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#### Abstract

A queueing system ( $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{G}_{1}, \mathrm{G}_{2} / 1 / K$ ) is considered in which the service time of a customer entering service depends on whether the queue length, $N(t)$, is above or below a threshold $L$. The arrival process is Poisson and the general service times $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ depend on whether the queue length at the time service is initiated is $<L$ or $\geq L$, respectively. Balance equations are given for the stationary probabilities of the Markov process $(N(t), X(t))$ where $X(t)$ is the remaining service time of the customer currently in service. Exact solutions for the stationary probabilities are constructed for both infinite and finite capacity systems. Asymptotic approximations of the solutions are given, which yield simple formulas for performance measures such as loss rates and tail probabilities. The numerical accuracy of the asymptotic results is tested.
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Background

Queueing systems arise in a wide variety of applications such as computer systems and communication networks. A queueing system is a mathematical model to characterize the system, in which the arrivals and the service of customers (users, packets or cells) occur randomly. The customers arrive at the facility and wait in the queue (or buffer) if the server is not available. If there are many customers in the queue, they may suffer long delays which cause poor system performance. Thus, the arrival rate or the service rate may need to be controlled to reduce the delays. These systems may

[^0]be represented by queueing systems with queue-length-dependent arrival rates or service times. That is, if the queue length exceeds a threshold value, the arrival rate may be reduced (e.g. overload control), or the service rate may be increased (e.g. the cell discarding scheme [2]). Many schemes of traffic control in ATM (asynchronous transfer mode) networks have been analyzed using such threshold-based queueing systems ([2],[5],[8]-[12]).

In this paper, we analyze a queueing system with queue-length-dependent service times. Customers arrive at the queue by a Poisson process, and there is only one server. The service times of customers depend on the queue length. Concretely, we specify a threshold value $L$ for the queue. If the queue length at service initiation of a customer is less than the threshold $L$ (respectively, greater than or equal to the threshold $L$ ), the service time of the customer follows a distribution with probability density function $b_{1}(\cdot)$ (respectively, $b_{2}(\cdot)$ ). We believe that our analysis can be extended to the case of multiple thresholds. Both infinite ( $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{G}_{1}, \mathrm{G}_{2} / 1$ ) and finite capacity ( $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{G}_{1}, \mathrm{G}_{2} / 1 / K$ ) queues are considered.

The analysis of this queueing system was directly motivated by the cell-discarding scheme for voice packets in ATM networks (see [2, 9, 11]). In [11], a system with deterministic service times was proposed, in which voice packets are divided into high and low priority ATM cells. The cells arrive as a concatenated pair (i.e. two cells per arrival) and the threshold $L$ and the capacity $K$ are measured in terms of cell pairs. The cell discarding occurs at the output of the queue and immediately prior to transmission, based upon the total number of cell pairs in the queue. If this number is less then $L$ then the next pair of cells is transmitted (no cells are discarded). However, if the queue length is greater than or equal to $L$ then only the high priority cell is transmitted. Thus, the low priority cell is discarded. The analysis in [11] is based on numerically solving an embedded chain formulation of the problem. The system is studied only at service time completions. Our results, when specialized to $\mathrm{G}_{i}=\mathrm{D}_{i}$ (deterministic service), are directly applicable to this model.

We analyze this queueing system using the supplementary variable method. We first consider the case of an infinite capacity queue, and obtain an explicit formula for the steady-state queue length distribution $p_{n}$. When the service times have different exponential distributions, the queue length distribution has a simple, closed form. We also compute asymptotic approximations to the queue length distribution $p_{n}$ for various choices of the system parameters. Next, we examine the finite capacity queue, and again obtain explicit expressions for $p_{n}$ and in particular the probability $p_{K}$ that the queue is full and the probability $p_{0}$ that it is empty. Also, we investigate asymptotic approximations for the queue length distribution for large values of the threshold $L$ and the queue capacity $K$. We show that this queueing system has very different tail behavior (and hence loss probability) than other $M / G / 1$ type models, and that the service tails can sometime determine the tail of the queue length.

There has been some previous analytical work on queueing systems with queue-length-
dependent service times [1, 3, 4, 6, 7]. C. M. Harris [6] considered the $M^{X} / G / 1$ queue with queue-length-dependent service times. In particular, if there are $i$ customers in the queue, the service time of the customer starting service has a general distribution depending on $i$. By using the embedded Markov chain method, C. M. Harris [6] derived the probability generating function for the queue length at the departure epochs. However, the obtained probability generating function contains infinitely many unknown constants. A closed form was obtained only for some special service times of two types. Fakinos [4] analyzed the $G / G / 1$ queue in which the service discipline is last-come first-served and the service time depends on the queue length at the arrival epoch of each customer. Abolnikov, Dshalalow and Dukhovny [1] considered queues with bulk arrivals (i.e. compound Poisson input) and state-dependent arrivals and service. Assuming that the statedependence applies only when the queue length is below a critical level, the authors characterize the generating function (for both transient and steady-state cases) of the queue length probabilities in terms of the roots of a certain equation. Ivnitskiy [7] also considers a model with bulk, statedependent arrivals and state-dependent service. Using the supplementary variable method, he obtains a recursion relation for the Laplace transforms of the transient queue length probabilities. For a very good recent survey of work on state-dependent queues, we refer the reader to Dshalalow [3].

The model here is a special case of that studied in [7]. However, we are able to give more explicit analytical expressions, from which we can easily obtain asymptotic expansions for tail probabilities and loss rates. These clearly show the qualitative dependence of these performance measures on the arrival rate and the service distribution(s). In particular, we show that the tail behavior of the model with threshold $L$ is much different than the tail behavior of the standard M/G/1 and M/G/1/K models.

### 1.2 Statement of the Problem

We let $N(t)$ be the queue length at time $t$, including the customer in service, and let $X(t)$ be the remaining service time of the customer currently in service. Customers arrive according to a Poisson process with arrival rate $\lambda$ and are served on a first-come first-serve basis. There is a single server and a queue with finite capacity $K$. An arrival that would cause $N(t)$ to exceed $K$ is lost, without effecting future arrivals. The service time of each customer depends on the queue length at the time that customer's service begins. If the queue length at service initiation is less than $L$, the service time of that customer is $S_{1}$, while if the queue length is greater than or equal to $L$, the service time is $S_{2}$. The service times $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ have density functions $b_{1}(\cdot)$ and $b_{2}(\cdot)$ with means $m_{1}$ and $M_{1}$, respectively. We define $\rho_{1}=\lambda m_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}=\lambda M_{1}$. The process $(N(t), X(t))$ is Markov and $X(t)$ is referred to as a supplementary variable.

The stationary probabilities are denoted by

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{n}(x) d x=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr}[N(t)=n, X(t) \in(x, x+d x)], n=1, \ldots, K,  \tag{1.1}\\
p_{0}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr}[N(t)=0] . \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

For finite capacity systems $(K<\infty)$, these limits clearly exist. For infinite capacity systems $(K=\infty)$, we assume the stability condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{2}=\lambda M_{1}=\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} t b_{2}(t) d t<1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The balance equations for (1.1)-(1.2) are

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{1}(0) & =\lambda p_{0}  \tag{1.4}\\
-\frac{d p_{1}}{d x} & =-\lambda p_{1}(x)+\lambda b_{1}(x) p_{0}+b_{1}(x) p_{2}(0)  \tag{1.5}\\
-\frac{d p_{n}}{d x} & =-\lambda p_{n}(x)+\lambda p_{n-1}(x)+b_{1}(x) p_{n+1}(0), \quad n=2, \ldots, L-1  \tag{1.6}\\
-\frac{d p_{L}}{d x} & =-\lambda p_{L}(x)+\lambda p_{L-1}(x)+b_{2}(x) p_{L+1}(0)  \tag{1.7}\\
-\frac{d p_{n}}{d x} & =-\lambda p_{n}(x)+\lambda p_{n-1}(x)+b_{2}(x) p_{n+1}(0), n=L+1, \ldots, K-1  \tag{1.8}\\
-\frac{d p_{K}}{d x} & =\lambda p_{K-1}(x) \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The normalization condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{K} \int_{0}^{\infty} p_{n}(x) d x=1 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For infinite capacity systems, we omit equation (1.9) and let $K \rightarrow \infty$ in (1.8) and (1.10).
An important local balance result can be obtained by integrating the balance equations with respect to $x$ from 0 to $\infty$, which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n+1}(0)=\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} p_{n}(x) d x, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following sections, we construct exact solutions to the infinite capacity model and then the finite capacity model. As we will show, the solution of the infinite capacity model can be used to construct the solution of the finite capacity model. Then we obtain simple formulas for the performance measures by constructing asymptotic approximations to the exact solutions.

