

Michael Aschbacher's work and the Classification of Finite Simple Groups

Stephen D. Smith
U. Illinois–Chicago

Schock Prize Symposium
Stockholm, 3 November 2011

Overview of the talk:

- 0: The “Dichotomy” Theorem—determining the Odd and Even Cases in the CFSG.
- 1: The Odd Case: component type; handled via “standard form”.
- 2: The Even Case: characteristic 2 type; handled via a TRIchotomy of subcases.
- ∞ : Further remarks on Aschbacher's many fundamental ideas and results.

slides at: www.math.uic.edu/~smiths/talk.pdf

Preface: an EXPOSITION of the CFSG

Gorenstein began an outline-project,
–with a “preliminaries” volume [Gor82]:

(subtitle: An introduction to their classification)
–and an Odd Case overview in [Gor83]:

(vol 1: Groups of noncharacteristic 2-type)
But the Even Case CFSG proof was incomplete...
...until the quasithin subcase was classified in [AS04].
And now the Even Case of Gorenstein’s outline
project has also been completed, with [ALSS11]:

(“vol 2”: Groups of characteristic 2 type)
by Aschbacher, Lyons, Smith, and Solomon.
(The outline in this talk largely follows [ALSS11].)

§0: Dichotomy—into Odd and Even Cases

§§0.1: How to START toward CFSG?

A famous result distinguishes odd vs even PRIMES:

Theorem(Feit-Thompson 1963):

A group of odd order is solvable.

So our simple group G must have *even* order...

...hence contains some t of order 2 (involution).

Now t commutes with its centralizer $C_G(t)$...

...so the latter is not simple—hence $C_G(t) < G$.

If G is a minimal counterexample to the CFSG,
then simple sections of smaller $C_G(t)$ are KNOWN.

Brauer proposed such an approach to the CFSG:

- Consider each H with a central involution;
- Determine simple G having t with $C_G(t) \cong H$.

In that direction: Brauer-Fowler (1955) showed
that each H can lead to only finitely many G .

What STRUCTURES in $C_G(t)$ might we exploit?

Let's “cheat” by looking ahead to the ANSWER:

CFSG Theorem: A simple group is one of:

- An alternating group A_n ($n \geq 5$);
- A Lie-type group (matrix groups over finite \mathbb{F}_q);
- one of 26 “sporadic” groups.

§§0.2: Odd/even $C_G(t)$ in matrix groups

Example: $G := GL_n(q)$ —it's “nearly” simple...

odd q

$$\begin{array}{c}
 t: \\
 \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 -1 & & & \\
 & \dots & & \\
 & & -1 & \\
 \hline
 & & & 1 \\
 & & & \dots \\
 & & & 1
 \end{array} \right)
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 C_G(t): \\
 \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 GL_k & & 0 & \\
 \hline
 & & & \\
 0 & & & GL_{n-k}
 \end{array} \right)
 \end{array}$$

component: quasisimple subnormal subgroup;

component TYPE: For some t and $C_t := C_G(t)$,
there is a component in $\overline{C}_t := C_t/O_{2'}(C_t)$.

even q

$$\begin{array}{c}
 t: \\
 \left(\begin{array}{cccc}
 1 & & & \\
 & 1 & & \\
 & & 1 & \\
 & & & 1 \\
 1 & & & 1
 \end{array} \right)
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 C_t: \\
 \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 * & 0 & 0 \\
 \hline
 * & & \\
 * & * & 0 \\
 * & & \\
 \hline
 * & * & * & * & *
 \end{array} \right)
 \end{array}$$

Here GL_{n-2} is NOT a component (not normal);

...indeed ONLY $O_2(C_t)$ contains normal subgroups.

characteristic 2 TYPE: Each t has $F^*(C_t) = O_2(C_t)$.

§§0.3: PROVING Odd/Even Dichotomy

Early results handled “small” cases— $m_2(G) \leq 2$.

