## Math 215 - Homework 2

## Due Friday, September 21

1. For each of the following, give the statement's contrapositive and its converse. For each, either state that it is true or give a counterexample to show it is not.

(a) If n is an even integer, then n is not prime.

Contrapositive: If n is prime, then n is not an even integer.

Converse: If n is not prime, then n is an even integer.

Both statements are false: n = 2 is both prime and even; and 15 is not prime, but also odd.

(b) If f(x) is differentiable at x = 7, then f(x) is continuous at x = 7.

Contrapositive: If f(x) is not continuous at x = 7, then f(x) is not differentiable at x = 7.

Converse: If f(x) is continuous at x = 7, then f(x) is differentiable at x = 7.

The original statement is a theorem from calculus: differentiability implies continuity. Thus the contrapositive is equivalent to this (true) statement.

The converse, however, is false: f(x) = |x - 7| is continuous everywhere, but not differentiable at x = 7.

(c) If n is odd, then either n + 1 or n - 1 is divisible by 6.

Contrapositive: If both n + 1 and n - 1 are not divisible by 6, then n is even.

Converse: If n + 1 is divisible by 6 or n - 1 is divisible by 6, then n is odd.

The original statement (hence its contrapositive) is false: For example, n = 9 is odd, but neither 8 nor 10 are divisible by 6.

The converse is true: Since if n + 1 or n - 1 is divisible by 6, then that number is divisible by 2; this implies n is odd.

**2.** Prove by contradiction that if a, b are real numbers with  $a \cdot b = 0$ , then a = 0 or b = 0.

Suppose a, b are reals and  $a \cdot b = 0$ . Then assume for a contradiction that neither a nor b is equal to 0. Then both have multiplicative inverses:  $aa^{-1} = bb^{-1} = 1$ . But then

$$0 = 0 \cdot b^{-1}a^{-1} = (a \cdot b)b^{-1}a^{-1} = a(bb^{-1})a^{-1} = aa^{-1} = 1.$$

We have 0 = 1. But this is a contradiction; so we must have had that a or b was equal to 0.

**3.** Show for all positive integers n that 4 divides  $5^n + 7$ .

We prove this by induction. In the base case, n = 1; and  $5^n + 7 = 5 + 7 = 12 = 4 \cdot 3$ , so we have the claim in this case.

Suppose inductively that  $4|(5^k + 7)$  for some integer  $k \ge 1$ . By definition of divides,  $5^k + 7 = 4 \cdot a$  for some integer a. Now

$$5^{k+1} + 7 = 5 \cdot 5^k + 7$$
  
= 5 \cdot 5^k + 35 - 28  
= 5 \cdot (5^k + 7) - 28  
= 5 \cdot 4 \cdot a - 28 (by inductive hypothesis)  
= 4 \cdot (5a - 7).

Since 5a - 7 is an integer, we've shown  $4|(5^{k+1} + 7)$ . We conclude by the principle of induction that  $4|(5^n + 7)$  for all positive integers n.

4. Prove that for all positive integers n,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} i^2 = \frac{2n^3 + 3n^2 + n}{6}$$

We prove this identity by induction on n.

In the base case, n = 1. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{1} i^2 = 1 = \frac{2+3+1}{6}$$

so the identity holds.

For the inductive step, assume that we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} i^2 = \frac{2k^3 + 3k^2 + k}{6}.$$

for some integer  $k \ge 1$ . We need to show the same identity holds when we replace "k" by "k + 1" throughout. We have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i^2 &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k i^2\right) + (k+1)^2 & \text{(by definition of this sum)} \\ &= \frac{2k^3 + 3k^2 + k}{6} + (k+1)^2 & \text{(by inductive hypothesis)} \\ &= \frac{2k^3 + 3k^2 + k}{6} + \frac{6k^2 + 12k + 6}{6} \\ &= \frac{2k^3 + 9k^2 + 13k + 6}{6} \\ &= \frac{2(k+1)^3 + 3(k+1)^2 + (k+1)}{6} & \text{(by arithmetic).} \end{split}$$

Thus the identity for n = k implies the identity for n = k + 1. By induction, we have proved the identity holds for all positive integers n.

5. Let k, n be positive integers. Prove that if k is the least integer divisor of n such that k > 1, then k is prime.

Fix integers k, n > 0, and suppose that k is the least divisor of n greater than 1. Suppose for a contradiction that k is not prime; then  $k = a \cdot b$  for some positive integers a, b, neither of which is 1 or k. But then 1 < a < k; and by homework 1, since a|k and k|n we have a|n. This contradicts that k was the least divisor of n, which completes the proof.

6. Show that an integer n > 1 is prime if and only if n has no prime divisors p with  $p^2 \le n$ .

We need to show both directions of this implication. The forward direction is easy: Suppose n > 1 is prime. Then n has no divisors besides 1 and n; and since n > 1, we have  $n^2 > n$ . In particular, n has no prime divisors p satisfying  $p^2 \le n$ : Its only prime divisor is itself, and  $n^2 > n$ .

For the reverse implication, we prove the contrapositive. Suppose therefore that n > 1 is not prime. Let p > 1 be least such that p divides n; by assumption that n is composite, 1 . By the previous problem, <math>p is prime.

Let k be such that pk = n; then 1 , by minimality of p. Now

$$p^2 = p \cdot p \le p \cdot k = n.$$

We therefore have shown the existence of a prime p such that p|n and  $p^2 \leq n$ . This completes the proof.