## 2 Infinite Capacity System $(K=\infty)$

We consider the infinite capacity model $(K=\infty)$ described by equations (1.4)-(1.8) with normalization condition (1.10). For this model, equation (1.8) is valid for $n \geq L+1$.
$\mathbf{M} / \mathbf{M}_{1}, \mathbf{M}_{2} / \mathbf{1}$ Queue: To illustrate the important characteristics of the solution, we first consider the case in which the service times, $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, are exponential with probability density functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}(t)=\mu_{1} e^{-\mu_{1} t}, \quad b_{2}(t)=\mu_{2} e^{-\mu_{2} t} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of (1.4)-(1.8) can be constructed in a straightfoward manner as follows. For $n<L$, we assume a solution of (1.4)-(1.6) in the form $p_{n}(x)=e^{-\mu_{1} x} P_{n}$ which leads to the difference equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{n+1}-\left(1+\rho_{1}\right) P_{n}+\rho_{1} P_{n-1}=0  \tag{2.2}\\
P_{2}=\rho_{1} P_{1}, \quad P_{1}=\lambda p_{0} \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}=\frac{\lambda}{\mu_{1}} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of (2.2)-(2.3) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}=\lambda p_{0} \rho_{1}^{n-1}, \quad n=1, \ldots, L-1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}(x)=\lambda p_{0} \rho_{1}^{n-1} e^{-\mu_{1} x}, \quad n=1, \ldots, L-1 . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now compute $p_{L}(x)$ by first finding the value of $p_{L}(0)$ using (1.6) with $n=L-1$ and the known functions $p_{L-1}(x)$ and $p_{L-2}(x)$, which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{L}(0)=\lambda p_{0} \rho_{1}^{L-1} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next solve (1.7) for $p_{L}(x)$ in terms of $p_{L+1}(0)$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{L}(x)=\frac{\lambda^{2} p_{0} \rho_{1}^{L-2}}{\lambda+\mu_{1}} e^{-\mu_{1} x}+\frac{\mu_{2}}{\lambda+\mu_{2}} e^{-\mu_{2} x} p_{L+1}(0) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compute $p_{L+1}(0)$, we substitute (2.8) into the local balance result (1.11) with $n=L$. This leads to

$$
p_{L+1}(0)=\lambda p_{0} \rho_{2} \rho_{1}^{L-1} \frac{\lambda+\mu_{2}}{\lambda+\mu_{1}}, \quad \rho_{2}=\frac{\lambda}{\mu_{2}}
$$

which when used in (2.8) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{L}(x)=\lambda p_{0} \rho_{1}^{L-1}\left[\frac{\mu_{1}}{\lambda+\mu_{1}} e^{-\mu_{1} x}+\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu_{1}} e^{-\mu_{2} x}\right] . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n>L$, we seek a solution of (1.8) of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}(x)=A_{n} e^{-\mu_{1} x}+B_{n} e^{-\mu_{2} x} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to the system of difference equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\lambda+\mu_{1}\right) A_{n} & =\lambda A_{n-1} \\
\left(\lambda+\mu_{2}\right) B_{n} & =\lambda B_{n-1}+\mu_{2}\left[A_{n+1}+B_{n+1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution of the above equations is

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{n}=\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{1+\rho_{1}}\right)^{n-L} A_{L} \\
B_{n}=c \rho_{2}^{n-L}+\frac{\mu_{2}}{\mu_{1}} \frac{\lambda}{\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}-\lambda}\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{1+\rho_{1}}\right)^{n-L} A_{L}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $c$ and $A_{L}$ are to be determined. By setting $n=L$ in (2.10), with $A_{n}$ and $B_{n}$ defined above, and equating the result to (2.9), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{L} & =\lambda p_{0} \rho_{1}^{L-1} \frac{\mu_{1}}{\lambda+\mu_{1}} \\
c & =\lambda p_{0} \rho_{1}^{L-1} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for $n>L$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{n}(x)=\lambda p_{0} \rho_{1}^{L-1}\left\{\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{1+\rho_{1}}\right)^{n-L} \frac{1}{1+\rho_{1}} e^{-\mu_{1} x}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left[\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}} \rho_{2}^{n-L}+\frac{-\mu_{2}}{\lambda+\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}}\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{1+\rho_{1}}\right)^{n-L+1}\right] e^{-\mu_{2} x}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The constant $p_{0}$ is determined by normalization using (1.10) and the marginals $p_{n}=\int_{0}^{\infty} p_{n}(x) d x$ are summarzied below.

Theorem 1 M/M, $M_{2} / 1$ Queue: Let $b_{i}(x)=\mu_{i} e^{-\mu_{i} x}$ and $\rho_{i}=\lambda / \mu_{i}$ for $i=1,2$ and assume that the stability condition $\rho_{2}<1$ is satisfied. The marginal probabilities are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{n}=p_{0} \rho_{1}^{n}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots, L-1  \tag{2.11}\\
p_{n}=p_{0} \rho_{1}^{L-1}\left\{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}} \rho_{2}^{n-L+1}+\frac{\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}}{\lambda+\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}}\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{1+\rho_{1}}\right)^{n-L+1}\right\}, n \geq L \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}=1 /\left[\frac{1-\rho_{1}^{L}}{1-\rho_{1}}+\frac{\rho_{1}^{L}}{1-\rho_{2}}\right] . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

An interesting aspect of the result is the tail behavior as $n-L \rightarrow \infty$. The tail probability has a different form depending on the parameters:

$$
p_{n} \sim p_{0} \rho_{1}^{L-1} \begin{cases}\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}} \rho_{2}^{n-L+1} & \text { if } \lambda+\mu_{1}>\mu_{2}  \tag{2.14}\\ \frac{\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}}{\mu_{2}-\lambda-\mu_{1}}\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{1+\rho_{1}}\right)^{n-L+1} & \text { if } \lambda+\mu_{1}<\mu_{2}\end{cases}
$$

$\mathbf{M} / \mathbf{G}_{1}, \mathbf{G}_{2} / \mathbf{1}$ Queue: We now consider the system in which the service times $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ have general distributions. For $n<L$, we solve (1.4)-(1.6) with $L=\infty$ by introducing the generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z, x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n}(x) z^{n} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

into (1.5)-(1.6) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{x}(z, x)+\lambda(z-1) G(z, x)=b_{1}(x) \lambda(1-z) p_{0}-\frac{1}{z} b_{1}(x) G(z, 0) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{x}(z, x)=\partial G(z, x) / \partial x$. The solution of (2.16) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z, x)=\left[\frac{G(z, 0)}{z}-\lambda(1-z) p_{0}\right] \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{\lambda(z-1)(t-x)} b_{1}(t) d t \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The unknown function $G(z, 0)$ is found by setting $x=0$ in (2.17) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z, 0)=\frac{\lambda p_{0} z(z-1) \hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)}{z-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{b}_{1}(s)$ is the Laplace transform of $b_{1}(t)$. Combining the result (2.18) for $G(z, 0)$ in (2.17) and simplifying we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z, x)=\frac{\lambda p_{0} z(z-1)}{z-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{\lambda(z-1)(t-x)} b_{1}(t) d t \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We invert $G(z, x)$ to find an integral representation of the stationary probabilities in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}(x)=\frac{\lambda p_{0}}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{z-1}{z^{n}\left[z-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)\right]} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{\lambda(z-1)(t-x)} b_{1}(t) d t d z \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The contour $C$ is a loop in the complex $z$-plane which encircles the origin but excludes any other poles of the integrand. By setting $n=1$ and $x=0$ in (2.20), we find that (1.4) is satisfied. Based on the above calculation, we see that (2.20) is in fact a solution of (1.5)-(1.6) for $L<\infty$. Thus, we assume that for $n<L$, the solution is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}(x)=\frac{\lambda k}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{z-1}{z^{n}\left[z-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)\right]} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{\lambda(z-1)(t-x)} b_{1}(t) d t d z, \quad n=1, \ldots, L-1 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $k$, which will be determined later.
For $n \geq L$, defining a different generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z, x)=\sum_{n=L}^{\infty} z^{n-L} p_{n}(x) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

the equations (1.7)-(1.8) are transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{x}(z, x)+\lambda(z-1) H(z, x)=-\lambda p_{L-1}(x)+\frac{b_{2}(x)}{z}\left[p_{L}(0)-H(z, 0)\right] \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of (2.23) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z, x)=\lambda \int_{x}^{\infty} p_{L-1}(t) e^{\lambda(z-1)(t-x)} d t-\frac{p_{L}(0)-H(z, 0)}{z} \int_{x}^{\infty} b_{2}(t) e^{\lambda(z-1)(t-x)} d t \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, we determine $H(z, 0)$ by setting $x=0$ in (2.24) to find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z, 0)=\frac{-p_{L}(0) \hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)+\lambda z \int_{0}^{\infty} p_{L-1}(t) e^{\lambda(z-1) t} d t}{\left[z-\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)\right]} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The numerator of (2.25) vanishes as $z \rightarrow 1^{-}$using the local balance result (1.11) with $n=L-1$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{L}(0)=\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} p_{L-1}(t) d t \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the known solution for $p_{L-1}(x)$ given by (2.21) to compute the integral in the numerator of (2.25) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} p_{L-1}(t) e^{\lambda(z-1) t} d t=\frac{\lambda k}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{w-1}{w^{L-1}\left[w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)\right]} \frac{-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)+\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)}{\lambda(z-w)} d w \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using (2.26) we find that for $L \geq 3$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{L}(0)=\frac{\lambda k}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{w-1}{w^{L-1}\left[w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)\right]} d w . \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $H(z, 0)$ is determined by (2.25) using (2.27) and (2.28) up to the constant $k$. We now use $H(z, 0)$ and $p_{L}(0)$ to construct $H(z, x)$ using (2.24). After some algebra, we find that

$$
\begin{gather*}
H(z, x)=\frac{\lambda k}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{w-1}{w^{L-1}\left[w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)\right]} \frac{\int_{x}^{\infty}\left(e^{-\lambda(z-1)(x-u)}-e^{-\lambda(w-1)(x-u)}\right) b_{1}(u) d u}{z-w} d w \\
+\frac{\lambda k}{2 \pi i\left(z-\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)\right)} \int_{C} \frac{w-1}{w^{L-1}\left[w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)\right]}\left[\frac{\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)}{z-w}-1\right] d w \\
\quad \times \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(z-1)(x-u)} b_{2}(u) d u \tag{2.29}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, for $n>L$, the stationary probabilities can be found by inverting (2.22) using