Dichotomy Theorem: If $m_2(G) \geq 3$, then either G has component type, or G has characteristic 2 type.

Proof: (Sketch!) Assume component type fails. Then each t has $F^*(\overline{C}_t) = O_2(\overline{C}_t)$.

NEED this for C_t —so suffices to get $O_{2'}(C_t) = 1$.

Method: Study the function $\theta : t \mapsto O_{2'}(C_t)$; and show $\theta \equiv 1$ (only TRIVIAL images).

Balance leading to signalizer functor:

The absence of components gives “balance” :

$$\theta(t) \cap C_u \leq \theta(u) \cap C_t, \text{ for } t \in C_u.$$

It follows that θ , on larger 2-groups, is a functor: i.e., is natural with respect to \leq and conjugacy.

Completeness and connected components:

As $m_2(G) \geq 2$, quote Signalizer Functor Theorem:

— θ must be “complete”, for each A of rank ≥ 3 ;

—includes $\theta(B) = \theta(A)$ for $B \leq A$ of rank ≥ 2 .

It follows θ is constant on components of graph Γ : with vertices like A , edges by intersecting in a B .

The DISconnected case:

Here, we quote the Strongly Embedded Theorem:

G is of Lie type and rank 1, in characteristic 2.
Such G DO have characteristic 2 type (and $\theta \equiv 1$).

The connected case:

Here, $\theta(A)$ is constant on the conjugacy class of A ;
so that G normalizes $\theta(A)$.

By simplicity, $\theta(A) = 1$; hence $\theta(t) = 1$ for $t \in A$.

That is, $O_{2'}(C_t) = 1$, for any involution t .

Recall this sufficed here to give $F^*(C_t) = O_2(C_t)$.

Thus connecteness also gives characteristic 2 type,
completing our proof of the Dichotomy Theorem.

The notions of balance and signalizer functors,
with completeness and (dis-)connectedness
leading to dichotomies (indeed TRIchotomies),
were extended in many ways, throughout the CFSG.

§1: The Odd Case—component type, handled via standard form

We outline via a 6-step STRATEGY:

First, we recall some preliminaries to Dichotomy...

STEP 1: Small cases— $m_2(G) \leq 2$.

(Burnside) Brauer-Suzuki Gorenstein-Walter Alperin-Brauer-Gorenstein Lyons...

STEP 2: Disconnected (“uniqueness”)

Bender-Suzuki 1971 covered “strongly embedded”.

(Digression: VARIATIONS on disconnectedness:

—Gorenstein-Harada: sectional 2-rank ≥ 4 .

—Aschbacher: “Proper 2-generated core”)

We saw Dichotomy; now ASSUME the Odd Case:

STEP 3: We have a component \bar{L} in \bar{C}_t .

Here G should be Lie type/odd + alternating;

...so we EXPECT components L of those types.

Aschbacher idea: An L suitably MAXIMAL in C_t

should have “standard form” restrictions on C_t .

First, need to “pull back” from \bar{C}_t to C_t :

STEP 4: Get a component L in C_t .

Aschbacher originally ASSUMED Thompson's

B -Conjecture—was PROVED, so **B -Theorem:**

If $O_{2'}(G) = 1$, preimage(\bar{L}) is a component L .

...obtained via **Unbalanced Group Theorem:**

If $O_{2'}(C_t) \neq 1$, then G is (Lie(odd), A_n , ...).

Proof? used “unbalancing” possibilities \bar{L} ;

with a notion of standardness for 2-components.

—(Solomon) $\bar{L} \cong 2A_n (n \geq 8)$: get few G .

—(Aschbacher) **Classical Involution Thm:**

$\bar{L} \cong SL_2(q)$ or $2A_7$: G is Lie(odd) (or M_{11}).

Thompson reduced to $\bar{L} \cong L_2(q)$, A_n , (+few).

—(Solomon) $\bar{L} \cong A_n (n \geq 8)$: G is A_{n+4} .

