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SOLVABILITY OF LIE ALGEBRAS ARISING FROM
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Dedicated to Professor J.-I. Igusa and Professor J. H. Sampson on
their sixty-fifth birthdays

By STEPHEN S.-T. YAU

1. Introduction. Let \((V, 0)\) be an isolated singularity in \((\mathbb{C}^n, 0)\)
defined by the zero set of a holomorphic function \(f\). The moduli algebra
\(A(V)\) of \((V, 0)\) is \(\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]/(f, \partial f/\partial x_1, \ldots, \partial f/\partial x_n)\). It is easy
to see that \(A(V)\) is a finite dimensional \(\mathbb{C}\)-algebra and is an invariant of
\((V, 0)\). In [Ma-Ya], Mather and the author proved that the complex
analytic structure of \((V, 0)\) is determined also by \(A(V)\). Thus, the above
construction gives an injection map from the space of isolated singularities in \((\mathbb{C}^n, 0)\) to the space of commutative local Artinian algebras.
This raises a natural and important problem, the so called recognition
problem: Give a necessary and sufficient condition for a commutative
local Artinian algebra to be a moduli algebra. In [Ya1], we define \(L(V)\)
to be the algebra of derivations of \(A(V)\). Clearly \(L(V)\) is a finite di-
mensional Lie algebra. In [Se-Ya], Seeley and the present author have
shown that this Lie algebra is an interesting invariant in the following
sense. It can be used to study moduli problem of singularities. Namely,
we have constructed continuous numerical invariants for isolated sin-
gularities by means of these Lie algebras. In [Ya2] and [Ya3], the Lie
algebra \(L(V)\) was shown to be solvable for \(n \leq 3\) and \(n \leq 5\) respectively.
Naturally one expects that a necessary condition for a commutative local
Artinian algebra to be a moduli algebra is that its algebra of derivations
is a solvable Lie algebra. In fact, we were encouraged by both of the
referees of [Ya2] and [Ya3] to attack the general problem. It is the
purpose of this paper to report that \( L(V) \) is indeed solvable for general \( n \). The proof depends on two main ingredients. The first one is the classification of the \( \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{l}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) actions on \( \mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]] \) via derivations preserving the \( m \)-adic filtration, which was done in our previous work [Ya3]. The second one is the recent work of Sampson, Yu and the author [Sa-Ya-Yu] on classification of gradient spaces invariant by \( \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{l}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) actions, which was done by [Ya4] only for the case \( n \leq 5 \). Actually what we need is the following special statement in [Sa-Ya-Yu]. Let \( f \) be a homogeneous polynomial in \( n \) variables of degree \( k + 1 \), \( k \geq 2 \). Then the vector space \( I(f) \) spanned by \( \partial f/\partial x_1, \partial f/\partial x_2, \ldots, \partial f/\partial x_n \) is a \( \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{l}(2, \mathbb{C}) \)-submodule if and only if \( I(f) = \langle \partial g/\partial x_1, \partial g/\partial x_2, \ldots, \partial g/\partial x_n \rangle \) for some \( \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{l}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) invariant polynomial \( g \). This special statement was proved independently by Kempf [Ke]. In fact he proved that this special statement is true also for other semisimple Lie algebras as well. The main observation in this paper is that once we fix a \( \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{l}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) action, the singular sets of varieties defined by \( \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{l}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) invariant polynomials of degree \( \geq 3 \) have to contain a one dimensional set independent of the invariant polynomials.
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2. Proof of the main theorems.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{l}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) act on \( M_n^k \), the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree \( k \geq 2 \) in

\[
x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{\epsilon_1}, x_{\epsilon_1+1}, \ldots, x_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2}, \ldots; x_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\cdots+\epsilon_{r-1}+1}, \ldots,
\]

via

\[
\tau = D_{\tau,1} + \cdots + D_{\tau,j} + \cdots + D_{\tau,r}
\]

\[
X_+ = D_{X_+,1} + \cdots + D_{X_+,j} + \cdots + D_{X_+,r}
\]

\[
X_- = D_{X_-,1} + \cdots + D_{X_-,j} + \cdots + D_{X_-,r}
\]
where

\[ D_{\ell_j} = (\ell_j - 1)x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{j-1} + 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{j-1} + 1}} + (\ell_j - 3)x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{j-1} + 2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{j-1} + 2}} + \cdots \]

\[ + (- (\ell_j - 3))x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{j-1} - 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{j-1} - 1}} + \cdots \]

\[ + (- (\ell_j - 1))x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_j}} \]

\[ D_{x_+} = (\ell_j - 1)x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{j-1} + 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{j-1} + 2}} + \cdots \]

\[ + i(\ell_j - i)x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{j-1} + i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{j-1} + i}} + \cdots \]

\[ + (\ell_j - 1)x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_j}} \]

\[ D_{x_-} = x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{j-1} + 2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{j-1} + 1}} + \cdots \]

\[ + x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{j-1} + i + 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{j-1} + i}} + \cdots \]

\[ + x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_j - 1}} \]

and \( \ell_1 \geq \ell_2 \geq \cdots \geq \ell_j \geq 2 \). Let \( I \) be the complex vector subspace spanned by \( \partial f/\partial x_1, \partial f/\partial x_2, \ldots, \partial f/\partial x_n \), where \( f \) is a homogeneous polynomial of degree \( k + 1 \). If \( I \) is a \( \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \)-submodule, then the singular set of \( f \) contains the \( x_1 \)-axis and the \( x_{\ell_1} \)-axis.
Proof. Since $I$ is a $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$-submodule, by the result of [Sa-Ya-Yu] and [Ke], there exists a $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ invariant $g$ in $M_n^{k+1}$ such that the complex vector space spanned by $\partial g/\partial x_1, \partial g/\partial x_2, \ldots, \partial g/\partial x_n$ is exactly $I$. Therefore the singular set of $f$ and the singular set of $g$ are exactly the same. Suppose the weight of $x$, is given by the corresponding coefficient in the expression of $\tau$ above, i.e.