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{1}{z^{n-L+1}} H(z, x) d z \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantities of interest are the marginal probabilities $p_{n}$ which are obtained by removing the dependence on $x$. We first compute

$$
H(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} H(z, x) d x=\sum_{n \geq L} p_{n} z^{n-L}
$$

The following identity is useful in calculating the marginal probabilities

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{A(x-u)} b_{j}(u) d u\right] d x=\frac{1-\hat{b}_{j}(A)}{A}
$$

We find that by integrating $H(z, x)$ and simplifying that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z)=\frac{k}{2 \pi i} \frac{\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)-z}{z-\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)} \int_{C} \frac{w-1}{w^{L-1}\left[w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)\right]} \frac{d w}{z-w}, \text { on } C|w|>|z| . \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, we assume that $L \geq 3$ which is needed for the above simplification and to avoid a degenerate problem. We invert (2.31) to obtain the marginal probabilities for $n=L, L+1, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}=\frac{k}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \iint_{|z|<|w|} \frac{\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)-z}{z-\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)} \frac{w-1}{w^{L-1} z^{n-L+1}} \frac{d w d z}{\left[w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)\right](z-w)} . \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The contours for the double complex integral are such that $|w|>|z|$ on the $w$-contour and both are small loops about the origin.

The marginals for $n<L$ are obtained by integrating (2.21) with respect to $x$ which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}=\frac{k}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{-1+\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)}{z-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)} \frac{1}{z^{n}} d z, \quad n=1, \ldots, L-1, \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, using (1.4), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}=\frac{k}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{(z-1) \hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)}{z-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)} \frac{1}{z} d z=k . \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again the contours are small loops inside the unit circle.
To complete the solution, we find the constant $k$ using the normalization condition (1.10). First, we compute

$$
\sum_{n=L}^{\infty} p_{n}=H(1)=\frac{k}{2 \pi i} \frac{\lambda \hat{b}_{1}^{\prime}(0)+1}{1+\lambda \hat{b}_{2}^{\prime}(0)} \int_{C+} \frac{d w}{w^{L-1}\left[w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)\right]}
$$

where on the contour $C+$ we choose $|w|>1$. The only poles inside $C+$ are at at $w=0$ and $w=1$ if $\rho_{1}<1$. Since $-\hat{b}_{1}^{\prime}(0)=m_{1}$ and $-\hat{b}_{2}^{\prime}(0)=M_{1}$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=L}^{\infty} p_{n}=\frac{k}{2 \pi i} \frac{1-\rho_{1}}{1-\rho_{2}} \int_{C+} \frac{d w}{w^{L-1}\left[w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)\right]} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where on the contour $C+$ we have $|w|>1$ and $|w|<1+\delta$. In $1<|w|<1+\delta$, the function $w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)$ is assumed non-zero.

For $n<L$, we must compute $p_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{L-1} p_{n}$. We simplify (2.33) as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n} & =\frac{k}{2 \pi i} \int_{|z|<1} \frac{\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)-z+z-1}{z-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)} \frac{1}{z^{n}} d z \\
& =-k \delta_{n 1}+\frac{k}{2 \pi i} \int_{|z|<1} \frac{z-1}{z-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)} \frac{1}{z^{n}} d z
\end{aligned}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{L-1} p_{n}=\frac{k}{2 \pi i} \int_{|z|<1} \frac{1}{z-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)}\left(1-\frac{1}{z^{L-1}}\right) d z . \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the two sums, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{p_{0}}=\frac{1}{k}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \frac{1-\rho_{1}}{1-\rho_{2}} \int_{C+} \frac{d w}{w^{L-1}\left[w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)\right]} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|w|<1} \frac{1}{w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)}\left(1-\frac{1}{w^{L-1}}\right) d w . \tag{2.37}
\end{align*}
$$

We simplify (2.37) by noting that if $\rho_{1}<1$ then

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C+} \frac{d w}{w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)}=\operatorname{Res}_{w=1}\left[\frac{1}{w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)}\right]=\frac{1}{1-\lambda m_{1}}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{0}}=\frac{1}{k}=\frac{1}{1-\rho_{1}}+\left[\frac{1-\rho_{1}}{1-\rho_{2}}-1\right] \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C+} \frac{d w}{w^{L-1}\left[w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)\right]} \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

By shifting the integration contour, we can remove the restriction that $\rho_{1}<1$, and obtain the alternate form for $p_{0}$ in (2.43).

The final results for the marginals are summarized below.
Theorem $2\left(M / G_{1}, G_{2} / 1\right)$ Let $b_{i}(x)$ for $i=1,2$ be the density functions for the general service times with moments defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i}=\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{i} b_{1}(x) d x, \quad M_{i}=\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{i} b_{2}(x) d x \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\rho_{1}=\lambda m_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}=\lambda M_{1}$. We assume the stability condition $\rho_{2}=\lambda M_{1}<1$ is satisfied and that $L \geq 3$. The marginal probabilities are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}=\frac{k}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{-1+\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)}{z-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)} \frac{1}{z^{n}} d z, \quad n=1, \ldots, L-1, \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $n=L, L+1, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}=\frac{k}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \iint_{|z|<|w|} \frac{\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)-z}{z-\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)} \frac{w-1}{w^{L-1} z^{n-L+1}} \frac{d w d z}{\left[w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)\right](z-w)} \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The probability that the system is empty is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}=k \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{k}=\frac{1}{1-\rho_{2}}+\frac{\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}}{1-\rho_{2}} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{d w}{w^{L-1}\left[w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)\right]} \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

All the integration contours are small loops about the origin.
To illustrate the formulas in Theorem 2, we consider the case of exponential service times in which the density functions are defined in (2.1). The Laplace transforms of the density functions are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{b}_{i}(s)=\frac{\mu_{i}}{\mu_{i}+s}, \quad i=1,2 \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that for $n<L$, (2.40) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}=\frac{k}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{-d z}{z^{n}\left(z-1 / \rho_{1}\right)}=k \rho_{1}^{n} . \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n \geq L$, we must compute the double contour integral. For the exponential case, the formula (2.41) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}=\frac{-k}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \iint_{|z|<|w|} \frac{\mu_{2}+\lambda-\lambda z}{\mu_{1}+\lambda-\lambda z} \frac{z-1 / \rho_{1}}{z-1 / \rho_{2}} \frac{1}{(-\lambda)} \frac{\mu_{1}+\lambda-\lambda w}{w-1 / \rho_{1}} \frac{1}{w^{L-1}} \frac{1}{z^{n-L+1}} \frac{d z d w}{z-w} . \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

We compute the $w$ integral first by re-writting the above integral as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}=\frac{-k}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{\mu_{2}+\lambda-\lambda z}{\mu_{1}+\lambda-\lambda z} \frac{z-1 / \rho_{1}}{z-1 / \rho_{2}} \frac{1}{z^{n-L+1}} d z \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|z|<|w|} \frac{1}{(-\lambda)} \frac{\mu_{1}+\lambda-\lambda w}{w-1 / \rho_{1}} \frac{1}{w^{L-1}} \frac{d w}{z-w} . \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The poles of the $w$ integral are located at $0, z, 1 / \rho_{1}>1$ so that this integral equals - (Residue at $1 / \rho_{1}$ ), which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}=\frac{-k}{2 \pi i} \rho_{1}^{L-1} \int_{C} \frac{\mu_{2}+\lambda-\lambda z}{\mu_{1}+\lambda-\lambda z} \frac{1}{z-1 / \rho_{2}} \frac{1}{z^{n-L+1}} d z \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remaining integral can be evaluated by observing that the integrand has poles at $z=0,1 / \rho_{2}$, and $1+1 / \rho_{1}$. Since the only pole inside of $C$ is at $z=0$, we have the equality: $-($ Residue at 0$)=$ (Residue at $\left.1 / \rho_{2}\right)+\left(\right.$ Residue at $\left.1+1 / \rho_{1}\right)$ so that (2.48), after some simplification, reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}=k \rho_{1}^{L-1}\left\{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}} \rho_{2}^{n-L+1}+\frac{\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}}{\lambda+\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}}\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{1+\rho_{1}}\right)^{n-L+1}\right\}, n \geq L \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above results agree with (2.11) and (2.12) in Theorem 1. Also, the formula for $p_{0}$ in Theorem 2 reduces to (2.13).

