p$.

(3) The unique generic type p has $RM(p) = r$. We will induct on r . For $r = 1$, it is an easy exercise to show $RM(p) \neq 0$. Now, inductively, take $\varphi(\bar{x}, y)$ to be of generic $(r + 1)$ -type. We need $MR(\varphi(\bar{x}, y)) \geq r + 1$. We need

$$\{\psi_i(\bar{x}, y)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ s.t. } MR(\psi_i) \geq r, \psi_i \cap \psi_j = \emptyset \text{ so } \psi_i \implies \varphi.$$

Now, find $\{b_i\}_{i \in \omega}$ independent parameter with $\varphi_i(\bar{x}, y) = \varphi(\bar{x}, y) \wedge y = b_i$. Then it is an easy proposition of RM that $RM(\text{tp}(\bar{b}\bar{c}/A)) \geq RM(\text{tp}(\bar{b}/A))$ and this is equality if and only if $\bar{c} \in \text{acl}(A\bar{b})$.

Given $\varphi(\bar{y}, x), pk \leq \text{length}(\bar{x}), \{\bar{a} \mid MR(\varphi(\bar{a}; \bar{x})) \geq k\}$ is definable. [Couldn't read the board at this point.]