

**MATH 430: FORMAL LOGIC**  
**SPRING 2018**  
**SOLUTIONS TO HOMEWORK 6**

**1.** A graph  $G = (V; E)$  is called *bipartite* if there is a set  $B \subseteq V$  so that if  $x, y$  are either both in  $B$  or both not in  $B$ , then  $\langle x, y \rangle \notin E$ .

A *cycle of length  $n$*  in  $G$  is a sequence  $\langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  so that  $x_0 = x_n$ ,  $x_i \neq x_j$  for  $0 < i < j < n$ , and  $\langle x_i, x_{i+1} \rangle \in E$  for all  $i < n$ .

- (a) Draw two graphs of size 6, one bipartite and one not.
- (b) Show a graph is bipartite if and only if there are no cycles of *odd* length in  $G$ .  
(Hint: for the hard direction, define  $B$  inductively, starting with a point in each connected component of the graph and moving outwards.)
- (c) Show the class of bipartite graphs is axiomatizable.
- (d) Show the class of bipartite graphs is *not* finitely axiomatizable.

**Solution.** (b) If  $G$  contains an odd-length cycle, say  $x_0 E x_1 E \dots E x_{2n} E x_0$ . We claim  $G$  cannot be bipartite. For suppose otherwise and that there is a  $B$  witnessing bipartiteness. Then  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$  cannot both be in  $B$ , or not in  $B$ , for each  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i < 2n$ . Then if for instance  $x_0 \in B$ , then  $x_1 \notin B$ , then  $x_2 \in B$ , and so on; in particular  $x_{2n} \in B$ , and  $x_0 \notin B$ . This is a contradiction.

Suppose for simplicity that any two vertices of  $G$  are connected by a path. Let us first define a function on the vertex set  $V$ , with  $f : V \rightarrow \omega$ : fix some arbitrary vertex  $x_0$ . Let  $f(x_0) = 0$ , and for all other vertices  $y$ ,  $f(y)$  is the length of the shortest path from  $x_0$  to  $y$ . Note  $1 \leq f(y) < \omega$  for all  $y \in V$ .

We now set

$$B = \{x \in V \mid f(x) \text{ is even}\}.$$

We claim  $B$  witnesses that  $G$  is bipartite. Suppose not: then there are  $x$  and  $y$  so that  $x E y$ , and  $f(x) \leq f(y)$  are both even or both odd.

Now if  $f(x) < f(y)$ , we must have  $f(y) = f(x) + 1$ , since the path from  $x_0$  to  $x$  can be extended by one to a path from  $x_0$  to  $y$  using the fact that  $x E y$ . But this contradicts that  $f(x)$  are both even or both odd.

So we must have  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Let us also assume they take the minimum possible value; say this value is  $n$ . In particular there are paths  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = x$  and  $x_0 = y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n = y$  from  $x_0$  to  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively.

Let  $i$  be largest so that  $x_i = y_j$  for some  $j$ . Note that for this to happen, then by the minimality of the length of paths witnessing the values  $f(x) = f(y)$ , we have  $i = j$ . But then  $x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n, y_n, y_{n-1}, \dots, y_i = x_i$  is a cycle of length  $2(n - i) + 1$ , contradicting our assumption.

(c) Using part (b), we just need to find sentences forbidding the existence of cycles of odd length. Letting  $\sigma_n$  be the sentence

$$\neg \exists v_0 \exists v_1 \dots \exists v_n \left( \bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} v_i \neq v_j \right) \wedge \left( \bigwedge_{0 \leq i < n} v_i E v_{i+1} \right) \wedge v_0 = v_n,$$

1

the desired theory is  $\Sigma = \{\sigma_{2k+1} \mid k \geq 1\}$ .

(d) Suppose not. Then there is some single sentence  $\tau$  so that a graph  $G = (V; E)$  is bipartite iff  $G \models \tau$ . In particular, if  $\Sigma$  is our theory from part (c), then  $\Sigma \models \tau$ . **By Compactness**, there is a finite subset  $\Sigma_0$  of  $\Sigma$  with  $\Sigma_0 \models \tau$ . We can assume  $\Sigma_0 = \{\sigma_3, \sigma_5, \dots, \sigma_{2k+1}\}$  for some  $k \geq 1$ .

Now we have that if  $G \models \Sigma_0$ , then  $G \models \tau$ , hence  $G$  is bipartite. But if  $G$  is just the graph consisting of a single cycle of length  $2k + 3$ , then  $G \models \Sigma_0$  but is not bipartite. This is a contradiction.

**2.** Let  $E$  be the set of even integers. Show the structures  $(\mathbb{Z}; E)$  and  $(\mathbb{R}; E)$ , in the language with one unary relation symbol, are elementarily equivalent:  $(\mathbb{Z}; E) \equiv (\mathbb{R}; E)$ .

**Solution.** By Downward Löwenheim-Skolem, there is a countable elementary substructure  $(A; E)$  of  $(\mathbb{R}; E)$  with  $E \subseteq A$ . For all  $n$ ,  $(A; E) \models$  “there are at least  $n$  distinct elements  $x$  so that  $\neg E(x)$ ”. In particular,  $A \setminus E$  is countably infinite. So we can fix a bijection  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A \setminus E$ . Then  $g : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A$  given by  $g(2n) = 2n$  and  $g(2n + 1) = f(n)$  for all  $n$ , is an isomorphism between  $(\mathbb{Z}; E)$  and  $(A; E)$ .

Since isomorphic, these structures are elementarily equivalent, and  $(A; E)$  and  $(\mathbb{R}; E)$  were elementarily equivalent by Löwenheim-Skolem. So  $(\mathbb{Z}; E) \equiv (\mathbb{R}; E)$  as needed.