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## a fixed point formula

We want to solve $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$ for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, for very large $n$.
Consider $A=L+D+U$, where

- $L=\left[\ell_{i, j}\right], \ell_{i, j}=a_{i, j}, i>j, \ell_{i, j}=0, i \leq j$. $L$ is lower triangular.
- $D=\left[d_{i, j}\right], d_{i, i}=a_{i, i} \neq 0, d_{i, j}=0, i \neq j$. $D$ is diagonal.
- $U=\left[u_{i, j}\right], u_{i, j}=a_{i, j}, i<j, u_{i, j}=0, i \geq j . U$ is upper triangular.

Then we rewrite $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b} & \Leftrightarrow(L+D+U) \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b} \\
& \Leftrightarrow D \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}-L \mathbf{x}-U \mathbf{x} \\
& \Leftrightarrow D \mathbf{x}=D \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}-L \mathbf{x}-U \mathbf{x}-D \mathbf{x} \\
& \Leftrightarrow D \mathbf{x}=D \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}-A \mathbf{x} \\
& \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}+D^{-1}(\mathbf{b}-A \mathbf{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

The fixed point formula $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}+D^{-1}(\mathbf{b}-A \mathbf{x})$ is well defined if $a_{i, i} \neq 0$.

## the Jacobi iterative method

The fixed point formula $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}+D^{-1}(\mathbf{b}-A \mathbf{x})$ leads to

$$
\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}=\mathbf{x}^{(k)}+\underbrace{D^{-1}\left(\mathbf{b}-A \mathbf{x}^{(k)}\right)}_{\Delta \mathbf{x}}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots
$$

Writing the formula as an algorithm:
Input: $A, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x}^{(0)}, \epsilon, N$.
Output: $\mathbf{x}^{(k)}, k$ is the number of iterations done.
for $k$ from 1 to $N$ do

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta x:=D^{-1}\left(\mathbf{b}-A \mathbf{x}^{(k)}\right) \\
& \mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}:=\mathbf{x}^{(k)}+\Delta \mathbf{x} \\
& \text { exit when }(\|\Delta \mathbf{x}\| \leq \epsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

end for.
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## cost and convergence

Counting the number of operations in

$$
\text { for } k \text { from } 1 \text { to } N \text { do }
$$

$$
\Delta x:=D^{-1}\left(\mathbf{b}-A \mathbf{x}^{(k)}\right)
$$

$$
\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}:=\mathbf{x}^{(k)}+\Delta \mathbf{x}
$$

$$
\text { exit when }(\|\Delta \mathbf{x}\| \leq \epsilon) \text {; }
$$

end for.
we have a cost of $O\left(N n^{2}\right), O\left(n^{2}\right)$ for $A \mathbf{x}^{(k)}$, if $A$ is dense.

## Theorem

The Jacobi method converges for strictly row-wise or column-wise diagonally dominant matrices, i.e.: if

$$
\left|a_{i, i}\right|>\sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{i, j}\right| \quad \text { or } \quad\left|a_{i, i}\right|>\sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{j, i}\right|, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n .
$$
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## design of a Julia function

```
using Printf
Base.show(io::IO, f::Float64) = @printf(io, "%.3e", f)
using LinearAlgebra
```


## " " "

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { jacobi (mat }: & : \text { Array }\{\text { Float } 64\}, \text { rhs }:: A r r a y\{F l o a t ~ \\
\text { sol } & : \text { Array }\{\text { Float } 64\}, \\
& \text { maxit }:
\end{aligned}
$$

Runs the method of Jacobi on the linear system with coefficient matrix mat, with right hand side vector rhs, a start solution sol Running stops if the maximum number of iterations in maxit is reached, or if the norm of the correction is less than tol.

Returns (solution, numit, nrmdx, fail), the computed solution, the number of iterations numit, an estimate for the forward error normdx, and fail is true if the given tolerance was not reached.