Asymptotic Approximations: Simple formulas can be obtained by asymptotically expanding the exact integral representations for the stationary probabilities given in Theorem 2. An important limit is $L \gg 1$ and $n-L \gg 1$. The asymptotic expansion in this limit depends on the location of the zeros of $w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)$, $w-\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda w)$, and poles of $\hat{b}_{1}(w)$. Specifically, we need to locate the singularities of the integrand which are closest to the origin. Let $A$ be the non-zero solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda+A=\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{A z} b_{1}(z) d z \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $\hat{b}_{i}(\theta)$ are analytic for some $\theta$ with $\Re(\theta)<0$, which insures the existence of a unique solution to (2.50). Clearly, $A>-\lambda$ and satisfies $A \underset{<}{\geqq}$ if $\rho_{1} \leqq 1$. We let $B$ be the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda+B=\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{B z} b_{2}(z) d z \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfies $B>0$ when $\rho_{2}<1$ and $B \downarrow 0$ as $\rho_{2} \uparrow 1$. The Laplace transform $\hat{b}_{1}(\theta)$ may have singularities in the half-plane $\Re(\theta)<0$. We assume the singularity with the largest real part is at $\theta=-C$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{b}_{1}(\theta) \sim \frac{D}{(\theta+C)^{\nu}}, \quad \theta \rightarrow-C \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $\nu, D>0$. For example, if $b_{1}$ is exponential then $\hat{b}_{1}(\theta)=\frac{\mu_{1}}{\mu_{1}+\theta}$ so that $D=\mu_{1}, C=\mu_{1}$, and $\nu=1$ in (2.52). When $b_{1}(z)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} e^{-\mu_{1} z r}\left(\mu_{1} r\right)^{r} z^{r-1}, r>0$, i.e. $r$-stage Erlang, then $\hat{b}_{1}(\theta)=\left(\frac{\mu_{1} r}{\mu_{1} r+\theta}\right)^{r}$ so that $D=\left(\mu_{1} r\right)^{r}, C=\mu_{1} r$ and $\nu=r$ in (2.52). If the service time is deterministic, i.e. $b_{1}(z)=\delta\left(z-m_{1}\right)$, then no singularity exists.

To derive the asymptotic formula for $n \geq L$, we again view the double contour integral as an iterated integral and approximate the $w$ integration first. The pole of $\frac{w-1}{w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)}$ that is closest to the origin is at $w=1+A / \lambda$ where $A$ is the root of (2.50). Thus, if $L \gg 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{|w|=\varepsilon} \frac{w-1}{w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)} \frac{1}{z-w} \frac{1}{w^{L-1}} d w \sim-2 \pi i \operatorname{Res}_{w=1+A / \lambda} \\
& \quad=-2 \pi i \frac{\left(1+\frac{A}{\lambda}\right)-1}{z-\left(1+\frac{A}{\lambda}\right)} \frac{1}{1+\lambda \hat{b}_{1}^{\prime}(-A)}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+A}\right)^{L-1} \tag{2.53}
\end{align*}
$$

We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{l}(A)=\int_{0}^{\infty} z^{l} e^{A z} b_{1}(z) d z \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $I_{0}(A)=1+A / \lambda$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n} \sim \frac{A}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+A}\right)^{L-1} \frac{k}{2 \pi i} \frac{1}{\lambda I_{1}(A)-1} \int_{|z|=\varepsilon_{1}} \frac{\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)-z}{[z-(1+A / \lambda)]\left[z-\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)\right]} \frac{d z}{z^{n-L+1}} \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1: A sketch of the $z$-plane indicating the location of the poles of the integrand.


Figure 2: The contour $\Gamma$.

If $n-L=\mathcal{O}(1)$, there is no further simplification. However, if $n-L \gg 1$, then we can replace the integral in (2.55) by an asymptotic approximation. The integrand is analytic at $z=1+A / \lambda$ but has a pole at $z=1+B / \lambda>1$ and (possibly) an algebraic singularity at $z=1+C / \lambda>1$, (see Figure 1). The asymptotic approximation depends on the relative sizes of $C$ and $B$.

If $C>B$, then the integral in (2.55) can be approximated as $\int_{|z|=\varepsilon_{1}}(\cdots) d z \sim-2 \pi i \times$ [Residue at $z=\frac{B}{\lambda}$ ] so that as $n-L \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n} \sim p_{0}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+A}\right)^{L-1}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+B}\right)^{n-L+1} \frac{A}{B-A} \frac{\hat{b}_{1}(-B)-\left(1+\frac{B}{\lambda}\right)}{1-\lambda J_{1}(B)} \frac{-1}{\lambda I_{1}(A)-1} \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
J_{l}(B)=\int_{0}^{\infty} z^{l} e^{B z} b_{2}(z) d z, \quad J_{0}(B)=1+\frac{B}{\lambda}
$$

When $C<B$, the singularity at $1+\frac{C}{\lambda}$ of $\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)$, (cf. (2.52)), determines the dominant asymptotic behavior. The integral in (2.55) can be approximated as $\int_{|z|=\varepsilon_{1}}(\cdots) d z \sim \int_{\Gamma}(\cdots) d z$ where the contour $\Gamma$ is drawn in Figure 2. This leads to the following approximation for (2.55) as


Figure 3: The contour $\Gamma^{\prime}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
n-L & \rightarrow \infty: \\
p_{n} & \sim \frac{p_{0}}{2 \pi i} \frac{A}{\lambda} \frac{\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+A}\right)^{L-1}}{\lambda I_{1}(A)-1} \frac{\lambda}{C-A} \frac{1}{1+\frac{C}{\lambda}-\hat{b}_{2}(-C)} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{D}{(\lambda-\lambda z+C)^{\nu}}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^{n-L+1} d z . \tag{2.57}
\end{align*}
$$

The integral can be further simplified by letting $z=1+\frac{C}{\lambda}-\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{w}{n-L+1}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Gamma} \frac{D}{(\lambda-\lambda z+C)^{\nu}}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^{n-L+1} d z= & -\int_{\Gamma^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{D}{w^{\nu}}\left(1+\frac{C}{\lambda}\right)^{-n+L-1}(n-L+1)^{\nu-1} \\
& \times\left[1-\frac{w}{n-L+1} \frac{1}{C+\lambda}\right]^{-n+L-1} d w \\
\sim & -\frac{D}{\lambda}\left(1+\frac{C}{\lambda}\right)^{-n+L-1}(n-L+1)^{\nu-1} \int_{\Gamma^{\prime}} \frac{e^{w /(C+\lambda)}}{w^{\nu}} d w
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is shown in Figure 3. Using the substitution $w=\xi(C+\lambda)$ in the integral, we find that it can be expressed in terms of the Gamma function, so that for $C<B$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{n} \sim p_{0} \frac{A}{C-A} \frac{1}{\lambda I_{1}(A)-1} \frac{1}{1+\frac{C}{\lambda}-\hat{b}_{2}(-C)} \frac{D}{\lambda} \frac{1}{(C+\lambda)^{\nu-1}} \\
& \quad \times \frac{(n-L+1)^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu)}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+A}\right)^{L-1}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+C}\right)^{n-L+1}, n-L \gg 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that if $\hat{b}_{1}(\theta)$ has a simple pole at $\theta=-C$ then $\nu=1$ and the algebraic factor $(n-L+1)^{\nu-1}$ disappears.

