High-Order Perturbation of Surfaces Method for Bounded-Obstacle Scattering in Polar Coordinates

Xin Tong

Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science University of Illinois at Chicago

Lawrence berkeley national laboratory, June 2016

Outline

- Introduction
- Exterior to a bounded obstacle
- Interior to a bounded obstacle
- Future Work

Maxwell's Equations

The governing equations are the Time-Harmonic Maxwell's Equations in a homogeneous region

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \times \mathbf{E} &= i\omega\mu_0 \mathbf{H} \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{H} &= -i\omega\epsilon_0\epsilon \mathbf{E} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} &= 0 \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} &= 0 \end{cases}$$

- E-electric field, H-magnetic field
- There is no free charge.
- The complex permittivity is defined by $\epsilon := \epsilon' + i\sigma/(\omega\epsilon_0)$
- The σ is conductivity.

Two-Dimensional Simplifications

• The grating shape is invariant in the θ_2 -direction:

$$r = a + g(\theta_1)$$

- In this 2-D setting Maxwell's Equations we consider Transverse Electric (TE) and Transverse Magnetic (TM) polarizations.
- Boundary conditions: at any material interface we enforce tangential continuity of E and H

$$\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{E} = 0, \quad \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{H} = 0,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{N}}$ is a normal to the interface.

• Incident, scattered, total fields are all 2π -periodic.

Governing Equation for Doubly-layered medium

We seek periodic solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + k_u^2 u = 0 & r > a + g(\theta) \\ \Delta w + k_w^2 w = 0 & r < a + g(\theta) \\ u - w = -u^i & r = a + g(\theta) \\ \partial_{\mathbf{N}} u - \tau^2 \partial_{\mathbf{N}} w = -\partial_{\mathbf{N}} u^i & r = a + g(\theta) \end{cases}$$

• u^i is the incident radiation.

• N =
$$(a + g, -g')$$

• $\tau^2 = 1$ in TE, $\tau^2 = (k_u/k_w)^2$ in TM.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Governing Equations Field Expansion Application to DNO Numerical Approach

Governing Equation

We are solving the Helmholtz equation on a two-dimensional domain exterior to a bounded obstacle:

$$\Delta u + k^2 u = 0 \qquad r > a + g(\theta) \qquad (1')$$

$$u(r,\theta) = \xi(\theta)$$
 $r = a + g(\theta)$ (2')

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} r^{1/2} (\partial_r u - iku) = 0 \qquad \qquad r \to \infty$$
 (3')

- The solution must satisfy (3') the Sommerfeld radiation condition (SRC) to guarantee a physical solution.
- Let $b > a + |g|_{L^{\infty}}$, then using method of separation of variables gives the general solution $u(r, \theta)$ of (1') and (3') for r > b.

Governing Equations Field Expansion Application to DNO Numerical Approach

General Solution

Considering the bounded domain $\{(r, \theta) : a + g(\theta) < r < b\}$ instead of the former unbounded domain $\{(r, \theta) : r > a + g(\theta)\}$, we rewrite our governing equation as

$$\Delta u + k^2 u = 0 \qquad \qquad a + g(\theta) < r < b \qquad (1)$$

$$u(r,\theta) = \xi(\theta)$$
 $r = a + g(\theta)$ (2)

$$\partial_r u(b,\theta) - Tu(b,\theta) = 0$$
 $r = b$ (3)

where we define an operator T by $T(u(b, \theta)) := \partial_r u(b, \theta)$.

• The solution to (1) and (3) is

$$u(r,\theta) = \sum_{p} a_p \frac{H_p^{(1)}(kr)}{H_p^{(1)}(ka)} e^{ip\theta}$$

Governing Equations Field Expansion Application to DNO Numerical Approach

Field Expansion 1

 Suppose u depends analytically upon ε so that we can write Taylor expansion of u and the series converges in a proper function space:

$$u = u(r, \theta) = u(r, \theta; \varepsilon) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(r, \theta) \varepsilon^n$$

• Plug the $u(r, \theta; \varepsilon)$ into the governing equations and find equation on each order of n. The solution u is of the form

$$u(r,\theta;\varepsilon) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p} a_{n,p} \frac{H_p^{(1)}(kr)}{H_p^{(1)}(ka)} e^{ip\theta} \varepsilon^n$$

• We are looking for the coefficients $\{a_{n,p}\}$ using the boundary data $\xi(\theta)$ and let $g(\theta) = \varepsilon f(\theta)$.