## " " "

function jacobi (mat: : Array\{Float64\}, rhs: :Array\{Float64\},

$$
\text { sol::Array\{Float64\}, }
$$

$$
\text { maxit: : Int=100, tol: :Float } 64=1.0 e-8)
$$

## the Julia function jacobi

```
function jacobi(mat::Array{Float64},rhs::Array{Float64},
                    sol::Array{Float64},
    maxit::Int=100,tol::Float64=1.0e-8)
    nbrows, nbcols = size(mat)
    result = deepcopy(sol)
    numit = 0; nrmdx = 1
    while numit < maxit
    numit = numit + 1
    deltax = rhs - mat*result
    for i=1:nbrows
        deltax[i] = deltax[i]/mat[i,i]
    end
    result = result + deltax
    nrmdx = norm(deltax)
    strdx = @sprintf("%.2e", nrmdx)
    println("||dx|| = $strdx")
    if norm(deltax) <= tol
        return (result, numit, nrmdx, false)
    end
    end
    return (result, numit, nrmdx, true)
end
```


## the main program in runjacobi.jl

```
import Random # to fix the seed of the random numbers
include("jacobi.jl")
"""
Prompts the user for a dimension and then
generates a random matrix to test the Jacobi method.
" " "
```

```
function main()
```

function main()
print("Give the dimension : ")
print("Give the dimension : ")
line = readline(stdin)
line = readline(stdin)
dim = parse(Int, line)
dim = parse(Int, line)
Random.seed!(123);
Random.seed!(123);
mat = rand(dim, dim)
mat = rand(dim, dim)
\# make the matrix diagonally dominant
\# make the matrix diagonally dominant
for i=1:dim
for i=1:dim
mat[i, i] = 100*mat[i,i]
mat[i, i] = 100*mat[i,i]
end
end
sol = ones(dim, 1)
sol = ones(dim, 1)
noise = (1.0e-4)*rand(dim, 1)
noise = (1.0e-4)*rand(dim, 1)
rhs = mat*sol
rhs = mat*sol
wrk = sol + noise

```
    wrk = sol + noise
```


## runjacobi.jl continued

```
println("A random matrix :")
show(stdout, "text/plain", mat); println("");
sol, numit, nrmdx, fail = jacobi (mat, rhs, wrk)
println("The solution after ", numit, " iterations :")
for i=1:dim
    strsol = @sprintf("%.16e", sol[i])
    println(i, " : $strsol")
end
print("Estimated forward error : ", nrmdx)
if fail
    println(" failed.")
else
    println(" succeeded.")
end
end
main()
```


## running runjacobi.jl at the command prompt

```
$ julia runjacobi.jl
Give the dimension : 3
A random matrix :
3\times3 Array{Float64,2}:
    7.684e+01 3.955e-01 5.860e-01
    9.405e-01 3.132e+01 5.213e-02
    6.740e-01 6.626e-01 2.686e+01
||dx|| = 5.21e-05
||dx|| = 9.32e-07
||dx|| = 2.73e-08
||dx|| = 6.56e-10
The solution after 4 iterations :
1 : 1.0000000000054128e+00
2 : 1.0000000000079821e+00
3 : 1.0000000000121563e+00
Estimated forward error : 6.559e-10 succeeded.
$
```


## a first exercise

Exercise 1: Consider runjacobi.jl.
(1) What is the largest dimension $M$ for which runjacobi. $j l$ reports success?

Make a table with dimension $n=2,3, \ldots, M$ and the number of iterations for each dimension $n$.
(2) Adjust the statement mat [i, i] $=100 \star$ mat [i, i] (you may modify only the diagonal element of mat) so the matrix is always diagonally dominant, for any dimension of the matrix.
Illustrate the adjustment with a run for a dimension larger than the $M$ you found in the first part of the exercise.

## a test system

For the dimension $n$, we consider the diagonally dominant system:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
n+1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & n+1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & n+1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 n \\
2 n \\
\vdots \\
2 n
\end{array}\right]
$$

The exact solution is $\mathbf{x}$ : for $i=1,2, \ldots, n, x_{i}=1$.