We derive an asymptotic formula for $L \gg 1, n \gg 1$ and $n<L$ by using (2.40) and noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n}=\frac{p_{0}}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{-1+\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)}{z-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)} \frac{1}{z^{n}} d z & \sim \frac{p_{0}}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{z-1}{z-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)} \frac{1}{z^{n}} d z \\
& \sim \frac{-p_{0}}{2 \pi i} \operatorname{Res}_{z=1+A / \lambda}[\cdots] \\
& =\frac{p_{0}}{\lambda I_{1}(A)-1} \frac{A}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+A}\right)^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now compute asymptotic approximations to $p_{0}$ for $L \gg 1$. The constant $p_{0}$ is defined in Theorem 2 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{0}}=\frac{1}{1-\rho_{2}}+\frac{\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}}{1-\rho_{2}} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{d w}{w^{L-1}\left[w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)\right]} \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The poles of the integrand closest to $w=0$ are at $w=1$ and $w=1+A / \lambda$. We approximate $1 / p_{0}$ when $L \gg 1$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{p_{0}} & \sim \frac{1}{1-\rho_{2}}+\frac{\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}}{1-\rho_{2}}\left[-\frac{1}{1-\rho_{1}}-\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+A}\right)^{L-1} \frac{1}{1-\lambda I_{1}(A)}\right]  \tag{2.59}\\
& =\frac{1}{1-\rho_{1}}+\frac{\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}}{1-\rho_{2}} \frac{1}{1-\lambda I_{1}(A)}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+A}\right)^{L-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Now if $\rho_{1}=\lambda m_{1}<1$ then $A>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0} \sim 1-\rho_{1} \tag{2.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\rho_{1}>1$, we find that $-\lambda<A<0$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0} \sim \frac{1-\lambda I_{1}(A)}{\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}}\left(1-\rho_{2}\right)\left(1+\frac{A}{\lambda}\right)^{L-1} \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

The final case is when $\rho_{1} \approx 1$. We set $\rho_{1}=1+\frac{a}{L}$ and find that (2.50) gives

$$
A \sim-\frac{2 a}{\lambda m_{2} L}
$$

so that $A \rightarrow 0$ as $L \rightarrow \infty$. In addition, we find that

$$
1-\lambda I_{1}(A)=1-\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{A z} z b_{1}(z) d z \sim \frac{a}{L}, \quad A \rightarrow 0
$$

Using the above, we find that if $\rho_{1}-1=a / L=\mathcal{O}\left(L^{-1}\right)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}=\frac{a}{L} /\left[\exp \left(\frac{2 a}{\lambda^{2} m_{2}}\right)-1\right] . \tag{2.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

The results are summarized below.
Theorem 3 Asymptotic approximations for $M / G_{1}, G_{2} / 1$ queue: For $L \gg 1$, and $A, B$, and $C$ defined by (2.50)-(2.52), the stationary probabilities have the following asymptotic approximations: $n-L \gg 1$ and $C>B$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n} \sim p_{0}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+A}\right)^{L-1}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+B}\right)^{n-L+1} \frac{A}{B-A} \frac{\hat{b}_{1}(-B)-\left(1+\frac{B}{\lambda}\right)}{1-\lambda J_{1}(B)} \frac{-1}{\lambda I_{1}(A)-1} \tag{2.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
J_{l}(B)=\int_{0}^{\infty} z^{l} e^{B z} b_{2}(z) d z, \quad J_{0}(B)=1+\frac{B}{\lambda}
$$

$n-L \gg 1$ and $C<B:$

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n} & \sim p_{0} \frac{A}{C-A} \frac{1}{\lambda I_{1}(A)-1} \frac{1}{1+\frac{C}{\lambda}-\hat{b}_{2}(-C)} \frac{D}{\lambda} \frac{1}{(C+\lambda)^{\nu-1}}  \tag{2.64}\\
& \times \frac{(n-L+1)^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu)}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+A}\right)^{L-1}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+C}\right)^{n-L+1}, n-L \gg 1 .
\end{align*}
$$

For $n \gg 1$ and $n<L$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n} \sim \frac{p_{0}}{\lambda I_{1}(A)-1} \frac{A}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+A}\right)^{n} \tag{2.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
p_{0} \sim \begin{cases}1-\rho_{1}, & \rho_{1}<1 \\ \frac{1-\lambda I_{1}(A)}{\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}}\left(1-\rho_{2}\right)\left(1+\frac{A}{\lambda}\right)^{L-1} & , \rho_{1}>1 \\ \frac{a}{L} /\left[\exp \left(\frac{2 a}{\lambda^{2} m_{2}}\right)-1\right], & \rho_{1}-1=a / L=\mathcal{O}\left(L^{-1}\right)\end{cases}
$$

For $L \gg 1$ and $n-L$ fixed (just above the threshold), the asymptotic result is given by (2.55) with $k=p_{0}$ given above.

We specialize the above formulas to the exponential service case with $b_{i}(t)=\mu_{i} e^{-\mu_{i} t}$ for $i=1,2$. For this case, we find that $m_{1}=1 / \mu_{1}, m_{2}=2 / \mu_{1}^{2}, M_{1}=1 / \mu_{2}$, and $M_{2}=2 / \mu_{2}^{2}$. We explicitly solve (2.50) and (2.51) to obtain

$$
A=\mu_{1}-\lambda, \quad B=\mu_{2}-\lambda
$$

In addition, from (2.52), we find that

$$
C=\mu_{1}, \quad D=\mu_{1}, \quad \nu=1 .
$$

Using the above results, we obtain

$$
I_{1}(A)=\mu_{1} / \lambda^{2}, \quad J_{1}(B)=\mu_{2} / \lambda^{2}
$$

so that for $n-L \gg 1$, (2.64) simplifies to

$$
p_{n} \sim \frac{\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}}{\mu_{2}-\lambda-\mu_{1}}\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{1+\rho_{1}}\right)^{n-L+1}
$$

which agrees with the result in (2.14). We note that $C<B$ is equivalent to $\mu_{1}<\mu_{2}-\lambda$. A similar reduction occurs for the case when $C>B$.

Finally, we examine the case when $C \approx B$, more precisely $B-C=\mathcal{O}\left(L^{-1}\right)$. For $L \gg 1$, $n \gg 1$ and $n<L$, we have the approximation (2.65). We let $C=B+\eta / L$ and note that the integral in (2.55) now has singularities (at $1+B / \lambda$ and $1+C / \lambda$ ) that are close to each other. We consider the integral

$$
\text { Integral }=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|z|=\varepsilon_{1}} \frac{\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)-z}{[z-(1+A / \lambda)]\left[z-\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)\right]} \frac{d z}{z^{n-L+1}} .
$$

We make the change of variables

$$
z=\left(1+\frac{B}{\lambda}\right)\left(1+\frac{u}{L}\right)
$$

and use the approximations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{z-\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)} & \sim \frac{1}{1-\lambda J_{1}(B)} \frac{1}{z-1-B / \lambda} \\
\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)-z \sim \hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z) & \sim \frac{D}{(C+\lambda-\lambda z)^{\nu}}=D L^{\nu}[\eta-(B+\lambda) u]^{-\nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

to obtain

$$
\text { Integral } \sim \frac{\lambda}{B-A} \frac{-D L^{\nu}}{1-\lambda J_{1}(B)}\left(\frac{\lambda}{B+\lambda}\right)^{n+1-L} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\Gamma^{\prime}} \frac{e^{\zeta(n / L-1)}}{\zeta[\eta+(B+\lambda) \zeta]^{\nu}} d \zeta
$$

Here the contour $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is shown in Figure 3 and it encircles both of the singularities of the integrand. The final approximation to (2.55) is, for $n-L \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n} \sim p_{0} \frac{A}{B-A} \frac{D L^{\nu}}{\left[\lambda I_{1}(A)-1\right]\left[1-\lambda J_{1}(B)\right]}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+A}\right)^{L-1}\left(\frac{\lambda}{B+\lambda}\right)^{n+1-L} \mathcal{F} \tag{2.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{F}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\Gamma^{\prime}} \frac{e^{\zeta\left(\frac{n}{L}-1\right)}}{\zeta[\eta+(B+\lambda) \zeta]^{\nu}} d \zeta .
$$

If $\eta=0$ (i.e. $B=C$ ) then $\mathcal{F}$ is explicitly evaluated as

$$
\mathcal{F}=(B+\lambda)^{-\nu}\left(\frac{n}{L}-1\right)^{\nu} / \Gamma(\nu+1)
$$

## 3 Finite Capacity System ( $K<\infty$ )

When the queue length has finite capacity, the stationary probabilities are solutions of the system (1.4)-(1.10). The result for the infinite capacity system (2.20) is still valid for $1 \leq n<L$ and the result (2.30) is valid for $L \leq n<K$ except that $k=p_{0}$ must be recomputed taking into account that now $0 \leq n \leq K$. In addition, the probability $p_{K}(x)$ must be computed be solving (1.9), i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{K}(x)=\lambda \int_{x}^{\infty} p_{K-1}(s) d s \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $n=K-1$ in (2.30) and use the result in (3.1) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{K}(x)=\frac{\lambda p_{0}}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \iint_{|z|<|w|} \frac{1}{z^{K-L}} \frac{1}{w^{L-1}} \frac{w-1}{w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)} \frac{1}{z-w}  \tag{3.2}\\
& \quad \times\left\{\frac{\int_{x}^{\infty} b_{1}(u) d u-\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{\lambda(1-z)(x-u)} b_{1}(u) d u}{1-z}-\frac{\int_{x}^{\infty} b_{1}(u) d u-\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{\lambda(1-w)(x-u)} b_{1}(u) d u}{1-w}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)-z+w}{z-\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)} \frac{\int_{x}^{\infty} b_{2}(u) d u-\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{\lambda(1-z)(x-u)} b_{2}(u) d u}{1-z}\right\} d z d w .
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have used the fact that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{s}^{\infty} e^{\lambda(1-z)(s-u)} b_{1}(u) d u d s=  \tag{3.3}\\
& \quad \frac{1}{1-z}\left\{\int_{x}^{\infty} b_{1}(u) d u-\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{\lambda(1-z)(s-u)} b_{1}(u) d u\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