—(Harris, Walter, ...) $\bar{L} \cong \text{Lie(odd)}$ (incl $L_2(q)$):

then G is Lie(odd), or A_n , or (few).

—other \bar{L} : Foote Harris-Solomon Fritz Griess-Solomon Aschbacher-Seitz Cheng

STEP 5: Get an L in “standard form”.

Using the B -Theorem, we get the FINAL form of Aschbacher’s **Standard Component Theorem**:

For G of component type with $m_2(G) \geq 3$,
(either G has classical involution, so known, or)
some C_t has an L which is STANDARD in G .

That is, L does not commute with its conjugates;
and $C_G(L)$ is TIGHTLY embedded.

STEP 6: For each L , find possible G .

...expect G is USUALLY of “same type” as L .
MANY authors did “standard form problems”.

So, just a few remarks here:

- L Lie(odd) or A_n ? done as \bar{L} in Step 4.
- Aschbacher-Seitz did case $m_2(C_G(L)) \geq 2$.
- Seitz reduced to L of small Lie rank.
- Many L done by Finkelstein, Solomon, Seitz

§2: The Even Case—characteristic-2 type, handled in a Trichotomy subdivision

Now, assume the REMAINING case from Dichotomy; ...namely G is of characteristic 2 type.

(That is, $F^*(N) = O_2(N)$ for each 2-local N .)

GOAL: Mimic standard- L Strategy—“for odd p ”; i.e. replace 2: now $C_G(u)$ for u of order p , etc.

We can START much as in the Odd Case:

STEP 1: Small case— $e(G) \leq 2$ (quasithin)

“Size” is measured by Thompson’s early notion:

$$e(G) = \max m_p(N) \text{ over odd } p, \text{ 2-locals } N.$$

Aschbacher 1978 handled $e(G) = 1$ (“thin”).

Mason did NOT publish $e(G) \leq 2$ (“quasithin”).

Finally, Aschbacher-Smith [AS04] classified:

quasithin simple G of “even characteristic”

i.e. $F^*(N) = O_2(N)$ just for $N \geq 2$ -Sylow of G .

Notoriously lengthy; so here only a few remarks:

—a STRUCTURE THEORY; “ \mathcal{C} -components” L .

“Thompson strategy” for 2-locals M and H :

—list of possible maximal L (and $V \leq O_2(L)$).

—list of H with $O_2(H) \neq 1$, min’l $H \not\leq M$.

(Courage!) For each pair, find $\langle M, H \rangle = G$.

So now, we may assume “generic” case $e(G) \geq 3$.
We get a VARIATION from the earlier Strategy:

STEP 2: p -Uniqueness—postponed!

In contrast to Strongly (2-)Embedded,

NO treatment of strongly p -embedded is known,
except as a consequence of the full CFSG.

So that situation is known only inductively;

...and then “ p -uniqueness” is treated at the END.

Modified STRATEGY: via a trichotomy.

The Dichotomy argument (for 2) also applies to p ;
with “disconnected”, we get a (weak) TRIchotomy.

Can even get **(Strong) Trichotomy Theorem:**

($e(G) \geq 4$, Gorenstein-Lyons; $= 3$, Aschbacher)

One of the following holds for G :

- (1) p -component type (leading to standard TYPE);
- (2) p -disconnected (leading to p -Uniqueness Case);
- (3) characteristic p type (leading to $GF(2)$ type).

(Proof? generalize Dichotomy arguments;

...DO Steps 3–5 of old-Strategy, in getting (1).)

It remained to treat the Trichotomy cases (1)–(3).

Case (3)— $GF(2)$ type: ALREADY done!

Not “essential”: Klinger-Mason 1975 showed that char- $\{2, p\}$ -type does NOT arise when $e(G) \geq 3$.

But for Gorenstein-Lyons, it was CONVENIENT to use “similar” arguments to lead to sporadic G . (Leaving standard type to lead to Lie(even) G .)