$$Wt(x_{\ell_1+\cdots+\ell_{r-1}+i}) = \ell_j - (2i - 1) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \ell_j$$

and $1 \leq j \leq r$

and

$$Wt(x_\alpha) = 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha > \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_r$$

Then $g$ is a polynomial of weight 0. Let us assume on the contrary that the $x_1$-axis does not lie in the singular set of $g$. Clearly the monomial $x_1^k$ appears in $\partial g/\partial x_1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus the monomial $x_1^k x_i$ appears in $g$. Observe that $Wt(x_1) \geq |Wt(x_i)|$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $k \geq 2$, weight of $x_1^k x_i$ is strictly greater than zero. This gives a contradiction. Hence the $x_1$-axis is contained in the singular set of $g$ which is the same as the singular set of $f$.

Similarly we can prove that the $x_{\ell_1}$-axis is contained in the singular set of $f$. Q.E.D.

In [Ya1], we first established a connection between the set of isolated hypersurface singularities and the set of finite dimensional Lie algebras. Let $(V, 0)$ be an isolated singularity in $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ defined by the zero set of a holomorphic function of $f$. The moduli algebra $A(V)$ of $(V, 0)$ is $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]/(f, \partial f/\partial x_1, \partial f/\partial x_2, \ldots, \partial f/\partial x_n)$. We define $L(V)$ to be the algebra of derivations of $A(V)$. $A(V)$ is finite dimensional as a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space and $L(V)$ is contained in the endomorphism algebra of $A(V)$; consequently $L(V)$ is a finite dimensional Lie algebra. In [Ya2], we proved that $L(V)$ is solvable for $n \leq 3$. Later, we generalized it to the case where $n \leq 5$ [Ya3]. It is the purpose here to prove $L(V)$ is solvable for general $n$.

Remark. In general, in order to prove $L(V)$ is solvable, it suffices to prove the statement with an additional assumption that the multi-
plicity of $f$ is bigger than two. Because if the multiplicity of $f$ is two, then after a biholomorphic change of coordinates, we can assume that $f = x^2 - g(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$. In this case $L(V) = L(W)$ which is solvable by induction hypothesis, where $W = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} : g(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) = 0\}$.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that $V = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0\}$ has an isolated singularity at $(0, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Then the finite dimensional Lie algebra $L(V)$ associated to the singularity is solvable.

**Proof.** By the Levi decomposition, if the Lie algebra is not solvable, then the Lie algebra $L(V)$ contains $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ as a subalgebra. By Lemma 4.3 of [Ya2], we shall assume that $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $m_1/m^2$ non-trivially where $m$ is the maximal ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0}$. Write $f = \sum_{i=k+1} f_i$. According to the above remark, we shall assume without loss of generality that the multiplicity of $f = k + 1 \geq 3$. By Theorem 2.2 of [Ya3], we know that the action of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{C}[[X_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ is of the form given in the statement of Theorem 1 above. We shall prove by induction that $\partial f/\partial x_i$ for $i \geq k + 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$ vanish along the $x_1$-axis. Let $\Delta(f)$ denote the ideal generated by $\partial f/\partial x_1$, $\partial f/\partial x_2$, $\ldots$, $\partial f/\partial x_n$. The moduli ideal $(f) + \Delta(f)$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ module. Clearly $m^k/m^{k-1}$ is also an $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ module. Let $J_k(f)$ denote the image of the canonical map $(f) + \Delta(f) \to m^k/m^{k+1}$. $J_k(f)$ is an invariant subspace spanned by $\langle \partial f_{k+1}/\partial x_1, \partial f_{k+1}/\partial x_2, \ldots, \partial f_{k+1}/\partial x_n \rangle$ and hence may be identified with an invariant subspace of $M_k^n$. By Theorem 1, $\partial f_{k+1}/\partial x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ vanish along the $x_1$-axis.

Let $g = \sum_{i=0} g_i$ be a Taylor series expansion of $g$ where $g_i$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $i$. Then for any $D \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$, $Dg = \sum_{i=0} Dg_i$ is also a Taylor series expansion of $Dg$. It follows easily that $m^\ell + \Delta(f_{k+1}) + \Delta(f_{k+2}) + \cdots + \Delta(f_\ell)/m^{\ell+1} + \Delta(f_{k+1}) + \Delta(f_{k+2}) + \cdots + \Delta(f_\ell)$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ module. Let $J_\ell$ denote the image of the canonical map $(f) + \Delta(f) \to m^\ell + \Delta(f_{k+1}) + \Delta(f_{k+2}) + \cdots + \Delta(f_\ell)/m^{\ell-1} + \Delta(f_{k+1}) + \Delta(f_{k+2}) + \cdots + \Delta(f_\ell)$. $J_\ell$ is an invariant subspace spanned by $\langle \partial f_{\ell+1}/\partial x_1, \partial f_{\ell+1}/\partial x_2, \ldots, \partial f_{\ell+1}/\partial x_n \rangle$ and hence may be identified with an invariant subspace of $M_k^n$. By Theorem 1, $\partial f_{\ell+1}/\partial x_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ vanish along the $x_1$-axis. This finishes the induction step.

Obviously $\Delta(f)$ vanishes along the $x_1$-axis. This implies that $f$ cannot have an isolated singularity at the origin, a contradiction to our assumption.

Q.E.D.
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