The main quantities of interest are again the marginal probabilities. The marginals for $p_{n}$, $1 \leq n<L$ and $L \leq n<K$ are given by (2.40) and (2.41) in Theorem 2, respectively. Again, the constant $k=p_{0}$ must be re-calculated. The marginal probability $p_{K}$ must be computed using

$$
p_{K}=\int_{0}^{\infty} p_{K}(x) d x
$$

We use the identities

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{x}^{\infty} b_{1}(u) d u d x=m_{1}
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{\lambda(1-z)(x-u)} b_{1}(u) d u d x=\frac{1-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)}{\lambda(1-z)}
$$

to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{K}=\frac{\lambda p_{0}}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \iint_{|z|<|w|} \frac{1}{z^{K-L}} \frac{w-1}{w^{L-1}\left(w-\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda w)\right)} \frac{1}{z-w}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \times\left\{\left(\frac{1}{1-z}-\frac{1}{1-w}\right) m_{1}+\frac{\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)-1}{\lambda(z-1)^{2}}-\frac{\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)-1}{\lambda(w-1)^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{z-\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)}\left[\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)-z+w\right]\left[\frac{M_{1}+\frac{\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)-1}{\lambda(z-1)}}{1-z}\right]\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

This result can be further simplified by noting that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{C} \frac{1}{z-w} \frac{w-1}{w^{L-1}} d w=0, \quad|w|>|z|, \quad L \geq 3 \\
{\left[M_{1}+\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)-1}{1-z}\right] \frac{1}{z-\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)} \frac{1}{1-z}=\frac{-1}{\lambda(1-z)^{2}}+\frac{1-\rho_{2}}{\lambda(z-1)\left(z-\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)\right)}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\lambda m_{1}-1}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \int_{|z|<|w|}\left[\frac{1}{w-1}-\frac{1}{z-1}\right] & \frac{w-1}{z^{K-L} w^{L-1}} \frac{1}{z-w} \frac{1}{w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)} d z d w \\
& =\frac{1-\rho_{1}}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{1}{w^{L-1}} \frac{1}{w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)} d w
\end{aligned}
$$

The final result is

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{K} & =p_{0}\left\{1+\frac{1-\rho_{1}}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{1}{w^{L-1}} \frac{1}{w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)} d w\right.  \tag{3.5}\\
& \left.+\frac{1-\rho_{2}}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \int_{|z|<|w|} \int_{\mid} \frac{1}{z^{K-L} w^{L-1}} \frac{w-1}{z-1} \frac{1}{z-w} \frac{\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)-z}{\left[w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)\right]\left[z-\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)\right]} d z d w\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

The normalization constant $p_{0}$ is determined by (1.10), which we write as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{0}}=1+\sum_{j=1}^{L-1}\left(\frac{p_{j}}{p_{0}}\right)+\sum_{j=L}^{K-1}\left(\frac{p_{j}}{p_{0}}\right)+\frac{p_{K}}{p_{0}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.40), (2.41) (with $k$ replaced by $p_{0}$ ), and (3.5) into (3.6), we find after simplifying that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{p_{0}}=1+\frac{\rho_{1}}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{1}{w^{L-1}} \frac{1}{\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)-w} d w  \tag{3.7}\\
& \quad+\frac{\rho_{2}}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \int_{|z|<|w|} \int \frac{1}{z^{K-L} w^{L-1}} \frac{w-1}{z-1} \frac{1}{z-w} \frac{\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)-z}{\left[w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)\right]\left[\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)-z\right]} d z d w . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The results are summarized below.
Theorem $4\left(M / G_{1}, G_{2} / 1 / K\right)$ Let $b_{i}(x)$ for $i=1,2$ be the density functions for the general service times as defined in Theorem 2 and let $L \geq 3$. The marginal probabilities are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}=\frac{p_{0}}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{-1+\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)}{z-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)} \frac{1}{z^{n}} d z, \quad n=1, \ldots, L-1, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $n=L, \ldots, K-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}=\frac{p_{0}}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \iint_{|z|<|w|} \frac{\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)-z}{z-\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)} \frac{w-1}{w^{L-1} z^{n-L+1}} \frac{d w d z}{\left[w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)\right](z-w)} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The probability that the system is full is

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{K} & =p_{0}\left\{1+\frac{1-\rho_{1}}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{1}{w^{L-1}} \frac{1}{w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)} d w\right.  \tag{3.11}\\
& \left.+\frac{1-\rho_{2}}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \int_{|z|<|w|} \int \frac{1}{z^{K-L} w^{L-1}} \frac{w-1}{z-1} \frac{1}{z-w} \frac{\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)-z}{\left[w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)\right]\left[z-\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)\right]} d z d w\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

The normalization constant $p_{0}$, i.e. the probability that the system is empty, is

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{p_{0}} & =1+\frac{\rho_{1}}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{1}{w^{L-1}} \frac{1}{\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)-w} d w  \tag{3.12}\\
& +\frac{\rho_{2}}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \int_{|z|<|w|} \int_{z^{K-L} w^{L-1}} \frac{1}{z-1} \frac{1}{z-w} \frac{\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda z)-z}{\left[w-\hat{b}_{1}(\lambda-\lambda w)\right]\left[\hat{b}_{2}(\lambda-\lambda z)-z\right]} d z d w .
\end{align*}
$$

On all the contours it is assumed that the origin is the only singularity within the contour.
Asymptotic Approximations: We compute asymptotic approximations for the finite capacity system as $K, L \rightarrow \infty$ and for various values of $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$. The asymptotic expansions of $p_{n}$, except for $p_{0}$ and $p_{K}$, are the same as in the infinite-capacity systems and are given in Theorem 3 for the two cases $B \lesseqgtr C$.

Next, we expand $p_{0}$ given by (3.12). The asymptotic approximation for the integrals depends on the location of the singularities of the integrand that are closest to the origin and follows closely the results for the infinite capacity system in the previous section (cf. the derviation of (2.59)). For the single integral, these poles are at $w=1$ and $w=1+A / \lambda$, where $A>0$ if $\rho_{1}<1$. The dominant poles of the double integral are located at $z=1, z=1+B / \lambda$ and $z=1+C / \lambda$ where $C>0$ and $B<0$ if $\rho_{2} \lesseqgtr 1$. Thus, for $K, L \gg 1, K-L \gg 1$, and $B \neq C$, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{p_{0}} \sim & \frac{1}{1-\rho_{1}}+\frac{\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}}{1-\rho_{2}} \frac{1}{1-\lambda I_{1}(A)}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+A}\right)^{L-1}  \tag{3.13}\\
& +\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+A}\right)^{L-1}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+B}\right)^{K-L} \frac{\rho_{2} A}{B(B-A)} \frac{\lambda\left(I_{0}(B)-J_{0}(B)\right)}{\left(1-\lambda I_{1}(A)\right)\left(\lambda J_{1}(B)-1\right)} \\
& +\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+A}\right)^{L-1}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+C}\right)^{K-L} \frac{\rho_{2} A}{C(C-A)} \frac{1}{1-\lambda I_{1}(A)} \frac{D}{1+C / \lambda-J_{0}(C)}\left(\frac{K-L}{\lambda+C}\right)^{\nu-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformily in $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$.
We can simplify (3.13) for different values of the parameters. For example, since $C>0$, the last term in (3.13) is always negligible and may be dropped. The simplifications of (3.13) are summarized below.

1. $\rho_{1}<1$ and $\rho_{2}<1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0} \sim 1-\rho_{1} \equiv \nu_{-} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. $\rho_{1}<1$ and $\rho_{2} \approx 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0} \sim 1-\rho_{1} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. $\rho_{1} \approx 1$ and $\rho_{2}<1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0} \sim \frac{a}{L}\left[\frac{1}{\exp \left(2 a /\left(\lambda^{2} m_{2}\right)\right)-1}\right], \quad \rho_{1}-1=\frac{a}{L} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. $\rho_{1}>1$ and $\rho_{2}<1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0} \sim \frac{1-\rho_{2}}{\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}}\left[1-\lambda I_{1}(A)\right]\left(1+\frac{A}{\lambda}\right)^{L-1} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. $\rho_{1} \approx 1$ and $\rho_{2} \approx 1$ (heavy traffic limit)

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{0} \sim \frac{1}{L}\left[\frac{e^{2 a /\left(\lambda^{2} m_{2}\right)}-1}{a}+e^{2 a /\left(\lambda^{2} m_{2}\right)} \frac{e^{2 b \beta /\left(\lambda^{2} M_{2}\right)}-1}{b}\right]^{-1},  \tag{3.18}\\
& \quad \rho_{1}-1=\frac{a}{L}, \quad \rho_{2}-1=\frac{b}{L}, \quad \beta=\frac{K-L}{L}
\end{align*}
$$

6. $\rho_{1}>1$ and $\rho_{2}>1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0} \sim\left(1+\frac{A}{\lambda}\right)^{L-1}\left(1+\frac{B}{\lambda}\right)^{K-L} \frac{B(B-A)\left[1-\lambda I_{1}(A)\right]\left[\lambda J_{1}(B)-1\right]}{\rho_{2} A \lambda\left[I_{0}(B)-J_{0}(B)\right]} \equiv \nu_{+} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

7. $\rho_{1} \approx 1$ and $\rho_{2}>1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0} \sim \nu_{+} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

8. $\rho_{1}>1$ and $\rho_{2} \approx 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0} \sim\left(1+\frac{A}{\lambda}\right)^{L-1}\left[1-\lambda I_{1}(A)\right] \frac{1}{\rho_{1}-1} \frac{b}{L} \frac{1}{\exp \left(2 b \beta /\left(\lambda^{2} M_{2}\right)\right)-1} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

9. $\rho_{1}<1$ and $\rho_{2}>1$
(a) $\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+A}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+B}\right)^{\beta}<1: \quad p_{0} \sim 1-\rho_{1}=\nu_{-}$
(b) $\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+A}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+B}\right)^{\beta}>1: \quad p_{0} \sim \nu_{+}$
(c) $\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+A}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+B}\right)^{\beta}=1+\mathcal{O}\left(L^{-1}\right): \quad p_{0} \sim \frac{\nu_{-} \nu_{+}}{\nu_{+}+\nu_{-}}$.

