MCS 441 – Theory of Computation I Spring 2018 Problem Set 5*

Lev Reyzin

Due: 5/2/18 at the beginning of class

Instructions: Atop your problem set, write your name, and indicate whether you are an undergraduate or graduate student.

- **1.** [5 pts] Prove that if $A, B \in P$ then $A \cup B \in P$.
- **2.** [5 pts] Show that the language $K4 \in P$, where

$$K4 = \{\langle G \rangle | G \text{ contains a 4-clique} \}.$$

- 3. [6 pts] Assume that P = NP.
 - a. Show that this implies that the language

RELPRIME =
$$\{\langle x, y \rangle | x \text{ and } y \text{ are relatively prime integers} \}$$

is NP-Complete.

- b. Does it imply that every language in NP is NP-Complete? Why or why not?
- **4.** [4 pts] Why does definition 7.18 not restrict the length of the certificate c? Informally, what prevents the verifier from cheating and checking a certificate that encodes all possible solutions?
- 5. [6 pts] Consider the problem of making a conference schedule. There are talks T_1, \ldots, T_k to be scheduled and participants P_1, \ldots, P_ℓ attending the conference. Each participant gives you a list of the talks he is interested in attending. You must schedule times for these talks so that no participant is interested in two talks that are scheduled for the same time. The problem is to determine if a schedule exists that uses only h slots. Formulate this problem as a language and show it is NP complete.

Hint: reduce from graph 3-COLORING.

6. [6 pts] Assume that $SAT \in P$, where

SAT =
$$\{\langle \phi \rangle | \phi \text{ is a satisfiable Boolean formula.} \}$$

^{*}This assignment counts as the equivalent of 1.5 regular assignments in the problem set average.

Show how to use this fact to find, in polynomial time, an assignment of the variables in ϕ such that ϕ evaluates to true.

- 7. [8 pts] Define the class coNP-Complete (analogously to NP-Complete) to be the set of languages A s.t. $A \in \text{coNP}$ and $\forall B \in \text{coNP}$, $B \leq_{\text{P}} A$.
 - a. Let the language

ALWAYSTRUE =
$$\{\langle \phi \rangle | \phi \text{ always evaluates to } true \}$$
.,

e.g. $\phi = (x_1 \vee \overline{x}_1) \in ALWAYSTRUE$. Prove that ALWAYSTRUE is coNP-Complete.

- b. What would be an important consequence of proving ALWAYSTRUE \in NP? Why? (To answer this question, you may assume the statement from part a.)
- 8. [5 pts] Read Michael Nielsen's article "The Physical Origin of Universal Computing," posted on http://homepages.math.uic.edu/~lreyzin/s18_mcs441/POUC.pdf. Argue whether or not David Deutsch's principle (with Nielsen's modifications) gives hope to the possibility of eventually mathematically proving the Church-Turing thesis.