## Exercise 2:

Start the Jacobi iteration method at $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}=\mathbf{0}$, with tolerance $10^{-4}$, allowing $N=2 n^{2}$ iterations, for $n=10,20,40$, and 80 .

How many steps does the method of Jacobi take to converge?
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## fixed point formula for Gauss-Seidel relaxation

The fixed point formula for $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$ where $A=L+D+U$,

- $L$ is strict lower triangular, $L=\left[a_{i, j}\right], i>j, 0$ otherwise
- $D$ is diagonal, $D=\left[a_{i, j}\right], i=j, 0$ otherwise
- $U$ is strict upper triangular, $U=\left[a_{i, j}\right], i<j, 0$ otherwise

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b} & \Leftrightarrow(L+D+U) \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b} \\
& \Leftrightarrow(L+D) \mathbf{x}+U \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b} \\
& \Leftrightarrow(L+D) \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}-U \mathbf{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that $L+D$ is lower triangular. We apply forward substitution in each step.

## the formulas for Gauss-Seidel relaxation

We want to solve $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$ for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, for very large $n$.
Writing the method of Jacobi componentwise:

$$
x_{i}^{(k+1)}:=x_{i}^{(k)}+\frac{1}{a_{i, i}}\left(b_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i, j} x_{j}^{(k)}\right), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

we observe that we can already use $x_{j}^{(k+1)}$ for $j<i$.
This leads to the following formulas
$x_{i}^{(k+1)}:=x_{i}^{(k)}+\frac{1}{a_{i, i}}\left(b_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{i, j} x_{j}^{(k+1)}-\sum_{j=i}^{n} a_{i, j} x_{j}^{(k)}\right), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
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## the Gauss-Seidel method

Writing the formulas as an algorithm:
Input: $A, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x}^{(0)}, \epsilon, N$.
Output: $\mathbf{x}^{(k)}, k$ is the number of iterations done.
for $k$ from 1 to $N$ do
for $i$ from 1 to $n$ do

$$
\Delta x_{i}:=b_{i}
$$

for $j$ from 1 to $i-1$ do

$$
\Delta x_{i}:=\Delta x_{i}-a_{i, j} x_{j}^{(k+1)}
$$

for $j$ from $i$ to $n$ do

$$
\Delta x_{i}:=\Delta x_{i}-a_{i, j} x_{j}^{(k)}
$$

$$
\Delta x_{i}:=\Delta x_{i} / a_{i, i}
$$

$$
x_{i}^{(k+1)}:=x_{i}^{(k)}+\Delta x_{i}
$$

exit when $(\|\Delta \mathbf{x}\| \leq \epsilon)$

## the Julia function gauss_seidel

```
function gauss_seidel(mat::Array{Float64},rhs::Array{Float64
    sol::Array{Float64},
    maxit::Int=100,tol::Float64=1.0e-8)
nbrows, nbcols = size(mat)
result = deepcopy(sol)
deltax = zeros(nbrows, 1)
numit = 0; nrmdx = 1;
while numit < maxit
    numit = numit + 1
    for i=1:nbrows
        deltax[i] = rhs[i]
        for j=1:nbcols
        deltax[i] = deltax[i] - mat[i,j]*result[j]
    end
    deltax[i] = deltax[i]/mat[i,i]
    result[i] = result[i] + deltax[i]
    end
    # The rest is the same as in the function jacobi.
```


## running rungauss_seidel.jl as a program

```
$ julia rungauss_seidel.jl
Give the dimension : 3
A random matrix :
3\times3 Array{Float 64,2}:
    7.684e+01 3.955e-01 5.860e-01
    9.405e-01 3.132e+01 5.213e-02
    6.740e-01 6.626e-01 2.686e+01
||dx||=5.13e-05
||dx||=4.50e-07
||dx|| = 2.41e-10
The solution after 3 iterations :
1:1.0000000000001867e+00
2 : 1.0000000000000031e+00
3 : 9.9999999999999523e-01
Estimated forward error : 2.405e-10 succeeded.
$
Compare with runjacobi.jl!
```


## convergence

We have the same condition on convergence as the method of Jacobi:

## Theorem

The Gauss-Seidel method converges for strictly row-wise or column-wise diagonally dominant matrices, i.e.: if

$$
\left|a_{i, i}\right|>\sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{i, j}\right| \quad \text { or } \quad\left|a_{i, i}\right|>\sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{j, i}\right|, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n .
$$

The method of Gauss-Seidel converges faster than the method of Jacobi.