Note that in the last case $p_{n}$ has a bimodal behavior as $K, L \rightarrow \infty$, with peaks near $n=0$ and $n=K$.

The asymptotic expansion of $p_{K}$ as $K, L \rightarrow \infty$ is computed by using (3.5) for $p_{K} / p_{0}$ and the expansions of the integrals which were derived for the infinite capacity case in Section 2. The results are summarized below.

Theorem 5 Asymptotic expansions for the $M / G_{1}, G_{2} / 1 / K$ queue: For $K, L \gg 1$ and $A, B$, and $C$ defined by (2.50)-(2.52), the stationary probabilities $p_{n}$ have the asymptotic expansion (2.65) for $n<L$ and (2.63)- (2.64) for $n-L \gg 1$. The asymptotic expansion for $p_{K}$ is

$$
\frac{p_{K}}{p_{0}} \sim\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+A}\right)^{L-1} \frac{A\left(\rho_{2}-1\right)}{1-\lambda I_{1}(A)} \begin{cases}\frac{\lambda\left[I_{0}(B)-J_{0}(B)\right]}{B(B-A)\left[\lambda J_{1}(B)-1\right]}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+B}\right)^{K-L}, & B<C  \tag{3.22}\\ \left(\frac{K-L}{C+\lambda}\right)^{\nu-1} \frac{D}{C(C-A)\left[1+C / \lambda-J_{0}(C)\right]} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+C}\right)^{K-L}, & B>C\end{cases}
$$

where the asymptotic expansions of $p_{0}$ are given by items 1-9 above for various values of $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$.

## 4 Discussion and Numerical Results

We now demonstrate the usefulness of our results for a finite capacity system, namely the $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{D}_{1}, \mathrm{D}_{2} / 1 / K$ queue. For this model, the density functions of the service times are

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}(x)=\delta\left(x-m_{1}\right), \quad b_{2}(x)=\delta\left(x-M_{1}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This model corresponds to cell-discarding model analyzed in [11] if $M_{1}=m_{1} / 2$.
The exact solution is given in Theorem 4 with (4.1) in place of the general density functions. We illustrate how to numerically compute the exact solution. This calculation is only feasible for moderate $L$ and $K$. For large values of $L$ and $K$, we constructed the asymptotic approximations in Theorem 5. We demonstrate the accuracy of our asymptotic results by comparison with the exact solution. As we will see below, our asymptotic results are quite useful for moderate values of $n$, $L$, and $K$ and are extremely accurate when $n, L$, and $K$ are large.

To evaluate the exact solution for $p_{n}$ in Theorem 4, we must compute the complex integrals in (3.9)-(3.12). The simplest approach is to evaluate the integrals by using the method of residues. The residue at $z=0$ in (3.9) is difficult to compute if $n$ is large. This is a key motivation for the development of asymptotic expansions. For moderate values of $n$, we compute the residues using the symbolic computation program Maple.

The double complex integrals in (3.10)-(3.12) can be evaluated by re-writting the term $1 /(z-w)$ (which couples the two integrals) as

$$
\frac{1}{z-w}=\frac{-1}{w} \frac{1}{1-z / w}=\frac{-1}{w} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)^{j} .
$$

Using this result in the integrand in (3.10) allows the double integral to be separated into

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n-L}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} z^{j+L-n-1} \cdots d z\right)\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{-1}{w^{j+L}} \cdots d w\right)
$$

where $\cdots$ can be identified from (3.10). The sum truncates at $j=n-L$ since for $j>n-L$ the $z$ integral vanishes. Finally, we must evaluate both integrals by computing the residues at $z=0$ and $w=0$ for each value of $j$. Again the calculation is only feasible for $L$ and $n-L$ moderate in size. As before, we use Maple to perform the calculation.

The calculation of the asymptotic approximation requires computing the constants $A$ and $B$ by solving (2.50) and (2.51), respectively. For deterministic service times, there is no singularity $C$ so that $C=\infty>B$. Given the constants $A$ and $B$, we evaluate the formulas (2.65) and (2.63) for $p_{n}$ if $n<K$ and (3.22) for $p_{K}$. The constant $p_{0}$ is given by (3.14)-(3.21), depending on the values of $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$.

In Table 1, we consider a queue with the threshold $L=5$ and capacity $K=10$. The arrival rate is $\lambda=1$ and the service times are $m_{1}=1 / 2$ and $M_{1}=1 / 4$ so that $\rho_{1}=1 / 2$ and $\rho_{2}=1 / 4$. Thus, when the queue length exceeds the threshold, the service time of the jobs entering service is half of the original service time. For these values of $\rho_{i}$, the asymptotic value of $p_{0}$ is given by (3.14). The solutions of (2.50) and (2.51) are $A \approx 2.512$ and $B \approx 9.346$, respectively.

Table 2

Table 1

| $L=5, K=10, \rho_{1}=1 / 2, \rho_{2}=1 / 4$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | exact | asympt. | rel. err. |
| 0 | 0.50072 | 0.50000 | .00144 |
| 1 | 0.32483 | 0.47283 | .45562 |
| 2 | 0.12277 | 0.13460 | .09629 |
| 3 | 0.03784 | 0.03831 | .01251 |
| 4 | 0.01092 | 0.01090 | .00156 |
| 5 | $.24230 \mathrm{e}-2$ | $.94023 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 2.8803 |
| 6 | $.41153 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $.90872 \mathrm{e}-3$ | 1.2081 |
| 7 | $.56957 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $.87828 \mathrm{e}-4$ | .542002 |
| 8 | $.68217 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $.84885 \mathrm{e}-5$ | .24434 |
| 9 | $.74209 \mathrm{e}-6$ | $.82041 \mathrm{e}-6$ | .10555 |
| 10 | $.63341 \mathrm{e}-7$ | $.65832 \mathrm{e}-7$ | .03933 |


| $L=5, K=15, \rho_{1}=1 / 2, \rho_{2}=1 / 4$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | exact | asympt. | rel. err. |
| 0 | 0.50072 | 0.50000 | 0.00144 |
| 1 | 0.32483 | 0.47283 | 0.45562 |
| 2 | 0.12277 | 0.13460 | 0.09629 |
| 3 | 0.03784 | 0.03831 | 0.01251 |
| 4 | 0.01092 | 0.01090 | 0.00156 |
| 5 | $.24230 \mathrm{e}-2$ | $.94023 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 2.8803 |
| 6 | $.41153 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $.90872 \mathrm{e}-3$ | 1.2081 |
| 7 | $.56957 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $.87828 \mathrm{e}-4$ | .542002 |
| 8 | $.68217 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $.848857 \mathrm{e}-5$ | .24434 |
| 9 | $.74209 \mathrm{e}-6$ | $.82041 \mathrm{e}-6$ | .10555 |
| 10 | $.76088 \mathrm{e}-7$ | $.79293 \mathrm{e}-7$ | .042115 |
| 11 | $.75498 \mathrm{e}-8$ | $.76636 \mathrm{e}-8$ | .015072 |
| 12 | $.73723 \mathrm{e}-9$ | $.740688 \mathrm{e}-9$ | .004686 |
| 13 | $.71503 \mathrm{e}-10$ | $.71587 \mathrm{e}-10$ | .001169 |
| 14 | $.69179 \mathrm{e}-11$ | $.69188 \mathrm{e}-11$ | .000129 |
| 15 | $.55527 \mathrm{e}-12$ | $.55518 \mathrm{e}-12$ | .000148 |

As we see from Table 1, the asymptotic expansion is quite accurate for $n=0, n=2-4$ and $n>8$. It is not accurate for $n=1$ and $n=5-8$. This is consistent with our results in Theorem 5 since the asymptotic result (2.65) is valid for $n \gg 1$ and (2.63) is valid for $n-L \gg 1$. It is remarkable that our results are this accurate since $n$ and $n-L$ are only moderate in size. We cannot expect the asymptotic solution to be accurate for $n=L$ since it assumes that $n-L \rightarrow \infty$. We have chosen values for $L$ and $K$ that are quite small. In reality, we would expect them to be of the order $10^{2}$ (see [11]). For such large values of $L$ and $K$, calculation of the exact solution would prove difficult while the evaluation of the asymptotic solution is straightforward.