Governing Equations Field Expansion Application to DNO Numerical Approach

Field Expansion 2

• Define $a_n(\theta)$ and $a(\theta)$ by

$$\begin{cases} \text{sum in } p: & a_n(\theta) := \sum_p a_{n,p} e^{ip\theta} \\ \text{sum in } n: & a(\theta) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \varepsilon^n = u(a,\theta) \end{cases}$$

 \bullet Define the 'zero-trace' to 'boundary-trace' operator ${\cal D}$ by

$$\mathcal{D}: u(a,\theta) \to u(a + \varepsilon f(\theta), \theta)$$

Then the equation (2) $u(a + \varepsilon f(\theta), \theta) = \xi(\theta)$ is expressed as

$$\mathcal{D}(a(\theta)) = \xi(\theta)$$

HOPS scheme

$$\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}_n \varepsilon^n\right] \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \varepsilon^n\right] = \sum_{\substack{n=0\\ < \square > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <$$

Governing Equations Field Expansion Application to DNO Numerical Approach

Field Expansion 3

At each n, we have

$$n = 0 \qquad \mathcal{D}_0 a_0 = \xi_0$$
$$n \ge 1 \qquad \mathcal{D}_0 a_n = \xi_n - \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{D}_{n-m} a_m$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = \mathbf{I}, \quad \mathcal{D}_0^{-1} = \mathbf{I}$$
$$\mathcal{D}_n[e^{ip\theta}] = k^n F_n \frac{d_z^n H_p^{(1)}(ka)}{H_p^{(1)}(ka)} e^{ip\theta}, \quad F_n = F_n(\theta) = \frac{f(\theta)^n}{n!}.$$

At each wave number p, we can solve (in Fourier space) for $\{a_{n,p}\}$

Governing Equations Field Expansion Application to DNO Numerical Approach

Application: Dirichlet-to-Neumann Operator

We will use the coefficients $\{a_{n,p}\}$ to approximate the exterior Neuman boundary condition $\nu(\theta)$:

$$\begin{split} \nu(\theta) &:= \left[-\partial_{\mathbf{N}} u\right] (a + \varepsilon f, \theta) = \left[-\mathbf{N} \cdot \nabla u\right] (a + \varepsilon f, \theta) \\ &= -(a + \varepsilon f) \partial_r u(a + \varepsilon f, \theta) + \frac{1}{a + \varepsilon f} \varepsilon(\partial_{\theta} f) \partial_{\theta} u(a + \varepsilon f, \theta) \end{split}$$

- Define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator by ${\bf G}(g):\xi\to\nu$ such that ${\bf G}(g)\xi=\nu$
- HOPS Scheme: $\mathbf{G}(\varepsilon f)\xi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n \xi \varepsilon^n = \nu$. Next, evaluate $u(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_p a_{n,p} \frac{H_p^{(1)}(kr)}{H_p^{(1)}(ka)} e^{ip\theta} \varepsilon^n$ at $r = a + \varepsilon f$ then compute $\nu(\theta)$ by definition.

Governing Equations Field Expansion Application to DNO Numerical Approach

Application: Dirichlet-to-Neumann Operator

For each n, we can get $G_n\xi$ in terms of $\{a_{n,p}\}$.

 $G_{0}\xi = -ak\sum_{n} a_{0,p} \frac{d_{z}H_{p}^{(1)}(ka)}{H_{n}^{(1)}(ka)} e^{ip\theta}$ $G_n\xi = -\frac{f}{a}G_{n-1}\xi - a\sum_{n=1}^{n}\sum_{m,p}k^{n-m+1}F_{n-m}(\theta)\frac{d_z^{n-m+1}H_p^{(1)}(ka)}{H^{(1)}(ka)}e^{ip\theta}$ $-2f\sum_{z=1}^{n-1}\sum_{z=1}^{n-1}a_{m,p}k^{n-m}F_{n-m-1}(\theta)\frac{d_z^{n-m}H_p^{(1)}(ka)}{H_n^{(1)}(ka)}e^{ip\theta}$ $-\frac{f^2}{a}\sum_{n=2}^{n-2}\sum_{n=2}a_{m,p}k^{n-m-1}F_{n-m-2}(\theta)\frac{d_z^{n-m-1}H_p^{(1)}(ka)}{H^{(1)}(ka)}e^{ip\theta}$ $+\frac{\partial_{\theta}f}{a}\sum^{n-1}\sum a_{m,p}k^{n-m-1}F_{n-m-1}(\theta)\frac{d_{z}^{n-m-1}H_{p}^{(1)}(ka)}{H^{(1)}(ka)}e^{ip\theta}$

・ロト ・得ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Governing Equations Field Expansion Application to DNO Numerical Approach

Numerical Approach

We choose the parameters:

- $k = 1, f = e^{\cos(\theta)}$
- $\xi(\theta) = [H_p^{(1)}(kr)e^{ip\theta}](r = a + \varepsilon f)$ for any wave number p
- exact solution: $u(r,\theta) = H_p^{(1)}(kr)e^{ip\theta}$

List of all Matlab files:

- test_helmholtz_polar.m
- field_fe_helmholtz_polar.m
- dno_fe_helmholtz_polar.m
- compute_errors_2d_polar.m
- make_plots_polar.m
- diff_besselh.m

Governing Equations and solutions Field Expansion Application to DNO Numerical Approach

Governing Equation and General Solutions

The Helmholtz equation on a two-dimensional domain interior to a bounded obstacle:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Delta w + k^2 w = 0 & r < a + g(\theta) & (4) \\ w(r,\theta) = \xi(\theta) & r = a + g(\theta) & (5) \\ \lim_{r \to 0} w(r,\theta) \text{ is bounded} & r \to 0 & (6) \end{array}$$