## comparing on the test system

## Exercise 3:

Consider again the test system as in exercise 2. Solve exercise 2 with the method of Gauss-Seidel. Compare the convergence of the method of Gauss-Seidel with the method of Jacobi.
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## successive over-relaxation

Successive Over-Relaxation (SOR) takes a weighted average of the current and the new approximation, using the relaxation parameter $\omega$. For $\omega>1$, we have over-relaxation, under-relaxation for $\omega<1$.
Writing $A=L+D+U$, we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\omega L+\omega D+\omega U) \mathbf{x} & =\omega \mathbf{b} \\
(\omega L+\omega D+D-D) \mathbf{x} & =\omega \mathbf{b}-\omega U \mathbf{x} \\
(\omega L+D) \mathbf{x} & =\omega \mathbf{b}+(1-\omega) D \mathbf{x}-\omega U \mathbf{x} \\
\mathbf{x} & =(\omega L+D)^{-1}[\omega \mathbf{b}+(1-\omega) D \mathbf{x}-\omega U \mathbf{x}]
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\omega=1$, we have $\mathbf{x}=(L+D)^{-1}[\mathbf{b}-U \mathbf{x}]$, which is Gauss-Seidel.

## code for the Julia function sor

The $\omega$ is provided by the parameter wgt.

```
function sor(mat::Array{Float64},rhs::Array{Float64},
    sol::Array{Float64},wgt::Float64=1.1,
    maxit::Int=100,tol::Float64=1.0e-8)
nbrows, nbcols = size(mat)
result = deepcopy(sol)
deltax = zeros(nbrows, 1)
numit = 0; nrmdx = 1;
while numit < maxit
    numit = numit + 1
    for i=1:nbrows
    deltax[i] = wgt*rhs[i]
    for j=1:nbcols
            deltax[i] = deltax[i] - mat[i,j]*wgt*result[j]
    end
    deltax[i] = deltax[i]/mat[i,i]
    result[i] = result[i] + deltax[i]
    end
    # The rest is the same as in the function jacobi.
```


## running on a special matrix

```
" " "
Returns a special matrix.
"""
function special_matrix()
    mat = [ 3.0, -1.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.5,
        -1.0, 3.0, -1.0, 0.0, 0.5, 0.0,
        0.0, -1.0, 3.0, -1.0, 0.0, 0.0,
        0.0, 0.0, -1.0, 3.0, -1.0, 0.0,
        0.0, 0.5, 0.0, -1.0, 3.0, -1.0,
        0.5, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, -1.0, 3.0 ]
    mat = reshape(mat, (6, 6))
    mat = permutedims(mat)
    return mat
end
```

Set the solution as ones (6, 1).

## the output of runsor.jl

```
||dx||=1.36e-04
||dx||=3.06e-05
||dx|| = 9.12e-06
||dx|| = 2.94e-06
||dx|| = 1.11e-06
||dx|| = 4.50e-07
||dx||=6.24e-08
||dx|| = 1.78e-08
||dx|| = 5.69e-09
The solution after 9 iterations :
1 : 9.9999999905817438e-01
2 : 9.9999999785724880e-01
3 : 9.9999999865023992e-01
4 : 9.9999999949241514e-01
5 : 1.0000000002526264e+00
6 : 1.0000000002774445e+00
Estimated forward error : 5.690e-09 succeeded.
```


## one last exercise

## Exercise 4:

For the special matrix to test successive over-relaxation, compare with the method of Jacobi and the method of Gauss-Seidel. Is successive over-relaxation better than Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel?