Namely “intermediate” Klinger-Mason arguments lead to G having “ $GF(2)$ ” type:

some involution t has $O_2(C_t)$ of symplectic type.

Then they QUOTE the $GF(2)$ type classification; already done (independently of CFSG) by 1978.

—work of Aschbacher, Timmesfeld, Smith ...

—GENERAL answer: mainly, Lie type over \mathbb{F}_2 ;

HERE: get all sporadic G-L conclusions.

—(There is an extension, classifying $GF(2^n)$ type.)

Case (1): Handling Standard Type

(This corresponds to Step 6 in old-Strategy)

Standard TYPE: more specific than standard form.

...for example, “neighboring” components

(intersecting in a sub-component, standard in each).

The ANSWER has includes all G which are Lie(even).

In contrast to many standard form papers in §1,

standard type done in ONE: by Gilman-Griess.

(Some $e(G) = 3$ cases by Finkelstein-Frohardt.)

Recognition of Lie type G —via Steinberg relations,
and Curtis-Tits (+ Phan) presentations.

Case (2): Handling the Uniqueness Case

(This is “postponed Step 2” of old-Strategy)

Aschbacher showed that NO group G arises here;

so this is the final contradiction in the CFSG.

(Roughly, a strongly p -embedded subgroup
should be a p -local—and NOT a 2-local.)

(With G-L:) pre-uniqueness \Rightarrow Uniqueness Case.

Thompson strategy: maxl 2-local M ; $H \not\leq M$.

—weak closure: get $H = H_1H_2$, for $H_i \leq N_G(A_i)$;

—uniqueness thms: $\exists!$ maxl 2-local over $N_M(A_i)$.

That local is M : $\geq N_G(A_i) \geq H_i$; $\rightarrow\leftarrow H \not\leq M$.

§∞: Some further remarks on Aschbacher's ideas and results

Here at least is a (partial) LIST of contributions:

(General Techniques:)

Characterizations (“recognition”) of various groups

Odd transpositions; groups of GF(2) type ...

Proper 2-Generated Core Theorem

Failure of factorization theory

Pushing up; blocks and the $C(G, T)$ -Theorem

Tightly embedded subgroups

Involutions in Lie type in char 2 (with Seitz)

Weak closure

Uniqueness theorems

(Odd Case:)

Classical Involution Theorem

Standard Form Theorem

Reductions/solutions for standard form problems

(Even Case:)

Quasithin classification (with Smith)

$e(G) = 3$ Classification (... via Trichotomy)

Uniqueness Case (+ preuniqueness, with G-L)

(Outside the CFSG:)

Geometric structures for simple groups

Maximal subgroups (with Scott)

Uniqueness of sporadic groups (with Segev)

On Quillen's conjecture for $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$ (with Smith)

Fusion systems and simple groups

...and did I mention his influential BOOKS ?

Epilogue: Later approaches to the CFSG

Gorenstein-Lyons-Solomon project (“revisionism”)

—“second effort”: more methodical...

Meierfrankenfeld-Stellmacher approach

—organized around characteristic p type ...

(early) Aschbacher: via simple fusion systems?

References

- [ALSS11] Michael Aschbacher, Richard Lyons, Stephen D. Smith, and Ronald Solomon. *The classification of finite simple groups: Groups of characteristic 2 type*, volume 172 of *Surveys and Monographs of the A. M. S.* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
- [AS04] Michael Aschbacher and Stephen D. Smith. *The classification of quasithin groups. II*, volume 112 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. Main theorems: the classification of simple QTKE-groups.
- [Gor82] Daniel Gorenstein. *Finite simple groups*. University Series in Mathematics. Plenum Publishing Corp., New York, 1982. An introduction to their classification.
- [Gor83] Daniel Gorenstein. *The classification of finite simple groups. Vol. 1*. The University Series in Mathematics. Plenum Press, New York, 1983. Groups of noncharacteristic 2 type.