The solution to (4) and (6) is

$$w(r,\theta) = \sum_{p} d_{p} \frac{J_{p}(kr)}{J_{p}(ka)} e^{ip\theta}$$

Governing Equations and solutions Field Expansion Application to DNO Numerical Approach

Field Expansion

Following steps of field expansion above, we can also get the coefficients $\{d_{n,p}\}$ at each wave number p (in Fourier space)

$$d_{0,p} = (\hat{\xi}_0)_p$$

$$d_{n,p} = (\hat{\xi}_n)_p - \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} k^{n-m} \sum_q (\hat{F}_{n-m})_{p-q} \frac{d_z^{n-m} J_p(ka)}{J_p(ka)} a_{m,q}$$

Governing Equations and solutions Field Expansion Application to DNO Numerical Approach

Application: Dirichlet-to-Neumann Operator

The idea here are similar the exterior domain and the only difference is the sign

$$\begin{split} \nu(\theta) &:= [+\partial_{\mathsf{N}} w] \left(a + \varepsilon f, \theta \right) = [+\mathsf{N} \cdot \nabla w] \left(a + \varepsilon f, \theta \right) \\ &= (a + \varepsilon f) \partial_r w (a + \varepsilon f, \theta) - \frac{1}{a + \varepsilon f} \varepsilon (\partial_{\theta} f) \partial_{\theta} w (a + \varepsilon f, \theta) \end{split}$$

• Define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator by ${\bf G}(g):\xi\to\nu$ such that ${\bf G}(g)\xi=\nu$

Governing Equations and solutions Field Expansion Application to DNO Numerical Approach

Application: Dirichlet-to-Neumann Operator

For each n, we can also get $G_n\xi$ in terms of $\{d_{n,p}\}$.

 $G_{0}\xi = ak \sum_{p} d_{0,p} \frac{d_{z}J_{p}(ka)}{J_{p}(ka)} e^{ip\theta}$ $G_{n}\xi = -\frac{f}{a}G_{n-1}\xi + a \sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{p} d_{m,p}k^{n-m+1}F_{n-m}(\theta) \frac{d_{z}^{n-m+1}J_{p}(ka)}{J_{p}(ka)} e^{ip\theta}$ $+ 2f \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \sum_{p} d_{m,p}k^{n-m}F_{n-m-1}(\theta) \frac{d_{z}^{n-m}J_{p}(ka)}{J_{p}(ka)} e^{ip\theta}$ $+ \frac{f^{2}}{a} \sum_{m=0}^{n-2} \sum_{p} d_{m,p}k^{n-m-1}F_{n-m-2}(\theta) \frac{d_{z}^{n-m-1}J_{p}(ka)}{J_{p}(ka)} e^{ip\theta}$

$$-\frac{\partial_{\theta}f}{a}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\sum_{p}d_{m,p}k^{n-m-1}F_{n-m-1}(\theta)\frac{d_{z}^{n-m-1}J_{p}(ka)}{J_{p}(ka)}e^{ip\theta}$$

3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Governing Equations and solutions Field Expansion Application to DNO Numerical Approach

Numerical Approach

We choose the parameters:

- $k = 1, f = e^{\cos(\theta)}$
- $\xi(\theta) = [J_p(kr)e^{ip\theta}](r=a+\varepsilon f)$ for any wave number p
- exact solution: $w(r,\theta) = J_p(kr)e^{ip\theta}$

List of all Matlab files:

- test_helmholtz_polar_interior.m
- field_fe_helmholtz_polar_interior.m
- dno_fe_helmholtz_polar_interior.m
- compute_errors_2d_polar.m
- make_plots_polar.m
- diff_besselj.m

Future work: Doubly-layered medium

We seek periodic solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + k_u^2 u = 0 & r > a + g(\theta) \\ \Delta w + k_w^2 w = 0 & r < a + g(\theta) \\ u - w = -u^i & r = a + g(\theta) \\ \partial_{\mathbf{N}} u - \tau^2 \partial_{\mathbf{N}} w = -\partial_{\mathbf{N}} u^i & r = a + g(\theta) \end{cases}$$

- Define $\zeta(\theta) = -u^i|_{r=a+g(\theta)}$ and $\psi(\theta) = -\partial_{\mathbf{N}}u^i|_{r=a+g(\theta)}$
- the boundary conditions can be expressed as

$$\begin{split} u - w &= \zeta(\theta) & r = a + g(\theta) \\ \mathbf{G}^u u + \tau^2 \mathbf{G}^w w &= -\psi(\theta) & r = a + g(\theta) \end{split}$$

• Use \mathbf{G}^u and \mathbf{G}^w (known) to find the solution.

Thank you!

Comments and Questions!

References I

- J. BILLINGHAM AND A. KING, *Wave Motion*, Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- D. P. NICHOLLS AND J. SHEN, A stable high-order method for two-dimensional bounded-obstacle scattering, SIAM Journal Scientific Computing, 28 (2006), pp. 1398–1419.