In Table 2, we consider the same queue as in Table 1 but now with $K=15$. The values for $A$ and $B$ are the same as for Table 1 since these constants are independent of $L$ and $K$. We see that the exact and the asymptotic results are numerically close to those in the previous example for $n<10$, as expected. For $n \geq 10$, the relative error starts at about $4 \%$ and rapidly decreases to
under $1 \%$.
The example in Table 3 is a queue with threshold $L=8$ and capacity $K=15$. The arrival rate is $\lambda=1$ and the service times are $m_{1}=2$ and $M_{1}=1 / 2$ so that $\rho_{1}=2$ and $\rho_{2}=1 / 2$. In this example, $\rho_{1}>1$ so that in the absence of the threshold, the queue length distribution would be peaked near the capacity $K$. Now $A \approx-0.7968$ and $B \approx 2.512$. The asymptotic results are quite accurate when $n<L$. However, when $n \geq L$ the results are not accurate.

Table 4

Table 3

| $L=8, K=15, \rho_{1}=2, \rho_{2}=1 / 2$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | exact | asympt. | rel. err. |
| 0 | $.28305 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $.28292 \mathrm{e}-5$ | .00044 |
| 1 | $.18084 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $.18690 \mathrm{e}-4$ | .03351 |
| 2 | $.91796 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $.91986 \mathrm{e}-4$ | .00206 |
| 3 | .00045286 | .00045271 | .00033 |
| 4 | .0022290 | .0022280 | .00044 |
| 5 | .010970 | .010965 | .00044 |
| 6 | .053991 | .053967 | .00044 |
| 7 | .26572 | .26560 | .00044 |
| 8 | .29180 | 4.4929 | 14.397 |
| 9 | .19878 | 1.2789 | 5.4341 |
| 10 | .10408 | .36408 | 2.4980 |
| 11 | .045665 | .10364 | 1.2696 |
| 12 | .017598 | .02950 | .67654 |
| 13 | .0061526 | .0083988 | .36508 |
| 14 | .0020014 | .0023908 | .19455 |
| 15 | .00043941 | .00047573 | .0826589 |


| $L=8, K=25, \rho_{1}=2, \rho_{2}=1 / 2$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | exact | asympt. | rel. err. |
| 0 | $.28292 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $.28292 \mathrm{e}-5$ | 0.000003 |
| 1 | $.18076 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $.18690 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 0.033972 |
| 2 | $.91756 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $.91986 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 0.002507 |
| 3 | 0.000453 | 0.000453 | 0.000104 |
| 4 | 0.002228 | 0.002228 | 0.000002 |
| 5 | 0.010966 | 0.010966 | 0.000003 |
| 6 | 0.053968 | 0.053968 | 0.000003 |
| 7 | 0.265605 | 0.265604 | 0.000003 |
| 8 | 0.291673 | 4.492908 | 14.403916 |
| 9 | 0.198693 | 1.278988 | 5.436998 |
| 10 | 0.104037 | 0.364087 | 2.499576 |
| 11 | 0.045645 | 0.103644 | 1.270637 |
| 12 | 0.01759 | 0.029504 | 0.677286 |
| 13 | 0.00615 | 0.008399 | 0.365690 |
| 14 | 0.002001 | 0.002391 | 0.195078 |
| 15 | 0.000618 | 0.000681 | 0.100900 |
| 16 | 0.000185 | 0.000194 | 0.049898 |
| 17 | $.53894 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $.55154 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 0.023365 |
| 18 | $.15540 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $.15700 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 0.010298 |
| 19 | $.44505 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $.44694 \mathrm{e}-5$ | 0.004260 |
| 20 | $.12702 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $.12723 \mathrm{e}-5$ | 0.001652 |
| 21 | $.36196 \mathrm{e}-6$ | $.36218 \mathrm{e}-6$ | 0.000601 |
| 22 | $.10308 \mathrm{e}-6$ | $.10310 \mathrm{e}-6$ | 0.000205 |
| 23 | $.29348 \mathrm{e}-7$ | $.29350 \mathrm{e}-7$ | 0.000064 |
| 24 | $.83549 \mathrm{e}-8$ | $.83550 \mathrm{e}-8$ | 0.000017 |
| 25 | $.16624 \mathrm{e}-8$ | $.16624 \mathrm{e}-8$ | 0.000001 |

In Table 4 we retain $L=8$ and increase $K$ to 25 . Now the asymptotic results are accurate to within $5 \%$ for $16 \leq n \leq 25$. In Tables 3 and 4, the results are not accurate for $L=8 \leq n \leq 14$.

Apparently, $n-L=6$ is too small a value for (2.63) to be useful, for these particular parameter values.

In Table 5, we consider the same case as in Table 3 except that now $M_{1}=2 / 3$ and hence $\rho_{2}=2 / 3$. Now we have $A \approx-0.7968$ and $B \approx 1.144$. The results are to within $7 \%$ if $n-L \geq 4$ (i.e. $12 \leq n \leq 15$ ). In Table 6 we increase $K$ to 25 . The error is at most $7 \%$ for all $n-L \geq 4$ (i.e. $12 \leq n \leq 25$ ).

Table 6

Table 5

| $L=8, K=15, \rho_{1}=2, \rho_{2}=2 / 3$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | exact | asympt. | rel. err. |
| 0 | $.21329 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $.21219 \mathrm{e}-5$ | .0051 |
| 1 | $.13627 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $.14017 \mathrm{e}-4$ | .02865 |
| 2 | $.69173 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $.68989 \mathrm{e}-4$ | .00265 |
| 3 | .00034125 | .00033953 | .00504 |
| 4 | .0016796 | .0016710 | .00514 |
| 5 | .0082667 | .0082241 | .00514 |
| 6 | .040685 | .040475 | .00514 |
| 7 | .20023 | .19920 | .00514 |
| 8 | .25976 | .85983 | 2.3100 |
| 9 | .21133 | .40103 | .8976 |
| 10 | .13486 | .18704 | .3869 |
| 11 | .074723 | .087239 | .1675 |
| 12 | .038095 | .040690 | .0680 |
| 13 | .018535 | .018978 | .0239 |
| 14 | .008803 | .0088516 | .0054 |
| 15 | .0025867 | .0025790 | .00295 |


| $L=8, K=25, \rho_{1}=2, \rho_{2}=2 / 3$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | exact | asympt. | rel. err. |
| 0 | $.21219 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $.21219 \mathrm{e}-5$ | 0.000005 |
| 1 | $.13557 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $.14017 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 0.033970 |
| 2 | $.68817 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $.68989 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 0.002505 |
| 3 | 0.00034 | 0.00034 | 0.000103 |
| 4 | 0.001671 | 0.001671 | 0.000004 |
| 5 | 0.008224 | 0.008224 | 0.000005 |
| 6 | 0.040476 | 0.040476 | 0.000005 |
| 7 | 0.199204 | 0.199203 | 0.000005 |
| 8 | 0.258429 | 0.859833 | 2.327155 |
| 9 | 0.210249 | 0.401035 | 0.907433 |
| 10 | 0.134169 | 0.187047 | 0.394119 |
| 11 | 0.074339 | 0.087241 | 0.173549 |
| 12 | 0.0379 | 0.04069 | 0.073622 |
| 13 | 0.01844 | 0.018978 | 0.029203 |
| 14 | 0.008758 | 0.008852 | 0.010655 |
| 15 | 0.004114 | 0.004129 | 0.003551 |
| 16 | 0.001924 | 0.001926 | 0.001078 |
| 17 | 0.000897 | 0.000898 | 0.000297 |
| 18 | 0.000419 | 0.000419 | 0.000073 |
| 19 | 0.000195 | 0.000195 | 0.000014 |
| 20 | $.91124 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $.91124 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 0.000001 |
| 21 | $.42501 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $.42501 \mathrm{e}-4$ | - |
| 22 | $.19823 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $.19823 \mathrm{e}-4$ | - |
| 23 | $.92457 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $.92457 \mathrm{e}-5$ | - |
| 24 | $.43123 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $.43123 \mathrm{e}-5$ | - |
| 25 | $.12564 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $.12564 \mathrm{e}-5$ | - |

Our results should be very accurate for $K>25$, but it then becomes difficult to evaluate the exact solution. These numerical comparisons show that the asymptotic approximations are quite
robust, and are accurate even for relatively small values of $L$ and $K$. Tables 4 and 6 show that when $n=25=K$, the two results agree to five decimal places. Loss rates can thus be calculated to a very high precision using our asymptotic formulas.
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